



CLOUD COMPUTING CONCEPTS

with Indranil Gupta (Indy)

SNAPSHOTS

Lecture D

SAFETY AND LIVENESS

"CORRECTNESS" IN DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

- Can be seen in two ways
- Liveness and Safety
- Often confused – it's important to distinguish from each other

LIVENESS

- **Liveness** = guarantee that something **good** will happen, **eventually**
 - Eventually == does not imply a time bound, but if you let the system run long enough, then ...

LIVENESS: EXAMPLES

- **Liveness** = guarantee that something **good** will happen, **eventually**
 - Eventually == does not imply a time bound, but if you let the system run long enough, then ...
- **Examples in Real World**
 - Guarantee that “at least one of the athletes in the 100m final will win gold” is liveness
 - A criminal will eventually be jailed
- **Examples in a Distributed System**
 - Distributed computation: Guarantee that it will terminate
 - “Completeness” in failure detectors: every failure is eventually detected by some non-faulty process
 - In Consensus: All processes eventually decide on a value

SAFETY

- *Safety* = guarantee that something *bad* will *never* happen

SAFETY: EXAMPLES

- **Safety** = guarantee that something **bad** will **never** happen
- **Examples in Real World**
 - A peace treaty between two nations provides safety
 - War will never happen
 - An innocent person will never be jailed
- **Examples in a Distributed System**
 - There is no deadlock in a distributed transaction system
 - No object is orphaned in a distributed object system
 - “Accuracy” in failure detectors
 - In Consensus: No two processes decide on different values

CAN'T WE GUARANTEE BOTH?

- **Can be difficult to satisfy both liveness and safety in an asynchronous distributed system!**
 - Failure Detector: Completeness (Liveness) and Accuracy (Safety) cannot both be guaranteed by a failure detector in an asynchronous distributed system
 - Consensus: Decisions (Liveness) and correct decisions (Safety) cannot both be guaranteed by any consensus protocol in an asynchronous distributed system
 - Very difficult for legal systems (anywhere in the world) to guarantee that all criminals are jailed (Liveness) and no innocents are jailed (Safety)

IN THE LANGUAGE OF GLOBAL STATES

- **Recall that a distributed system moves from one global state to another global state, via causal steps**
- **Liveness w.r.t. a property Pr in a given state S means**
 - S satisfies Pr , or there is **some** causal path of global states from S to S' where S' satisfies Pr
- **Safety w.r.t. a property Pr in a given state S means**
 - S satisfies Pr , and **all** global states S' reachable from S also satisfy Pr

USING GLOBAL SNAPSHOT ALGORITHM

- **Chandy-Lamport algorithm can be used to detect global properties that are *stable***
 - Stable = once true, stays true forever afterwards
- **Stable Liveness examples**
 - Computation has terminated
- **Stable Non-Safety examples**
 - There is a deadlock
 - An object is orphaned (no pointers point to it)
- **All stable global properties can be detected using the Chandy-Lamport algorithm**
 - Due to its causal correctness

SUMMARY

- The ability to calculate global snapshots in a distributed system is very important
- But don't want to interrupt running distributed application
- Chandy-Lamport algorithm calculates global snapshot
- Obeys causality (creates a consistent cut)
- Can be used to detect stable global properties
- Safety vs. Liveness