

## Peer Review Cross Evaluation Form

Group No:

Section:

Group member names:

1. Are the requirements prioritized or ranked? YES NO ALMOST

mark % / 10

if almost :

2. Does the Use Case Model clearly describe the proposed functionality of the system?

YES NO ALMOST

mark % / 10

if almost :

3. Are the functional and non-functional requirements clearly separated?

YES NO ALMOST

mark % / 10

if almost :

4. Are requirements traced to originators? YES NO ALMOST

mark % / 10

if almost :

5. Are there alternative and/or subflows provided? YES NO ALMOST

mark % / 10

if almost :

---

cumulative mark % / 50

For #1 to #6 give: 10/10 for a YES, 0/10 for a NO and 0-10/10 for ALMOST. Give a brief explanation if you are giving an ALMOST.

How many requirements comply with the following and how many doesn't?

	# of requirements that comply	# of requirements that do not comply	comply / not-comply
Consistency			/
Completeness			/
Realism			/
Verifiability			/
Comprehensibility			/
Adaptability			/
Clearness			/

sum up : /  
convert to : / 50

Bonus points:

1. Have the rationale and assumptions been recorded?

YES NO ALMOST  
points / 5

if almost :

2. Is an activity diagram provided?

YES NO  
points / 5

Final grade: \_\_\_\_ / 100

Bonus points: \_\_\_\_ / 10

General feedback:

*Please provide any additional comments / thoughts / ideas for the document you are evaluating, that might be of help to the corresponding group.*

Footnote:

You are supposed to work on this evaluation form as a team, as there is no specific role for a reviewer. Fill in all the required parts in the form and finally calculate and record the mark that you are giving. The [RE checklist](#) (pages 3 & 4) can help you a lot on identifying what you should be looking for, as well as understanding what you are marking.

*Remember that this mark will reflect 10% of the final mark of the team that you are evaluating!*