#### Alessandro Scala



# Quantum Abstract Interpretation

#### Seminar for the Introduction to Quantum Computing course

Università di Pisa Dipartimento di Informatica

# Roadmap



#### Introduction

As quantum computing advances, we would like to have some means to prove correctness properties on quantum programs, *especially* since quantum programming is counterintuitive.



The naive way to check properties of a program is to run it and observe its behaviour.



The naive way to check properties of a program is to run it and **observe** its behaviour.

We cannot observe the state of a quantum program!



The naive way to check properties of a program is to run it and observe its behaviour.

We cannot observe the state of a quantum program!

Could **simulation** on a classical machine solve this issue?



The naive way to check properties of a program is to run it and **observe** its behaviour.

We cannot observe the state of a quantum program!

Could **simulation** on a classical machine solve this issue?

No: **exponential** space and time cost.



$$n_{qubits}=1$$

$$|0\rangle\langle 0|$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $2^2 = 4$  complex numbers



$$n_{qubits}=2$$

$$2^4 = 16$$
 complex numbers



$$n_{qubits} = 3$$

$$|000\rangle\,\langle000|$$

 $2^6 = 64$  complex numbers



$$n_{qubits} = 300$$

$$\left|0\right>^{\otimes_{300}}\left<0\right|^{\otimes_{300}}$$

?????



$$n_{qubits} = 300$$

$$\left|0\right>^{\otimes_{300}}\left<0\right|^{\otimes_{300}}$$

 $2^{600} = 41495155688809929585124078636911611510124462322424368 \\ 999956573296906528114129081463997070489471037942881978866113 \\ 007891823951510754117753078868748341139636870611818034015095 \\ 23685376$ 

Bigger than the number of atoms in the universe.



The naive way to check properties of a program is to run it and **observe** its behaviour.

We cannot observe the state of a quantum program!

Could **simulation** on a classical machine solve this issue?

No: exponential space and time cost.



The naive way to check properties of a program is to run it and **observe** its behaviour.

We cannot observe the state of a quantum program!

Could **simulation** on a classical machine solve this issue?

No: exponential space and time cost.

Solution: abstract interpretation



# Ingredients

- Abstract domain
  - Abstraction function
  - Concretization function
  - Abstract operations
- Assertions



### Density Matrix

Instead of dealing with a state  $|\phi\rangle$  in vector form, we use their density matrix:

$$\rho_{\phi} = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$$
 (For a pure state)

- positive semi-definite
- $Tr(\rho) = 1$
- projection  $(P = P^{\dagger} = P^2)$



### Density Matrix

Instead of dealing with a state  $|\phi\rangle$  in vector form, we use their density matrix:

$$ho_{\phi} = \ket{\phi} \bra{\phi}$$
 (For a pure state)

- positive semi-definite
- $Tr(\rho) = 1$
- projection  $(P = P^{\dagger} = P^2)$

### Example:

$$\begin{split} |\beta_{00}\rangle &= \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \rho_{\beta_{00}} &= |\beta_{00}\rangle \left\langle \beta_{00}| = \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)(\langle 00| + \langle 11|) \right. \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle \left\langle 00| + |00\rangle \left\langle 11| + |11\rangle \left\langle 00| + |11\rangle \left\langle 11|\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

# Reduced Density Matrix

Suppose we have a composite quantum system  $AB = A \otimes B$ , and we want to focus our attention on a state  $|\phi\rangle \in AB$  with respect to the subsystem A.

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \mathbb{C}^{2^n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2^n} \\ B &= \mathbb{C}^{2^m} \times \mathbb{C}^{2^m} \\ AB &= (\mathbb{C}^{2^n} \times \mathbb{C}^{2^n}) \otimes (\mathbb{C}^{2^m} \times \mathbb{C}^{2^m}) \end{aligned}$$

$$Tr_{B}[\rho]:AB \to A$$
  $Tr_{A}[\rho]:AB \to B$   $Tr_{B}[\rho]=\sum_{v=0}^{2^{n}}(I_{A}\otimes\langle v|)\rho(I_{A}\otimes|v\rangle)$   $Tr_{A}[\rho]=\sum_{v=0}^{2^{n}}(\langle v|\otimes I_{B})\rho(|v\rangle\otimes I_{B})$ 

$$\mathit{Tr}_{B}[
ho] = \sum_{v=0}^{2^{m}} (\mathit{I}_{A} \otimes \langle v |) 
ho(\mathit{I}_{A} \otimes | v \rangle) \qquad 
ho_{eta_{00}} = rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} Tr_{B}[\rho_{\beta_{00}}] = & (I_{2} \otimes \langle 0|) \rho_{\beta_{00}}(I_{2} \otimes |0\rangle) + (I_{2} \otimes \langle 1|) \rho_{\beta_{00}}(I_{2} \otimes |1\rangle) \\ = & \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$



$$extit{Tr}_{B}[
ho] = \sum_{v=0}^{2^{m}} (I_{A} \otimes \langle v |) 
ho(I_{A} \otimes | v 
angle) \qquad 
ho_{eta_{00}} = rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{T}r_B[
ho_{eta_{00}}] = & (I_2 \otimes \langle 0|)
ho_{eta_{00}}(I_2 \otimes |0
angle) + (I_2 \otimes \langle 1|)
ho_{eta_{00}}(I_2 \otimes |1
angle) \ = & rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



$$extit{Tr}_B[
ho] = \sum_{v=0}^{2^m} (I_A \otimes \langle v |) 
ho(I_A \otimes | v 
angle) \qquad 
ho_{eta_{00}} = rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} Tr_B[
ho_{eta_{00}}] = & (I_2 \otimes \langle 0|) 
ho_{eta_{00}}(I_2 \otimes |0
angle) + (I_2 \otimes \langle 1|) 
ho_{eta_{00}}(I_2 \otimes |1
angle) \ = & rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ = & rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



$$extit{Tr}_{B}[
ho] = \sum_{v=0}^{2^{m}} (I_{A} \otimes \langle v |) 
ho(I_{A} \otimes | v 
angle) \hspace{1cm} 
ho_{eta_{00}} = rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} Tr_B[
ho_{eta_0}] = & (I_2 \otimes \langle 0|) 
ho_{eta_{00}}(I_2 \otimes |0
angle) + (I_2 \otimes \langle 1|) 
ho_{eta_{00}}(I_2 \otimes |1
angle) \ = & rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ = & rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ = & rac{|0
angle \langle 0| + |1
angle \langle 1|}{2} \end{aligned}$$



### Loss of precision

#### Computing a reduced density matrix **discards information**!

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\beta_{00}} = & \frac{\left|00\right\rangle\left\langle00\right| + \left|00\right\rangle\left\langle11\right| + \left|11\right\rangle\left\langle00\right| + \left|11\right\rangle\left\langle11\right|}{2} & \text{(Pure state)} \\ \rho_{2} = & \frac{\left|00\right\rangle\left\langle00\right| + \left|01\right\rangle\left\langle01\right| + \left|10\right\rangle\left\langle10\right| + \left|11\right\rangle\left\langle11\right|}{4} & \text{(Mixed state)} \end{split}$$



### Loss of precision

#### Computing a reduced density matrix **discards information**!

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\beta_{00}} = & \frac{\left|00\right\rangle\left\langle00\right| + \left|00\right\rangle\left\langle11\right| + \left|11\right\rangle\left\langle00\right| + \left|11\right\rangle\left\langle11\right|}{2} & \text{(Pure state)} \\ \rho_{2} = & \frac{\left|00\right\rangle\left\langle00\right| + \left|01\right\rangle\left\langle01\right| + \left|10\right\rangle\left\langle10\right| + \left|11\right\rangle\left\langle11\right|}{4} & \text{(Mixed state)} \end{split}$$

$$Tr_B[
ho_{eta_{00}}] = rac{\ket{0}ra{0}+\ket{1}ra{1}}{2} = Tr_B[
ho_2]$$

The partial traces of two different initial states can be equal.

Moreover, for a state  $\rho \in A \otimes B$ , even if we know  $Tr_B[\rho]$  and  $Tr_A[\rho]$ , we cannot uniquely determine  $\rho$ .



### Abstract Domain

$$\begin{split} D &= \mathbb{C}^{2^n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^n}, \quad S = (s_1, ..., s_m), \quad 1 \leq m \leq 2^n, \quad s_i \subseteq [n] \\ AbsDom(S) &= \left\{ (P_{s_1}, ..., P_{s_m}) \mid P_{s_i} \text{ is a projection in } \mathbb{C}^{2^{\lfloor s_i \rfloor}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{\lfloor s_i \rfloor}} \right\} \end{split}$$

