

Properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors

A square matrix \mathbf{A} and its transpose have the same eigenvalues.

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A}^T - \lambda \mathbf{I}) &= \det(\mathbf{A}^T - \lambda \mathbf{I}^T) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I})^T \\ &= \det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \end{aligned}$$

so any solution of $\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0$ is a solution of $\det(\mathbf{A}^T - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0$ and vice versa. Thus \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}^T have the same eigenvalues.

The matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}^T will usually have different *eigenvectors*.

The eigenvalues of a diagonal or triangular matrix are its diagonal elements.

Proof. Suppose the matrix \mathbf{A} is diagonal or triangular. If you subtract λ 's from its diagonal elements, the result $\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}$ is still diagonal or triangular. Its determinant is the product of its diagonal elements, so it is just the product of factors of the form (*diagonal element* $- \lambda$). The roots of the characteristic equation must then be the diagonal elements.

Another addition to the square matrix theorem.

An $n \times n$ matrix is invertible if and only if it doesn't have 0 as an eigenvalue.

Proof. An $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} has an eigenvalue 0 if and only if $\det(\mathbf{A} - 0\mathbf{I}) = 0$, i.e. if and only if $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$. Since \mathbf{A} is invertible if and only if $\det \mathbf{A} \neq 0$, \mathbf{A} is invertible if and only if 0 is not an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} .

If a matrix \mathbf{A} has eigenvalue λ with corresponding eigenvector \mathbf{x} , then for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$, \mathbf{A}^k has eigenvalue λ^k corresponding to the same eigenvector \mathbf{x} .

Proof. Suppose the matrix \mathbf{A} has eigenvalue λ with eigenvector \mathbf{x} , i.e. suppose that $\mathbf{Ax} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$. Then $\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Ax}) = \mathbf{A}(\lambda\mathbf{x}) = \lambda(\mathbf{Ax}) = \lambda(\lambda\mathbf{x}) = \lambda^2\mathbf{x}$. Multiply by more \mathbf{A} 's to get $\mathbf{A}^3\mathbf{x} = \lambda^3\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{A}^4\mathbf{x} = \lambda^4\mathbf{x}$ and so on.

If \mathbf{A} is an invertible matrix with eigenvalue λ corresponding to eigenvector \mathbf{x} , then \mathbf{A}^{-1} has eigenvalue λ^{-1} corresponding to the same eigenvector \mathbf{x} .

Proof. Multiply the equation $\mathbf{Ax} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ by $\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$:

$$\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{Ax}) = \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\lambda\mathbf{x},$$

i.e.

$$\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{x}.$$

Thus \mathbf{A}^{-1} has eigenvalue λ^{-1} corresponding to the same eigenvector \mathbf{x} .

Eigenvectors of a matrix \mathbf{A} with distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose the statement is not true, i.e. suppose that \mathbf{A} has a linearly dependent set of eigenvectors each with a different eigenvalue. "Thin out" this set of vectors to get a linearly independent subset $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$, with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$.

Suppose \mathbf{u} is one of the eigenvectors you thinned out because it was linearly dependent on the others:

$$\mathbf{u} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k \quad *$$

for some scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k .

First multiply * by \mathbf{A} :

$$\mathbf{Au}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbf{A}(c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k) \\
&= c_1 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_k \\
&= c_1 \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Since \mathbf{u} is also an eigenvector, $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u} = \lambda \mathbf{u}$ for some eigenvalue λ , so this equation gives

$$\lambda \mathbf{u} = c_1 \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k. \quad **$$

Now multiply * by λ :

$$\lambda \mathbf{u} = \lambda c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \lambda c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \lambda c_k \mathbf{v}_k. \quad ***$$

Subtract *** from ** to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} &= (c_1 \lambda_1 - \lambda c_1) \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 - \lambda c_2) \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + (c_k \lambda_k - \lambda c_k) \mathbf{v}_k \\
&= c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 (\lambda_2 - \lambda) \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k (\lambda_k - \lambda) \mathbf{v}_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the \mathbf{v}_i 's are linearly independent, $c_i(\lambda_i - \lambda) = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Since the eigenvalues (including λ) are all different, $c_i = 0$ for all i . But this implies (from equation *) that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, which is impossible since \mathbf{u} is an eigenvector.

The original assumption must be false, i.e. it is not possible to have a linearly dependent set of eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues; any eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues must be linearly independent.