Countable and Dependent Choice and Countably Distributive Toposes

Alex Simpson
FMF, University of Ljubljana
IMFM, Ljubljana

PSSL, Leiden

16th November 2024

Countable choice (cc):

VneIN JxeX, P(n,x) => JFEX NeIN, P(n,flx))

Dependent choice (DC):

 $\forall x \in X \exists y \in X, R(x,y) \Rightarrow$   $\forall x \in X \exists y \in X^{N}, f(x) = x \land \forall x \in N, R(f(x), f(x+1))$ 

- · Not difficult to formulate in internal logic of elementary topos with NNO
- · A(=> D(=) CC, but converse implications fail.

We begin with logic-free characterisations of CC and DC in clentalary toposes with countable limits, which are probably folklose.

Detailed proofs can be found in the masters dissertation of my former student severin Mejak

S. Mejak Topos models of set-theoretic principles

Masters dissertation

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Lyndljana 2019

Logic-free characterisations of countable choice

Proposition J.f.a.e. for an elementary topos & with NNO

E ⊨ CC
 The functor (-)<sup>IN</sup>: E → E preserves epis | A standard formulation of CC
 The functor (-)<sup>IN</sup>: E → E preserves epis | In elementary topases

Moreover is E has countable limits, another equivalent statement is

• If  $x_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_1} Y_1$ ,  $x_2 \xrightarrow{\ell_2} Y_2$ , ... are epis then so is

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \times \cdots$$
 $\downarrow e_1 \times e_2 \times e_3 \times \cdots$ 
 $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Y_3 \times \cdots$ 

i.e., the product functor

 $T: \mathcal{E}^{\omega} \to \mathcal{E}$ 
 $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Y_3 \times \cdots$ 

Preserves epis

A logic-free characterisation of dependent choice

Proposition T.fa.e. for an elementary topos & with countable limits

· Every wor-diayeam of epis

• € ⊨ DC

- Every Wor-diagram of Epis
  - For every wor-diagram of epis, the limit cone is a
- For every wor-diagram of epis, the limit cone is a cone of epis.

Atomic topology: a sieve S is a cover 
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
 S  $\neq$  Ø

The Grothendieck topos Sh(E, at) of atomic sheaves is boolean.

Proposition A sufficient condition for 5h(6, at) to validate CC is that

C is W-coconfluent: every W-cospan in C

(W-coconfluent (>)

the atomic topology is closed under countable intersections)

Proposition A sufficient condition for  $Sh(\mathbb{C},at)$  to validate DC is that every  $\omega^{op}$  - chain in  $\mathbb{C}$ has a cone.

Theorem T.f.a.e. for a Grothendieck topos &

1.  $E \equiv Sh(C,J)$  where J is closed under countable intersections.

2. E = Sh(C,T) for which the associated sheaf functor  $\alpha: PSh(C) \longrightarrow Sh(C,T)$  preserves countable limits.

3.  $\mathcal{E}$  validates CC and  $Satisfies: for all families <math>(J_i)_{i\in I}$  of Sets and  $(A_{i,j})_{i\in I,j\in J_i}$  of obserts with I countable, the convolute map

15 un isomorphism.

## We call a Grothendieck topos satisfying 3 Countably distributive topas

Examples of countably distributive toposes

- · Preshear toposes
- ( Grothendieck toposes with finite sites ) Any such is = to toposes toposes
  - · Atomic toposes over w-coconfluent categories
  - · Subtoposes of completely distributive toposes for which the Inverse image of the geometric inclusion preserves countable limits

Examples

Do countably geometric morphisms (inverse image functors preserve countable limits) between countably distributive toposes provide a softing for studying classifying toposes for Countably geometric theories (extending geometric logic with Countable Conjunctions and products ?

Conjecture The topos of probability sheaves is the classifying countably distributive toposes for the countably geometric theory of non-separable measure algebras.

Outline proof of theorem

 $1 \Rightarrow 2$  It is standard to define  $\alpha := (.)^{\dagger} \circ (.)^{\dagger}$  where  $(\cdot)^{\mathsf{T}}: \mathsf{Psh}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathsf{Psh}(\mathbb{C})$ is Grothendiech's +-functor, which preserves finite limits If J is closed under countable intersections then it is easily verified that (.) + preserves countable products,

hence a does too.

$$2 \Rightarrow 3$$
 To verify the distributivity law in  $Sh(C, T)$ ,   
Suppose  $(A_{i,s})_{i \in I, s \in J_i}$  is a family of sheaves   
Writing  $\coprod^{S}$  and  $\coprod^{P}$  for sheaf and presheaf coproduct we have   
 $\coprod^{S} \prod_{s \in I} A_{i,s(i)} \cong a(\coprod^{P} \prod_{s \in I} A_{i,s(i)}) \cong a(\prod^{P} \coprod^{P} A_{i,s})$ 

≅ ∏ α(HA;,) ≅ ∏ HAi,,)

Ω preserves countable products

To verify CC in Sh(C, J) we use a lemma.

Lemma If  $A_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} B_1$ ,  $A_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} B_2$ , ... are J-dense monos in PSh(C) then so is  $TA_n \xrightarrow{TI d_n} TB_n$ .

Proof of lemmo  $\underline{\alpha}(\Pi d_n) \cong \underline{\Pi}(\underline{\alpha}(d_n))$  ( $\underline{\alpha}$  preserves countable products)

Since each  $d_n$  is dense, the r.h.s. is an countable product of isos Hence the r.h.s. is an iso ( $\underline{\alpha}$  in mela-theory)

Hence  $\underline{\Pi}d_n$  is  $\underline{J}$ -dense.

To verify CC in Sh(C, J), suppose  $A_1 \xrightarrow{e_1} B_1$ ,  $A_2 \xrightarrow{e_2} B_2$ ,... are epis in Sh(C, J) We verify that TA; ITB; is also epi in Sh(C,J) Let An -> Cn> Bn be the epi-mono factorisation of en in Psh(c).

Then  $Te_i = (Tin_i) \circ (Te_i)$  is the e-m factor sotion of  $Te_i$  in PSh(C). Since each  $e_n$  is  $e_i$  in Sh(C,T),  $e_{GC}h$   $q_n$  is J-dense, hence  $Te_i$  is J-dense by lemma Since the more part of the e-m-factor is  $e_{GC}h$  of  $Te_i$  in PSh(C) is J-dense and  $Te_i$  is a map between sheaves, it is  $e_{Fi}$  in Sh(C,T). 3 ⇒ 1 Suppose & is a countably distributive Gratherdieck toppes.

Let C be a small full subcategory of E containing a generating set for E and closed under countable limits in E.

Let J be the cover topology on I

{A; c; B}, et is covering 
$$\iff$$
 {c,},et is southly epi in E

By Giraud theoren | comparison lemma machinery  $E \equiv Sh(C, T)$ .

We show that I is closed under countable intersections.

Let S, S2, ... be covering sieves for an object 13.

Each 
$$S_{n} = \left\{A_{n,i}, \frac{b_{n,i}}{b_{n,i}}, C\right\}_{j \in J_{n}} \quad gives \quad a_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{i}, \quad \underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\coprod} \quad A_{n,i}, \quad \frac{C_{n} := (b_{n,i})_{j}}{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} J_{i}} \quad C$$

We have:

$$\left(e_{s}\right)_{f} \quad \xrightarrow{\left\{c_{s}\right\}_{g \in J_{n}}} \quad \left(d_{s}\right)_{g \in J_{n}} \quad \left(d_{s}\right)_{g \in J_{n}} \quad A_{i,j} \quad \left(\underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\coprod} A_{i,j}\right)_{g \in J_{n}} \quad A_{i,j} \quad \left(\underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\coprod} A_{i,j}\right)_{g \in J_{n}} \quad \left(\underset{i \in \mathbb{N}}{\coprod} A_{i,j}\right)_{g$$

where each Pf es C is given by the pullback in C The left edge of the top diagram is epi (since pullback of epi) hence defines a cover  $\{P_F \xrightarrow{e_F} C\}_{f \in \overline{II}} J$ , which by construction is contained in A Sn. By monotonicity of covering property AS; & J.