Lean 4 tactic cheatsheet

Last updated: October 14, 2025

If a tactic is not recognized, write import Mathlib.Tactic at the top of your file.

Logical symbol	Appears in goal	Appears in hypothesis
\forall (for all)	intro x	apply h or specialize h x
\rightarrow (implies)	intro h	apply h or specialize h1 h2
$\neg \text{ (not)}$	intro h	apply h or contradiction
\leftrightarrow (if and only if)	constructor	rw [h] or rw [← h] or apply h.1 or apply h.2
\wedge (and)	constructor	obtain ⟨h1, h2⟩ := h
\exists (there exists)	use x	obtain $\langle x, hx \rangle := h$
\vee (or)	left or right	obtain h1 h2 := h
a = b (equality)	rfl or ext	rw [h] or rw [← h]
True	trivial	_
False	—	contradiction

Tactic	Effect	
	Applying Lemmas	
exact expr	prove the current goal exactly by expr.	
apply expr	prove the current goal by applying $expr$ to some arguments.	
$refine \ expr$	like exact, but expr can contain ?_ that will be turned into a new goal.	
convert expr	prove the goal by showing that it is equal to the type of $expr$.	
	Context manipulation	
have $h : prop := expr$	add a new hypothesis h of type prop. A Do not use for data!	
have $h : prop := by tac$	add hypothesis h after proving it using tactics. A Do not use for data!	
$\operatorname{set} \ \mathtt{x} \ : \ \mathit{type} \ := \ \mathit{expr}$	add an abbreviation \mathbf{x} with value $expr$.	
clear h	remove hypothesis h from the context.	
rename_i x h	rename the last inaccessible names with the given names.	
expose_names	make all inaccessible names accessible.	
show $expr$	replaces the goal by $expr$, if they are equal by definition.	
generalize_proofs	add all proofs occurring in the goal to the local context.	
	Rewriting and simplifying	
rw [expr]	in the goal, replace (all occurrences of) the left-hand side of $expr$ by its right-hand side. $expr$ must be an equality, iff statement or definition.	
rw [$\leftarrow expr$]	\dots rewrites using $expr$ from right-to-left.	
rw [expr] at h	rewrite in hypothesis h.	
nth_rw n [expr]	rewrite only the n -th occurrence of the rewrite rule $expr$.	
grw	Rewrite with inequalities or other relations.	
simp	simplify the goal using all lemmas tagged <code>@[simp]</code> and basic reductions.	
simp at h	simplify in hypothesis h.	
simp [*, expr]	\dots also simplify with all hypotheses and $expr$.	
simp only [expr]	\dots only simplify with $expr$ and basic reductions (not with simp-lemmas).	
simp?	let Lean speed up simp by specifying which lemmas were used.	
$simp_rw [expr1,]$	like rw, but uses simp only at each step.	
simp_all	repeatedly simplify the goal and all hypothesis using all hypotheses.	
norm_num	simplify numerical expressions by calculating.	
$\verb"push" pattern" / \verb"pull" pattern"$	move the constant given by $pattern$ inwards / outwards.	
norm_cast	simplify the expression by moving casts (\uparrow) outwards.	

push_cast	push casts inwards.
conv => conv-tac	apply rewrite rules to only part of the goal. Use congr, skip, ext,
	lhs, rhs, to navigate to the desired subexpression. See TPIL.
$\verb change expr $	change the current goal to $expr$, if they are equal by definition.
split_ifs	case split on every occurrence of if h then $expr$ else $expr$ in the goal.
	Reasoning with equalities, inequalities, and other relations
$\mathtt{calc}\ a = b \ \mathtt{:=}\ \mathtt{by}\ tac$	perform a calculation
$_ \leq c := $ by tac $_ < d := $ by tac	♀ after writing "calc _" Lean can generate a basic calc-block for you. ♀ after a by shift-click on a subterm in the goal to create a new step.
rfl	prove the current goal by reflexivity.
symm	swap a symmetric relation.
trans expr	split a transitive relation into two parts with <i>expr</i> in the middle.
subst h	if h equates a variable with a value, substitute the value for the variable.
ext	prove an equality in a specified type (e.g. functions).
apply_fun $expr$ at h	apply expr to both sides of the (in)equality h.
linear_combination	prove an equality by specifying it as a linear combination of hypotheses.
congr	prove an equality using congruence rules.
gcongr	prove an inequality using congruence rules.
positivity	prove goals of the form $0 < x$, $0 \le x$ and $x \ne 0$.
bound	prove inequalities based on the expression structure.
order	prove purely order-theoretic results.
omega / cutsat	solve linear arithmetic problems over \mathbb{N} or \mathbb{Z} .
linarith	prove linear (in)equalities from the hypotheses.
nlinarith	stronger variant of linarith that can solve some nonlinear inequalities.
	Reasoning techniques
exfalso	replace the current goal by False.
by_contra h	proof by contradiction; adds the negation of the goal as hypothesis h.
by_cases h : prop	case-split on prop.
induction n with	prove a goal by induction on n.
zero => tac	
\mid succ n ih => tac	after writing "induction n" Lean can generate the cases for you.
choose f h using expr	extract a function from a forall-exists statement <i>expr</i> .
lift n to type using h	lifts a variable to $type$ (e.g. \mathbb{N}) using side-condition \mathbf{h} .
zify / qify / rify	shift an (in)equality to $\mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{R}$.
	Searching
exact?	search for a single lemma that closes the goal using the current hypotheses.
apply?	gives a list of lemmas that can apply to the current goal.
rw??	allows you to shift-click on subterms to get rewrite suggestions.
rw?	gives a list of lemmas that can be used to rewrite the current goal.
have? using h1, h2	try to find facts that can be concluded by using both h1 and h2.
hint	run a few common tactics on the goal, reporting which one succeeded.
. ,	General automation
grind	general-purpose automation tool with many features.
<pre>ring / noncomm_ring / module/ field_simp; ring / abel / group</pre>	prove the goal by using the axioms of a commutative ring $/$ ring $/$ module $/$ field $/$ abelian group $/$ group.
aesop	simplify the goal, and use various techniques to prove the goal.
tauto	prove logical tautologies.
decide	run a decision procedure to prove the goal (if it is decidable).
	Operations on goals/tactics
swap	swap the first two goals.
${\tt pick_goal}\ n$	move goal n to the front.

${\tt all_goals}\ tac$	run tac to all goals.	
${ t try} \ tac$	run tac only if it succeeds.	
tac1; tac2	run $tac1$ and then $tac2$ (same as putting them on separate lines).	
tac1 <;> tac2	run $tac1$ and then $tac2$ on all goals generated by $tac1$.	
sorry	admit the current goal.	
	Domain-specific tactics	
fin_cases h	split a hypothesis h into finitely many cases.	
interval_cases n	if split the goal into cases for each of the possible values for ${\tt n}.$	
compute_degree	prove (in)equalities about the degree of a polynomial	
monicity	prove that a polynomial is monic	
fun_prop	prove that a function satisfies a property (continuity, measurability, \dots).	
measurability	prove that a set or function is measurable.	
filter_upwards [h1, h2]	Show that an Eventually goal follows from the given hypotheses.	
slice_lhs, slice_rhs	Focus on a part of a composition in a category.	
	See the source code for some other category theory tactics.	

Usage note

This is a quick overview of the most common tactics in Lean with only a short description. To learn more about a tactic and to learn its precise syntax or variants, consult its docstring or use #help tactic tac. This list is not complete, and various tactics are intentionally left out.

Some useful commands (Some of these also work as tactics)

#loogle query	• use Loogle! to find declarations.
$\verb"#leansearch" query.""$	② use LeanSearch to find declarations.
#exit	don't compile code after this command.
#lint	run linters to find common mistakes in the code above this command.
#where	print current opened namespaces, universes, variables and options.
#min_imports	print the minimal imports needed for what you've done so far.
#find_home $name$	find a file that imports all prerequisites of <i>name</i> .
#help tactic tac	find information about tac .
#help category	list all tactics/commands/attributes/options/notations.

Legend

 $\mathbf{\hat{V}}$ describes a code action for this tactic.

• requires internet access.