### Chapter 8: Operators on Complex Vector Spaces

Linear Algebra Done Right (4th Edition), by Sheldon Axler Last updated: September 4, 2024

### Contents

8A: Generalized Eigenvectors and Nilpotent Operators 8A Problem Sets	<b>2</b>
8B: Generalized Eigenspace Decomposition  8B Problem Sets	7 9 <b>12</b> 13
8C: Consequences of Generalized Eigenspace Decomposition 8C Problem Sets	
8D: Trace: A Connection Between Matrices and Operators 8D Problem Sets	14 15

# 8A: Generalized Eigenvectors and Nilpotent Operators

**Lemma 1** (sequence of increasing null spaces). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then

$$\{0\} = null\ T^0 \subseteq null\ T^1 \subseteq null\ T^2 \cdots \subseteq null\ T^k \subseteq null\ T^{k+1} \cdots$$

**Lemma 2** (equality in the sequence of null spaces). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and m is a nonnegative integer such that

$$null\ T^m = null\ T^{m+1}$$

Then

$$null\ T^m = null\ T^{m+1} = null\ T^{m+2} = \cdots$$

**Lemma 3** (null space stop growing). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then

$$null\ T^{\dim V} = null\ T^{\dim V+1} = null\ T^{\dim V+2} = \cdots$$

**Theorem 4** (V is the direct sum of null  $T^{\dim V}$  and range  $T^{\dim V}$ ). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then

$$V = \operatorname{null} T^{\dim V} \oplus \operatorname{range} T^{\dim V}$$

**Definition 5** (generalized eigenvector). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of T. A vector  $v \in T$  is called a **generalized eigenvector** of T corresponding to  $\lambda$  if  $v \neq 0$  and

$$(T - \lambda I)^k v = 0$$

for some positive integer k.

Remark 6. There is no notion of "generalized eigenvalues" since we do not create new eigenvalues.

**Remark 7.** A nonzero vector  $v \in V$  is a generalized eigenvector of T if and only if  $(T - \lambda I)^{\dim V} v = 0$ 

**Theorem 8** (a basis of generalized eigenvectors). Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then there is a basis of V consisting of generalized eigenvectors of T.

**Proposition 9.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then each generalized eigenvector of T only corresponds to one eigenvalue of T.

**Proposition 10.** Suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then every list of generalized eigenvectors of T corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent.

**Definition 11** (nilpotent). An operator is called **nilpotent** if some powers of it equals 0.

**Remark 12.** An operator is nilpotent if every nonzero vector in V is a generalized eigenvector of T corresponding to eigenvalue 0.

Corollary 13. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is nilpotent. Then  $T^{\dim V} = 0$ .

**Theorem 14** (eigenvalues of nilpotent operator). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ .

- (a) If T is nilpotent, then 0 is an eigenvalue of T and T has no other eigenvalues.
- (b) If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and 0 is the only eigenvalue of T, then T is nilpotent.

**Theorem 15** (minimal polynomial and upper-triangular matrix of nilpotent operator). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) T is nilpotent.
- (b) The minimal polynomial of T is  $z^m$  for some positive integer m.
- (c) There is a basis of V with respect to which the matrix of T has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where all entries on and below the diagonal equal 0.

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that if dim null  $T^4 = 8$  and dim null  $T^6 = 9$ , then dim null  $T^m = 9$  for all integers  $m \geq 5$ .

*Proof.* Suppose not, then dim null  $T^5=8=\dim$  null  $T^6$ , forming a contradiction. Therefore, the statement holds.

#### Problem 2

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , m is a positive integer,  $v \in V$ , and  $T^{m-1}v \neq 0$  but  $T^mv = 0$ . Prove that  $v, Tv, T^2v, \ldots, T^{m-1}v$  is linearly independent.

Proof. Consider

$$a_0v + a_1Tv + \dots + a_{m-1}T^{m-1}v = 0$$

Apply  $T^{m-1}$  on both sides yields that

$$a_0 T^{m-1} v = 0$$

and therefore  $a_0 = 0$ . Note that  $v \neq \text{null } T^{m-1}$  and therefore  $v \neq \text{null } T^j$  for  $j \leq m-1$ . Hence, continuing apply the argument above will gets that all  $a_i = 0$ .

#### Problem 3

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that

$$V = \text{null } T \oplus \text{range } T \iff \text{null } T^2 = \text{null } T$$

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  We know that null  $T \subseteq \text{null } T^2$ . Take  $v \in \text{null } T^2$ , then

$$T^2v = 0 = T(Tv)$$

Therefore  $Tv \in \text{null } T$ , but  $Tv \in \text{range } T$  so Tv = 0, which gives that  $v \in \text{null } T$ .  $\Leftarrow \text{Let } v \in (\text{null } T) \cap (\text{range } T)$ . Then there exists u s.t. Tu = v and Tv = 0. Therefore, we have  $T^2u = Tv = 0$  and thus  $u \in \text{null } T^2 = \text{null } T$ . So v = T0 = 0. We've proved the claim.

#### Problem 6

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Show that

$$V = \text{range } T^0 \supseteq \text{range } T^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \text{range } T^k \supseteq T^{k+1} \supseteq \cdots$$

*Proof.* Take  $v \in \text{range } T^{k+1}$ , then we know that there exists  $u \in V$  s.t.  $v = T^{k+1}u = T^k(Tu)$ . Therefore, we have  $v \in \text{range } T^k$ .

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and m is a nonnegative integer. Prove that

null 
$$T^m = \text{null } T^{m+1} \iff \text{range } T^m = \text{range } T^{m+1}$$

*Proof.* We know that

 $\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T^m + \dim \operatorname{range} T^m = \dim \operatorname{null} T^{m+1} + \dim \operatorname{range} T^{m+1}$ 

Therefore, we have

null 
$$T^m = \text{null } T^{m+1} \iff \text{range } T^m = \text{range } T^{m+1}$$

#### Problem 12

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is such that every vector in V is a generalized eigenvector of T. Prove that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $T - \lambda I$  is nilpotent.

Proof. If T has only one eigenvalue, then it is easy to tell that  $T-\lambda I$  is nilpotent for the only eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Suppose for the contradiction that it has multiple distinct eigenvalues. Then we know that for  $v_1 \in G(\lambda_1, T)$  and  $v_2 \in G(\lambda_2, T)$  are both invariant under T, but  $v = v_1 + v_2 \in G(\lambda, T)$  is also invariant under T. If  $\lambda = \lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$ , then this contradicts that  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . If  $\lambda \neq \lambda_1$  and  $\lambda \neq \lambda_2$ , then this contradicts that  $G(\lambda_1, T) \cap G(\lambda, T) = \{0\}$ . Therefore, there is only one eigenvalue and thus  $T - \lambda I$  is nilpotent for the only eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

#### Problem 13

Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and ST is nilpotent. Prove that TS is nilpotent.

*Proof.* We know  $(ST)^k = 0$  for some k. Then

$$(TS)^{k+1} = T(ST)^k S = 0$$

#### Problem 14

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is nilpotent and  $T \neq 0$ . Prove that T is not diagonalizable.

*Proof.* 0 is the only eigenvalue of T and any nonzero  $v \in V$  cannot be represented by an eigenbasis.

#### Problem 22

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^5)$  is such that range  $T^4 \neq \text{range } T^5$ . Prove that T is nilpotent.

*Proof.* By Problem 9, we have that null  $T^4 \neq \text{null } T^5$  and therefore  $\dim \text{null } T^4 < \dim \text{null } T^5 = 5$  where  $\dim \mathbb{C}^5 = 5$ . Hence, T is nilpotent.  $\square$ 

### 8B: Generalized Eigenspace Decomposition

**Definition 16** (generalized eigenspace,  $G(\lambda, T)$ ). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . The **generalized eigenspace** of T corresponding to  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $G(\lambda, T)$ , is defined by

$$G(\lambda, T) = \{v \in V : (T - \lambda I)^k v = 0 \text{ for some positive integer } k\}.$$

Thus  $G(\lambda, T)$  is the set of generalized eigenvectors of T corresponding to  $\lambda$ , along with the 0 vector.

**Remark 17.**  $E(\lambda, T) \subseteq G(\lambda, T)$  as each eigenvector is a generalized eigenvector.

Corollary 18 (description of generalized eigenspaces). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then  $G(\lambda, T) = null (T - \lambda I)^{\dim V}$ .

**Theorem 19** (generalized eigenspace decomposition). Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$  be the distinct eigenvalues of T. Then

- (a)  $G(\lambda_k, T)$  is invariant under T for each k = 1, ..., m;
- (b)  $(T \lambda_k I)|_{G(\lambda_k,T)}$  is nilpotent for each  $k = 1, \ldots, m$ ;
- (c)  $V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T)$ .

**Definition 20** (multiplicity). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . The multiplicity of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of T is defined to be the dimension of the corresponding generalized eigenspace  $G(\lambda, T)$ . In other words, the multiplicity of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of T equals

$$\dim null (T - \lambda I)^{\dim V}$$

**Corollary 21.** Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the sum of the multiplicities of all eigenvalue of T equals dim V.

Remark 22. We may know the term algebraic multiplicity and geometric multiplicity in some books. We have

algebraic multiplicity of 
$$\lambda = \dim null (T - \lambda I)^{\dim V} = \dim G(\lambda, T)$$
.  
geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda = \dim null (T - \lambda I) = \dim E(\lambda, T)$ .

**Remark 23.** If V is an inner product space,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is normal, and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of T, then the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$  equals the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$  (i.e. every eigenvector is a generalized eigenvector).

**Definition 24** (characteristic polynomial). Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$  denote the distinct eigenvalues of T, with multiplicities  $d_1, \ldots, d_m$ . The polynomial

$$(z-\lambda_1)^{d_1}\cdots(z-\lambda_m)^{d_m}$$

is called the **characteristic polynomial** of T.

Corollary 25. Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then

- (a) the characteristic polynomial of T has degree  $\dim V$ ;
- (b) the zeros of the characteristic polynomial of T are the eigenvalues of T.

**Theorem 26** (Cayley-Hamilton theorem). Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , and q is the characteristic polynomial of T. Then q(T) = 0.

**Corollary 27.** Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then the characteristic polynomial of T is a polynomial multiple of the minimal polynomial of T.

**Theorem 28.** Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is a basis of V such that  $\mathcal{M}(T, (v_1, \ldots, v_n))$  is upper triangular. Then the number of times each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of T appears on the diagonal of  $\mathcal{M}(T, (v_1, \ldots, v_n))$  equals the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  as an eigenvalue of T.

**Definition 29** (block diagonal matrix). A **block diagonal matrix** is a square matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & A_m \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  are square matrices lying along the diagonal and all other entries of the matrix equal 0.

Remark 30. wrt. an appropriate basis, every operator on a finite-dimensional complex vector space has a matrix of the form.

**Theorem 31** (block diagonal matrix with upper-triangular blocks). Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$  be the distinct eigenvalues of T, with multiplicities  $d_1, \ldots, d_m$ . Then there is a basis of V with respect to which T has a block diagonal matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & A_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where each  $A_k$  is a  $d_k$ -by- $d_k$  upper-triangular matrix of the form

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix}$$

Define  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  by T(w, z) = (-z, w). Find the generalized eigenspaces corresponding to the distinct eigenvalues of T.

*Proof.* We have the matrix of T to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues for T are  $\pm i$ . For  $\lambda_1 = i$ , we have  $v_1 = (i, 1)$ ; for  $\lambda_2 = -i$ , we have  $v_2 = (-i, 1)$ . There eigenspace is therefore:

$$E_i = \text{span}\{(i,1)\}$$
  $E_{-i} = \text{span}\{(-i,1)\}$ 

#### Problem 2

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that  $G(\lambda, T) = G(\frac{1}{\lambda}, T^{-1})$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* WLOG let  $v \in G(\lambda, T)$ . Then we have that for some k

$$0 = (T - \lambda I)^k$$

We have

$$(\lambda^{-1})^k (T^{-1})^k (T - \lambda I)^k = (\lambda^{-1} T^{-1} (T - \lambda I))^k = (\lambda^{-1} I - T^{-1})^k = 0$$

which shows that  $v \in G(\frac{1}{\lambda}, T)$ . The other direction follows accordingly.

#### Problem 3

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is invertible. Prove that T and  $S^{-1}TS$  have the same eigenvalues with the same multiplicities.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of T with multiplicity d. Then we know

$$(T - \lambda I)^d = 0$$

Therefore, we have

$$(S^{-1})^d (T - \lambda I)^d S^d = (S^{-1} (T - \lambda I)S)^d = (S^{-1} TS - \lambda I)^d = 0$$

The converse is proved identically.

#### Problem 5

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and 3 and 8 are eigenvalues of T. Let  $n = \dim V$ . Prove that  $V = (\text{null } T^{n-2}) \oplus (\text{range } T^{n-2})$ .

*Proof.* This means that the minimal polynomial of T can be written as

$$m_T(x) = (x-3)(x-8)q(x)$$

with max deg  $q(x) \le n-2$ . Hence, we have that null  $T^n = \text{null } T^{n-1} = \text{null } T^{n-2}$  and range  $T^n = \text{range } T^{n-1} = \text{range } T^{n-2}$ . Applying P3 from section 8A solves the problem.

#### Problem 10

Suppose V is a complex inner product space,  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  is an orthonormal basis of T, and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of T, each included as many times as its multiplicity. Prove that

$$|\lambda_1|^2 + \dots + |\lambda_n|^2 \le ||Te_1||^2 + \dots + ||Te_n||^2$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} ||Te_{i}||^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||U\Sigma V^{*}e_{i}||^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||U\Sigma f_{i}||^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||\Sigma f_{i}||^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||\lambda_{i} f_{i}||^{2}$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||\lambda_{i}||^{2}$$

by the Bessel's inequality at the last step.

#### Problem 14

Give an example of an operator on  $\mathbb{C}^4$  whose characteristic polynomial equals  $z(z-1)^2(z-3)$  and whose minimal polynomial equals z(z-1)(z-3).

Proof. Consider

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose  $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$  and  $P\in\mathcal{L}(V)$  is such that  $P^2=P$ . Prove that the characteristic polynomial of P is  $z^m(z-1)^n$ , where  $m=\dim \operatorname{null} P$  and  $n=\dim \operatorname{range} P$ .

*Proof.* We know that the projection operator P has eigenvalue 0 and 1 (from definition). By many of our prior exercises, we know that the (generalized eigenspace of) eigenvalue 0 partitions the null space and nonzero ones partitions the range space. You may verify it by yourselves.

# 8C: Consequences of Generalized Eigenspace Decomposition

**Lemma 32.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is nilpotent. Then I + T has a square root.

Remark 33. This lemma holds on both real and complex vector spaces.

**Lemma 34.** Suppose V is a complex vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is invertible. Then T has a square root.

**Definition 35** (Jordan basis). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . A basis of V is called a Jordan basis for T if with respect to this basis T has a block diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & A_p \end{pmatrix}$$

in which each  $A_k$  is an upper-triangular matrix of the form

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix}$$

**Theorem 36** (every nilpotent operator has a Jordan basis). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is nilpotent. Then there is a basis of V that is a Jordan basis for T.

**Corollary 37** (Jordan form). Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then there is a basis of V that is a Jordan basis for T.

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  is the operator defined by  $T(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_2, z_3, 0)$ . Prove that T does not have a square root.

*Proof.* Note that for eigenvalue

$$(z_2, z_3, 0) = \lambda(z_1, z_2, z_3)$$

the only solution is  $\lambda=0$  with multiplicity 3. Suppose for contradiction that  $S^2=T$ . So any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of S,  $\lambda^2$  will be the eigenvalue of T, so S also only has  $\lambda=0$  as its only eigenvalue, indicating that S is nilpotent and  $S^3=0$ . This gives that  $T^2=SS^3=0$ . However, we in fact have that

$$T^2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_3, 0, 0) \neq 0$$

reaching a contradiction.

#### Problem 6

Find a basis of  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{R})$  that is a Jordan basis for the differentiation operator D on  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{R})$  defined by Dp = p'.

*Proof.* Note that the goal here is to find linearly independent  $v_1, \ldots, v_5$  s.t.  $D(v_1) = 0$  and  $D(v_i) = v_{i-1}$ . This gives that

$$\left\{1, x, \frac{1}{2}x^2, \frac{1}{6}x^3, \frac{1}{24}x^4\right\}$$

Skip the rest of questions.

## 8D: Trace: A Connection Between Matrices and Operators

**Definition 38** (trace of a matrix). Suppose A is a square matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ . The **trace** of A, denoted by trA, is defined to be the diagonal entries of A.

**Proposition 39** (trace of AB equals trace of BA). Suppose A is an m-by-n matrix and B is an n-by-m matrix. Then

$$tr(AB) = tr(BA)$$

**Lemma 40.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are bases of V. Then

$$tr\mathcal{M}\left(T,(u_1,\ldots,u_n)\right) = tr\mathcal{M}\left(T,(v_1,\ldots,v_n)\right)$$

**Definition 41** (trace of an operator). Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . The **trace** of T, denoted by trT, is defined by

$$tr T = tr \mathcal{M} (T, (v_1, \dots, v_n))$$

where  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is any basis of V.

**Corollary 42.** Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then  $tr\ T$  equals the sum of the eigenvalues of T, with each eigenvalue included as many times as its multiplicity.

**Corollary 43.** Suppose  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Let  $n = \dim V$ . Then  $\operatorname{tr} T$  equals the negative of the coefficient of  $z^{n-1}$  in the characteristic polynomial of T.

**Corollary 44.** Suppose V is an inner product space,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , and  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  is an orthonormal basis of V. Then

$$tr T = \langle Te_1, e_1 \rangle + \cdots + \langle Te_n, e_n \rangle$$

**Theorem 45** (trace is linear). The function  $tr : \mathcal{L}(V) \to \mathbb{F}$  is a linear functional on  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  such that

$$tr(ST) = tr(TS)$$

for all  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ .

Corollary 46. There do not exist operators  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  such that ST - TS = I.

Suppose V is an inner product space and  $v, w \in V$ . Define an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  by  $Tu = \langle u, v \rangle w$ . Find a formula for tr T.

*Proof.* Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  be the standard orthonormal basis of V. Then we have that

$$\operatorname{tr} T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle Te_i, e_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \langle e_i, v \rangle w, e_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle e_i, v \rangle \langle w, e_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i$$

$$= v \cdot w$$

Problem 2

Suppose  $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  satisfies  $P^2 = P$ . Prove that

 $\operatorname{tr} P = \dim \operatorname{range} P$ 

*Proof.* Note that  $\operatorname{tr} P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$  where  $\lambda_i = 1$  or 0. The multiplicity of  $\lambda_i = 1$  determines the dim range P and thus gives the desired conclusion.

#### Problem 5

Suppose V is an inner product space. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is a positive operator and tr T = 0. Prove that T = 0.

*Proof.* We know that  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all i for positive T. Since tr = 0, all eigenvalues are 0 and thus T = 0 (as it's self-adjoint by positivity).

Problem 9

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is such that tr (ST) = 0 for all  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  Prove that T = 0.

*Proof.* Consider orthonormal basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  and define  $S_{ij}$  to be such that maps  $e_j$  to  $e_i$  while keep all other zero. Therefore, tr  $(S_{ij}T) = T_{ij} = 0$  for all i, j. Hence, we proved T = 0.

Suppose V and W are inner product spaces and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that if  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  is an orthonormal basis of V and  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$  is an orthonormal basis of W, then

tr 
$$(T^*T) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |\langle Te_k, f_j \rangle|^2$$

Proof. We have that

$$\operatorname{tr} (T^*T) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle T^*Te_k, e_k \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle Te_k, Te_k \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle Te_k, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle Te_k, f_j \rangle f_j \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |\langle Te_k, f_j \rangle|^2$$

#### Problem 12

Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional inner product spaces.

(a) Prove that  $\langle S, T \rangle = \operatorname{tr} (T^*S)$  defines an inner product on  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .

(b) Suppose  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  is an orthonormal basis of V and  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$  is an orthonormal basis of W. Show that the inner product on  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  from (a) is the same as the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{F}^{mn}$ , where we identify each element of  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  with its matrix (with respect to the bases just mentioned) and then with an element of  $\mathbb{F}^{mn}$ .

**Remark 47.** The norm from (a) is called the Frobenius norm or the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

*Proof.* (a) We check each condition manually:

- Positivity:  $\langle S, S \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(S^*S) = \sum_{i=1}^n (A^*A)_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m A_{ij}^* A_{ji} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |A_{ij}|^2 \ge 0$  with equality iff S = 0.
- Linearity in the first slot:  $\langle \lambda S_1 + S_2, T \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(T^*(\lambda S_1 + S_2)) = \lambda \operatorname{tr}(T^*S_1) + \operatorname{tr}(T^*S_2) = \lambda \langle S_1, T \rangle + \langle S_2, T \rangle$

• Conjugate symmetry:  $\overline{\langle S,T\rangle}=\overline{\mathrm{tr}(T^*S)}=\mathrm{tr}(\overline{T^*S})=\mathrm{tr}(S^*T)=\langle T,S\rangle$ (b) The standard inner product on  $\mathbb{F}^{mn}$  for the two matrices A,B is

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{ij} \overline{B}_{ij}$$

which is exactly how we define in (a).