The homework is solved by writing:

```
obtain \langle c, d, c\_on\_\alpha, d\_on\_\alpha, c\_on\_\beta, d\_on\_\beta, c\_ne\_d \rangle := Step4
```

We started new work with Step5:

```
have Step5 : Pt_on_Circ c \alpha \leftrightarrow dist a c = dist a b := Pt_on_Circ_iff a b c \alpha a_center_of_\alpha b_on_\alpha
```

The iff statement is actually a bundle of two statements, $Pt_on_Circ\ c\ \alpha \rightarrow dist\ a\ c = dist\ a\ b\ and\ dist\ a\ c = dist\ a\ b\ \rightarrow Pt_on_Circ\ c\ \alpha$. To get the first one, we add a ().1 and that will give the first direction. In other words:

```
have Step5 : Pt_on_Circ c \alpha \rightarrow dist a c = dist a b := 
 (Pt_on_Circ_iff a b c \alpha a_center_of_\alpha b_on_\alpha).1
```

So now Step5 has become:

```
have Step5 : dist a c = dist a b :=  (Pt\_on\_Circ\_iff \ a \ b \ c \ \alpha \ a\_center\_of\_\alpha \ b\_on\_\alpha).1 \ c\_on\_\alpha
```

We made Step6:

```
have Step6 : dist b a = dist b c :=
   (Pt_on_Circ_iff b c a β b_center_of_β c_on_β).1 a_on_β
```

and observed that there are difficulties with ordering the points in distance, which shouldn't matter. So we're missing an axiom!

```
dist_symm : ∀ (a b : Pt), dist a b = dist b a
```

We are now in the end game, prepared to attack the actual goal

```
⊢ ∃ c, IsEquilateralTriangle a b c
```

We want to specify what Pt to use to make progress. The syntax for that is... use The goal, after use c has become:

```
⊢ IsEquilateralTriangle a b c
```

and I don't remember how IsEquilateralTriangle is defined. Let's unfold the definition. The syntax for that is: unfold . After unfold IsEquilateralTriangle, the goal state is to prove two things:

```
⊢ dist a b = dist b c ∧ dist a b = dist a c
```

To break those two things into their own goals, we use the tactic constructor. We now have TWO goals, the first says

```
⊢ dist a b = dist b c
```

and down below is a second goal (with the same assumptions), which says:

```
⊢ dist a b = dist a c
```

To work on just the first goal, we write · which hides the goals you're not working on. So solve the first goal, we need to prove:

```
Step6 : dist b a = dist b c

⊢ dist a b = dist b c
```

If we could somehow convert <code>Step6</code> to the goal, we'd be making progress. The syntax for that is <code>convert</code>. (Convert might try to do too much, and you can control that with <code>using</code>). We wrote:

```
convert Step6 using 1
```

and the goal state became:

```
⊢ dist a b = dist b c
```

At the last step, we can give the exact proof of what it's asking. That's called exact . Here's as far as we got:

```
import Mathlib
class EuclideanPlane where
  Pt : Type
  Line : Type
  Circ : Type
  Pt_on_Line : Pt → Line → Prop
  Line_of_Pts : ∀ a b : Pt, ∃ L : Line, (Pt_on_Line a L) ∧ (Pt_on_Line b L)
  Pt_on_Circ : Pt → Circ → Prop
  Center_of_Circ : Pt → Circ → Prop
  Circ_of_Pts : \forall a b : Pt, \exists \alpha : Circ, (Center_of_Circ a \alpha) \land (Pt_on_Circ b
α)
  dist : Pt \rightarrow Pt \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
  dist_symm : ∀ (a b : Pt), dist a b = dist b a
  Circs_inter : Circ → Circ → Prop
  Pts of Circs inter: \forall \alpha \beta: Circ, Circs inter \alpha \beta \rightarrow \exists a b: Pt,
     Pt_on_Circ a α ∧ Pt_on_Circ b α ∧ Pt_on_Circ a β ∧ Pt_on_Circ b β ∧ a ≠
  Pt in Circ : Pt → Circ → Prop
  Circs_inter_iff : \forall (\alpha \beta : Circ), Circs_inter \alpha \beta \leftrightarrow
     (\exists (a b : Pt), Pt_on_Circ a \alpha \wedge Pt_in_Circ a \beta \wedge
       Pt on Circ b \beta \Lambda Pt in Circ b \alpha)
  Pt_on_Circ_iff : \forall (a b c : Pt) (\alpha : Circ), Center_of_Circ a \alpha \rightarrow
     Pt_on_Circ b \alpha \rightarrow (Pt_on_Circ c \alpha \leftrightarrow dist a c = dist a b)
  Pt_in_Circ_iff : \forall (a b c : Pt) (\alpha : Circ), Center_of_Circ a \alpha \rightarrow
     Pt_on_Circ b \alpha \rightarrow (Pt_in_Circ c \alpha \leftrightarrow dist a c < dist a b)
variable [EuclideanPlane]
namespace EuclideanPlane
def IsEquilateralTriangle (a b c : Pt) : Prop := (dist a b = dist b c) A
(dist a b = dist a c)
theorem PropIp1 (a b : Pt) : ∃ (c : Pt), IsEquilateralTriangle a b c := by
  have Step1 : \exists (\alpha : Circ), Center_of_Circ a \alpha \wedge Pt_on_Circ b \alpha :=
    Circ of Pts a b
  obtain (\alpha, a\_center\_of\_\alpha, b\_on\_\alpha) := Step1
  have Step2 : \exists (\beta : Circ), Center_of_Circ b \beta \lambda Pt_on_Circ a \beta :=
    Circ_of_Pts b a
```

```
obtain (\beta, b_center_of_\beta, a_on_\beta) := Step2
  have Step3 : Circs_inter \alpha \beta := by
    -- I don't want to prove this right now; let's see if we can
    -- complete the proof, postponing this part. That's called `sorry`
    sorry
  have Step4 : \exists (c d : Pt), Pt_on_Circ c \alpha \land Pt_on_Circ d \alpha \land
    Pt_on_Circ c \beta \Lambda Pt_on_Circ d \beta \Lambda c \neq d :=
      Pts_of_Circs_inter \alpha \beta Step3
  obtain (c, d, c_on_\alpha, d_on_\alpha, c_on_\beta, d_on_\beta, c_ne_d) := Step4
  -- next step: create a line through `a` and `c`
  --have Step5 : ∃ (L : Line), Pt_on_Line a L ∧ Pt_on_Line c L :=
Line_of_Pts a c
  --obtain (L, a_on_L, c_on_L) := Step5
  have Step5 : dist a c = dist a b :=
    (Pt_on_Circ_iff a b c \alpha a_center_of_\alpha b_on_\alpha).1 c_on_\alpha
  have Step6 : dist b a = dist b c :=
    (Pt_on_Circ_iff b c a β b_center_of_β c_on_β).1 a_on_β
  use c
  unfold IsEquilateralTriangle
  constructor
  · convert Step6 using 1
   exact dist_symm a b
  · --- HOMEWORK
    sorry
```