# Slicing in 5G networks

Update 17/11/2020

Alessandro Spallina

#### Issues

- Difficulties with costs less than 1 in config (workable problem)
- Slurm: no python3.8 module (workable problem: lab-ia staff)
- State Explosion (???)

#### State Explosion (past presentation)

ServerNum	Queue0	Queue1	Queue2	StateNum	TransNum	ActNum	RAM
1	1	1	1	32	1024	4	ok
5	5	5	5	12096	146M	56	68GB
10	10	10	10	380666	144B	286	302TB

Switch to interval for states:

 $S0=\{(0,0),(0,0),(0,0)\}; S1=\{(0,Delta\_server),(0,0),(0,0)\}; S2=\{(Delta\_queue0,0),(0,0),(0,0)\}; \dots$ 

#### Assumptions for following tables

- We'll use float16 (2 bytes, min value is -1e-07) instead of float64 (8 bytes)
- TransMatrix is a matrix actNum \* StateNum \* StateNum
- Size is calculated as "np.zeros((9,756,756), dtype=np.float16).nbytes"

#### State Explosion (multiple actions) with TWO slices.

ServerNum	Queue0	Queue1	StateNum	ActNum	RAM for TransMatrix
1	1	1	12	3	900 B
5	5	5	756	21	24MB
10	10	10	7986	66	8.4GB

#### State Explosion (multiple actions) with THREE slices.

ServerNum	Queue0	Queue1	Queue2	StateNum	ActNum	RAM for TransMatrix
1	1	1	1	32	4	8kB
5	5	5	5	12096	56	16GB
10	10	10	10	380666	286	75.4TB

#### State Explosion (unitary actions) with TWO slices.

ServerNum	Queue0	Queue1	StateNum	ActNum	RAM for TransMatrix
1	1	1	12	9	2.5kB
5	5	5	756	9	10MB
10	10	10	7986	9	1.1GB

#### State Explosion (unitary actions) with THREE slices.

ServerNum	Queue0	Queue1	Queue2	StateNum	ActNum	RAM for TransMatrix
1	1	1	1	32	9	18kB
5	5	5	5	12096	9	2.6GB
10	10	10	10	380666	9	2.37TB

Even if this solution optimize a lot (from 302TB to 2.4TB), this method is still non-scalable

#### PriorityMultiSliceMdpPolicy proof of concept

