

# ETC3550/ETC5550 Applied forecasting

Ch3. Time series decomposition OTexts.org/fpp3/

## **Outline**


- 1 Transformations and adjustments
- 2 Time series components
  - 3 History of time series decomposition
  - 4 STL decomposition
- 5 When things go wrong

## **Outline**

- 1 Transformations and adjustments
- 2 Time series components
- 3 History of time series decomposition
- 4 STL decomposition
- 5 When things go wrong


## Per capita adjustments

```
global_economy %>%
  filter(Country == "Australia") %>%
  autoplot(GDP)
```



# Per capita adjustments

```
global_economy %>%
  filter(Country == "Australia") %>%
  autoplot(GDP / Population)
```




#### Your turn

Consider the GDP information in global\_economy. Plot the GDP per capita for each country over time. Which country has the highest GDP per capita? How has this changed over time?

## **Inflation adjustments**

```
print retail <- aus retail %>%
  filter(Industry == "Newspaper and book retailing") %>%
  group_by(Industry) %>%
  index_by(Year = year(Month)) %>%
  summarise(Turnover = sum(Turnover))
aus_economy <- filter(global_economy, Code == "AUS")</pre>
print_retail %>%
  left_join(aus_economy, by = "Year") %>%
 mutate(Adj_turnover = Turnover / CPI * 100) %>%
  pivot_longer(c(Turnover, Adj_turnover),
    names_to = "Type", values_to = "Turnover"
 ) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Year, y = Turnover)) +
  geom_line() +
  facet_grid(vars(Type), scales = "free_y") +
  xlab("Years") + ylab(NULL) +
  ggtitle("Turnover: Australian print media industry")
```

# **Inflation adjustments**



If the data show different variation at different levels of the series, then a transformation can be useful.

If the data show different variation at different levels of the series, then a transformation can be useful.

Denote original observations as  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  and transformed observations as  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ .

If the data show different variation at different levels of the series, then a transformation can be useful.

Denote original observations as  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  and transformed observations as  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ .

#### Mathematical transformations for stabilizing variation

Square root 
$$w_t = \sqrt{y_t}$$

Cube root 
$$w_t = \sqrt[3]{y_t}$$
 Increasing

Logarithm  $w_t = \log(y_t)$  strength

9

If the data show different variation at different levels of the series, then a transformation can be useful.


Denote original observations as  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  and transformed observations as  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ .

#### Mathematical transformations for stabilizing variation


Square root 
$$w_t = \sqrt{y_t}$$
  $\downarrow$  Cube root  $w_t = \sqrt[3]{y_t}$  Increasing Logarithm  $w_t = \log(y_t)$  strength

Logarithms, in particular, are useful because they are more interpretable: changes in a log value are **relative** (percent) changes on the original scale.


```
food <- aus_retail %>%
  filter(Industry == "Food retailing") %>%
  summarise(Turnover = sum(Turnover))
```



```
food %>% autoplot(sqrt(Turnover)) +
  labs(y = "Square root turnover")
```



```
food %>% autoplot(Turnover^(1/3)) +
  labs(y = "Cube root turnover")
```



1990 Jan

```
food %>% autoplot(log(Turnover)) +
 labs(y = "Log turnover")
 Log turnover
```


2000 Jan

Month [1M]

2010 Jan

2020 Jai

```
food %>% autoplot(-1/Turnover) +
  labs(y = "Inverse turnover")
```



Each of these transformations is close to a member of the family of **Box-Cox transformations**:

$$w_t = \begin{cases} \log(y_t), & \lambda = 0; \\ (y_t^{\lambda} - 1)/\lambda, & \lambda \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Each of these transformations is close to a member of the family of **Box-Cox transformations**:

$$w_t = \begin{cases} \log(y_t), & \lambda = 0; \\ (y_t^{\lambda} - 1)/\lambda, & \lambda \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

- $\lambda$  = 1: (No substantive transformation)
- $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ : (Square root plus linear transformation)
- $\lambda$  = 0: (Natural logarithm)
- $\lambda = -1$ : (Inverse plus 1)

```
food %>%
  features(Turnover, features = guerrero)


## # A tibble: 1 x 1
## lambda_guerrero
## <dbl>
## 1 0.0524
```

```
food %>%
  features(Turnover, features = guerrero)
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 1
## lambda_guerrero
## <dbl>
## 1 0.0524
```

- This attempts to balance the seasonal fluctuations and random variation across the series.
- Always check the results.
- A low value of  $\lambda$  can give extremely large prediction intervals.

```
food %>% autoplot(box_cox(Turnover, 0.0524)) +
  labs(y = "Box-Cox transformed turnover")
```



#### **Transformations**

- Often no transformation needed.
- Simple transformations are easier to explain and work well enough.
- Transformations can have very large effect on PI.
- If some data are zero or negative, then use  $\lambda > 0$ .
- log1p() can also be useful for data with zeros.
- Choosing logs is a simple way to force forecasts to be positive
- Transformations must be reversed to obtain forecasts on the original scale. (Handled automatically by fable.)

#### Your turn

- For the following series, find an appropriate transformation in order to stabilise the variance.
  - United States GDP from global\_economy
  - Slaughter of Victorian "Bulls, bullocks and steers" in aus\_livestock
  - Victorian Electricity Demand from vic\_elec.
  - Gas production from aus\_production
- Why is a Box-Cox transformation unhelpful for the canadian\_gas data?

## **Outline**

- 1 Transformations and adjustments
- 2 Time series components
  - 3 History of time series decomposition
  - 4 STL decomposition
  - 5 When things go wrong

## Time series patterns

#### Recall

**Trend** pattern exists when there is a long-term increase or decrease in the data.

Cyclic pattern exists when data exhibit rises and falls that are not of fixed period (duration usually of at least 2 years).

**Seasonal** pattern exists when a series is influenced by seasonal factors (e.g., the quarter of the year, the month, or day of the week).

## Time series decomposition

$$y_t = f(S_t, T_t, R_t)$$

where  $y_t = \text{data at period } t$ 

 $T_t$  = trend-cycle component at period t

 $S_t$  = seasonal component at period t

 $R_t$  = remainder component at period t

## Time series decomposition

$$y_t = f(S_t, T_t, R_t)$$

where  $y_t = \text{data at period } t$ 

 $T_t$  = trend-cycle component at period t

 $S_t$  = seasonal component at period t

 $R_t$  = remainder component at period t

Additive decomposition:  $y_t = S_t + T_t + R_t$ .

Multiplicative decomposition:  $y_t = S_t \times T_t \times R_t$ .

## Time series decomposition

- Additive model appropriate if magnitude of seasonal fluctuations does not vary with level.
- If seasonal are proportional to level of series, then multiplicative model appropriate.
- Multiplicative decomposition more prevalent with economic series
- Alternative: use a Box-Cox transformation, and then use additive decomposition.
- Logs turn multiplicative relationship into an additive relationship:


$$y_t = S_t \times T_t \times R_t \implies \log y_t = \log S_t + \log T_t + \log R_t.$$

```
us_retail_employment <- us_employment %>%
  filter(year(Month) >= 1990, Title == "Retail Trade") %>%
  select(-Series_ID)
us_retail_employment
```

```
## # A tsibble: 357 x 3 [1M]
        Month Title Employed
##
##
        <mth> <chr>
                            <dbl>
   1 1990 Jan Retail Trade 13256.
##
##
   2 1990 Feb Retail Trade 12966.
   3 1990 Mar Retail Trade 12938.
##
   4 1990 Apr Retail Trade 13012.
##
##
   5 1990 May Retail Trade 13108.
   6 1990 Jun Retail Trade
##
                           13183.
   7 1990 Jul Retail Trade
##
                            13170.
   8 1990 Aug Retail Trade 13160.
##
   9 1990 Sep Retail Trade
                           13113.
##
## 10 1000 Oct Doto: ] Trada
```

25

```
us_retail_employment %>%
  autoplot(Employed) +
  xlab("Year") + ylab("Persons (thousands)") +
  ggtitle("Total employment in US retail")
```



stl

##

## <model> ## 1 <STL>

```
us_retail_employment %>%
  model(stl = STL(Employed))

## # A mable: 1 x 1
```

44 0 ~+1

1000 1...

```
dcmp <- us_retail_employment %>%
  model(stl = STL(Employed))
components(dcmp)
```


```
## # A dable: 357 x 7 [1M]
            .model [1]
## # Key:
## # STL Decomposition: Employed = trend + season_year +
## # remainder
    ##
##
    <chr> <mth> <dbl> <dbl>
                               <dbl> <dbl>
  1 stl 1990 Jan 13256. 13291. -38.1 3.08
##
##
  2 stl 1990 Feb 12966. 13272. -261. -44.2
##
  3 stl 1990 Mar 12938. 13252. -291. -23.0
##
  4 stl 1990 Apr 13012. 13233. -221. 0.0892
  5 stl
        1990 May 13108. 13213. -115. 9.98
##
  6 stl
         1990 Jun 13183. 13193. -25.6 15.7
##
##
  7 stl
        1990 Jul 13170. 13173. -24.4 22.0
```

12100 12152 11 0 10 5

28


```
us_retail_employment %>%
  autoplot(Employed, color='gray') +
  autolayer(components(dcmp), trend, color='red') +
  xlab("Year") + ylab("Persons (thousands)") +
  ggtitle("Total employment in US retail")
```





## **US Retail Employment**

#### components(dcmp) %>% gg\_subseries(season\_year)



## Seasonal adjustment

- Useful by-product of decomposition: an easy way to calculate seasonally adjusted data.
- Additive decomposition: seasonally adjusted data given by

$$y_t - S_t = T_t + R_t$$

Multiplicative decomposition: seasonally adjusted data given by

$$y_t/S_t = T_t \times R_t$$

## **US Retail Employment**

```
us_retail_employment %>%
  autoplot(Employed, color='gray') +
  autolayer(components(dcmp), season_adjust, color='blue') +
  xlab("Year") + ylab("Persons (thousands)") +
  ggtitle("Total employment in US retail")
```



## Seasonal adjustment

- We use estimates of *S* based on past values to seasonally adjust a current value.
- Seasonally adjusted series reflect remainders as well as trend. Therefore they are not "smooth" and "downturns" or "upturns" can be misleading.
- It is better to use the trend-cycle component to look for turning points.

### **Outline**

- 1 Transformations and adjustments
- 2 Time series components
- 3 History of time series decomposition
- 4 STL decomposition
- 5 When things go wrong

## History of time series decomposition

- Classical method originated in 1920s.
- Census II method introduced in 1957. Basis for X-11 method and variants (including X-12-ARIMA, X-13-ARIMA)
- STL method introduced in 1983
- TRAMO/SEATS introduced in 1990s.

## History of time series decomposition

- Classical method originated in 1920s.
- Census II method introduced in 1957. Basis for X-11 method and variants (including X-12-ARIMA, X-13-ARIMA)
- STL method introduced in 1983
- TRAMO/SEATS introduced in 1990s.

### **National Statistics Offices**

- ABS uses X-12-ARIMA
- US Census Bureau uses X-13ARIMA-SEATS
- Statistics Canada uses X-12-ARIMA
- ONS (UK) uses X-12-ARIMA
- EuroStat use X-13ARIMA-SEATS

# X-11 decomposition

### **Advantages**

- Relatively robust to outliers
- Completely automated choices for trend and seasonal changes
- Very widely tested on economic data over a long period of time.

# X-11 decomposition

### **Advantages**

- Relatively robust to outliers
- Completely automated choices for trend and seasonal changes
- Very widely tested on economic data over a long period of time.

### Disadvantages

- No prediction/confidence intervals
- Ad hoc method with no underlying model
- Only developed for quarterly and monthly data

### Extensions: X-12-ARIMA and X-13-ARIMA

- The X-11, X-12-ARIMA and X-13-ARIMA methods are based on Census II decomposition.
- These allow adjustments for trading days and other explanatory variables.
- Known outliers can be omitted.
- Level shifts and ramp effects can be modelled.
- Missing values estimated and replaced.
- Holiday factors (e.g., Easter, Labour Day) can be estimated.

### X-13ARIMA-SEATS

### **Advantages**

- Model-based
- Smooth trend estimate
- Allows estimates at end points
- Allows changing seasonality
- Developed for economic data

### X-13ARIMA-SEATS

### **Advantages**

- Model-based
- Smooth trend estimate
- Allows estimates at end points
- Allows changing seasonality
- Developed for economic data

### Disadvantages


Only developed for quarterly and monthly data

### **Outline**

- 1 Transformations and adjustments
- 2 Time series components
- 3 History of time series decomposition
- 4 STL decomposition
- 5 When things go wrong


- STL: "Seasonal and Trend decomposition using Loess"
- Very versatile and robust.
- Unlike X-12-ARIMA, STL will handle any type of seasonality.
- Seasonal component allowed to change over time, and rate of change controlled by user.
- Smoothness of trend-cycle also controlled by user.
- Robust to outliers
- Not trading day or calendar adjustments.
- Only additive.
- Take logs to get multiplicative decomposition.
- Use Box-Cox transformations to get other decompositions.

```
us_retail_employment %>%
  model(STL(Employed ~ season(window=9), robust=TRUE)) %>%
  components() %>% autoplot() +
    ggtitle("STL decomposition: US retail employment")
```



- trend(window = ?) controls wiggliness of trend component.
- season(window = ?) controls variation on seasonal component.
- season(window = 'periodic') is equivalent to an infinite window.

```
us_retail_employment %>%
  model(STL(Employed)) %>%
  components() %>%
  autoplot()
```




- Algorithm that updates trend and seasonal components iteratively.
- Starts with  $\hat{T}_t = 0$
- Uses a mixture of loess and moving averages to successively refine the trend and seasonal estimates.
- The trend window controls loess bandwidth applied to deasonalised values.
- The season window controls loess bandwidth applied to detrended subseries.
- Robustness weights based on remainder.
- Default season window = 13
- Default trend window = nextodd(
   ceiling((1.5\*period)/(1-(1.5/s.window)))

### **Outline**

- 1 Transformations and adjustments
- 2 Time series components
- 3 History of time series decomposition
- 4 STL decomposition
- 5 When things go wrong





# ABS jobs and unemployment figures - key questions answered by an expert

A professor of statistics at Monash University explains exactly what is seasonal adjustment, why it matters and what went wrong in the July and August figures




🖎 School leavers come on to the jobs market at the same time, causing a seasonal fluctuation. Photograph: Brian Snyder/Reuters

The Australian Bureau of Statistics has retracted its seasonally adjusted employment data for July and August, which recorded huge swings in the jobless rate. The ABS is also planning to review the methods it uses for seasonal adjustment to ensure its figures are as accurate as possible. Rob Hyndman, a professor of statistics at Monash University and member of the bureau's methodology advisory board, answers our questions:


#### employed

```
# A tsibble: 440 x 4 [1M]
##
         Time Month Year Employed
         <mth> <ord> <dbl>
                              <fdb>>
##
##
    1 1978 Feb Feb
                      1978
                              5986.
    2 1978 Mar Mar
                   1978
                           6041.
##
##
    3 1978 Apr Apr 1978
                              6054.
##
    4 1978 May May 1978
                              6038.
    5 1978 Jun Jun
##
                      1978
                              6031.
    6 1978 Jul Jul
                              6036.
##
                      1978
##
    7 1978 Aug Aug
                      1978
                              6005.
##
    8 1978 Sep Sep 1978
                              6024.
    9 1978 Oct Oct
                              6046.
##
                      1978
   10 1978 Nov Nov
                      1978
                              6034.
    ... with 430 more rows
```


```
employed %>%
  autoplot(Employed) +
  ggtitle("Total employed") + ylab("Thousands") + xlab("Year")
```



```
employed %>%
  filter(Year >= 2005) %>%
  autoplot(Employed) +
  ggtitle("Total employed") + ylab("Thousands") + xlab("Year")
```




```
employed %>%
  filter(Year >= 2005) %>%
  gg_season(Employed, label = "right") +
  ggtitle("Total employed") + ylab("Thousands")
```




```
employed %>%
  mutate(diff = difference(Employed)) %>%
  filter(Month == "Sep") %>%
  ggplot(aes(y = diff, x = 1)) +
  geom_boxplot() + coord_flip() +
  ggtitle("Sep - Aug: total employed") +
  xlab("") + ylab("Thousands") +
  scale_x_continuous(breaks = NULL, labels = NULL)
```




```
dcmp <- employed %>%
  filter(Year >= 2005) %>%
  model(stl = STL(Employed ~ season(window = 11), robust = TRUE))
components(dcmp) %>% autoplot()
```



```
components(dcmp) %>%
  filter(year(Time) == 2013) %>%
  gg_season(season_year) +
  ggtitle("Seasonal component") +
  guides(colour = "none")
```



```
components(dcmp) %>%
  as_tsibble() %>%
  autoplot(season_adjust)
```



- August 2014 employment numbers higher than expected.
- Supplementary survey usually conducted in August for employed people.
- Most likely, some employed people were claiming to be unemployed in August to avoid supplementary questions.
- Supplementary survey not run in 2014, so no motivation to lie about employment.
- In previous years, seasonal adjustment fixed the problem.
- The ABS has now adopted a new method to avoid the bias.