

Fact: Joe Tritschler's children are ridic, especially his twins. You would think a task as simple as eating lunch would be pretty easy to accomplish in a timely manner; but alas, it often turns into what is known colloquially as a *goat rope*. (In fact, it sometimes resembles a literal one.) Over the course of a week, the time it takes them to achieve some degree of completion in this task was measured and the results presented below (all in minutes):

|           | Twin #1 | Twin #2 |
|-----------|---------|---------|
| Sunday    | 37      | 18      |
| Monday    | 42      | 20      |
| Tuesday   | 29      | 31      |
| Wednesday | 24      | 23      |
| Thursday  | 65      | 39      |
| Friday    | 82      | 30      |
| Saturday  | 26      | 28      |

Because Joe Tritschler is an all-around great guy, he has computed sample parameters for you from this data:

$\bar{x}_1 = 43.57$ ,  $s_1 = 21.93$ ,  $\bar{x}_2 = 27$ , and  $s_2 = 7.257$ . Use them to test the following hypotheses on the difference in mean lunch-completion time using the  $p$ -value approach. State your final conclusion with regard to a significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ . What does the data suggest about the difference in mean lunch-completion time between them?

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$$

$$H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$$

∴ population variances assumed unequal  
∴ need  $\chi^2$

$$\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} = \frac{21.93^2}{7} = 68.70$$

$$\frac{s_2^2}{n_2} = \frac{7.257^2}{7} = 7.523$$

$$V = \frac{(68.70 + 7.523)^2}{\frac{68.70^2}{6} + \frac{7.523^2}{6}} = 7.298 \quad (+1)$$

round down to  $V = 7$  degrees of freedom  $(+1)$

$$t_0 = \frac{43.57 - 27}{\sqrt{68.70 + 7.523}} = 1.898 \quad (+1)$$

from table:  $t_{.05, 7} = 1.895 \quad (+1)$  very close!  $(+1)$   
∴  $\frac{P\text{-value}}{2} \approx 0.05 \rightarrow P \approx 0.1 \quad (+1)$

$P < \alpha \quad (+1)$

∴ fail to reject  $H_0$  !!!  $(+1)$

no statistically significant difference !!!

Crazy std. dev. of twin #1 of no doubt a big factor!  $(+1)$

Turns out this is actually a perfect candidate for a *paired t-test*. Test the following hypotheses on the mean difference in lunch-completion time using a 95% confidence interval on  $\mu_D$ . Note: you will need to compute sample parameters on mean difference; here is the data again for your convenience.

|           | Twin #1 | Twin #2 |    |
|-----------|---------|---------|----|
| Sunday    | 37      | 18      | 19 |
| Monday    | 42      | 20      | 22 |
| Tuesday   | 29      | 31      | -2 |
| Wednesday | 24      | 23      | 1  |
| Thursday  | 65      | 39      | 26 |
| Friday    | 82      | 30      | 52 |
| Saturday  | 26      | 28      | -2 |

$$s^2 = \frac{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n}}{n-1}$$

$$H_0: \mu_D = 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_D \neq 0$$

$$\bar{d} = 16.57 \text{ min.} \quad (+1)$$

$$s_d = 19.63 \text{ min.} \quad (+1)$$

$$t_{\alpha/2, n-1} = t_{0.025, 6} = \underline{2.447} \quad (+1)$$

↓  
pairs

$$\mu_D: \bar{d} \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-1} s_d / \sqrt{n}$$

$$16.57 \pm 2.447 \cdot 19.63 / \sqrt{7}$$

$$-1.585 < \mu_D < 34.73 \quad (+2) \quad (\text{min.})$$

C.I. does contain zero (+1)

∴ fail to reject  $H_0$  (+1) (again!)



Test the following hypotheses on the difference in population standard deviations of lunch-completion times using the fixed-significance-level approach at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . What does the data suggest about the difference between the two twins?

$$H_0: \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$$

$$H_1: \sigma_1 > \sigma_2$$

$$f_0 = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} = \frac{21.93^2}{7.257^2} = \underline{9.132}$$

(tl)

upper one-sided  $H_1$ ;

critical value is  $f_{\alpha, n_1-1, n_2-1}$

$$= f_{.05, 6, 6} = \underline{4.28}$$

(tl)

$$f_0 \gg f_{.05, 6, 6}$$

(tl)

reject  $H_0$

(tl)

data suggests more variability w/ #1 than #2

(tl)