

Modeling of spin-orbital dynamics in a FS lattice with $E+B$ in the same element

Alexander Aksentev

April 15, 2018

Tasks from supervisor

- ▶ Study effects of WF tilts (preserves Lorentz force) in FS lattice on S_x, S_y, S_z ;
- ▶ Same for quadrupole shifts (doesn't preserve LF);
- ▶ Study decoherence as a function of the initial beam distribution $(x, y, \delta W)$;
- ▶ Study optimal sextupole placement for the suppression of decoherence and chromaticity;
- ▶ Modeling of field calibration by effective gamma in the horizontal plane (CW/CCW procedure);

Conventional ODE integrator

Python prototype

- ▶ Classes defining most commonly utilized accelerator elements (dipoles, quadrupoles, Wien filters, etc);
- ▶ Vectorized RHS computation;
- ▶ Two versions of element positioning imperfections (tilting):
 - ▶ via computing the tilt matrix, and applying it to the computed field at run time (more general but time-consuming, doesn't preserve guiding field strength by default);
 - ▶ customized tilting for dipole, WF (less time-consuming, preserves the Lorentz force acting on the particle), and shift for quadrupole;
- ▶ Reason: needed a tool whose output could be exactly interpreted (source code could be understood by *me*)

Decoherence test histograms




Figure: Histogram from $dK \sim N(0, 10^{-4})$ test




Figure: Histogram from $x \sim N(0, 10^{-3})$ test




Figure: Histogram from $y \sim N(0, 10^{-3})$ test


Conventional ODE integrator

C++ extension

- ▶ Because python isn't fast enough, rewrote parts of the integrator in c++;
- ▶ Still problems with speed (and precision) — working on that now;
- ▶ However, even if those problems are resolved, step-by-step integration is not a viable option for the type of analysis required:

What is required?

- ▶ $\omega_i = \omega_0 + G_6 \cdot \Delta\gamma_i^2$, where $\Delta\gamma_i^2$ is the average gamma in phase space, due to synchrotron oscillations.
- ▶ $Q_s = \frac{\omega_s}{\omega_{rev}} \ll 1$ (like 1/35) \Rightarrow require thousands of turns.






Figure: $\langle \Delta K \rangle$ vs ΔK_0 after 500 turns (14 synchrotron oscillations)




Figure: $\langle \Delta K \rangle$ vs ΔK_0 after 20,000 turns (571 synchrotron oscillations)

Therefore...

- ▶ Dr Valetov compares a conventional Runge-Kutta 8-th order, step-size calibrated integrator (MSURK89) with COSY INFINITY with regard to run time;
- ▶ By my estimation, that integrator would take 32 hours to run a **single** simulation with just one realization of an imperfect 397-element FS lattice with the beam of 1000 particles for initial distribution;
- ▶ COSY INFINITY is an order of magnitude faster; that's manageable.