CONTENTS

- I. Notes on curved-sky QE responses etc.
 - A. Semi-analytical QE $N_L^{(0)}$ calculation 1 More details of \mathcal{R}_L 2
 - B. QE responses calculation 3

I. NOTES ON CURVED-SKY QE RESPONSES ETC.

JC: Document to be included with the pipeline release after submission of the revised L08.

Lensing and others quadratic estimators used in [?] are all built multiplying in position space spin transforms of spin-weighted fields. We may write all of these in the form

$$s_{\circ + t_{\circ}} \hat{d}(\boldsymbol{n}) \equiv \left(\sum_{\ell m} w_{\ell s_{i}}^{s_{i}} \bar{X}_{\ell m s_{\circ}} Y_{\ell m}(\boldsymbol{n}) \right) \left(\sum_{\ell m} w_{\ell t_{i}}^{t_{i}} \bar{X}_{\ell m t_{\circ}} Y_{\ell m}(\boldsymbol{n}) \right)$$
(1.1)

where s_i, t_i are input spins, $w_\ell^{s_i}, w_\ell^{t_i}$ associated weights, and s_o, t_o outputs spins. The maps $_s\bar{X}_{lm}$ are the inverse variance filtered CMB maps,

$$_{0}\bar{X}_{\ell m} = -\bar{T}_{\ell m}, \quad {}_{\pm 2}\bar{X}_{\ell m} = -\left(\bar{E}_{\ell m} \pm i\bar{B}_{\ell m}\right).$$
 (1.2)

For purely analytical calculations, the filtering operation itself can be approximated as isotropic. For independently filtered temperature and polarization, the filtered $\bar{T}, \bar{E}, \bar{B}$ are directly proportional to T, E and B respectively. We keep the discussion focussed on generic fields \bar{X} of arbitrary spins in the following. The gradient (G) and curl (C) modes of definite parity are defined through

$$G_{LM}^{s} = -\frac{1}{2} \left({}_{|s|} d_{LM} + (-1)^{s} {}_{-|s|} d_{LM} \right)$$

$$C_{LM}^{s} = -\frac{1}{2i} \left({}_{|s|} d_{LM} - (-1)^{s} {}_{-|s|} d_{LM} \right).$$

A. Semi-analytical QE $N_L^{(0)}$ calculation

Q.E. noise (co)-variance can be evaluated very easily as was first demonstrated by Ref. []. For two generic estimators as defined in Eq. (1.1), we can jointly obtain their G and C co-variances with 4 one-dimensional integrals as we now describe.

Let $s = (s_i, t_i, w^{s_i})$ collectively describes the in and out spins and weight function, and similarly for t, u and v. Let the response function $\mathcal{R}_L^{st,uv}$ be defined as JC: Change \mathcal{R} to N or similar JC: The exact def of \bar{C} is not super clear, I think there are signs lying around, lets see

$$(-1)^{t_o+v_o} \mathcal{R}_L^{st,uv} \equiv 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 d\mu \, \xi^{st}(\mu) \, \xi^{uv}(\mu) \, d_{-t_o-v_o,s_o+u_o}^L(\mu)$$
(1.3)

where ξ are position-space correlation functions

$$\xi^{st}(\mu) \equiv \sum_{\ell} \left(\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \right) w_{\ell}^{s_{i}} w_{\ell}^{t_{i}} \bar{C}_{\ell}^{s_{i}t_{i}} d_{-t_{o},s_{o}}^{\ell}(\mu) \text{ with } \bar{C}_{\ell}^{s_{i}t_{i}} \equiv \left\langle s_{i} \bar{X}_{\ell m \ t_{i}} \bar{X}_{\ell m}^{*} \right\rangle$$

$$\tag{1.4}$$

and $d_{mm'}^{\ell}$ are Wigner small d-matrices. Then

$$\left\langle G_{LM}^{s_{\mathrm{o}}+t_{\mathrm{o}}}G_{LM}^{*,u_{\mathrm{o}}+v_{\mathrm{o}}}\right\rangle =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{su,tv}+R_{L}^{sv,tu}\right)+(-1)^{s_{\mathrm{o}}+t_{\mathrm{o}}}\mathcal{R}_{L}^{-su,tv}+(-1)^{u_{\mathrm{o}}+v_{\mathrm{o}}}\mathcal{R}_{L}^{s-v,t-u}\right]\tag{1.5}$$

$$\left\langle C_{LM}^{s_{\mathrm{o}}+t_{\mathrm{o}}}C_{LM}^{*,u_{\mathrm{o}}+v_{\mathrm{o}}}\right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{su,tv}+R_{L}^{sv,tu}\right)-(-1)^{s_{\mathrm{o}}+t_{\mathrm{o}}}\mathcal{R}_{L}^{-su,tv}-(-1)^{u_{\mathrm{o}}+v_{\mathrm{o}}}\mathcal{R}_{L}^{s-v,t-u}\right] \tag{1.6}$$

$$\left\langle G_{LM}^{s_o+t_o} C_{LM}^{*,u_o+v_o} \right\rangle = 0 \tag{1.7}$$

JC: TTTT checked OK. Second term sign looks a bit not symmetric but is factually correct I believe, after sign-weight of the weights functions and \bar{C}

a. Sketchy derivation to cleanup For this we need a result using the spin-weight spherical harmonic theorem. Define $\mathcal{R}_L^{st,uv}$ through

$$\mathcal{R}^{st,uv}(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}') \equiv (-1)^{t_{o}+v_{o}+t_{i}+v_{i}} \left(\sum_{\ell m} g_{\ell}^{s_{i}} g_{\ell}^{t_{i}} C_{\ell}^{s_{i}t_{i}} {}_{s_{o}} Y_{\ell m}(\boldsymbol{n}) {}_{-t_{o}} Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\boldsymbol{n}') \right) \left(\sum_{\ell m} g_{\ell}^{u_{i}} g_{\ell}^{v_{i}} C_{\ell}^{u_{i}v_{i}} {}_{u_{o}} Y_{\ell m}(\boldsymbol{n}) {}_{-v_{o}} Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\boldsymbol{n}') \right) \\
\equiv (-1)^{t_{o}+v_{o}+t_{i}+v_{i}} \sum_{LM} \mathcal{R}_{L}^{stuv} {}_{s_{o}+u_{o}} Y_{LM}(\boldsymbol{n}) {}_{-t_{o}-v_{o}} Y_{LM}^{*}(\boldsymbol{n}') \tag{1.8}$$

Then we can write

$$\left\langle s_{\text{o}} + t_{\text{o}} \hat{d}(\boldsymbol{n}) u_{\text{o}} + v_{\text{o}} \hat{d}(\boldsymbol{n}') \right\rangle = \mathcal{R}^{su,tv}(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') + \mathcal{R}^{sv,tu}(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$$
(1.9)

Taking the harmonic transform, we get

$$\left\langle s_{\text{o}} + t_{\text{o}} \hat{d}_{LM} \ u_{\text{o}} + v_{\text{o}} \hat{d}_{L'M'} \right\rangle = (-1)^{M} \delta_{M, -M'} \delta_{L, L'} \left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{su, tv} + \mathcal{R}_{L}^{sv, tu} \right) (-1)^{u_{\text{o}} + v_{\text{o}}}$$
(1.10)

or

$$\left\langle s_{\text{o}} + t_{\text{o}} \hat{d}_{LM} u_{\text{o}} + v_{\text{o}} \hat{d}_{L'M'}^* \right\rangle = \delta_{MM'} \delta_{LL'} \left(\mathcal{R}_L^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_L^{sv,tu} \right) \tag{1.11}$$

In general we have

$$G_{LM}^{s} = -\frac{1}{2} \left({}_{s} d_{LM} + (-1)^{s} {}_{-s} d_{LM} \right) \quad (s \ge 0)$$

$$C_{LM}^{s} = -\frac{1}{2i} \left({}_{s} d_{LM} - (-1)^{s} {}_{-s} d_{LM} \right) \quad (s \ge 0).$$

The estimator for $_{-s_o-t_o}\hat{d}$ is the same as $_{s_o+t_o}\hat{d}$ with all spin signs flipped, and with an overall sign $(-1)^{s_o+s_i+t_o+t_i}$. The out-spins part gets canceled by the sign $(-1)^s$ in the above equation. JC: No. better to request $w_{\ell}^{-s_i,-s_o} = (-1)^{s_o+s_i}w_{\ell}^{s_i,s_o}$ so that $_{-s_o-t_o}\hat{d}=_{s_o+t_o}\hat{d}^*$? Thats what we do nowHence,

$$\delta_{MM'}\delta_{LL'}\left\langle G_{LM}^{s_{\rm o}+t_{\rm o}}G_{L'M'}^{*,u_{\rm o}+v_{\rm o}}\right\rangle \cdot 4 = \mathcal{R}_{L}^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_{L}^{sv,tu} + (-1)^{s_{\rm i}+t_{\rm i}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{-su,-tv} + \mathcal{R}_{L}^{-sv,-tu}\right) \\ + (-1)^{u_{\rm i}+v_{\rm i}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{s-u,t-v} + \mathcal{R}_{L}^{s-v,t-u}\right) + (-1)^{s_{\rm i}+t_{\rm i}+u_{\rm i}+v_{\rm i}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{-s-u,-t-v} + \mathcal{R}_{L}^{-s-v,-t-u}\right) \quad (s_{\rm o}+t_{\rm o}>=0, u_{\rm o}+v_{\rm o}>=0)$$

$$(1.12)$$

Since \mathcal{R} is invariant under the simultaneous sign-flip of all spins JC: Wrong, also with sign-weighted weights: $\bar{C}^{-s,-t} = (-1)^{s+t}C^{t,s} = (-1)^{s+t}C^{s,t}$ ($s,t \geq 0$, so $\mathcal{R}^{st,uv}$ takes a sign $(-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i}$ and this solves the problem, we can also write this as:

$$\left\langle G_{LM}^{s_{\mathrm{o}}+t_{\mathrm{o}}}G_{LM}^{*,u_{\mathrm{o}}+v_{\mathrm{o}}}\right\rangle =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{su,tv}+R_{L}^{sv,tu}\right)+(-1)^{s_{\mathrm{o}}+t_{\mathrm{o}}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{-su,tv}+\mathcal{R}_{L}^{-sv,tu}\right)\right] \tag{1.13}$$

$$\left\langle C_{LM}^{s_{o}+t_{o}}C_{LM}^{*,u_{o}+v_{o}}\right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_{L}^{sv,tu}\right) - (-1)^{s_{o}+t_{o}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{-su,tv} + \mathcal{R}_{L}^{-sv,tu}\right)\right]$$
(1.14)

$$\left\langle G_{LM}^{s_{\circ}+t_{\circ}} C_{LM}^{*,u_{\circ}+v_{\circ}} \right\rangle = 0$$
 (1.15)

Pfeew

More details of \mathcal{R}_L

Recall the spin-weight addition theorem:

$$\sum_{m} {}_{s}Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') {}_{t}Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}) = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} e^{-it\gamma} {}_{t}Y_{\ell,-s}(\beta,\alpha).$$
(1.16)

Hence

$$\mathcal{R}^{st,uv}(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}') = (-1)^{t_{\mathrm{o}}+v_{\mathrm{o}}+t_{\mathrm{i}}+\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{i}}} e^{-is_{\mathrm{o}}\gamma - iu_{\mathrm{o}}\gamma} \left(\sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} g^{s_{\mathrm{i}}} g^{t_{\mathrm{i}}} C_{\ell}^{s_{\mathrm{i}}t_{\mathrm{i}}} {}_{s_{\mathrm{o}}} Y_{\ell t_{\mathrm{o}}}(\beta,\alpha) \right) \left(\sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} g^{u_{\mathrm{i}}} g^{v_{\mathrm{i}}} C_{\ell}^{u_{\mathrm{i}}v_{\mathrm{i}}} {}_{u_{\mathrm{o}}} Y_{\ell v_{\mathrm{o}}}(\beta,\alpha) \right)$$

$$(1.17)$$

The product of the brackets is a spin $s_0 + u_0$ function. Defining its spin weight coefficients as \mathcal{R}_L we get the relation claimed above. What are these coefficients?

$$\mathcal{R}_{L}^{st,uv} \equiv (-1)^{t_{o}+v_{o}+t_{i}+v_{i}} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1}} \int d^{2}n \left(\sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} g^{s_{i}} g^{t_{i}} C_{\ell}^{s_{i}t_{i}} {}_{s_{o}} Y_{\ell t_{o}}(\boldsymbol{n}) \right) \left(\sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} g^{u_{i}} g^{v_{i}} C_{\ell}^{u_{i}v_{i}} {}_{u_{o}} Y_{\ell v_{o}}(\boldsymbol{n}) \right)_{s_{o}+u_{o}} Y_{L,t_{o}+v_{o}}^{*}(\boldsymbol{n})$$

$$(1.18)$$

Using

$$_{s}Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}}(-1)^{m}e^{im\phi}d^{\ell}_{-ms}(\theta)$$
 (1.19)

The above thing is invariant if all signs are flipped at the same time. we get

$$(-1)^{t_{o}+v_{o}+t_{i}+v_{i}}\mathcal{R}_{L}^{st,uv} \equiv 2\pi \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu \, \xi^{st}(\mu) \, \xi^{uv}(\mu) \, d_{-t_{o}-v_{o},s_{o}+u_{o}}^{L}(\mu), \text{ with } \xi^{st}(\mu) \equiv \sum_{\ell} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} g_{\ell}^{s_{i}} g_{\ell}^{t_{i}} C_{\ell}^{s_{i}t_{i}} d_{-t_{o},s_{o}}^{\ell}(\mu)$$

$$(1.20)$$

JC: Remember now the weights w (or g...) changes $(-1)^{s_i+s_o}$ under sign flip of the two spins, and \bar{C}^{st} takes a $(-1)^{s+t}$. So $\mathcal{R}^{-s-t,-u-v} = (-1)^{s_i+t_i+u_i+v_i+s_i+s_o+t_i+t_o+u_i+v_o} = (-1)^{s_o+t_o+u_o+v_o} \mathcal{R}^{st,uv}$

B. QE responses calculation

JC: should define the response at the covariance matrix level...The covariance matrices is

$$\langle {}_{s}X(\boldsymbol{n}) {}_{t}X^{*}(\boldsymbol{n}') \rangle = \langle {}_{s}X(\boldsymbol{n}) {}_{-t}X(\boldsymbol{n}') \rangle = \sum_{\ell m} C_{\ell}^{st} {}_{s}Y_{\ell m}(\boldsymbol{n}) {}_{t}Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\boldsymbol{n}')$$

$$(1.21)$$

How does this responds to a source of anisotropy (with spin r), $_{r}\alpha(\mathbf{n})$? For all cases in this work, we can parametrize this as follows

$$\delta \langle_{s} X(\boldsymbol{n}) _{t} X(\boldsymbol{n}') \rangle = {}_{r} \alpha(\boldsymbol{n}') \sum_{\ell, r} {}_{r} R_{\ell}^{st} {}_{s} Y_{\ell m}(\boldsymbol{n}) {}_{r-t} Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\boldsymbol{n}') + ((-t, \boldsymbol{n}') \leftrightarrow (s, \boldsymbol{n}))$$

$$(1.22)$$

for some set of isotropic response functions R_{ℓ} . What is the response to the estimator Eq. (1.1)? Examples include:

• Lensing: The source of anisotropy is the spin-1 field $\alpha(n)$, with response

$$\delta_s X(\boldsymbol{n}) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \eth_s X(\boldsymbol{n}) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{-1} \bar{\eth}_s X(\boldsymbol{n})$$
(1.23)

where \eth and $\bar{\eth}$ are the spin lowering and spin raising operator JC: check notation respectively. From their action on the spin-weighted harmonics, the harmonic space responses are JC: ...

$$s_r = s - 1, s + 1$$
 $R^{s,s-1} = JC : ..., R^{s,s+1} = JC : ...$ (1.24)

• Modulation estimator: The source is spin 0, with response

$$\delta_s X(\mathbf{n}) =_0 \alpha(\mathbf{n})_s X(\mathbf{n}) \tag{1.25}$$

Hence,

$$s_r = s \quad , R_\ell^{ss} = 1$$
 (1.26)

• Point source:

$$\delta_s X(\boldsymbol{n}) = {}_{0}\alpha(\boldsymbol{n})\delta^D(\boldsymbol{n}) \tag{1.27}$$

• Noise anisotropies: