

A. E. Aksentyev<sup>1†</sup>, Institut für Kernphysik, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany  
<sup>1</sup> also at National Research Nuclear University “MEPhI,” Moscow, Russia

## Abstract

The spin precession axis of a particle involved in betatron motion precesses about the invariant spin axis defined on the closed orbit (CO). This precession can be observed in polarization data as a rapid, small-amplitude oscillation on top of the major effect oscillation caused by the precession of spin about the CO axis. The frequency of this latter oscillation is used in the Frequency Domain methodology as the EDM observable. It is estimated by fitting polarimetry data by a sine function; the rapid oscillations, therefore, constitute a model specification error. This model error will introduce a bias into the frequency estimate. In the present work we investigate how this bias changes depending on the beam revolution direction, its stability over time, and the EDM estimate error introduced by it.

## FREQUENCY DOMAIN METHODOLOGY

Frequency Domain (FD) [1] is a Storage Ring method of search for the Electric Dipole Moment (EDM) of a fundamental particle. [2] It belongs to the Frozen Spin [3] category of such methods, i.e., the Magnetic Dipole Moment (MDM) component of spin precession is minimized. However, the original Frozen Spin method proposed in [3] is a Space Domain method [4, p. 4]: the EDM is estimated from the value  $P_y$  of the vertical component of the beam polarization vector at the end of the measurement cycle. This approach has two obvious weaknesses: *a*) it puts very stringent constraints on the precision of the accelerator optical elements alignment, and *b*) it poses a challenging task for polarimetry. [5, p. 6]

The former is to minimize the magnitude of the vertical plane MDM precession frequency: [3, p. 11]

$$\omega_{syst} \approx \frac{\mu \langle E_v \rangle}{\beta c \gamma^2}, \quad (1)$$

induced by field imperfections. The latter is due to the requirement of detecting a change of about  $5 \cdot 10^{-6}$  to the cross section  $\varepsilon_{LR}$  in order to get to the EDM sensitivity level of  $10^{-29} \text{ e} \cdot \text{cm}$ . [3, p. 18]

EDM search methods in the Frequency Domain circumvent the above problems: the polarization vector is made to rotate about a nearly-constant, definite direction vector  $\bar{n}$ , with a frequency that is large enough so that the beam polarization is easily measurable at all times. The “Spin Wheel” may be externally applied [6], or it may use the field imperfection frequency (1) [1]. This is made possible by the fact that  $\omega_{syst}$  changes sign when the beam revolution direction is reversed. [3, p. 11]

The frequency of oscillation of  $P_y$  is estimated via a fit of the polarimetry data to the model

$$f(t) = a \cdot \sin(\omega \cdot t + \delta). \quad (2)$$

<sup>2</sup> a.aksentev@fz-juelich.de

## PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider the case of a single particle beam. The solution of the T-BMT equation for the vertical spin-vector component has the general form

$$s_y(t) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega_y \omega_z}{\omega^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\omega_x}{\omega}\right)^2} \cdot \sin(\omega \cdot t + \delta), \quad (3)$$

where  $\omega = (\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z)$  is a function of time as a result of betatron motion.

Using  $\omega = 2\pi f_{rev} \nu_s \bar{n}$  [7, p. 4], equation (3) can be reformulated in terms of spin tune  $\nu_s$  and invariant spin axis  $\bar{n}$  as

$$s_y(n_{turn}) = \sqrt{(\bar{n}_y \bar{n}_z)^2 + \bar{n}_x^2} \cdot \sin(2\pi \nu_s \cdot n_{turn} + \delta), \quad (4)$$

where  $\bar{n} = \bar{n}(n_{turn})$  and  $\nu_s = \nu_s(n_{turn})$  are functions of the turn number  $n_{turn}$ .

Sufficiently large variation of  $\bar{n}$  and/or  $\nu_s$  can lead to model specification systematic error. Variation in  $\nu_s$  is especially problematic in this regard, as it directly affects the phase of the signal; however, this problem can be solved by the introduction of sextupole fields into the system, as described in [8]. In this paper we will, therefore, be concerned only with the  $\bar{n}$  variation.

## SIMULATION

The simulation setup was as follows: an array of particles, offset from the design orbit in the vertical direction, is injected into an imperfect Frozen Spin lattice [9] utilizing sextupoles for the reduction of spin decoherence caused by vertical plane betatron oscillations [8]. Lattice imperfections are simulated by rotations of the E+B spin rotator elements, randomly generated from the normal distribution  $\alpha \sim N(0, 5 \cdot 10^{-4})$  rad. The value of the standard deviation approximates the practically-achievable element installation error. [1] Imperfections introduced this way do not perturb the design orbit.

Tracking was done in COSY Infinity [10], for  $1.2 \cdot 10^6$  turns; each 800 turns  $\nu_s$  and  $\bar{n}$  are computed (by means of procedure TSS [11, p. 41]) at the phase space point occupied by the particle at the time, giving us a series  $(\nu_s(n), \bar{n}(n))$ . The corresponding spin vector components  $(S_X(n), S_Y(n), S_Z(n))$ , computed by the COSY Infinity tracker (procedure TR [11, p. 41]), constitute the second series used in the analysis.

Using the first series data, we generated the expected  $s_y^{gen}(t)$  “generator” series according to equation (4), and compared it with the tracker series  $S_Y^{trk}$  via residual  $\epsilon = S_Y^{trk} - s_y^{gen}$ . We also fitted model (2) to both data sets, and compared its goodness-of-fit. As a last step, we generated the “ideal” series  $s_y^{idl}$ , in which we assumed constant values of  $\nu_s = \nu_s(0)$  and  $\bar{n} = \bar{n}(0)$ .

## CONCLUSIONS

The question of the influence of betatron motion on the EDM statistic in the FD method should be considered in view of three circumstances:

1. The signal amplitude oscillations are small. They occur at the  $10^{-4}$  level, whereas the expected polarization measurement error is on the order of percents. This means the superposition of this systematic error with the random measurement error will exhibit no statistically-significant systematicity.
2. The correlation coefficient between the amplitude and frequency estimates is not significant. The amplitude oscillations affect the  $\hat{a}$ -estimate foremost; their effect on the  $\hat{\omega}$ -estimate is secondary, and is described by the correlation coefficient. Since it is less than 10%, even if the oscillations happen to be strong enough to affect the amplitude estimate, their effect on the frequency estimate will be reduced by at least a factor of 10.
3. This systematic effect is controllable. And this point is the major advantage of the FD methodology. By applying an external Spin Wheel, the  $\vec{n}$  oscillations can be continuously minimized as much as necessary, without changing the experiment pattern.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Senichev, A. Aksentev, A. Ivanov, E. Valetov, "Frequency domain method of the search for the deuteron electric dipole moment in a storage ring with imperfections." In review.
- [2] M Bai et al., SREDM Collaboration website: <https://www.bnl.gov/edm/>
- [3] D. Anastassopoulos et al., (srEDM Collaboration), "Search for a permanent electric dipole moment of the deuteron nucleus at the  $10^{-29} e \cdot cm$  level," proposal as submitted to the BNL PAC, April 2008.
- [4] R. Talman, "Prospects for Electric Dipole Moment Measurement Using Electrostatic Accelerators." August 2018.
- [5] S Mane, "A distillation of Koop's idea of the Spin Wheel." arXiv:1509.01167 [physics] <http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.01167>
- [6] I. A. Koop. "Asymmetric energy colliding ion beams in the EDM storage ring." Proc. of IPAC13 (2013). <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/accelconf/ipac2013/papers/tupwo040.pdf>
- [7] A. Saleev et al., (JEDI Collaboration), "Spin tune mapping as a novel tool to probe the spin dynamics in storage rings." Phys.Rev.Accel.Beams 20 (2017) no.7, 072801
- [8] A Aksentev, Y Senichev, "Spin decoherence in the Frequency Domain Method for the search of a particle EDM." Proc. of IPAC19 (2019).
- [9] Y. Senichev, S. Andrianov, S. Chekmenev, M. Berz, E. Valetov. "Investigation of Lattice for Deuteron EDM Ring." Proc. of ICAP15 (2015). <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/ICAP2015/papers/modbc4.pdf>
- [10] M. Berz, K. Makino, COSY Infinity website: [cosyinfinity.org](http://cosyinfinity.org)
- [11] M. Berz, K. Makino. COSY INFINITY 10.0 Beam Physics Manual.