



Homework 02

Information Measure

Ge Yao, alexgecontrol@qq.com

Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Homework 02-Information Measure | 1 |
| Problem 11 | 1 |
| Proof | 1 |
| Problem 12 | 3 |
| Proof a..... | 3 |
| Proof b..... | 4 |
| Problem 15 | 7 |
| Proof | 7 |
| Problem 16 | 8 |
| Proof | 8 |
| Problem 17 | 9 |
| Proof | 9 |

Homework 02

Information Measure

Problem 11

Prove that $H(p)$ is concave in p , i.e., for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $\bar{\lambda} = 1 - \lambda$

$$\lambda H(p_1) + \bar{\lambda} H(p_2) \leq H(\lambda p_1 + \bar{\lambda} p_2)$$

Proof

First, we prove the following lemma that **relative entropy $D(p||q)$ is a convex functional**, i.e., for $\forall (p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2), 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$\lambda D(p_1||q_1) + (1 - \lambda) D(p_2||q_2) \geq D(\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda) p_2 || \lambda q_1 + (1 - \lambda) q_2)$$

$$\lambda D(p_1||q_1) + (1 - \lambda) D(p_2||q_2) = \sum \lambda p_1 \log \frac{p_1}{q_1} + \sum (1 - \lambda) p_2 \log \frac{p_2}{q_2}$$

$$= \sum \left[\lambda p_1 \log \frac{\lambda p_1}{\lambda q_1} + (1 - \lambda) p_2 \log \frac{(1 - \lambda) p_2}{(1 - \lambda) q_2} \right]$$

Apply the log-sum inequality to the summation kernel, we have:

$$\geq \sum [\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda) p_2] \log \frac{\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda) p_2}{\lambda q_1 + (1 - \lambda) q_2}$$

$$= D(\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda) p_2 || \lambda q_1 + (1 - \lambda) q_2)$$

So the convexity of relative entropy is proved.

No we apply the above lemma to the two pairs of distributions $(p_1, u), (p_2, u)$ in which u is the uniform distribution on the given alphabet, we will have:

$$\lambda \sum p_1 \log p_1 + (1 - \lambda) \sum p_2 \log p_2 \geq \sum [\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2] \log [\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2]$$

Which is equivalent to:

$$-\lambda H(p_1) - (1 - \lambda)H(p_2) \geq -H(\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2)$$

i.e.

$$\lambda H(p_1) + (1 - \lambda)H(p_2) \leq H(\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2)$$

So the concavity of entropy is proved.

Problem 12

Let $(X, Y) \sim p(x, y) = p(x)p(y|x)$

- a) Prove that for fixed $p(x)$, $I(X; Y)$ is a convex functional of $p(y|x)$;
- b) Prove that for fixed $p(y|x)$, $I(X; Y)$ is a concave functional of $p(x)$.

Proof a

Define the joint distributions as

$$p_1(x, y) = p(x)p_1(y|x)$$

$$p_2(x, y) = p(x)p_2(y|x)$$

$$p_\lambda(x, y) = p(x)[\lambda p_1(y|x) + (1 - \lambda)p_2(y|x)]$$

Define the marginal distributions of Y as

$$p_1(y) = \sum_x p_1(x, y)$$

$$p_2(y) = \sum_x p_2(x, y)$$

$$p_\lambda(y) = \sum_x p_\lambda(x, y) = \lambda p_1(y) + (1 - \lambda)p_2(y)$$

Define the mutual information as

$$I_1(X; Y) = \sum p_1(x, y) \log \frac{p_1(x, y)}{p(x)p_1(y)}$$

$$I_2(X; Y) = \sum p_1(x, y) \log \frac{p_1(x, y)}{p(x)p_1(y)}$$

$$I_\lambda(X; Y) = \sum p_\lambda(x, y) \log \frac{p_\lambda(x, y)}{p(x)p_\lambda(y)}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda I_1(X; Y) + (1 - \lambda) I_2(X; Y) &= \\
&= \sum \lambda p_1(x, y) \log \frac{p_1(x, y)}{p(x)p_1(y)} + \sum (1 - \lambda) p_2(x, y) \log \frac{p_2(x, y)}{p(x)p_2(y)} \\
&= \sum \lambda p_1(x, y) \log \frac{\lambda p_1(x, y)}{p(x)\lambda p_1(y)} + (1 - \lambda) p_2(x, y) \log \frac{(1-\lambda)p_2(x, y)}{p(x)(1-\lambda)p_2(y)}
\end{aligned}$$

Apply log-sum inequality to the summation kernel, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda I_1(X; Y) + (1 - \lambda) I_2(X; Y) &\geq \\
&\geq \sum [\lambda p_1(x, y) + (1 - \lambda) p_2(x, y)] \log \frac{\lambda p_1(x, y) + (1 - \lambda) p_2(x, y)}{p(x)[\lambda p_1(y) + (1 - \lambda) p_2(y)]} \\
&= \sum p_\lambda(x, y) \log \frac{p_\lambda(x, y)}{p(x)p_\lambda(y)} = I_\lambda(X; Y)
\end{aligned}$$

So for fixed $p(x)$, $I(X; Y)$ is a convex functional of $p(y|x)$

Proof b

Define the joint distributions as

$$p_1(x, y) = p_1(x)p(y|x)$$

$$p_2(x, y) = p_2(x)p(y|x)$$

$$p_\lambda(x, y) = p(y|x)[\lambda p_1(x) + (1 - \lambda) p_2(x)]$$

Define the marginal distributions of Y as

$$p_1(y) = \sum_x p_1(x, y)$$

$$p_2(y) = \sum_x p_2(x, y)$$

$$p_\lambda(y) = \sum_x p_\lambda(x, y) = \lambda p_1(y) + (1 - \lambda)p_2(y)$$

Define the mutual information as

$$I_1(X; Y) = H_1(Y) - H_1(Y|X)$$

$$I_2(X; Y) = H_2(Y) - H_1(Y|X)$$

$$I_\lambda(X; Y) = H_\lambda(Y) - H_\lambda(Y|X)$$

In which

$$H_t(Y) = \sum_y -\log p_t(y) p_t(y), t = 1, 2, \lambda$$

$$H_t(Y|X) = \sum_y -\log \frac{p(y|x)p_t(x)}{p_t(x)} p_t(x, y), t = 1, 2, \lambda$$

Define u as the uniform distribution on the given alphabet:

$$u(x_i) = \frac{1}{|\chi|}, x_i \in \chi$$

Since

$$\lambda H_1(Y) + (1 - \lambda)H_1(Y) - \log |\chi|$$

$$= \sum_y \sum_x - \left[\log \frac{\lambda p_1(x)p(y|x)}{\lambda u} \lambda p_1(x)p(y|x) + \log \frac{(1 - \lambda)p_2(x)p(y|x)}{(1 - \lambda)u} (1 - \lambda)p_2(x)p(y|x) \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_y \sum_x - \left\{ \log \frac{[\lambda p_1(x) + (1-\lambda)p_2(x)]p(y|x)}{u} [\lambda p_1(x) + (1-\lambda)p_2(x)]p(y|x) \right\}$$

$$= H_\lambda(Y) - \log |\chi|$$

So $H(Y)$ is a concave functional of $p(x)$ given $p(y|x)$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda H_1(Y|X) + (1-\lambda)H_1(Y|X) \\ &= \sum_y \sum_x - \left[\log \frac{\lambda p_1(x)p(y|x)}{\lambda p_1(x)} \lambda p_1(x)p(y|x) + \log \frac{(1-\lambda)p_2(x)p(y|x)}{(1-\lambda)p_2(x)} (1-\lambda)p_2(x)p(y|x) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_y \sum_x - \left\{ \log \frac{[\lambda p_1(x) + (1-\lambda)p_2(x)]p(y|x)}{\lambda p_1(x) + (1-\lambda)p_2(x)} [\lambda p_1(x) + (1-\lambda)p_2(x)]p(y|x) \right\} \\ &= H_\lambda(Y|X) \end{aligned}$$

So $H(Y|X)$ is a concave functional of $p(x)$ given $p(y|x)$.

Since the linear combination of concave functional is still a concave functional, $I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$ is a concave functional of $p(x)$ given $p(y|x)$.

Problem 15

Let X be a function of Y . Prove that $H(X) \leq H(Y)$. Interpret this result.

Proof

Since

$$H(X, Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y) = H(Y|X) + H(X)$$

When X is a function of Y $H(X|Y) = 0$, so the above expression will be:

$$H(Y) = H(Y|X) + H(X)$$

Since $H(Y|X) \geq 0$, we will finally have:

$$H(X) \leq H(Y)$$

This inequality says that **we cannot extract more information from the original data using whatever sort of processing method**. The inequality also says that **any processing of the original data could only be achieved at the potential cost of losing some original information**.

Problem 16

Prove that for any $n \geq 2$, $H(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_j, j \neq i)$

Proof

Since

$$H(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_{1:i-1})$$

And conditioning will reduce entropy

$$H(X_1) \geq H(X_1 | X_2) \geq \dots \geq H(X_1 | X_j), j \neq 1$$

...

$$H(X_n) \geq H(X_n | X_1) \geq \dots \geq H(X_n | X_j), j \neq n$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} & nH(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ &= \sum [H(X_1) + \dots + H(X_1 | X_j), j \neq 1] + \dots + [H(X_1) + \dots + H(X_1 | X_j), j \neq n] \\ &\geq n \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_{1:i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

We finally have

$$H(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_{1:i-1})$$

Problem 17

Prove that $H(X_1, X_2) + H(X_2, X_3) + H(X_1, X_3) \geq 2H(X_1, X_2, X_3)$

Proof

Since

$$H(X_1, X_2, X_3) = H(X_1 | X_2, X_3) + H(X_2, X_3)$$

$$H(X_1, X_2, X_3) = H(X_2 | X_1, X_3) + H(X_1, X_3)$$

$$H(X_1, X_2, X_3) = H(X_3 | X_1, X_2) + H(X_1, X_2)$$

Sum the above three equations up, we have:

$$H(X_1, X_2) + H(X_2, X_3) + H(X_1, X_3) + \sum_{i=1}^3 H(X_I | X_J), j \neq i = 3H(X_1, X_2, X_3)$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 H(X_I | X_J), j \neq i \leq H(X_1, X_2, X_3)$$

We finally have:

$$H(X_1, X_2) + H(X_2, X_3) + H(X_1, X_3)$$

$$= 3H(X_1, X_2, X_3) - \sum_{i=1}^3 H(X_I | X_J), j \neq i$$

$$\geq 2H(X_1, X_2, X_3)$$

References
