Cryptography: Public Keys and Euclidean Algorithm

Alex Corner

Sheffield Hallam University

▶ We've looked at the encryption standards DES and AES. DES is now insecure, but AES is very much in use and believed to be uncrackable for the time being.

- ▶ We've looked at the encryption standards DES and AES. DES is now insecure, but AES is very much in use and believed to be uncrackable for the time being.
- Even with the most secure cryptographic algorithms, we still have a problem that we haven't dealt with yet:

- We've looked at the encryption standards DES and AES. DES is now insecure, but AES is very much in use and believed to be uncrackable for the time being.
- ► Even with the most secure cryptographic algorithms, we still have a problem that we haven't dealt with yet: how do we securely transmit keys in order to use these algorithms?

- ▶ We've looked at the encryption standards DES and AES. DES is now insecure, but AES is very much in use and believed to be uncrackable for the time being.
- Even with the most secure cryptographic algorithms, we still have a problem that we haven't dealt with yet: how do we securely transmit keys in order to use these algorithms?
- ▶ This is where **public key cryptography** comes into play.

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange



Alice and Bob want to securely communicate using AES-128, but are having trouble with securely communicating a shared private key.

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange



- Alice and Bob want to securely communicate using AES-128, but are having trouble with securely communicating a shared private key.
- ► Ralph Merkle has the solution: public key exchange.

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange



- Alice and Bob want to securely communicate using AES-128, but are having trouble with securely communicating a shared private key.
- ► Ralph Merkle has the solution: public key exchange.
- ► The idea was communicated by Whitfield Diffie and Martin Hellman in 1976.



- Alice and Bob want to securely communicate using AES-128, but are having trouble with securely communicating a shared private key.
- ► Ralph Merkle has the solution: public key exchange.
- ► The idea was communicated by Whitfield Diffie and Martin Hellman in 1976.



In 1997 the British Government declassified documents pertaining to public key exchange protocols.



- In 1997 the British Government declassified documents pertaining to public key exchange protocols.
- James Ellis had similar thoughts about key exchange and these were further developed by Clifford Cocks and Malcolm Williamson (pictured) while they worked at GCHQ.



- In 1997 the British Government declassified documents pertaining to public key exchange protocols.
- James Ellis had similar thoughts about key exchange and these were further developed by Clifford Cocks and Malcolm Williamson (pictured) while they worked at GCHQ.
- This type of story is common in the history of cryptography.

Diffie-Hellman-Merkle-Ellis-Cocks-Williamson Key Exchange



- In 1997 the British Government declassified documents pertaining to public key exchange protocols.
- James Ellis had similar thoughts about key exchange and these were further developed by Clifford Cocks and Malcolm Williamson (pictured) while they worked at GCHQ.
- This type of story is common in the history of cryptography.

▶ Alice and Bob want to securely communicate using AES-128, but are having trouble with securely communicating a shared private key.

- ▶ Alice and Bob want to securely communicate using AES-128, but are having trouble with securely communicating a shared private key.
- ▶ Diffie and Hellman articulated a version of Merkle's idea as follows:

- ▶ Alice and Bob want to securely communicate using AES-128, but are having trouble with securely communicating a shared private key.
- Diffie and Hellman articulated a version of Merkle's idea as follows:
 - Alice and Bob agree on a prime number p and a generator α .
 - ► Their calculations are performed modulo *p*.
 - \blacktriangleright Alice secretly chooses a number x and Bob secretly chooses a number y.

- ▶ Alice and Bob want to securely communicate using AES-128, but are having trouble with securely communicating a shared private key.
- Diffie and Hellman articulated a version of Merkle's idea as follows:
 - ightharpoonup Alice and Bob agree on a prime number p and a generator α .
 - ► Their calculations are performed modulo *p*.
 - \triangleright Alice secretly chooses a number x and Bob secretly chooses a number y.
 - Alice calculates $\alpha^x \mod p$ and Bob calculates $\alpha^y \mod p$: these values are then exchanged.

- ▶ Alice and Bob want to securely communicate using AES-128, but are having trouble with securely communicating a shared private key.
- ▶ Diffie and Hellman articulated a version of Merkle's idea as follows:
 - ightharpoonup Alice and Bob agree on a prime number p and a generator α .
 - ► Their calculations are performed modulo *p*.
 - Alice secretly chooses a number x and Bob secretly chooses a number y.
 - ightharpoonup Alice calculates $\alpha^x \mod p$ and Bob calculates $\alpha^y \mod p$: these values are then exchanged.
 - Alice then finds $(\alpha^y)^x \mod p$ and Bob finds $(\alpha^x)^y \mod p$: this means that Alice and Bob now both have a number $\alpha^{xy} \mod p$ without ever sharing their secret values x and y.

▶ Suppose that Alice and Bob agree to use the prime p = 7 and the generator $\alpha = 3$.

- ▶ Suppose that Alice and Bob agree to use the prime p = 7 and the generator $\alpha = 3$.
- Now suppose Alice chooses x = 2 and Bob chooses y = 5.

- ▶ Suppose that Alice and Bob agree to use the prime p = 7 and the generator $\alpha = 3$.
- Now suppose Alice chooses x = 2 and Bob chooses y = 5.
- Alice calculates $\alpha^x = 3^2 = 9 = 2 \mod 7$ and Bob calculates $\alpha^y = 3^5 = 243 = 5 \mod 7$.

- ▶ Suppose that Alice and Bob agree to use the prime p = 7 and the generator $\alpha = 3$.
- Now suppose Alice chooses x = 2 and Bob chooses y = 5.
- Alice calculates $\alpha^x = 3^2 = 9 = 2 \mod 7$ and Bob calculates $\alpha^y = 3^5 = 243 = 5 \mod 7$.
- ▶ Alice and Bob exchange these values.

- ▶ Suppose that Alice and Bob agree to use the prime p = 7 and the generator $\alpha = 3$.
- Now suppose Alice chooses x = 2 and Bob chooses y = 5.
- Alice calculates $\alpha^x = 3^2 = 9 = 2 \mod 7$ and Bob calculates $\alpha^y = 3^5 = 243 = 5 \mod 7$.
- ► Alice and Bob exchange these values.
- Alice finds

$$(\alpha^y)^x = 5^2 = 25 = 4 \mod 7.$$

- ▶ Suppose that Alice and Bob agree to use the prime p = 7 and the generator $\alpha = 3$.
- Now suppose Alice chooses x = 2 and Bob chooses y = 5.
- Alice calculates $\alpha^x = 3^2 = 9 = 2 \mod 7$ and Bob calculates $\alpha^y = 3^5 = 243 = 5 \mod 7$.
- ► Alice and Bob exchange these values.
- Alice finds

$$(\alpha^y)^x = 5^2 = 25 = 4 \mod 7.$$

► Bob finds

$$(\alpha^x)^y = 2^5 = 32 = 4 \mod 7.$$

- ▶ Suppose that Alice and Bob agree to use the prime p = 7 and the generator $\alpha = 3$.
- Now suppose Alice chooses x = 2 and Bob chooses y = 5.
- Alice calculates $\alpha^x = 3^2 = 9 = 2 \mod 7$ and Bob calculates $\alpha^y = 3^5 = 243 = 5 \mod 7$.
- ► Alice and Bob exchange these values.
- Alice finds

$$(\alpha^y)^x = 5^2 = 25 = 4 \mod 7.$$

► Bob finds

$$(\alpha^x)^y = 2^5 = 32 = 4 \mod 7.$$

▶ Alice and Bob now share a secret value and can use this to generate their private key.



Key exchange was a great innovation, but another idea about public key cryptography was quick to follow.



- Key exchange was a great innovation, but another idea about public key cryptography was quick to follow.
- ► What if we let everybody know the encryption key, but kept another key secret which was only used for decryption?



- Key exchange was a great innovation, but another idea about public key cryptography was quick to follow.
- What if we let everybody know the encryption key, but kept another key secret which was only used for decryption?
- Various cryptographers had this idea and knew it would rely on finding a mathematical function which was one-way: many inputs could produce the same output.



RSA was first publicly described in 1977: United States Patent US4405829A. The authors were Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir, and Len Adleman, working at MIT at the time.



- RSA was first publicly described in 1977: United States Patent US4405829A. The authors were Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir, and Len Adleman, working at MIT at the time.
- (An equivalent method was developed by Clifford Cocks in 1973, again at GCHQ, but this wasn't declassified until 1997.)



- RSA was first publicly described in 1977: United States Patent US4405829A. The authors were Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir, and Len Adleman, working at MIT at the time.
- (An equivalent method was developed by Clifford Cocks in 1973, again at GCHQ, but this wasn't declassified until 1997.)
- The scheme depends on large prime numbers, modular arithmetic, and the inherent difficulty in factorising large products.

 \blacktriangleright Let p be a **prime number**: a number which is divisible only by itself and by 1.

- Let p be a **prime number**: a number which is divisible only by itself and by 1.
- ▶ We do not include the number 1 in this definition.

- Let p be a **prime number**: a number which is divisible only by itself and by 1.
- ▶ We do not include the number 1 in this definition.
- ▶ The first few primes are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31,

- \blacktriangleright Let p be a **prime number**: a number which is divisible only by itself and by 1.
- ▶ We do not include the number 1 in this definition.
- ▶ The first few primes are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31,
- An integer a is **coprime** to p if the **highest common factor** of a and p is 1: hcf(a, p) = 1.

- Let p be a **prime number**: a number which is divisible only by itself and by 1.
- ▶ We do not include the number 1 in this definition.
- ▶ The first few primes are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31,
- An integer a is **coprime** to p if the **highest common factor** of a and p is 1: hcf(a, p) = 1. Also known as the **greatest common divisor**.

- \blacktriangleright Let p be a **prime number**: a number which is divisible only by itself and by 1.
- ▶ We do not include the number 1 in this definition.
- ▶ The first few primes are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31,
- An integer a is coprime to p if the highest common factor of a and p is 1: hcf(a, p) = 1. Also known as the greatest common divisor.
- ► E.g., hcf(105, 195) = 15 since $105 = 3 \times 5 \times 7$ and $195 = 3 \times 5 \times 13$.

- Let p be a **prime number**: a number which is divisible only by itself and by 1.
- ▶ We do not include the number 1 in this definition.
- ▶ The first few primes are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31,
- An integer a is **coprime** to p if the **highest common factor** of a and p is 1: hcf(a, p) = 1. Also known as the **greatest common divisor**.
- ▶ E.g., hcf(105, 195) = 15 since $105 = 3 \times 5 \times 7$ and $195 = 3 \times 5 \times 13$.
- Let n be a non-negative integer. The number of integers less than n which are coprime to n is written as $\varphi(n)$. The function φ is known as **Euler's totient function**.

- Let p be a **prime number**: a number which is divisible only by itself and by 1.
- ▶ We do not include the number 1 in this definition.
- ▶ The first few primes are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31,
- An integer a is **coprime** to p if the **highest common factor** of a and p is 1: hcf(a, p) = 1. Also known as the **greatest common divisor**.
- ▶ E.g., hcf(105, 195) = 15 since $105 = 3 \times 5 \times 7$ and $195 = 3 \times 5 \times 13$.
- Let n be a non-negative integer. The number of integers less than n which are coprime to n is written as $\varphi(n)$. The function φ is known as **Euler's totient function**.
- ▶ E.g., $\varphi(6) = 2$ since only 1 and 5 are less than 6 and coprime to 6. Also $\varphi(10) = 4$: 1, 3, 7, and 9 are coprime to 10.

- Let p be a **prime number**: a number which is divisible only by itself and by 1.
- ▶ We do not include the number 1 in this definition.
- ▶ The first few primes are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31,
- An integer a is **coprime** to p if the **highest common factor** of a and p is 1: hcf(a, p) = 1. Also known as the **greatest common divisor**.
- ▶ E.g., hcf(105, 195) = 15 since $105 = 3 \times 5 \times 7$ and $195 = 3 \times 5 \times 13$.
- Let n be a non-negative integer. The number of integers less than n which are coprime to n is written as $\varphi(n)$. The function φ is known as **Euler's totient function**.
- ▶ E.g., $\varphi(6) = 2$ since only 1 and 5 are less than 6 and coprime to 6. Also $\varphi(10) = 4$: 1, 3, 7, and 9 are coprime to 10.
- ▶ This is easy to compute for prime numbers: $\varphi(p) = p 1$. And for products of primes: $\varphi(pq) = (p-1)(q-1)$.

RSA: Implementation

▶ We'll look at how RSA actually works next week!

Euclidean Algorithm

How could we easily check that the highest common factor of e = 5 and a = 72 is actually equal to 1?

Euclidean Algorithm

How could we easily check that the highest common factor of e=5 and a=72 is actually equal to 1?

$$72 = 14 \times 5 + 2$$
$$5 = 2 \times 2 + 1$$
$$2 = 2 \times 1 + 0$$

Euclidean Algorithm

How could we easily check that the highest common factor of e=5 and a=72 is actually equal to 1?

$$72 = 14 \times 5 + 2$$
$$5 = 2 \times 2 + 1$$
$$2 = 2 \times 1 + 0$$

The least number before 0 is the highest common factor. Here this is 1, so e and a are coprime.

Euclidean Algorithm: Example

Here's another example of the Euclidean Algorithm to show that hcf(72, 26) = 2.

$$72 = 2 \times 26 + 20$$
$$26 = 1 \times 20 + 6$$
$$20 = 3 \times 6 + 2$$
$$6 = 3 \times 2 + 0$$

Diophantine Equations

Often in mathematical applications we want to find solutions to equations such as

$$72a + 5b = c$$
.

When we implement RSA we will need to do something similar, except we will need each of a, b, and c to be integers. These types of equations where we are interested in integer solutions are called **Diophantine equations**.

At each stage, the pair of coordinates (a, b) corresponding to a number c in the table tells us that 72a + 5b = c. E.g., the pair (2, -28) corresponds to the number 4 in the table, so we know that $72 \times 2 + 5 \times (-28) = 4$.

At each stage, the pair of coordinates (a,b) corresponding to a number c in the table tells us that 72a+5b=c. E.g., the pair (2,-28) corresponds to the number 4 in the table, so we know that $72\times 2+5\times (-28)=4$. Similarly, we know that $72\times (-2)+5\times 29=1$.

At each stage, the pair of coordinates (a, b) corresponding to a number c in the table tells us that 72a + 5b = c. E.g., the pair (2, -28) corresponds to the number 4 in the table, so we know that $72 \times 2 + 5 \times (-28) = 4$. Similarly, we know that $72 \times (-2) + 5 \times 29 = 1$. There is only ever a solution to xa + yb = c if c is a multiple of hcf(x, y).

Are there any solutions to the equation 180x + 7y = 1?

Are there any solutions to the equation 180x + 7y = 1?

Are there any solutions to the equation 180x + 7y = 1?

Yes!

Are there any solutions to the equation 180x + 7y = 1?

Yes! Take x = 3 and y = -77. Then

$$180 \times 3 + 7 \times (-77) = 1.$$

Let's do some more examples!

Tutorials

In the tutorial this week we will:

- Create a spreadsheet to handle Extended Euclidean Algorithm calculations.
- ▶ Practice performing the Euclidean Algorithm by hand and checking it with the spreadsheet.