

Contents

1 Thomas-BMT equation

The Thomas-BMT equation describes the dynamics of spin vector \mathbf{s} in magnetic field \mathbf{B} and electrostatic field \mathbf{E} . Generalized to account for the EDM effects, it can be written (in the rest frame) as follows: [?, p. 6]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{s}}{dt} = \mathbf{s} \times (\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{MDM} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{EDM}), \quad (1a)$$

where the MDM and EDM angular frequencies $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{MDM}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{EDM}$ are

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{MDM} = \frac{q}{m} \left[G\mathbf{B} - \left(G - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \boldsymbol{\beta}}{c} \right], \quad (1b)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{EDM} = \frac{q}{m} \frac{\eta}{2} \left[\frac{\mathbf{E}}{c} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \mathbf{B} \right]. \quad (1c)$$

In the equations above, m , q , G are the particle mass, electric charge, and anomalous MDM respectively; $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{v}_0/c$, is the ratio of the particle velocity to the speed of light; γ is the Lorentz factor. The EDM factor η is defined by $d = \eta \frac{q}{2mc} s$, where d is the particle EDM and s is its spin.

2 Spin tune

In the standard spinor formalism, the spin transfer matrix per turn in a ring R equals [?, p. 4]

$$\mathbf{t}_R = \exp(-i\pi\nu_s \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{c}) = \cos \pi\nu_s - i(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{c}) \sin \pi\nu_s,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the Pauli matrix vector, \mathbf{c} is a unit vector, pointing along the local spin precession axis. The spin precession angular velocity can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_s = 2\pi f_s \mathbf{c} = 2\pi f_R \nu_s \mathbf{c},$$

where f_R is the beam revolution frequency, and ν_s is the *spin tune*, i.e. the number of spin revolutions per turn.

3 The Frozen Spin concept

It can be observed in eq (??) that, in the absence of an EDM, the spin of a beam particle can be frozen along its momentum direction: $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{MDM} = \mathbf{0}$, i.e., the so-called Frozen Spin (FS) condition can be realized.

The advantage of imposing the FS condition on the beam in a storage ring is as follows: according to equations ?????, the MDM and EDM angular velocity vectors are orthogonal, and hence add up in squares in the total spin precession frequency, thus resulting in a second-order EDM-related shift: [?, p. 5]

$$\omega \propto \sqrt{\Omega_{MDM}^2 + \Omega_{EDM}^2} \approx \Omega_{MDM} + \frac{\Omega_{EDM}^2}{2\Omega_{MDM}}.$$

This greatly reduces the experiment sensitivity.

However, by freezing the spin in the horizontal plane, the only MDM component remaining is along the EDM, and adds linearly with it. Thus the sensitivity is greatly improved.

3.1 Integer resonance

Under the Frozen Spin condition, a particle's spin tune $\nu_s = 0 \in \mathbb{N}$ in the rest frame (and $\nu_s = 1$ in the laboratory frame), i.e., it is susceptible to *integer resonance*. [?] As a result of integer resonance, any magnetic field imperfection causing the appearance of a radial component will inevitably tilt the polarization of the particle bunch into the horizontal plane. [?, p. 8] Once there, due to the energy-dependence of spin tune, the beam particles' spin vectors will decohere, depolarizing the beam.

We can classify EDM experiment methodologies into 1) Resonance, and 2) Non-resonance, depending on whether or not they put the particles into an integer resonance.

3.2 Realization of the FS condition in a storage ring

Storage rings can be classified into three groups:

1. purely magnetic (like COSY, NICA, etc),
2. purely electrostatic (Brookhaven AGS Analog Ring),
3. combined rings.

In view of eq (??), the FS condition cannot be realized in a purely magnetic ring.

For a number of particles, such as the proton, whose $G > 0$, a purely electrostatic ring can be used in a resonance-type EDM experiment methodology with a beam at a so-called “magic” energy, defined by $\gamma_{mag} = \sqrt{(1+G)/G}$.

For particles with $G < 0$ (such as the deuteron), this is not an option, and a combined ring must be used. In order to realize the FS condition in a combined ring, a radial E-field of magnitude

$$E_r = \frac{GB_y c \beta \gamma^2}{1 - G \beta^2 \gamma^2}, \quad (2)$$

is introduced. [?]

4 Non-resonance methods

4.1 COSY Spin Tune Mapping + RF Wien Filter Method [TO FINISH]

This method has been devised by the Jülich Electric Dipole Moments Investigations (JEDI) collaboration, based in Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich,

Germany. It proposes to conduct a precursor experiment for finding the deuteron EDM at the Cooler Synchrotron COSY. COSY is a purely magnetic storage ring approximately 184 m in circumference. It provides un-/polarized proton and deuteron beams in the momentum range of 300 MeV/c to 3.7 GeV/c. [?]]

5 Resonance methods

5.1 BNL Frozen Spin Method

The BNL FS method, proposed by BNL's Storage Ring EDM collaboration in 2008, [?] is a resonance method in a combined ring. A beam of longitudinally-polarized deuterons is injected into a storage ring; the spin precession in both the vertical and horizontal planes is probed by polarimetry; the EDM signal is the change in the vertical polarization over time, given by: [?, p. 8]

$$\Delta P_V = P \frac{\omega_{edm}}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega t + \Theta_0), \quad (3)$$

where $\Omega = \sqrt{\omega_{edm}^2 + \omega_a^2}$, ω_a , ω_{edm} are the angular velocities arising, respectively, from the magnetic and electric dipole moments.

By applying a radial electric field E_r (??), the ω_a component is expected to be reduced by at least a factor of 10^9 ; in view of the small value of the hypothesized ω_{edm} , $\Delta P_V \approx P \omega_{edm} t$, and the maximum value of ΔP_V is amplified by 10^9 .

The expected one-sigma measurement sensitivity is $10^{-29} \text{ e} \cdot \text{cm}$ per 10^7 seconds (6 months) of total run time. At this sensitivity level, the left-right cross-section asymmetry $\varepsilon_{LR} \approx 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ for the smallest practical values of ω_a . [?, p. 18] This is a challenging task for polarimetry. [?] One way to deal with this challenge is to apply an external radial magnetic field and measure the combined MDM + EDM spin precession frequency. This is the basis of the so-called Spin Wheel method, which will be outlined below.

The only known first-order spin dynamics systematic effect is the presence of a non-zero average vertical component of the electric field $\langle E_V \rangle$. In that case, the spin will precess about the radial direction with a frequency [?, p. 11]

$$\omega_{syst} \approx \frac{\mu \langle E_V \rangle}{\beta c \gamma^2}.$$

There are two points to consider with this effect:

- the presence of $\langle E_V \rangle \neq 0$ is due to accelerator element misalignment;
- this systematic effect changes sign when the beam is injected in the opposite direction.

The latter is the reason for the clockwise/counter-clockwise (CW/CCW) beam injection structure used in this method. Though it is true that ω_{syst} flips sign when the beam direction is reversed, what this methodology doesn't account for is its *magnitude*. Our simulations¹ show, that at the realistic value of 100

¹REFERENCE TO SIMULATION

μm deflector installation error, the MDM precession frequency about the radial axis is on the order of 50–100 rad/sec. [?] This circumstance prevents the use of this methodology as is.

5.2 I. Koop’s Spin Wheel

The abovementioned problems with polarimetry and high spin precession rate are solved in the Spin Wheel (SW) modification, proposed by Ivan Koop of BINP, Novosibirsk, Russia. The outline of the idea is as follows: first, the FS condition is imposed; then, a radial magnetic field of magnitude B_x , strong enough to cause a spin precession on the order of 1 Hz, is introduced into the system. Since the field is radial, the MDM precession it induces is aligned with the EDM, which means they add linearly: $\omega \propto \Omega_{MDM} + \Omega_{EDM}$.

The EDM contribution is then extracted by comparing the runs with reversed sign B_x : [?, p. 1963]

$$\Omega_{EDM} = \frac{\Omega_x(+B_x) + \Omega_x(-B_x)}{2}.$$

The applied field will also result in vertical orbit splitting. [?, p. 1963] This splitting can be measured at the picometer level by SQUIDS, and is proposed as a means for calibrating the radial magnetic field.

Since due to the applied field, the radial precession is ten orders of magnitude higher than in the original method, the task for polarimetry is greatly simplified. However, there have been voiced doubts² that the field calibration via orbit splitting is a viable option, since it is likely to be too small for detection even by SQUIDS.

Another remaining problem is the radial magnetic field caused by element misalignment. It reverses sign when the beam is injected in the reversed direction, and there has not been proposed a method for separating the MDM contribution arising from that field.

5.3 Frequency Domain Method

Frequency Domain is a Frozen Spin-type methodology. It was specifically designed to address the element misalignment problem; as we mentioned earlier, the misalignment MDM frequency is on the order of 8–16 Hz, which precludes the observation of a slow, EDM-driven vertical polarization growth, proposed in the original FS method. In FD, the EDM effect is extracted by comparing the combined MDM + EDM spin precession rates, measured in CW and CCW injection cases. Since, when the guiding magnetic field changes its polarity,

²CITATION NEEDED

$\mathbf{B} \mapsto -\mathbf{B}$, $\beta \mapsto -\beta$, and $\mathbf{E} \mapsto \mathbf{E}$:

$$\omega_x^{CW/CCW} = \omega_x^{MDM,CW/CCW} + \omega_x^{EDM,CW/CCW}, \quad (4a)$$

$$\omega_x^{MDM,CW} = -\omega_x^{MDM,CCW} \equiv \omega_x^{MDM}, \quad (4b)$$

$$\omega_x^{EDM,CW} = \omega_x^{EDM,CCW} \equiv \omega_x^{EDM}, \quad (4c)$$

and so, the EDM estimator

$$\hat{\omega}_x^{EDM} := \frac{1}{2} (\omega_x^{CW} + \omega_x^{CCW}) \quad (4d)$$

$$= \omega_x^{EDM} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (\omega_x^{MDM,CW} + \omega_x^{MDM,CCW})}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}. \quad (4e)$$

In order to guarantee that the error term ε is below the required precision, i.e., that eq (??) is sufficiently true, a special field flipping procedure has been devised, that is described in section ??.

References

- [1] Eremey Valetov. FIELD MODELING, SYMPLECTIC TRACKING, AND SPIN DECOHERENCE FOR EDM AND MUON G-2 LATTICES. Michigan State University. Michigan, USA;. Available from: http://collaborations.fz-juelich.de/ikp/jedi/public_files/theses/valetovphd.pdf.
- [2] Saleev A, Nikolaev NN, Rathmann F, Augustyniak W, Bagdasarian Z, Bai M, et al. Spin tune mapping as a novel tool to probe the spin dynamics in storage rings. Physical Review Accelerators and Beams. 2017 Jul;20(7). ArXiv: 1703.01295. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1703.01295>.
- [3] S R Mane. Spin Wheel. arXiv:150901167 [physics]. 2015 Sep;ArXiv: 1509.01167. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.01167>.
- [4] Stockhorst H. Polarized Proton and Deuteron Beams at COSY. arXiv:physics/0411148. 2004 Nov;ArXiv: physics/0411148. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0411148>.
- [5] D Anastassopoulos, V Anastassopoulos, D Babusci. AGS Proposal: Search for a permanent electric dipole moment of the deuteron nucleus at the 10 29 e · cm level. BNL; 2008. Available from: https://www.bnl.gov/edm/files/pdf/deuteron_proposal_080423_final.pdf.
- [6] Yury Senichev. Frequency domain method of the search for the deuteron electric dipole moment in a storage ring with imperfections;. Available from: <https://mail-attachment.googleusercontent.com/attachment/u/0/?ui=2&ik=7fc6107b60&>

view=att&th=15d604450498d398&attid=0.1&disp=safe&zw&saddbat=
ANGjdJ8kSdMTQUkpPWe6xjODjjLdP-xU7StU6dUW7RLGZ3yMcR06cF3dymVY89FbIIJLxgcHSSJLgWX4iK_
Xfdvg0GSJyuav2kRjMKdvRL4Hb-NZqtKdC2SQ1sMF1wwJ1I_
vSCXwew-6R9HRaBMjVsRreH1ULw3i9QtP01zMGXHwGH4Mf0JkdGhwYfxhpI6WStzajdQibfA0oFRyEl861YacE3H4
7HPH7gIk-qt2wNCgq-Vc6F97QozjqLYPeRq0SfxkALLCGJ1hF1kJP3p9eL9fKb0qT15JW2gZ1-kEJvh5GwnJ-Eha
DPcMW7JZNAi1hUqNUJ25jZTWB3PTdsaXUpkD-tMav1RH0Dj5hBK9zJhonZmtxX4R6vZ1SqEv-myKWFeS7NBZ1gY5