

CS 6762
SP, ML, FC
Smart Watch Programming Assignment 2
Group: Alex Fetea, Divya Bagla

Part 1

Previous data had instances. We have 1459 for this assignment after collection.

```
Correctly Classified Instances      1121          76.8334 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    338          23.1666 %
Kappa statistic                    0.5304
Mean absolute error                 0.2723
Root mean squared error             0.4035
Relative absolute error             55.5138 %
Root relative squared error         81.4778 %
Total Number of Instances          1459

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

              TP Rate  FP Rate  Precision  Recall  F-Measure  MCC      ROC Area  PRC Area  Class
              0.778    0.245    0.808      0.778    0.793      0.531    0.837    0.844    hand_wash
              0.755    0.222    0.721      0.755    0.738      0.531    0.837    0.790    no_hand_wash
Weighted Avg.  0.768    0.235    0.770      0.768    0.769      0.531    0.837    0.821

=== Confusion Matrix ===
=== Confusion Matrix ===

   a   b   <-- classified as
646 184 |   a = hand_wash
154 475 |   b = no_hand_wash
```

Figure 1 Accuracy result for part 1

The accuracy decreases to 76.83% comparing with 80.27% of assignment 1.

Part 2

Yes, accuracy improves as we increase the time slice.

```
=== Stratified cross-validation ===

Correctly Classified Instances      1107          77.8481 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    315          22.1519 %
Kappa statistic                    0.5595
Mean absolute error                 0.2579
Root mean squared error             0.3953
Relative absolute error             52.3345 %
Root relative squared error         79.6308 %
Total Number of Instances          1422

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

              TP Rate  FP Rate  Precision  Recall  F-Measure  MCC      ROC Area  PRC Area  Class
              0.727    0.157    0.855      0.727    0.786      0.567    0.843    0.842    hand_wash
              0.843    0.273    0.709      0.843    0.770      0.567    0.843    0.771    no_hand_wash
Weighted Avg.  0.778    0.208    0.791      0.778    0.779      0.567    0.843    0.811

=== Confusion Matrix ===
=== Confusion Matrix ===

   a   b   <-- classified as
579 217 |   a = hand_wash
 98 528 |   b = no_hand_wash
```

Figure 2 Time slice =2

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

Correctly Classified Instances	1101	79.4946 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	284	20.5054 %
Kappa statistic	0.5922	
Mean absolute error	0.2468	
Root mean squared error	0.372	
Relative absolute error	49.8519 %	
Root relative squared error	74.7794 %	
Total Number of Instances	1385	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.743	0.141	0.865	0.743	0.799	0.599	0.874	0.865	hand_wash
	0.859	0.257	0.732	0.859	0.790	0.599	0.874	0.847	no_hand_wash
Weighted Avg.	0.795	0.193	0.805	0.795	0.795	0.599	0.874	0.857	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```

a   b   <-- classified as
566 196 |   a = hand_wash
 88 535 |   b = no_hand_wash

```

Figure 3 Time slice =3

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

Correctly Classified Instances	1112	82.4926 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	236	17.5074 %
Kappa statistic	0.6525	
Mean absolute error	0.1987	
Root mean squared error	0.3552	
Relative absolute error	40.0012 %	
Root relative squared error	71.2647 %	
Total Number of Instances	1348	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.757	0.095	0.903	0.757	0.824	0.663	0.890	0.886	hand_wash
	0.905	0.243	0.760	0.905	0.826	0.663	0.890	0.852	no_hand_wash
Weighted Avg.	0.825	0.163	0.837	0.825	0.825	0.663	0.890	0.870	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```

a   b   <-- classified as
551 177 |   a = hand_wash
 59 561 |   b = no_hand_wash

```

Figure 4 Time slice = 4

Part 3

The best time slice from part 2 is 4. The accuracy improves over part 1 but decreases in comparison with part 2, time slice=4.

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

```
Correctly Classified Instances      1067           79.1543 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    281           20.8457 %
Kappa statistic                    0.5829
Mean absolute error                 0.2447
Root mean squared error             0.3966
Relative absolute error             49.2529 %
Root relative squared error         79.5681 %
Total Number of Instances          1348
```

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

```

              TP Rate  FP Rate  Precision  Recall  F-Measure  MCC      ROC Area  PRC Area  Class
Weighted Avg.   0.772   0.185   0.830    0.772   0.800     0.585   0.855   0.853   hand_wash
                  0.815   0.228   0.753    0.815   0.782     0.585   0.855   0.800   no_hand_wash
Weighted Avg.   0.792   0.205   0.794    0.792   0.792     0.585   0.855   0.829
```

=== Confusion Matrix ===

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```

  a   b   <-- classified as
562 166 |   a = hand_wash
115 505 |   b = no_hand_wash
```

Figure 5 Accuracy for part 3

Part 4

The best time interval is 4.

We apply Sequential Feature Selection method and decision tree classifier.

Table 1 Feature names and respective index

Mean X	Std X	Median X	RMS X	Mean Y	Std Y	Median Y	RMS Y	Mean Z	Std Z	Median Z	RMS Z
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

The feature set is {8, 0, 6, 2}. The accuracy is 83.90% which is an improvement over part 3. The table shows how accuracy improves with feature selection. We stop and don't add the next feature as the improvement is less than 1%.

Table 2 Change in accuracy with each iteration of SFS for DT

Iteration	Feature set	Accuracy (%)
1	{8}	73.664
2	{8, 0}	78.264
3	{8, 0, 6}	81.157
4	{8, 0, 6, 2}	83.902
5	{8, 0, 6, 2, 10}	84.569

Part 5

When classifier: Random Forest

Table 3 Change in accuracy with each iteration of SFS for Random Forest

Iteration	Feature set	Accuracy (%)
1	{2}	68.249
2	{2, 10}	81.750
3	{2, 10, 6}	86.646
4	{2, 10, 6, 1}	88.724
5	{2, 10, 6, 1, 9}	89.614

Classifier: SVM (weka.classifiers.functions.SMO)

Table 4 Change in accuracy with each iteration of SFS for SVM

Iteration	Feature set	Accuracy (%)
1	{8}	70.919
2	{8, 5}	73.071
3	{8, 5, 0}	73.813

The accuracy improves as a feature is selected. We stop when improvement in accuracy is less than 1%.

Out of the three classifiers, random forest is the best. It also takes the most time to execute.

- Feature File: Placed all 12 features into features.csv.