

# FINTECH PROJECT

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

01

**Aim of the project:** in this chapter we will present what we are going to do in this project

02

**The dataset:** here we present the data that we will use along the project.

03

**First approach: Lasso regression.** Here we start our analysis with the first model

04


**Second approach: Kalman Filter.** Here we present a second approach to the problem

05


**Neural Networks and anomaly detection.** Here we try to improve our strategy by predicting future market anomalies

1. **Replica of a «target index»** by constructing a portfolio of future contracts
2. **Inclusion of fees** to obtain more realistic results
3. **Evaluation of the performances** through VaR and gross exposure computation
4. Comparisons of **different time scales** (monthly vs weekly)
5. **Detection of market anomalies** to improve the performance of the portfolio

## AIM OF THE PROJECT



# THE DATASET: INVESTMENT REPLICCA DATA



1. Four indexes as possible target variables: «MXWO», «MXWD», «LEGATRUU», «HFRXGL». Our target will be a linear combination of these indexes
2. **Target = 0.25\*HFRXGL + 0.5\*MXWO + 0.25\*LEGATRUU**
3. Weekly prices available from Oct. 23rd 2007 to Apr. 20th 2021 (705 observations)

We have the following futures as possible features:

RX1: Germany Bond 10y

GC1: Gold future

ES1: S&P500 USA future

NQ1: NASDAQ 100 E-Mini

TP1: Japan equity index

TU2: USA Bond 2y

TY1: USA Bond 10y

CO1: Crude oil Europe

VG1: Euro stoxx

LLL1: MSCI Emerging markets

DU1: Euro-Schatz 2y

# THE DATASET: FINANCIAL MARKET DATA

1. 43 financial indexes on the global financial market
2. Binary response variable (1=anomaly, 0=normal)
3. 1148 observations from Apr. 27th 1999 to Apr. 20th 2021

Some features of the dataset:


- VIX = volatility of S&P500
- GTITL2YR = BTP 2y
- USGG3M = USA Bond 3m
- ...




# FIRST APPROACH: LASSO REGRESSION, VALIDATION SET

We tried to **replicate** the target index with a **one-step ahead prediction** using a **rolling window linear regression with Lasso penalty**, taking into account **transaction costs**. We also computed the **MAE** between predicted and actual prices.

Weekly rebalancing



Monthly rebalancing




We observe that with a **monthly rebalancing** the **tracking improves** and we have a **reduction in transaction fees**.


# FIRST APPROACH: LASSO REGRESSION, TEST SET

Now we see the performance on the test set

Weakly rebalancing



Monthly rebalancing




We observe **better tracking results** in the test set and also **lower transaction fees** (due to the lower time span).  
The results regarding the frequency of rebalancing are coherent with the one of the validation set.




# FIRST APPROACH: LASSO REGRESSION, MEASURES OF RISK

## Weakly rebalancing



## Monthly rebalancing





# FIRST APPROACH: LASSO REGRESSION, RESULTS

- We can see that the **Lasso regression works well** for our problem: we are able to predict and follow the target index with great accuracy.
- **Transaction costs** weigh heavily in the **weekly case** on the total achieved return.
- Switching to a **monthly resample** results on a **lower mean prediction error** since we are more robust to random market movements.
- As we would expect, we manage to **spend way less in transactions** with a monthly rebalancing strategy.
- The monthly rebalancing strategy leads to a more conservative portfolio management with a **lower leverage**.
- Our model performs better on the test than in the validation set, suggesting a **good degree of robustness**


# SECOND APPROACH: KALMAN FILTER, INTRODUCTION

$$\begin{cases} x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) = C(t)x(t) + D\epsilon(t) \end{cases}$$

- $x(t)$  is the vector for the weights of the portfolio
- $y(t)$  is the observed return of the portfolio
- $u(t) \sim \text{WN}(0, I)$      $\epsilon(t) \sim \text{WN}(0, 1)$      $u(t) \perp \epsilon(t)$

- $A$  is the identity matrix
- $B$  is a diagonal matrix with the variances of the futures
- $C$  is a time dependent row vector with the returns of the futures at time  $t$
- $D$  is a scalar equal to the sample standard deviation of the portfolio we are replicating
- For this approach we have chosen to **reduce the dimensionality** of our problem with feature selection.
- Moreover we have performed **anomaly detection** to reduce our exposure during big market movements.


# SECOND APPROACH: KALMAN FILTER, FEATURE SELECTION ON INVESTMENT REPLICAS DATA



- We have chosen to **eliminate highly correlated features**. Among each subset of correlated features we decided to keep the one that were more correlated with the target variable. For example we kept ES1 and dropped VG1 and NQ1.
- The whole procedure of feature selection was also driven by **financial knowledge** on the futures. For example we select only one of the two USA bonds.
- To confirm the **goodness of our selection** we have finally computed the **VIF** of the remaining features.

# SECOND APPROACH: KALMAN FILTER, FEATURE SELECTION ON INVESTMENT REPLICAS DATA



	Description	VIF
RX1	Germany Bond 10y	1.27
GC1	Gold Future	1.20
CO1	Crude Oil Europe	1.34
ES1	S&P500 Future	1.73
TP1	Japan Equity Index	1.56
TU2	USA Bond 2y	1.43



Every selected variable satisfies the «rule of thumb» of  $VIF < 5$ , so we have **eliminated** any problem of **collinearity**.



# SECOND APPROACH: KALMAN FILTER, VALIDATION AND TEST SET




- Again we tried to replicate the target index with a **one step ahead prediction** using a **rolling window** taking into account **transaction costs**. We compute the **MAE** also for this replication
- We can confront the results obtained with the lasso regression and the kalman filter in the table on the right: we can see that the **kalman filter performs better than the lasso regression**

	MAE VALIDATION	MAE TEST
LASSO REGRESSION	0.11	0.064
KALMAN FILTER	0.030	0.037


# KALMAN FILTER AND ANOMALY DETECTION

- Now we use financial market data to create a neural network classifier to predict future **anomalies** in the market
- The network that generalizes best on the validation set has one layer and 30 neurons
- We can choose an **optimal probability threshold** with which we will classify the anomalies: this value is such that we **maximize the positive distance from the target index on the validation set**
- The idea is that we will use this classification of anomalies to **stop following the target** when there are big market movements and try to slightly outperform the index
- We will use a parameter (alpha) with which we will **modulate our exposure during market anomalies**





ROC curve and AUC score of the neural network classifier

# KALMAN FILTER AND ANOMALY DETECTION: ALPHA = 0




- Now our goal is not just to track the index, but also to **reduce our portfolio volatility**
- We managed to **avoid the big 2020 dip** as well as other minor market anomalies
- We see that this value of alpha makes us **stay out of the market for a long period of time**, which is not ideal. During the last period we frequently **jump from zero to one exposure** which makes us lose quite a lot of returns on transaction fees








# KALMAN FILTER AND ANOMALY DETECTION: ALPHA = 0.5




- In this case we follow more the target index and we **accurately reduce our exposure during the 2020**. Our portfolio variance is increased
- Differently from the previous case we never get to 0 leverage which **reduces our transaction costs** but **lowers our total realized return**



# KALMAN FILTER AND ANOMALY DETECTION: ALPHA = 0.8



- With this value of alpha we almost come back to the **starting situation** of tracking perfectly the index
- As expected the **overall exposure increase**. We wouldn't really suggest using such a high value for alpha because it basically just **increases transaction costs without avoiding any big losses**



# KALMAN FILTER AND ANOMALY DETECTION, RESULTS

- The kalman filter manages to **replicate the target index** really accurately **without having to frequently change the portfolio weights** and therefore loose to much returns on transaction fees
- We can accurately **predict future market anomalies** using a neural network classifier and adjust our market exposition to have a **more steady portfolio with less volatility**
- We belive that the choice of the exposure parameter alpha is business oriented and can be adjusted to meet client's needs.