

MATH 204 Cheat Sheet

Simple Linear Regression

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$

where

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$$

β_1 is the change in the mean of Y_i for a 1 unit increase in x_i ,

β_0 is the mean when $x_i = 0$

$$S_{XX} = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2, S_{YY} = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2,$$

$$S_{XY} = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})$$

Estimating σ^2

1. Standard deviation of $\hat{\beta}_1$: $\sigma_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \sigma / \sqrt{S_{XX}}$
2. Variance of residuals: $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \frac{SSE}{n-2}$
3. $SSE = S_{YY} - \hat{\beta}_1 S_{XY}$
4. $\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \hat{\sigma} / \sqrt{S_{XX}}$

Inference about β_1

1. When the error terms are normal, $\hat{\beta}_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta_1, \sigma^2 / S_{XX})$
2. $T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\hat{\sigma} / \sqrt{S_{XX}}} \sim t_{n-2}$

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : \beta_1 = 0 \quad \text{vs} \quad \mathcal{H}_a : \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$T_{obs} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{\beta}_1}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{\sigma} / \sqrt{S_{XX}}}$$

Compare T_{obs} with the student distribution $t_{n-2, \alpha/2}$ to get RR.

3. Could get same conclusion from p-value, which illustrates the probability that our results occurred under \mathcal{H}_0 . That is, the probability that $F > \mathcal{F}$ under \mathcal{H}_0 .
4. Confidence interval for β_1 : $\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{n-2, \alpha/2} \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{S_{XX}}}$.

ANOVA

1. $SS_{reg} = S_{YY} - SSE = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 - \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$
2. $T \sim t_v$, $T^2 \sim \mathcal{F}(1, v)$, where the latter is the Fisher-Snedecor dis.
3. ANOVA table guide:
 - (X, Sum Sq) = SS_{reg}
 - (Residuals, Sum Sq) = SSE
 - (Residuals, Df) = $n - 2$
4. lm summary table
 - t-value (slope): $T_{obs} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{\beta}_1}}$
 - F-statistic : T_{obs}^2
 - Residual std error: $\hat{\sigma}$

Correlation

1. $\text{corr}(X, Y) = \text{corr}(Y, X)$
2. $r = S_{XY} / \sqrt{S_{XX} S_{YY}}$ is an estimator for ρ (the true pop. correlation).
3. $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence interval for ρ : transform r to $z = 0.5 \ln(\frac{1+r}{1-r})$. Build an interval: $z \pm \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n-3}} = (c_l, c_u)$, where $z_{\alpha/2}$ is from the standard Normal table. Then, the interval is $\left(\frac{e^{2c_l} - 1}{e^{2c_l} + 1}, \frac{e^{2c_u} - 1}{e^{2c_u} + 1} \right)$
4. Coefficient of determination: $R^2 = 1 - SSE / S_{YY}$

Estimating response

1. Mean response confidence interval:
 $\hat{y}_0 \pm t_{n-2, \alpha/2} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{1/n + (x_0 - \bar{x})^2 / S_{XX}}$
2. Individual value Y_0 confidence interval:
 $\hat{y}_0 \pm t_{n-2, \alpha/2} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{1 + 1/n + (x_0 - \bar{x})^2 / S_{XX}}$

Residual Analysis

1. Assumptions: ϵ_i are independent, $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$, $\text{var}(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$, $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
2. Check Normality with QQ plot and histogram of the studentized residuals, which have mean 0, all residuals should lie within 3 std deviations.
3. Check $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$ by plotting studentized residuals against fitted values. Points should have equal variance and zero mean, i.e. evenly distributed.

Polynomial Regression

$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \dots + \beta_p x_i^p \epsilon_i$, not all intermediate powers need be present.

Higher-order terms are specified using the $I(\cdot)$ function in R.

1. Test that the quadratic term is zero: $\mathcal{H}_0 : \beta_2 = 0$.
2. If rejected, use linear and quadratic terms in model.
3. If not rejected, there is no evidence that the quadratic model gives significant improvement over the linear model.

Multiple Regression (2+ covariates)

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik} + \epsilon_i$$

The model is linear in the parameters (β_i), not necessarily in the covariates (x_i). Same assumptions are made about the residuals.

β_j is the change in the mean of Y_i for a 1 unit increase of x_{ij} when holding all other variables constant.

1. $\hat{\sigma}^2 = (n - (K + 1))^{-1} \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = SSE / (n - (K + 1))$ where $(K + 1)$ is the number of coefficients β_i in the model.
2. Can test each coefficient individually with same hypothesis as in simple regression. In which case, we test for e.g. β_j after adjusting for all other variables.
3. Confidence interval for β_j : $\hat{\beta}_j \pm t_{n-(K+1), \alpha/2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{\beta}_j}$

4. Global Fit

$$R_a^2 = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-(K+1)} \left(\frac{SSE}{S_{YY}} \right) = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-K-1} (1 - R^2)$$

e.g. if $R_a^2 = 0.80$, then we say that the model explains 80% of the variance in Y .

Overall hypothesis:

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}_a : \text{at least one } \beta_j \neq 0$$

$$F_{statistic} = \frac{(S_{YY} - SSE) / K}{SSE / (n - (K + 1))} = \frac{R^2 / K}{(1 - R^2) / (n - (K + 1))}$$

\mathcal{H}_0 is rejected for $F > \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, K, n-(K+1)}$.

Interaction

if an interaction is suspected between X_1 and X_2 , we incorporate the interaction by setting

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i1} x_{i2} + \epsilon_i$$

$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + (\beta_2 + \beta_3 x_{i1}) x_{i2} + \epsilon_i$$

$$= \beta_0 + (\beta_1 + \beta_3 x_{i2}) x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \epsilon_i$$

In the above model, a 1-unit increase in x_2 for a fixed x_1 corresponds to an estimated $\hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3 x_1$ increase in Y_i .

- 1) Fit the model including the covariates and interaction.
- 2) Conduct a global F-test with $\mathcal{H}_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$
- 3) If rejected, test for an interaction by using a Student t-test to test $\mathcal{H}_0 : \beta_3 = 0$. If rejected, stop. Otherwise, re-fit the model without the interaction.

Qualitative

Set $Z_i = 0 \forall i$ for reference group and $Z_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if condition i} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 z_1 + \beta_2 z_2 + \epsilon_i$$

Where $\hat{\beta}_0 = \mu_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1 = \mu_1 - \mu_0$, and $\hat{\beta}_2 = \mu_2 - \mu_0$, and $\mathcal{H}_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0 \iff \mathcal{H}_0 : \mu_2 = \mu_1 = \mu_0$, \mathcal{H}_a : at least 1 $\beta_i \neq 0$

Qualitative and quantitative:

The model is $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 z_i + \beta_2 x_i$.

- 1) $z_i = 0$: $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_i$
- 2) $z_i = 1$: $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i$

So the slope is the same, only y-intercept changes.

Interaction: $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 z_i + \beta_2 x_i + \beta_3 z_1 x_i$. Then, slopes vary:

- 1) $z_i = 0$: $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_i$
- 2) $z_i = 1$: $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + (\beta_2 + \beta_3) x_i$

Where $\beta_1 + \beta_3 x_i$ is the difference in Y between $z_i = 1$ and $z_i = 0$. Should always test for existence of an interaction. If no evidence to reject \mathcal{H}_0 of no interaction, must re-fit model without interaction. If evidence of interaction, slopes are different and interpret the results accordingly.

Comparing Nested Models

M_0 and M_1 are nested models if one contains a subset of the other. $M_0 = \beta_0 + \dots + \beta_g x_g$, $M_1 = M_0 + \beta_{g+1} x_{g+1} + \dots + \beta_k x_k$

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : \beta_{g+1} x_{g+1} = \dots = \beta_k x_k = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}_a : \text{at least 1 } \beta_i \neq 0$$

Note: we always have $SSE_{M_0} \geq SSE_{M_1}$.

1) $SSE_{M_0} - SSE_{M_1}$ large $\implies M_1$ explains more variance than just using M_0 .

2) $SSE_{M_0} - SSE_{M_1}$ small \implies additional terms in M_1 don't contribute to model fit.

To determine how "large" the difference is:

$$F = \frac{(SSE_{M_0} - SSE_{M_1}) / (k - g)}{SSE_{M_1} / (n - (k + 1))}$$

We reject \mathcal{H}_0 if $F > \mathcal{F}(\alpha, k - g, n - (k + 1))$

Multicollinearity

When two covariates in a regression analysis are highly correlated with each other (their coefficient of correlation is high), the analysis is said to be subject to multicollinearity. The covariates "compete" for the explanatory power in the association with the response.

Multinomial Distribution

One qualitative variable C can take k possible values $\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$. Let X_i the # of times c_i occurs. The set of X_i has a multinomial distribution.

$$P(X_1 = n_1, \dots, X_k = n_k) = \frac{n!}{n_1! \dots n_k!} p_1^{n_1} \dots p_k^{n_k}$$

where $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$. $E(X_i) = np_i$.

Chi-square

$\mathcal{H}_0 : p_1 = p_1^*, \dots, p_k = p_k^* \quad \mathcal{H}_a : p_i \neq p_i^*$ for at least one i

Given by Pearson's chi-square statistic :

$$X_{obs}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(n_i - np_i^*)^2}{np_i^*} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

Where O_i := observed and E_i := expected. Distribution of χ^2 under \mathcal{H}_0 is $\chi_{(k-1)}^2$, $(k-1)$:= (deg. of freedom). Given α , $RR = \{X_{obs}^2 > \chi_{\alpha, (k-1)}^2\}$ and $p = Pr\{\chi_{(k-1)}^2 > X_{obs}^2\}$. Every expected count must be ≥ 5 for this test.