

1. Considere  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  una muestra aleatoria desde  $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \lambda \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)$ , donde  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0$  es conocida. Tenemos,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n |2\pi\lambda\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\lambda} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\} \\ &= |2\pi\lambda\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0|^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

con  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top, \lambda)^\top$ . De este modo,

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{np}{2} \log \lambda - \frac{n}{2} \log |2\pi\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0| - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left\{ \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \mathbf{Q}) + n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\},$$

donde  $\mathbf{Q} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^\top$ . Evidentemente,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\ \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \lambda} &= -\frac{np}{2\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \left\{ \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \mathbf{Q}) + n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Resolviendo las condiciones de primer orden,  $\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$  y  $\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \partial \lambda = 0$ . Obtenemos  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ , y

$$-\frac{np}{2\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\lambda^2} \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \mathbf{Q} = 0.$$

Es decir,

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{np} \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \mathbf{Q} = \frac{1}{p} \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \mathbf{S}_*,$$

con  $\mathbf{S}_* = \mathbf{Q}/n$ .

2. Tenemos,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = |2\pi\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}|^{-1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 \right).$$

De este modo,

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = -\frac{p}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2.$$

Es decir, podemos escribir la función Lagrangiana,

$$F(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \lambda) = -\frac{p}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2\sigma^2} (\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu} - 1),$$

donde  $\lambda$  representa un multiplicador de Lagrange. Diferenciando  $F(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \lambda)$  con relación a  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  y  $\lambda$ , sigue que:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \lambda)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{\lambda}{\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Resolviendo la condición de primer orden, obtenemos

$$\mathbf{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} - \hat{\lambda}\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} - 1 = 0. \quad (2)$$

Desde la Ecuación (1), lleva

$$\mathbf{x} = (1 + \hat{\lambda})\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{1 + \hat{\lambda}}. \quad (3)$$

Substituyendo en (2), obtenemos

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left( \frac{\mathbf{x}}{1 + \hat{\lambda}} \right)^\top \frac{\mathbf{x}}{1 + \hat{\lambda}} = 1,$$

es decir,  $\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} = (1 + \hat{\lambda})^2$ . O bien,  $\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}} = 1 + \hat{\lambda}$ . Finalmente, por (3) tenemos:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{1 + \hat{\lambda}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}.$$

**3.a.** Considere  $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}_{n,k}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{I}_n \otimes \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . En este caso tenemos  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top + \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{B}_2$ , con log-verosimilitud

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^2 (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{B}_2)^\top (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{B}_2),$$

con  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \mathbf{B}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . Diferenciando con relación a  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1$ , obtenemos

$$\text{d}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_1} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \text{d}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_1} Q(\mathbf{B}), \quad \text{d}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \text{d}_{\mathbf{B}_2} Q(\mathbf{B}).$$

Ahora,

$$\text{d}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_1} Q(\mathbf{B}) = -(\text{d} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1) \mathbf{1}^\top (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{B}_2) - (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{B}_2)^\top \mathbf{1} (\text{d} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1)^\top,$$

luego,

$$\text{d}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_1} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{B}_2)^\top \mathbf{1} (\text{d} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1)^\top.$$

El diferencial es cero si,

$$(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\hat{\mathbf{B}}_2)^\top \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{Y}^\top \mathbf{1} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}_2^\top \mathbf{X}_2^\top \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0},$$

como  $\mathbf{X}_2^\top \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$  sigue que

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Y}^\top \mathbf{1} = \bar{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Por otro lado,

$$\text{d}_{\mathbf{B}_2} Q(\mathbf{B}) = -(\text{d} \mathbf{B}_2) \mathbf{X}_2^\top (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{B}_2) - (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{B}_2)^\top \mathbf{X}_2 \text{d} \mathbf{B}_2.$$

De este modo,

$$\text{d}_{\mathbf{B}_2} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{B}_2)^\top \mathbf{X}_2 \text{d} \mathbf{B}_2,$$

lo que lleva a la ecuación de estimación

$$\mathbf{X}_2^\top (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2\hat{\mathbf{B}}_2) = \mathbf{0},$$

tenemos que  $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{\mathbf{y}}$ , así podemos definir  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{y}}^\top$  lo que permite escribir

$$\mathbf{X}_2^\top (\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{X}_2 \hat{\mathbf{B}}_2) = \mathbf{0},$$

es decir,

$$\mathbf{X}_2^\top \mathbf{X}_2 \hat{\mathbf{B}}_2 = \mathbf{X}_2^\top \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\mathbf{B}}_2 = (\mathbf{X}_2^\top \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2^\top \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}.$$

**3.b.** Bajo  $H_0$  tenemos el modelo  $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{N}_{n,k}(\mathbf{1}\beta_1^\top, \mathbf{I}_n \otimes \Sigma)$ . De ahí que,

$$\ell(\beta_1, \Sigma) = -\frac{n}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\beta_1^\top)^\top (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\beta_1^\top).$$

De este modo,

$$\text{d}_{\beta_1} \ell(\beta_1, \Sigma) = \text{tr} \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\beta_1^\top)^\top \mathbf{1} (\text{d}\beta_1)^\top,$$

y desde la condición de primer orden, obtenemos

$$(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\tilde{\beta}_1^\top)^\top \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{Y}^\top \mathbf{1} - \tilde{\beta}_1 \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0},$$

de ahí que  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \mathbf{Y}^\top \mathbf{1}/n = \bar{\mathbf{y}}$ . Asimismo,

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} Q(\tilde{\beta}_1), \quad \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} Q(\hat{\mathbf{B}}),$$

con  $\hat{\mathbf{B}} = (\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\mathbf{B}}_2)$ . De este modo,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\hat{\theta}) &= (2\pi)^{-np/2} |\hat{\Sigma}|^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} Q(\hat{\mathbf{B}}) \right\} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-np/2} |\hat{\Sigma}|^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{2} \text{tr} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \right\} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-np/2} |\hat{\Sigma}|^{-n/2} \exp(-np/2), \end{aligned}$$

y análogamente,

$$L(\tilde{\theta}) = (2\pi)^{-np/2} |\tilde{\Sigma}|^{-n/2} \exp(-np/2).$$

Así, el estadístico de razón de verosimilitudes adopta la forma:

$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\tilde{\theta})}{L(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{|\tilde{\Sigma}|^{-n/2}}{|\hat{\Sigma}|^{-n/2}} = \frac{|Q(\tilde{\beta}_1)/n|^{-n/2}}{|Q(\hat{\mathbf{B}})/n|^{-n/2}}.$$

Tenemos que

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\hat{\mathbf{B}}) &= (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\hat{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2 \hat{\mathbf{B}}_2)^\top (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\hat{\beta}_1^\top - \mathbf{X}_2 \hat{\mathbf{B}}_2) \\ &= (\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{X}_2 \hat{\mathbf{B}}_2)^\top (\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{X}_2 \hat{\mathbf{B}}_2) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}_2) \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}, \end{aligned}$$

con  $\mathbf{H}_2 = \mathbf{X}_2 (\mathbf{X}_2^\top \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2^\top$ , mientras que

$$Q(\tilde{\beta}_1) = (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\tilde{\beta}_1^\top)^\top (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{1}\tilde{\beta}_1^\top) = \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}.$$

Finalmente podemos escribir:

$$T = \Lambda^{2/n} = \frac{|Q(\hat{\mathbf{B}})|}{|Q(\tilde{\beta}_1)|} = \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}_2) \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}|}{|\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}|}.$$