# KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## MACHINE DEPARTMENT

# Project Plan of IDIOM Group


Authors:
Anqing Duan
Alexander Gratner

Yuchao Li

Supervisor:

Dr. Jad El-Khoury

Assoc. Prof. Lei Feng



September 23, 2015

# 1 Preliminary Title

The preliminary title at this stage is Convex Optimization for Holistic Mechatronic System Design. The title should indicate both the research context and the mathematical tool used. Therefore mechatronic system and convex optimization should be included in the title. Since the research is mainly focused on the modification of optimization algorithms, methodology has not been included in the title. The design methodology used emphasizes on a holistic approach, which is why holistic shall be included.

# 2 Background and Problem Description

This section presents the background and purpose to the research. The research question is formulated in the end.

#### 2.1 Backgroud

The background is stated in two perspectives. Since the target of this research is to explore the possibility of increasing the efficiency of one specific mechatronic design method by introducing new algorithm to the solver, those two aspects are concerning the design method and algorithm respectively.

#### 2.1.1 Integrated Design and Optimization of Mechatronic Products

The development process of mechatronic products is challenging because it involves multiple engineering domains at the same time. In order to deal with them in an integrated manner as early as possible, several generic methodologies have been created, such as *V-model* and *Ulrich and Eppinger's model*. V-model, presented in [1], features frequent verification and validation against the requirements during the integration phases. Ulrich and Eppinger's model can be adopted in accordance with an unique context [2].

Although these methods have different formats, they do share something in common. According to [1] and [2], the detailed design is carried out based on rather abstract design in early phase, which means if some problems occur, chances are that the design in early stages needs to be modified as well. Moreover the lack of

knowledge in early phase makes this kind of traceback inevitable [3]. Therefore the *Integrated Design and Optimization of Mechatronic Products (IDIOM)* is created to explore the potential of concept design with limited information.

The IDIOM method treats the mechatronic system in a holistic pattern, integrating different domains in early design phase and optimizing parameters of designed structure with respect to one or multiple targets. Fredrik Roos contributed to the models of the method [4] and Malmquist et al. extended the capability of the method to attack several optimal objects at the same time. At this stage, controller has been included in the process to achieve true synergistic integration.

There has been a model library set up inside the IDIOM system with respect to both static and dynamic characteristics of those components. The approaches in different domains have been picked carefully to make the problem easy to solve under different configurations without too much lost detail. Therefore designers only need to pick and configure models from the model library and specify the design goal(s), the optimal solution of this specific design concept would be provided by the system [3].

#### 2.1.2 Genetic Algorithm and Convex Optimization

The optimization algorithm used in IDIOM is genetic algorithm (GA). A GA is one of the most common non-gradient methods. It mimics the process of natural selection by treating each solution as one creature. The solution set after each iteration is called one generations. The individuals with higher objective function values have higher chance to produce their offspring by crossover. Meanwhile mutation is used to keep the diversity of solutions. After the evaluation of several generations, the algorithm may find optimal or sub-optimal solution [5].

Although a GA is probably most commonly used evolutionary method, it cannot guarantee a true optimum. Besides it is computational intensive [4]. Therefore Convex Optimization method is introduced.

Convex Optimization refers to the technology used to solve convex optimization problems, in which the objective function and constraint functions are convex. The advantages of formulating an optimization problem as convex are significant [6]. With the help of reliable tools, such as CVX toolbox [7] for matlab, the problems can be solved efficiently. Non-convex as the objective functions in IDIOM system

might be, it is expected those problems could be modified or simplified as convex since many objective functions can be treat as composition of convex functions.

#### 2.2 Research Question

Research Question goes here..

- Fill a gap
- Don't offend anyone
- Hypothesis Can convex optimization perform better
- The optmization time increases a lot when more parameters are introduced
- The genetic algorithms aren't always going to find the optimal solution
- Short term: En case study som kan visa på skillnaden mellan de två
- Long term: A unified modelling software to optimize in early design phases Finding optimal solution
  - , in terms of computational speed and memory used, than GA when applied to the early design process of a mechatronic system.

## 3 Method

The project is set up to prove a hypothesis that convex optimization performs better than genetic algorithms when applied to mechatronic system design. A case study of a mechatronic servo system will be completed where criterias such as computational speed and memory usage are analysed.

The timeplan related to the project is included in Appendix A. It specifies milestones as well as tasks that are to be completed during the project. The initial four weeks contain acitivities regarding individual literature studies and the writing of a project plan. These weeks are followed up by two weeks of learning the basics of the Matlab toolbox CVX, and the IDIOM software. This knowledge will then be used in the next phase where three weeks are devoted to implement

convex optimization of a basic case/system with volume as the target value. This phase is followed up by simultaniously working on a more advanced case as well as optimizing the basic case for another target value (e.g. cost). The research paper is going to be written concurrently during these activities.

## 4 Risk Analysis

bllblnlb

### 4.1 Risk Analysis Methodology

The methodology adopted to conduct the risk analysis is described as following steps:

- 1. Identify potential risks;
- 2. Determine probability;
- 3. Determine Impact.

As long as all possible risks are identified after brainstorming, they will be assessed according to the probability and impact respectively. Each aspect has a scale of 1, 3, and 9. A bigger number implies that the risk is more likely to happen or has a more serious consequence. Those risks of interest will be analyzed further based on their risk rating, which is defined as the product of probability and impact. The risk matrix is shown in 1.

			Impact	
		Low(1)	Medium(3)	High(9)
po	High(9)	9	27	81
Likelihood	Medium(3)	3	9	27
Lik	Low(1)	1	3	9

Figure 1: Risk Matrix

A risk matrix is shown in Table 1. The risks that lies in the upper right in red exceeds the level of tolerance and needs to be made action plan for.

## 4.2 Risk Log

After the possible risks are identified, they are kept in table 2 following the priority of risk rating. The possible risks with high risk rating are marked in red and will be provided with an action plan below.

Table 1: Risk Log

NT.	Duianitas IIaaaad	Prob.	Imp.	Risk Rating
No.	Priority Hazard	(1-9)	(1-9)	$  (Prob. \times Imp.)  $
1	Estimates are inaccurat	9	9	81
2	Code error	3	9	27
3	Failure to follow the methodolog	3	9	27
4	Preparation is inadequa	3	3	9
5	Knowledge integratio	3	3	9
6	Info loss during communicatio	3	3	9
7	Ambiguous goa	1	9	9
8	Disagreement with the coa	1	9	9
9	Low morale (interests lost)	1	9	9
10	Tool selection proble	1	3	3
11	Software collape	1	3	3
12	Misunderstand the requiremen	1	3	3

### 4.3 Action Plan

An action plan is made for those risks with the highest likelihood and/or consequence. So the risks with risk rating above 9 are provided with the corresponding action plans. The following tables 2, 3 and 4 provide the action plans of items with high risk ratings.

Table 2: Action Plan for Item 1

Risk	Estimates are inaccurate
Location/Function	N/A
Summary (RECO	MMENDED RESPONSE AND IMPACT)
Inaccurate estimation is a	common project risk and especially happens
frequently for rookies. Thi	s risk will cause a series of project failure and the
results of the project almo-	st cannot be achieved. Gaining more
experience can be a solution	on to this problem.
It is recommended to cons	ult the coach and learning from previous
cases when making then es	etimation.
	Make considerate estimates patiently
1)Proposed Actions	Check the progress regularly
	Consult with the coach
	Time of the coach
2)Resource Requirements	Previous similar project case
	Planned schedule
3)Responsibilities	The coach to be consulted about
3)Itesponsionities	estimation rationality
	Present the estimation at the nearest meeting
4)Timing	after it is made.
	Check the progress once a week
5)Reporting/Monitoring	Each member has a milestone or individual sched-
o)16cporonig/monitoring	-ule to achieve within given time

Table 3: Action Plan for Item 2

Risk	Code errors
Location/Function	Solver
Summary (REC	OMMENDED RESPONSE AND IMPACT)
Code errors happensfreque	ently and has a damage for the following project.
Handling the code errorsca	in be time-consuming. To ensure that the programmer
familiar with the expected	results and reflect the idea to the code accurately.
	Test the code by comparing the results with the
1)Proposed Actions	expected ones
	Read through the code logically
	Time of the programmer
2)Resource Requirements	Computer with installed related software
	Data from the previous work
3)Responsibilities	Programmer within the group
4)Timing	Check the code segments while coding
4) 1 mmg	Test the code after finishing coding
5)Reporting/Monitoring	Data documentation of previous work
o)rteporting/monitoring	The report of new data to be finished

Table 4: Action Plan for Item 3

Risk	Failure to follow the methodology
Location/Function	Methodology
Summary (RECC	OMMENDED RESPONSE AND IMPACT)
To ensure that each memb	er get the individual work done within the deadline.
To ensure that the work is	formulated based on the methodology.
	Set reasonable milestones and regular deadlines
1)Proposed Actions	Check the achievement according to the schedule
	Formulate the work by methodology
2)Resource Requirements	Methodology to be adopted
3)Responsibilities	Everyone
4)Timing	Get the work done before the deadline
4)1111111111111111111111111111111111111	Set milestones after work formulation
5)Reporting/Monitoring	Schedule or milestone plan report

# References

- [1] V. 2206, Design methodology for mechatronic systems. Dusseldorf: VDI-Verlag, 2004.
- [2] K. T. Ulrich and S. D. Eppinger, *Product design and development*. New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin, 5th ed., 2011.
- [3] D. Malmquist, D. Frede, and J. Wikander, "Holistic design methodology for mechatronic systems," *Journal of Systems and Control Engineering*, vol. 228, 2014.
- [4] F. Roos, *Integrated Design of Mechatronic Servo Systems*. PhD thesis, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 2007.
- [5] W. Hare, J. Nutini, and S. Tesfamariam, "A survey of non-gradient optimization methods in structural engineering," *Advances in Engineering Software*, vol. 59, 2013.
- [6] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, Convex Optimization. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1st ed., 2004.
- [7] M. C. Grant and S. Boyd, *The CVX Users' Guide*. CVX Research, Inc., 2.1 ed., 2015.

# Appendices

		1					-	
Convex		2015					2016	16
Convex		igust	September	October	lovember	December		January
Course Introduction	960	9 16 23	30 6 13 20	27 4 11 18 25	1 8 15 22 29	9 6 13 20	27 3	
♦ Course Introduction			You + 2 others responsible					
			<b></b>					
<ul> <li>Individual Literature Study Report</li> </ul>	960							
Individual Literature Study	%60	Individual Literature Study		You + 2 others (25 days)				**********
♦ Individual Literature Study Report				You + 2 others responsible				
→ Common Project Plan Report	960							
Writing of Project Plan	%0	Writing of Project Plan	oject Plan	You + 2 others (18 days)				
Handin of Common Project Plan Report			<b>\rightarrow</b>	You + 2 others responsible				
	è							
<ul> <li>Convex Optimization Study</li> </ul>	%0							*******
CVX Toolbox Hands On	%6		CVX Toolbox Hands On	You + 2 others (12 days)				
▼ IDIOM Software Study	960							
IDIOM Hands On	%60		IDIOM Hands On	You + 2 others (12 days)				******
<ul> <li>Convex Optimization of Basic Systems in IDIOM</li> </ul>	960							
Volume C.O in IDIOM, Basic Case	%0		Volume C.O in	Volume C.O in IDIOM, Basic Case You +	You + 2 others (14 days)			
New Task	%0			New Task	You + 2 others (7 days)			
Total Company of the	900							
<ul> <li>Switch Optimization Target</li> </ul>	260							
Other Optimization Criteria in IDIOM, Basic Case	%			Other Optimization Criteria in IDIOM, Ba	OM, Ba	You + 2 others (33 days)	s (33 days)	
Do Case Study on More Advanced Model	%0							
Opt. in IDIOM on Advanced Case	%0			Opt. in IDIOM on Advanced Case	ed Case	You + 2 others (33 days)	s (33 days)	
<ul> <li>Write Publication Paper</li> </ul>	960							
Writing Final Publication Paper p1	%6		Writing Final Publication Paper p1			You + 2	You + 2 others (79 days)	S)
Final Publication and Master Proposal						♦ You + 2	You + 2 others responsible	sible
Final Presentation	960					_		
♦ Final Presentation						Alexe	Alexander G. +2 Others	thers