

CONTENTS

I.	Notes on curved-sky QE responses etc.	1
A.	Semi-analytical QE $N_L^{(0)}$ calculation	1
	More details of \mathcal{R}_L	2
B.	QE responses calculation	3

I. NOTES ON CURVED-SKY QE RESPONSES ETC.

Document to be included with the pipeline release after submission of the revised L08.

Lensing and others quadratic estimators used in [?] are all built multiplying in position space spin transforms of spin-weighted fields. We may write all of these in the form

$$_{s_o+t_o}\hat{d}(\mathbf{n}) \equiv \left(\sum_{\ell m} w_{\ell}^{s_i} \bar{X}_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}) \right) \left(\sum_{\ell m} w_{\ell}^{t_i} \bar{X}_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}) \right) \quad (1.1)$$

where s_i, t_i are input spins, $w_{\ell}^{s_i}, w_{\ell}^{t_i}$ associated weights, and s_o, t_o outputs spins. The maps $_{s}\bar{X}_{\ell m}$ are the inverse variance filtered CMB maps,

$$_0\bar{X}_{\ell m} = -\bar{T}_{\ell m}, \quad \pm 2\bar{X}_{\ell m} = -(\bar{E}_{\ell m} \pm i\bar{B}_{\ell m}). \quad (1.2)$$

For purely analytical calculations, the filtering operation itself can be approximated as isotropic. For independently filtered temperature and polarization, the filtered $\bar{T}, \bar{E}, \bar{B}$ are directly proportional to T, E and B respectively. We keep the discussion focussed on generic fields \bar{X} of arbitrary spins in the following. The gradient (G) and curl (C) modes of definite parity are defined through

$$\begin{aligned} G_{LM}^s &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(|s| d_{LM} + (-1)^s {}_{-|s|}d_{LM} \right) \\ C_{LM}^s &= -\frac{1}{2i} \left(|s| d_{LM} - (-1)^s {}_{-|s|}d_{LM} \right). \end{aligned}$$

A. Semi-analytical QE $N_L^{(0)}$ calculation

Q.E. noise (co)-variance can be evaluated very easily as was first demonstrated by Ref. []. For two generic estimators as defined in Eq. (1.1), we can jointly obtain their G and C co-variances with 4 one-dimensional integrals as we now describe.

Let $s = (s_i, t_i, w^{s_i})$ collectively describes the in and out spins and weight function, and similarly for t, u and v . Let the response function $\mathcal{R}_L^{st,uv}$ be defined as

$$(-1)^{t_o+v_o} \mathcal{R}_L^{st,uv} \equiv 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 d\mu \xi^{st}(\mu) \xi^{uv}(\mu) d_{-t_o-v_o, s_o+u_o}^L(\mu) \quad (1.3)$$

where ξ are position-space correlation functions

$$\xi^{st}(\mu) \equiv \sum_{\ell} \left(\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \right) w_{\ell}^{s_i} w_{\ell}^{t_i} \bar{C}_{\ell}^{s_i t_i} d_{-t_o, s_o}^{\ell}(\mu) \text{ with } \bar{C}_{\ell}^{s_i t_i} \equiv \langle {}_{s_i}\bar{X}_{\ell m} {}_{t_i}\bar{X}_{\ell m}^* \rangle \quad (1.4)$$

and $d_{mm'}^{\ell}$ are Wigner small d-matrices. Then

$$\langle G_{LM}^{s_o+t_o} G_{LM}^{*,u_o+v_o} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [(\mathcal{R}_L^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{sv,tu}) (1 + (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i}) + (-1)^{s_i+t_i} (\mathcal{R}_L^{-su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{-sv,tu}) (1 + (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i})] \quad (1.5)$$

$$\langle C_{LM}^{s_o+t_o} C_{LM}^{*,u_o+v_o} \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} [(\mathcal{R}_L^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{sv,tu}) (1 + (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i}) - (-1)^{s_i+t_i} (\mathcal{R}_L^{-su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{-sv,tu}) (1 + (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i})] \quad (1.6)$$

$$\langle G_{LM}^{s_o+t_o} C_{LM}^{*,u_o+v_o} \rangle = \frac{1}{4i} [(\mathcal{R}_L^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{sv,tu}) (1 - (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i}) + (-1)^{s_i+t_i} (\mathcal{R}_L^{-su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{-sv,tu}) (1 - (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i})] \quad (1.7)$$

I dont understand the resulting sign and GC spectrum for (the irrelevant case of) odd total input spin. Should nt that always be 1? TTTT checked OK

a. Sketchy derivation to cleanup For this we need a result using the spin-weight spherical harmonic theorem. Define $\mathcal{R}_L^{st,uv}$ through

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{st,uv}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}') &\equiv (-1)^{t_o+v_o} \left(\sum_{\ell m} g_\ell^{s_i} g_\ell^{t_i} C_\ell^{s_i t_i} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n})_{-t_o} Y_{\ell m}^*(\mathbf{n}') \right) \left(\sum_{\ell m} g_\ell^{u_i} g_\ell^{v_i} C_\ell^{u_i v_i} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n})_{-v_o} Y_{\ell m}^*(\mathbf{n}') \right) \\ &\equiv (-1)^{t_o+v_o} \sum_{LM} \mathcal{R}_L^{stuv} Y_{LM}(\mathbf{n})_{-u_o-v_o} Y_{LM}^*(\mathbf{n}')\end{aligned}\quad (1.8)$$

Then we can write

$$\left\langle \hat{d}(\mathbf{n})_{s_o+t_o} \hat{d}(\mathbf{n}')_{u_o+v_o} \right\rangle = \mathcal{R}^{su,tv}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}') + \mathcal{R}^{sv,tu}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}') \quad (1.9)$$

Taking the harmonic transform, we get

$$\left\langle \hat{d}_{LM}(\mathbf{n})_{s_o+t_o} \hat{d}_{L'M'}(\mathbf{n}')_{u_o+v_o} \right\rangle = (-1)^M \delta_{M,-M'} \delta_{L,L'} (\mathcal{R}_L^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{sv,tu}) \quad (1.10)$$

In general we have

$$\begin{aligned}G_{LM}^s &= -\frac{1}{2} ({}_s d_{LM} + (-1)^s {}_{-s} d_{LM}) \quad (s \geq 0) \\ C_{LM}^s &= -\frac{1}{2i} ({}_s d_{LM} - (-1)^s {}_{-s} d_{LM}) \quad (s \geq 0).\end{aligned}$$

The estimator for ${}_{-s_o-t_o} \hat{d}$ is the same as ${}_{s_o+t_o} \hat{d}$ with all spin signs flipped, and with an overall sign $(-1)^{s_o+s_i+t_o+t_i}$. The out-spins part gets canceled by the sign $(-1)^s$ in the above equation. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^M \delta_{M,-M'} \delta_{L,L'} \langle G_{LM}^{s_o+t_o} G_{L'M'}^{u_o+v_o} \rangle \cdot 4 &= \mathcal{R}_L^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{sv,tu} + (-1)^{s_i+t_i} (\mathcal{R}_L^{-su,-tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{-sv,-tu}) \\ &+ (-1)^{u_i+v_i} (\mathcal{R}_L^{s-u,t-v} + \mathcal{R}_L^{s-v,t-u}) + (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i} (\mathcal{R}_L^{-s-u,-t-v} + \mathcal{R}_L^{-s-v,-t-u}) \quad (s_o+t_o \geq 0, u_o+v_o \geq 0)\end{aligned}\quad (1.11)$$

Since \mathcal{R} is invariant under the simultaneous sign-flip of all spins, we can also write this as:

$$\langle G_{LM}^{s_o+t_o} G_{LM}^{*,u_o+v_o} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [(\mathcal{R}_L^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{sv,tu}) (1 + (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i}) + (-1)^{s_i+t_i} (\mathcal{R}_L^{-su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{-sv,tu}) (1 + (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i})] \quad (1.12)$$

$$\langle C_{LM}^{s_o+t_o} C_{LM}^{*,u_o+v_o} \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} [(\mathcal{R}_L^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{sv,tu}) (1 + (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i}) - (-1)^{s_i+t_i} (\mathcal{R}_L^{-su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{-sv,tu}) (1 + (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i})] \quad (1.13)$$

$$\langle G_{LM}^{s_o+t_o} C_{LM}^{*,u_o+v_o} \rangle = \frac{1}{4i} [(\mathcal{R}_L^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{sv,tu}) (1 - (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i}) + (-1)^{s_i+t_i} (\mathcal{R}_L^{-su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{-sv,tu}) (1 - (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i})] \quad (1.14)$$

?

More details of \mathcal{R}_L

Recall the spin-weight addition theorem:

$$\sum_m {}_s Y_{\ell m}^*(\mathbf{n}') {}_t Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}) = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} e^{-it\gamma} {}_t Y_{\ell,-s}(\beta, \alpha). \quad (1.15)$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{R}^{st,uv}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}') = (-1)^{t_o+v_o} e^{-is_o\gamma - iu_o\gamma} \left(\sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} g^{s_i} g^{t_i} C_\ell^{s_i t_i} Y_{\ell t_o}(\beta, \alpha) \right) \left(\sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} g^{u_i} g^{v_i} C_\ell^{u_i v_i} Y_{\ell v_o}(\beta, \alpha) \right) \quad (1.16)$$

The product of the brackets is a spin $s_o + u_o$ function. Defining its spin weight coefficients as \mathcal{R}_L we get the relation claimed above. What are these coefficients?

$$\mathcal{R}_L^{st,uv} \equiv (-1)^{t_o+v_o} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1}} \int d^2n \left(\sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} g^{s_i} g^{t_i} C_{\ell}^{s_i t_i} {}_{s_o} Y_{\ell t_o}(\mathbf{n}) \right) \left(\sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} g^{u_i} g^{v_i} C_{\ell}^{u_i v_i} {}_{u_o} Y_{\ell v_o}(\mathbf{n}) \right) {}_{s_o+u_o} Y_{L, t_o+v_o}^*(\mathbf{n}) \quad (1.17)$$

Using

$$\boxed{{}_s Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} (-1)^m e^{im\phi} d_{-ms}^{\ell}(\theta)} \quad (1.18)$$

The above thing is invariant if all signs are flipped at the same time. we get

$$\boxed{(-1)^{t_o+v_o} \mathcal{R}_L^{st,uv} \equiv 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 d\mu \xi^{st}(\mu) \xi^{uv}(\mu) d_{-t_o-v_o, s_o+u_o}^L(\mu), \text{ with } \xi^{st}(\mu) \equiv \sum_{\ell} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} g_{\ell}^{s_i} g_{\ell}^{t_i} C_{\ell}^{s_i t_i} d_{-t_o, s_o}^{\ell}(\mu)} \quad (1.19)$$

B. QE responses calculation