# ASSIGNMENT 1 – COMP 252

# Alexandre St-Aubin

# January 11, 2024

Exercise 1 Consider a Fibonacci sequence starting with  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $x_1 = 1$ . For positive integers k and n, we would like to compute  $x_n \mod k$  and are using the ram model of computation in which standard arithmetic operations, including "mod" take constant time. Describe how you would proceed in two cases: (1) k = 627, (2) k = n. In both cases, give your complexity in  $O(\cdot)$ .

#### Solution:

Case (1): It is known that for any integer k, the sequence of *Fibonacci* numbers modulo k has a period. Let  $\pi(k)$ , the *Pisano Period*, denote the length of this period. A list of the *Fibonacci* sequence modulo 627 of length  $\pi(627)$  can be computed beforehand.

## **Algorithm 1:** Find the Fibonacci sequence number $x_n$ modulo 627.

**input**: The Pisano Period *pisanoPeriod* for k = 627, an array *fibSeqMod* of the first *pisanoPeriod* integers of the Fibonacci sequence modulo 627, and the index n of the Fibonacci sequence.

output:  $x_n \mod 627$ 

1  $x \leftarrow n \mod pisanoPeriod$ 

2 return fibSeqMod[x]

Note that in this first case, the Pisano period and in particular, the first  $\pi(627)$  elements modulo 627 of the Fibonacci sequence are constant, no matter the input n. It follows that the time complexity is

O(1)

Case (2):

# Algorithm 2: Fibonacci Modulo N

```
1 Function fib((n)):
2 F \leftarrow [[1,1],[1,0]];
 3 if n == 0 then
 4 return 0;
 5 power((F, n-1));
6 return F[0][0];
7 Function multiply((F, M)):
8 x \leftarrow F[0][0] \cdot M[0][0] + F[0][1] \cdot M[1][0];
9 y \leftarrow F[0][0] \cdot M[0][1] + F[0][1] \cdot M[1][1];
10 z \leftarrow F[1][0] \cdot M[0][0] + F[1][1] \cdot M[1][0];
11 w \leftarrow F[1][0] \cdot M[0][1] + F[1][1] \cdot M[1][1];
12 F[0][0] \leftarrow x;
13 F[0][1] \leftarrow y;
14 F[1][0] \leftarrow z;
15 F[1][1] \leftarrow w;
16 Function power ((F,n)):
17 if n == 0 or n == 1 then
    return;
19 M \leftarrow [[1,1],[1,0]];
20 power((F, n//2));
21 multiply((F,F));
22 if n is odd then
       multiply((F,M));
```

Exercise 2 In the bit model of computation, give an efficient algorithm for determining whether a given integer n is a perfect square, and determine its worst-case complexity in big oh notation as a function of n.

Solution:

## **Algorithm 3:** Check whether an integer *n* is a perfect square.

```
input: An integer n.
   output: True or False.
1 left \leftarrow 1
2 right \leftarrow n
3 while left \leq right do
       mid \leftarrow left + |(right - left)/2|
       mid\_squared = mid * mid
5
       if n = mid\_squared then
 6
           return True
 7
       else
8
           if n < mid\_squared then
 9
               right \leftarrow mid - 1
10
           else if n > mid\_squared then
11
               left \leftarrow mid + 1
12
13 return False
```

We now determine the above algorithm's worst case time complexity in the bit model, denoting it  $T_n$ . In the worst case, line 3 will run  $\log_2 n$  times, let i be the iteration number. We safely estimate that in the worst case, at iteration i, we have,

$$|mid| = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the bit length of an integer. Now, we don't need to worry about the additions in each iteration, as they take linear time and are dwarfed by the multiplications/divisions, which take quadratic time. Hence, we conclude that the worst case time complexity in the bit model for this algorithm is

$$T_n = O(\underbrace{\log_2 n}_{\text{# of iterations}} \times \underbrace{(\log_2 n)^2}_{\text{multiplication}}) = O((\log_2 n)^3)$$

Exercise 3 Assuming a RAM (uniform cost) model of computation, design a recursive divide-and-conquer style O(n) worst-case time algorithm for the following problem. We are given an array x[1],...,x[n] of (possibly negative) integers, and are asked to find two indices  $i \le j$  such that x[i] + ... + x[j] is maximal. Prove your claim.

Solution:

```
Algorithm 4: Maximum Subarray recursive, divide-and-conquer algorithm.
```

```
input: An array of integers arr, and its length len.
  output: Two integers, first, last, representing the first and last index of the maximal subarray.
1 tempSum \leftarrow arr[0]
2 maxSum \leftarrow -∞
j \leftarrow 0
4 first \leftarrow 0
s \ last \leftarrow 0
6 MAXSUBARRAY(1)
7 Function MAXSUBARRAY(i):
       if tempSum + arr[i] > tempSum then
           tempSum \leftarrow tempSum + arr[i]
9
10
       else
           if tempSum > maxSum then
11
               maxSum \leftarrow tempSum
12
               last \leftarrow i - 1
13
               first \leftarrow j
14
           tempSum \leftarrow 0
15
           j \leftarrow i + 1
16
       if i < len then
17
           MaxSubArray(i+1)
18
```

To justify that this algorithm has a worst-case time complexity O(n), one only needs to observe that in any case, the array will be traversed exactly once, performing a constant number of operations at each index.