



CS4379: Parallel and Concurrent Programming CS5379: Parallel Processing

Lecture 5

Dr. Yong Chen
Associate Professor
Computer Science Department
Texas Tech University





Course Info

Lecture Time: TR, 12:30-1:50

Lecture Location: ECE 217

Sessions: CS4379-001, CS4379-002, CS5379-001, CS5379-D01

Instructor: Yong Chen, Ph.D., Associate Professor

Email: yong.chen@ttu.edu

Phone: 806-834-0284

Office: Engineering Center 315

Office Hours: 2-4 p.m. on Wed., or by appointment

TA: Mr. Ghazanfar Ali, Ghazanfar.Ali@ttu.edu

TA Office hours: Tue. and Fri., 2-3 p.m., or by appointment

TA Office: EC 201 A

More info:

http://www.myweb.ttu.edu/yonchen

http://discl.cs.ttu.edu; http://cac.ttu.edu/; http://nsfcac.org





Announcements/Reminders

- Assignment #1 posted and due in one week
 - Due on 2/6, Thur., 12:30 p.m.
 - Please submit a soft copy via Blackboard (preferred) or a hard copy in class





Outline

- Questions?
- Parallelism
 - Different flavors
 - Data dependence
 - Control dependence
 - Parallelization





Parallelism

 Ability to execute different parts of a program concurrently on different processors

Goals:

- Solves a problem concurrently
- Reduces the time to solution (speedup)
- Solves larger problems





Flavors of Parallelism

- Two primary types of parallelism: data parallelism and task parallelism
- Data parallelism:
 - Identical operations operate on data items concurrently to solve a problem
- Task parallelism:
 - Independent tasks (non-identical operations) operate on data items concurrently to solve a problem



Data and Task Parallelization

Data parallel:

```
for (i=0;i<1000;i++)
a[i]=b[i]+c[i];
```

Suitable for SIMD architecture

Task parallel:

```
for (i=0;i<1000;i++) /*block 1 */
b[i+1]=b[i]+c[i]
...
for (j=0;j<5;j++) /*block 2*/
a[j+1]=a[j]+d[j];
```

Suitable for MIMD architecture



Coarse and Fine Grain Parallelism

 Grain size categorizes amount of compute work done in relation to communication, i.e., the ratio of computation to the amount of communication

Fine grain

- Small computation in terms of code size and execution time
- Frequent communications
- Better parallelism and load balance, but greater overheads of synchronization and communication
- Coarse grain: opposite
 - Larger computations, infrequent communications, smaller overheads, less parallelism, more likely load imbalance
- Need a balance to attain the best performance



Dependence & Parallelization

Consider the following loop of a C program

```
for (i=0;i<1000;i++)
a[i]=b[i]+c[i]
```

If one unfolds the loops, the statements would be executed as follows:

```
a[0]=b[0]+c[0];
a[1]=b[1]+c[1];
.....
a[999]=b[999]+c[999];
```

Can each iteration be executed in parallel?



On one processor:

```
statement 1;
statement 2;
```

On two processors:

```
processor1: processor2:
    statement1; statement2;
```



Possibility 1

Processor1: statement1;

Possibility 2

Processor1:

statement1;

Processor2:

statement2;

Processor2:

statement2:

time

time



- Their order of execution must not matter!
- In other words, statement1; statement2;

must be equivalent to

statement2; statement1;





$$a = 1;$$







$$a = 1;$$







$$b = a;$$







$$a = 1;$$







Data Dependence

Assuming statement S1 and S2, S2 depends on S1 if:
 [O(S1) ∩ I(S2)] ∪ [I(S1) ∩ O(S2)] ∪ [O(S1) ∩ O(S2)] ≠ Ø

where:

I(Si) is the set of memory locations read by Si and O(Sj) is the set of memory locations written by Sj and there is a feasible run-time execution path from S1 to S2

Three cases



True dependence

Statements S1, S2

S2 has a true dependence on S1 if $O(S1) \cap I(S2) \neq \emptyset$

S1 has a write and is followed by a read of the same location in S2 (read after write)

$$a = 1;$$

$$b = a;$$



Anti-dependence

Statements S1, S2.

S2 has an anti-dependence on S1 if $I(S1) \cap O(S2) \neq \emptyset$, mirror relationship of true dependence

S1 has a read and is followed by a write to the same location in S2 (write after read)

$$b = a;$$



Output Dependence

Statements S1, S2.

S2 has an output dependence on S1 if $O(S1) \cap O(S2) \neq \emptyset$

S1 has a write and is followed by a write to the same location in S2 (write after write)

$$a = 1;$$

$$a = 2;$$





Removing Dependences

- Some dependences can be removed
 - Name dependences: when two instructions use the same register or memory location, but no flow of data between the instructions
 - Anti-dependences
 - Output dependences



Removing Anti-dependence

- An anti-dependence is an example of a name dependence
 - That is, renaming variables could remove the dependence

- A new variable, B2, has been declared as a copy of B in a new instruction, instruction N
- The anti-dependence between 2 and 3 has been removed, meaning that these instructions may now be executed in parallel
- However, the modification has introduced a new dependence: instruction 2 is now truly dependent on instruction N, which is truly dependent upon instruction 1.



Removing Output Dependence

- As with anti-dependencies, output dependencies are also name dependencies
 - □ That is, they may be removed through renaming of variables, as in the below modification of the above example:





Most parallelism occurs in loops



```
for(i=0; i<100; i++) {
    a[i] = i;
    b[i] = 2*i;
}</pre>
```























Loop-carried dependence

- A loop-carried dependence is a dependence between instructions from different iterations of a loop
- Otherwise, we call it a loop-independent code
- Loop-carried dependences prevent loop iteration parallelization
- Loop-carried dependences can some times be removed with loop interchange





```
for(i=0; i<100; i++)
for(j=1; j<100; j++)
a[i][j] = f(a[i][j-1]);
No!
```





```
for(j=1; j<100; j++)

for(i=0; i<100; i++)

a[i][j] = f(a[i][j-1]);

Yes for i loop!
```



Control Dependence

- An instruction is control dependent on a preceding instruction if the outcome of latter determines whether former should be executed or not
- S2 is control dependent on instruction S1. However, S3 is not control dependent upon S1
 - □ S1. if (a == b)
 - □ S2. a = a + b
 - □ S3. b = a + b





Control Dependence

- Intuitively, there is control dependence b.t. statements S1 and S2 if
 - S1 could be possibly executed before S2
 - The outcome of S1 will determine whether S2 will be executed
- A typical example is that there is control dependence between if statement's condition part and the statements in the corresponding true/false bodies



S1 and S2 can execute in parallel

iff?

there are no dependences between S1 and S2

- True dependences
- Anti-dependences
- Output dependences
- Loop-carried dependences
- Control dependences





Parallelization

- Parallelizing compilers analyze program dependences to decide parallelization
- In parallelization by hand, user does the same analysis

- Compiler more convenient and more accurate
- User more powerful, can analyze more patterns





To remember

- Statement order must not matter.
- Statements must not have dependences.
- Some dependences can be removed.
- Some dependences may not be obvious.





Questions?

Questions/Suggestions/Comments are always welcome!

Write me: yong.chen@ttu.edu

Call me: 806-834-0284

See me: ENGCTR 315

If you write me an email for this class, please start the email subject with [CS4379] or [CS5379].