An Introduction to Formal Real Analysis, Rutgers University, Fall 2025, Math 311H

Lecture 8: Advanced Limit Theorems and Induction

Prof. Alex Kontorovich

This text is automatically generated by LLM from "Real Analysis, The Game", Lecture 8

1 Big Boss: Reciprocals of Convergent Sequences

One of the most important limit theorems concerns reciprocals: if a sequence converges to a nonzero limit, then the sequence of reciprocals converges to the reciprocal of the limit. This result is crucial for proving theorems about quotients and rational functions.

This is a Big Boss level—it requires synthesizing multiple techniques: working with nonzero limits, manipulating complex algebraic expressions, and carefully choosing epsilon strategies.

1.1 The Mathematical Setup

Theorem: If $a : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ converges to L with $L \neq 0$, and $b : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by b(n) = 1/a(n) for all n, then b converges to 1/L.

This is the most technically challenging proof in this lecture series.

1.2 New Tools

abs_div: For any real numbers x and y (with $y \neq 0$), we have |x/y| = |x|/|y|. **nonzero_of_abs_pos:** If 0 < |x|, then $x \neq 0$.

1.3 Strategic Approach

The key challenges are:

- Ensuring that $a(n) \neq 0$ eventually, so the reciprocals are well-defined
- Bounding |1/a(n)-1/L| by getting a common denominator
- Choosing the right epsilon when applying the convergence of a to L
- Using the lower bound on |a(n)| to control the reciprocals

The critical insight is that the "right" epsilon isn't the obvious choice. We use $\varepsilon \cdot |L|^2/2$, which is precisely engineered to make the final inequalities work out.

1.4 Lean Solution

```
Statement InvLim (a : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) (L : \mathbb{R}) (aToL : SeqLim a L)
    (LneZero : L \neq 0) (b : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow
\mathbb{R}) (bEqInva : \forall n, b n = 1 / a n) :
    SeqLim b (1 / L) := by
choose NhalfL hNhalfL using EventuallyGeHalfLimPos a L
   aToL LneZero
intro \varepsilon h\varepsilon
have : 0 < |L| := by apply abs_pos_of_nonzero LneZero
specialize aToL (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / 2) (by bound)
choose Na hNa using aToL
use Na + NhalfL
intro n hn
specialize bEqInva n
rewrite [bEqInva]
have hnHalfL : NhalfL \le n := by bound
have hna : Na \le n := by bound
specialize hNhalfL n hnHalfL
specialize hNa n hna
have : 0 < |a n| := by bound
have : a n \neq 0 := by apply nonzero_of_abs_pos this
have 11 : |1 / a n - 1 / L| = |(L - a n) / (a n * L)| :=
 by field_simp
```

```
have 12 : |(L - a n) / (a n * L)| = |(L - a n)| / |(a n * L)|
             n * L) | := by apply abs_div
have 13 : |(L - a n)| / |(a n * L)| = |(L - a n)| / (|a
             n| * |L| := by bound
have 14 : |L - a n| = |-(a n - L)| := by ring_nf
have 15 : |-(a n - L)| = |(a n - L)| := by apply abs_neg
have 16 : |a n - L| / (|a n| * |L|) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L| / (|a n| * |L|)) < (\varepsilon * |L|
             2) / (|a n| * |L|) := by field_simp; bound
have 110 : |(L - a n)| / (|a n| * |L|) = |-(a n - L)| /
              (|a n| * |L|) := by rewrite [14]; rfl
have |11| : |-(a - L)| / (|a + L|) = |(a - L)| /
              (|a n| * |L|) := by rewrite [15]; rfl
have 113 : \varepsilon * |L| * |L| / 2 / (|a n| * |L|) = \varepsilon * |L| /
                   2 / |a n| := by field_simp
have 114 : \varepsilon * |L| / 2 / |a n| \leq \varepsilon := by field_simp;
             bound
linarith [11, 12, 13, 110, 111, 16, 113, 114]
```

1.5 Natural Language Proof

Proof: Assume $a(n) \to L$ with $L \neq 0$, and let b(n) = 1/a(n). We must show $b(n) \to 1/L$.

First, using the theorem EventuallyGeHalfLimPos, there exists N_1 such that for all $n \geq N_1$, we have $|a(n)| \geq |L|/2 > 0$, ensuring $a(n) \neq 0$ and b(n) is well-defined.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, since $a(n) \to L$, there exists N_2 such that for all $n \ge N_2$:

$$|a(n) - L| < \frac{\varepsilon |L|^2}{2}$$

Let $N = N_1 + N_2$. For any $n \ge N$, we have:

$$|b(n) - 1/L| = |1/a(n) - 1/L|$$

$$= |(L - a(n))/(a(n) \cdot L)|$$

$$= |L - a(n)|/(|a(n)| \cdot |L|)$$

$$= |a(n) - L|/(|a(n)| \cdot |L|)$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon |L|^2/2}{|a(n)| \cdot |L|}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon |L|}{2|a(n)|}$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon |L|}{2 \cdot |L|/2}$$

$$= \varepsilon$$

Therefore, $b(n) \to 1/L$. **QED**

1.6 Applications and Extensions

With this theorem, we now have a complete toolkit for limits of rational functions. Combined with results on sums and products, we can prove:

Quotient Theorem: If $a(n) \to L$ and $c(n) \to M$ with $M \neq 0$, then $a(n)/c(n) \to L/M$.

The proof is straightforward: first show $1/c(n) \to 1/M$ using the reciprocal theorem, then use the product theorem to show $a(n) \cdot (1/c(n)) \to L \cdot (1/M) = L/M$.

This completes the fundamental arithmetic of limits: sums, products, and quotients—the building blocks for analyzing polynomials, rational functions, and complex expressions throughout calculus and analysis.

2 By Cases: Case Analysis Without Hypotheses

When you already have a hypothesis $h: P \vee Q$, you can use cases' to break the goal into two subgoals. But how do you break into cases when you don't already have a hypothesis? That's where the by_cases tactic comes in.

2.1 The by_cases Tactic

The by_cases tactic has syntax by_cases h : fact, where h is your name for a new hypothesis, and fact is the fact claimed in the hypothesis. Calling by_cases creates two subgoals:

- One with the additional hypothesis h : fact
- One with the hypothesis h : ¬fact

This allows you to perform case analysis on any proposition, not just ones you already have as hypotheses.

2.2 Application: Eventually Greater than Half the Limit

We can use by_cases to prove a more general version of EventuallyGe-HalfLimPos that doesn't require the assumption $L \neq 0$.

Theorem: If $a : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ converges to L (with no assumption that $L \neq 0$), then there exists N such that for all $n \geq N$, we have $|a(n)| \geq |L|/2$.

2.3 Lean Solution

```
Statement EventuallyGeHalfLim (a : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) (L : \mathbb{R}) (aToL : SeqLim a L) : \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |L| / 2 \leq |a (n)| := by by_cases h : L = 0 use 1 intro n hn rewrite [h] bound apply EventuallyGeHalfLimPos a L aToL h
```

2.4 Natural Language Proof

Proof: We proceed by cases on whether L=0.

Case 1: If L = 0, then |L|/2 = 0. Since $|a(n)| \ge 0$ for all n, we can take N = 1, and the inequality $|L|/2 \le |a(n)|$ holds trivially for all $n \ge N$.

Case 2: If $L \neq 0$, then we can apply the theorem EventuallyGeHalfLimPos (which requires $L \neq 0$ as a hypothesis) to obtain the desired N. QED

2.5 Importance

The by_cases tactic is essential for handling propositions where the truth value matters but isn't already known. It allows us to:

- Handle edge cases systematically
- Prove theorems with weaker hypotheses
- Apply different proof strategies depending on which case holds

This technique is ubiquitous in mathematical reasoning, from analysis to algebra to combinatorics.

3 Mathematical Induction

Induction is one of the most powerful proof techniques for statements about natural numbers. It allows us to prove infinitely many statements (one for each natural number) using only two steps: a base case and an inductive step.

3.1 The Principle of Mathematical Induction

To prove that a property P(n) holds for all natural numbers n, it suffices to prove:

- 1. Base Case: P(0) is true
- 2. **Inductive Step:** For all k, if P(k) is true, then P(k+1) is true

The intuition is like climbing an infinite ladder: if you can reach the first rung (base case), and if being on any rung allows you to reach the next rung (inductive step), then you can reach every rung.

3.2 The induction' Tactic

The syntax for induction in Lean is: induction' n with k hk. This means:

- Apply induction on the variable n
- Use k for the new dummy variable in the inductive step
- Use hk for the induction hypothesis on k

3.3 New Tool: ge_one_of_nonzero

If a natural number $n \neq 0$, then $1 \leq n$. This simple lemma is useful for handling the case when we're past the base case.

3.4 Example: Exponential Growth

Theorem: For all natural numbers n, we have $n < 2^n$.

This theorem captures the idea that exponential functions grow faster than linear functions—a fundamental fact with applications throughout mathematics and computer science.

3.5 Lean Solution

```
Statement (n : \mathbb{N}): n < 2 \, \hat{} \, n:= by induction' n with k hk norm_num by_cases hk0: k = 0 rewrite [hk0] norm_num have: 1 \le k:= by apply ge_one_of_nonzero hk0 have f1: k + 1 \le 2 * k:= by bound have f2: 2 * k < 2 * 2 \, k:= by linarith [hk] have f3: 2 * 2 \, k:= by ring_nf linarith [f1, f2, f3]
```

3.6 Natural Language Proof

Proof: We proceed by induction on n.

Base Case (n = 0): We have $0 < 2^0 = 1$, which is true.

Inductive Step: Assume $k < 2^k$ (induction hypothesis). We must show $k + 1 < 2^{k+1}$.

We proceed by cases on whether k=0.

If k = 0, then $k + 1 = 1 < 2 = 2^1 = 2^{k+1}$.

If $k \neq 0$, then $k \geq 1$. Therefore:

$$k+1 \le 2k$$
 (since $k \ge 1$)
 $< 2 \cdot 2^k$ (by induction hypothesis)
 $= 2^{k+1}$

This completes the induction. **QED**

3.7 Applications

Mathematical induction is essential for proving:

- Formulas for sums: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = n(n+1)/2$
- Inequalities: $n! > 2^n$ for large n
- Divisibility properties: $n^3 n$ is divisible by 6

- Recursive definitions: Fibonacci numbers, factorials, etc.
- Algorithm correctness: proving loop invariants

The combination of induction with other proof techniques (like case analysis) creates a powerful toolkit for discrete mathematics and computer science.

3.8 Key Insight

This proof demonstrates an important strategy: within an inductive proof, you may need to perform additional case analysis (using by_cases). The inductive hypothesis gives you leverage, but you often need to be clever about how to apply it, especially when dealing with boundary cases or when the algebra requires additional constraints.

Thui, and 40, 1/4 2. a) strathmolis Com assure 19,-41 c d. Must, and L/2 (after nothing N lage).

Goal: L-9n C (Least ally (91) 21 L/2. Cod: 1-1/2/1/21/25 5= 214/2 havei atoliant, Lueten 240. btgtovai bola 6 dal: 6 3 1/2. 25013 h 2 h 2 h 21 b 22 have for 02161: 5 by apply abstrost-nontrollade.
There for 02161: 52 Elly/2: = by bond

Specialize of ol (212/2) fl | Lane fo: 3 NZ, 42, 222 -> 970 Li JM, 42 M, lan > 12/2: = by gpply treatally Ge a L atol Lanks Chack M hM usy grot Charge N2 hNZ you fo'. Gool: YNZ PIANZ, bn-2 CE. Me MITHZ. into a ha. MZ MITHZ. have hal: N/En : = by bond. Spencialize has a hal. That: |an-1/22/2 Speralse LNZ n hn2 LnN2: 121/2 & land rente [& Eq Inva h), God: | a- - | CE, have f2: \frac{1}{a_n - \frac{1}{L}} = \frac{L - a_n}{a_n \cdot L} := \frac{1}{a_n \cdot L} \frac{1}{a_n \cdot L} Tone adsa: 0 < |9n/:= by lowing [4N2, 50], the queter anto it by apply nonzerot adopt assa Muite (ti) Gial: 1-an 28. have f3: | L-an = 11-an := by 944 435 due have fy: |an:L|= |an].|L|: = by 7604 985 ml remote fly) at f3. God!, [an]. [L] < {removite (ti)

have f5: L-an = (-(an-u) := by rm_at
have fli hamly = 9m-l/ = by 9pg 962mg,
cerrise (\$6) (600): [an-1] (8.
Come f7: lan-ll (2-14) [an].[1] (2-14) [an].[1] ; zby down
Lane f8: [2 12]? / = [2 12] : by f. ell. ing
Lone fig. $\frac{2 \cdot \mathcal{L} }{2 \cdot \mathcal{L} } \leq \frac{2 \cdot \mathcal{L} }{2 \cdot $
(m) [+] 1 [+] -

Part c: Natural language proof that 1/ an -> 1/ L (L != 0)

let epsilon be given and assume epsilon > 0. Then epsilon $|L|^2 / 2 > 0$. since a n -> L, we can make n large enough so that $|a n - L| < epsilon |L|^2 / 2$. We can also make n even larger (if need be) so that |a n| >= |L|/2.

Taking n large enough for both conditions to hold, we can bound:

 $|1/an - 1/L| = |(L - an)/(an L)| < epsilon |L|^2 /2 / (L * (|L|/2)) = epsilon. Done.$

argue of industran May for any 11:10, N < 2°.

Argue of industran.

Proce of o < 2°=1 V. roman Scritchearh for inductor; assume in 22",

609: n+1 < 2"

65-442) NZ: N=0,

17 0+1 < 20+1

7 N+0 => 12N.

N+1 < 2"

N