

Foundational principles

Eclipse Arrowhead

Abstract

This is the template for System Description (SysD document) according to the Eclipse Arrowhead documentation structure.

Contents

1 Overview	3
1.1 Significant Prior Art	3
1.2 Eclipse Arrowhead architecture philosophy	4
2 Eclipse Arrowhead engineering process	6
3 Implementation specifics	6
4 References	6
5 Revision History	7
5.1 Amendments	7
5.2 Quality Assurance	7

1 Overview

This document describes the fundational principles for Eclipse Arrowhead.

The rest of this document is organized as follows. In Section 1.1, we reference major prior art capabilities of the system. In Section 1.2, we the intended usage of the system. In Section ??, we describe fundamental properties provided by the system. In Section ??, we describe de-limitations of capabilities ofn the system. In Section ??, we describe the abstract service functions consumed or produced by the system. In Section ??, we describe the security capabilities of the system.

1.1 Significant Prior Art

Eclipse Arrowhead has its roots in service oriented architecture, SOA, and its use for primarily far edge, edge and fog automation and digitalisation with interoperability to the cloud level.

A set of EU projects have built the foundation for what's now Eclipse Arrowhead, current version 4.6.1 with the specifications for v5.0 in the works. These projects are:

- Socrades
- IMC-AESOP
- Arrowhead
- Productive4.0
- Arrowhead Tools
- AIMS5.0
- Arrowhead fPVN

A couple of basic architecture ideas has been around since the prior art projects:

- Socrades:
 - Hard real time control using internet protocols
- IMC-AESOP:
 - Objective to be capable of implementing real world SCADA and DCS systems.
 - The local cloud concept was born. Local clouds are self contained for its intended operation enabling local security, protection and if equiped with TDMA network MAC real time properties can be achived.
 - System are self contained for its intended operation, e.g. owning and responsible for its own data storage and compitational resources.
- Arrowhead:
 - * Objective to be interoperability to legacy and internet protocols and being Open Source.
 - * Basic SOA foundation established, Look-up, Late binding and Lossely coupled.
 - * Mandatory core systems defined: ServiceRegistry, Orcehstration, Authorisation
 - * Interoperability enabled through translation dynamically instatiated when needed.
 - * v3.3 released as open source
- Productive4.0:
 - * Arrowhead Framework becomes Eclipse Arrowhead architecture and implementation platform
 - * Extending the implementation platform - teh Arrowhead technology stack is defined
 - * v4.5 released
- Arowheed Tools
 - * Objective to reduce engineeering cost with 20-50%
 - * Extending the Eclipse Arrowehad technology stack

- * v4.6 released
- * Achieved 30-95% engineering cost and time reduction in 28 industrial use cases along the extended IEC 81346 engineering process.

The current comprehensive high level architecture description of Eclipse Arrowhead architecture is the book "IoT Automation - Arrowhead framework" [1]. The currently released core systems and associated documentations are available at www.github.com/eclipsearrowhead.




Figure 1: The Eclipse Arrowhead technology stack and associated microsystems, released, release candidates and prototypes.

1.2 Eclipse Arrowhead architecture philosophy

The architecture philosophy is based on the following key technology decision and objectives:

- Key technology decisions
 - A fully distributed microservice SOA approach shall be used
 - Support for design and run time engineering
 - A set of microsystems, the technology stack cf. Figure 1, shall be provided enabling the implementation of automation and digitalisation solutions
 - * Three core microsystems considered as primary and almost mandatory, ServiceRegistry, Orchestration, Authorisation, enabling Look-up, Late binding and Loosely coupling.
 - * A set of support microsystem will be defined and implemented covering the technology stack cf. Figure enabling implementation automation architectures like ISA-95 and RAMI4.0. 1.
 - Basic microsystem properties: A microsystem can be stateless or stateful. If stateful the microsystem is responsible for its own data storage preferable using a database and a well established/standardised data model.
 - The local cloud concept shall be used providing segmentation and protection of functional properties enabling differentiated security, safety, and real time properties within a solution architecture with managed access into a segment and between segments.

- Network technology agnostic, allowing for different network properties inside different local clouds.
- Documentation of the Eclipse Arrowhead architecture and solutions based thereon to follow the adopted documentation structure as shown in Figure 4.




Figure 2: The Eclipse Arrowhead documentation structure.

- Architectural levels defined are depicted in Figure 2.
- Technology objectives:
 - Interoperability support at Service level shall be provided regarding: SOA, IP and legacy protocols, encodings, compressions, security, data models, through translators or dedicated adaptors. For data model interoperability between major standards like e.g. ISO10303, ISO 15926, IEC 81346 are prioritised.
 - Security shall be supported at service exchange level with authentication, authorisation and audit. Security at finer granularity than service level is being addressed. Security is highly recommended but can be discarded if desired.
 - Secure on-boarding: On-boarding based on authentication of devices, microsystems and microservices shall be supported.
 - Support for multiple strategy direction. A strategy direction may be: Security, LifeCycle, Maintenance, Business, Audit, Monitoring, BusinessAdministration, BusinessModels. This will also require ways of addressing interdependencies between the various strategy directions.




Figure 3: The Eclipse Arrowhead architectural levels from network to System of Systems.

- Model based engineering to support documentation, requirements validation, automated code generation and generation of deployment ready code packages (containers) [?, ?]
- Domain specific language based on UML/SysML [?].

A set of terminologies used in the Eclipse Arrowhead developments and supporting project are important to highlight. The following are important to highlight since they may have different meaning in other domains, contexts and projects. The document by Emanuel Palm and Jerker Delsing has defined the most important vocabulary within the Eclipse Arrowhead development [?]. Some important updates are:

- Service -> Microservice
- System -> Microsystem

2 Eclipse Arrowhead engineering process

The Arrowhead engineering process has primarily been documented in a couple of journal papers by G. Urgese et.al. [?, ?], cf. Figure 3.




Figure 4: The Eclipse Arrowhead engineering process is an extension of the IEC81346 engineering process..

3 Implementation specifics

For the current implementations a set of technologies has been chosen for various reasons ranging from simple to use over “this are the technologies I’m familiar to” to ther are the technologies “which provides what I what”. Technologies used for implementations of Eclipse Arrowhead microsystem are:

- Protocols: HTTP (REST), CoAP, MQTT, Websocket
- Network protocols: Ethernet, WiFi, 802.15.4
- Datamode standards used: SenML
- Security protocol: X.509 certificates and tokens, see ??
- Programing language: Java, Python
- Libraries: Java, C
- High performance: GO lang

4 References

- [1] J. Delsing, Ed., *IoT Automation - Arrowhead Framework*. CRC Press, Feb. 2017, no. ISBN 9781498756754.

5 Revision History

5.1 Amendments

Revision history and Quality assurance as per examples below

No.	Date	Version	Subject of Amendments	Author
1	2023-05-08	4.6.1		Jerker Delsing
2				
3				

5.2 Quality Assurance

No.	Date	Version	Approved by
1	2022-01-10	4.6.1	