

# Back-Propagation of a Forward Feed Neural Net via Multivariate Vector Calculus

Purdue University

Computer Science

Alex Aralis

June 9, 2017

## 1 Introduction

A Forward Feed Neural Net (FFNN) is characterized by  $L$  matrices  $\mathbf{W}_l$  where  $L$  is the number of layers in the neural net and  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ , an activations function  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and an error function  $E \in \mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $M$  is the size of the output of the FFNN.

$$\mathbf{W}_l = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & \cdots & w_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{m1} & \cdots & w_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Where  $w_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  is the weighting between the  $j$ th output of layer  $l - 1$  and the output of the  $l$ th layer. These weightings will be referred to using the notation  $[\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}$  to disambiguate the weightings of the layers.

The output of a layer  $l$  is related to the previous layer  $l - 1$  by linear combination and

an activation function  $\varphi$ .

$$\mathbf{n}_l = \mathbf{W}_l \boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1} \quad (2)$$

Where  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}$  is a vector of the outputs from the previous layer and  $\mathbf{n}_l$  is a vector of the precursor linear combinations for  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_l$ .  $\mathbf{n}_l$  is merely an intermediate result useful in later computations. Finally,

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_l = \varphi(\mathbf{n}_l) \quad (3)$$

Where  $\varphi(\mathbf{n}_l)$  is simply the application of the  $\varphi$  on each of the entries in  $\mathbf{n}_l$ . For all FFNN's  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_0$  is the input and  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_L$  is the output. Using (1-3) is enough to evaluate inputs to the FFNN.

The error function  $E$ , an output  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_L$ , and the desired output for an input  $\mathbf{t}_{\omega_0}$  determine the error of the FFNN for an input  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_0$ . Differentiating  $E$  with respect to the weights of each layer  $[\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}$  provides the information needed to "teach" the FFNN.

## 2 Problem Statement

It would be nice if,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{W}_l} \quad (4)$$

could be evaluated directly. Let's try with the outer most layer's weights.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{W}_L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{W}_L} [E(\varphi(\mathbf{W}_L \boldsymbol{\omega}_{L-1}))] \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{W}_L} [E(\varphi(\mathbf{n}_L))] \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{\partial E}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}_L} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}_L}{\partial \mathbf{n}_L} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_L}{\partial \mathbf{W}_L} \quad (7)$$

This looks promising.

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_L}{\partial \mathbf{W}_L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{W}_L} [\mathbf{W}_L \boldsymbol{\omega}_{L-1}] \quad (8)$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{L-1} \quad (9)$$

Hmm. However differentiation of a vector with respect to a matrix is not well defined. The other partial differentials are more easily evaluated.

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}_L}{\partial \mathbf{n}_L} = \left[ \frac{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_i}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_L]_j} \right] \quad (10)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_1}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_L]_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_N}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_L]_N} \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_L]_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_L]_N) \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

$\forall i, j$  s.t.  $i \neq j$ ,  $\frac{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_i}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_L]_j} = 0$  because  $[\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_i = \varphi([\mathbf{n}_L]_i)$  does not depend on  $[\mathbf{n}_L]_j$  making  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}_L}{\partial \mathbf{n}_L}$  diagonal, also  $\varphi'$  must exist.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}_L} = \left[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_1} \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_2} \cdots \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_N} \right] \quad (13)$$

$$= \left[ E'([\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_1) E'([\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_2) \cdots E'([\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_N) \right] \quad (14)$$

Here we see  $E$  must be differentiable as well. Putting it all back together we get,

$$\begin{bmatrix} E'([\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_1) E'([\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_2) \cdots E'([\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_N) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_L]_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_L]_N) \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{L-1} \quad (15)$$

However this evaluates to a scalar, which cannot be correct, because we are looking for  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}} \forall i, j$ . Perhaps there is some higher dimensional treatment of differentiation by a matrix that could yield better results, however instead I will attempt to work backwards from the end goal.

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}_l = \left[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}} \right] \quad (16)$$

and to be complete the proposed extension of vector differentiation to matrix differentiation is,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{W}_l} = \left[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}} \right]^T = \boldsymbol{\Delta}_l^T \quad (17)$$

Now evaluation can proceed from the perspective of  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}}$  and factoring  $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_l$  can be done at a later stage.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_l]_i} \frac{\partial [\mathbf{n}_l]_i}{\partial [\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}} \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_l]_i} [\boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}]_j \quad (19)$$

Now things become slightly more interesting, because  $\mathbf{n}_l$  depends on all the previous

layers, differentiation is not simple.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_l]_i} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}}{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_l]_i} \frac{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_l]_i}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_l]_i} \quad (20)$$

$$= \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}}{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_l]_i} \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_l]_i) \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}} [\mathbf{W}_{l+1}]_{*i} \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_l]_i) \quad (22)$$

$$= \left[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_{l+1}]_1} \cdots \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_{l+1}]_N} \right] [\mathbf{W}_{l+1}]_{*i} \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_l]_i) \quad (23)$$

$$(24)$$

So  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_l]_i}$  can be obtained if  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}}$  is known. What about the base case, the outermost layer  $L$ ?

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_L]_i} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_i} \frac{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_i}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_L]_i} \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_i} \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_L]_i) \quad (26)$$

$$= E'([\boldsymbol{\omega}_L]_i) \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_L]_i) \quad (27)$$

In the outermost case,  $E$  takes  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_L$  directly, instead of in the context of a larger composition. This means that  $E'$  with respect to one of the elements of  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_L$  is a very natural process. In order to reach the  $l$ th layer inductively using these formulas requires solving for each entry of  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_L}$  individually. It would be much less clumsy to solve simultaneously.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}_l} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}_l}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l} \quad (28)$$

Our old friend  $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}_l}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l}$  has turned back up. Luckily, we can reuse our work from (12). Might

as well give it a name while we are at it.

$$\Psi_l = \frac{\partial \omega_l}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l} \quad (29)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_l]_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \varphi'([\mathbf{n}_l]_N) \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

Next up is,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}}{\partial \omega_l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_l} [\mathbf{W}_{l+1} \omega_l] \quad (31)$$

$$= \mathbf{W}_{l+1} \quad (32)$$

Shockingly convenient.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_{l+1}} \mathbf{W}_{l+1} \Psi_l \quad (33)$$

Now the entire recurrence relation is stated in one equations. Finally a few finishing touches for clarity.

$$\delta_l = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l} \quad (34)$$

$$\delta_L = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \omega_L} \Psi_L \quad (35)$$

$$\delta_{l-1} = \delta_l \mathbf{W}_l \Psi_{l-1} \quad (36)$$

(35) can be used to propagate inward starting from the outermost layer  $L$  of the FFNN. And to solve to original problem,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{n}_l]_i} = [\boldsymbol{\delta}_l]_i \quad (37)$$

and,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}} = [\boldsymbol{\delta}_l]_i [\boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}]_j \quad (38)$$

therefore,

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}_l = \left[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial [\mathbf{W}_l]_{ij}} \right] \quad (39)$$

$$= [[\boldsymbol{\delta}_l]_i [\boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}]_j] \quad (40)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} [\boldsymbol{\delta}_l]_1 [\boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}]_1 & \cdots & [\boldsymbol{\delta}_l]_1 [\boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}]_N \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ [\boldsymbol{\delta}_l]_M [\boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}]_1 & \cdots & [\boldsymbol{\delta}_l]_M [\boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}]_N \end{bmatrix} \quad (41)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\delta}_l^T \boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}^T \quad (42)$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1} \boldsymbol{\delta}_l)^T \quad (43)$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_l}{\partial \mathbf{W}_l} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l} \right)^T \quad (44)$$

$$= \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{W}_l}^T \quad (45)$$

Which is what we wanted in (17). Strangely the chain rule ordering is exchanged.

Lastly, the entire update formula for  $\mathbf{W}_l$  is,

$$\mathbf{W}'_l = \mathbf{W}_l - \eta \boldsymbol{\Delta}_l \quad (46)$$

where  $\eta$  is the learning rate.

### 3 Reframing

So we have the result, however the path to the solution was somehow unsatisfying. Consider this restatement,

$$\Delta_l = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{W}_l}^T = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{W}_l^T} \quad (47)$$

That's interesting, but  $\mathbf{W}_l^T$  does not appear anywhere in the FFNN model. So,

$$\mathbf{n}_l^T = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}^T \mathbf{W}_l^T \quad (48)$$

Notice  $\mathbf{n}_l^T$  is produced with a matrix multiplication where  $\mathbf{W}_l^T$  is on the right. This suggests a different chain rule decomposition.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{W}_l^T} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l^T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_l^T}{\partial \mathbf{W}_l^T} \quad (49)$$

$$= \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l^T} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}^T \quad (50)$$

$$= \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{n}_l}^T \boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}^T \quad (51)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\delta}_l^T \boldsymbol{\omega}_{l-1}^T \quad (52)$$

$$= \Delta_l \quad (53)$$

The same result, but without the chain rule inversion and now the result of differentiation is directly equal to  $\Delta_l$ , the desired result.