

- MultiSim: A Python Toolbox for Simulating
- <sup>2</sup> MEG/EEG Datasets with Known "Ground Truth"
- **Effects**
- 4 Alex Lepauvre 10 1,2¶, Qian Chu 10 1,3,4,5, Lucia Melloni 10 1,6,7, and Peter
- 5 Zeidman 

  8
- 1 Neural Circuits, Consciousness and Cognition Research Group, Max Planck Institute for Empirical
- 7 Aesthetics, Frankfurt am Main, Germany ROR 2 Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour,
- Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands ROR 3 Max Planck University of Toronto
- 9 Centre for Neural Science and Technology 4 Krembil Brain Institute, Toronto Western Hospital,
- University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada ROR 5 Institute of Biomedical Engineering, University
- of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada ROR 6 Department of Neurology, New York University Grossman
- School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA ROR 7 Predictive Brain Department, Research Center One
- Health Ruhr, University Alliance Ruhr, Faculty of Psychology, Ruhr University Bochum, Bochum,
  Germany ROR 8 Functional Imaging Laboratory, Department of Imaging Neuroscience, UCL Queen
- Square Institute of Neurology, 12 Queen Square, London, UK ROR ¶ Corresponding author

### DOI: 10.xxxxx/draft

#### Software

- Review 🗗
- Repository 🖸
- Archive ♂

#### Editor: Open Journals ♂

#### Reviewers:

@openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970 Published: unpublished

#### License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

## Summary

In MEG/EEG research, validating analysis pipelines is hampered by the lack of ground-truth neural signals in real data. SimMEG fills this gap by generating realistic, time-locked multivariate effects of known magnitude that you can inject into simulated sensor data. You can then run any pipeline—e.g. decoding, sensor-level statistics, or source estimation—against these datasets to benchmark sensitivity and specificity.

Key benefits include:

25

- Testing whether your pipeline reliably detects effects of a chosen size.
- Providing demonstrable, reproducible benchmarks for reviewers or collaborators.
- Offering a controlled teaching environment for newcomers.

Below, we describe the rationale (Statement of needs), and the data-generation method (Methods), a hands-on example (Results), and potential extensions (Discussion).

# Statement of needs

- MEG and EEG analysis pipelines—spanning from preprocessing and artifact rejection to sensoror source-level statistics and multivariate decoding—struggle with three fundamental issues:
- the very high dimensionality of the data, low signal-to-noise ratios (SNR), and the fact that in
- real recordings we never know the "ground truth" about when or where genuine neural effects
- occur. As a result, it is difficult to quantify how sensitive a given pipeline really is, or how
- often it produces false positives.
- 37 SimMEG addresses this gap by enabling simulation of multivariate data with full control
- over the simulated effects. Users can define any experimental design matrix (conditions and
- 39 contrasts), the parameters of the data set (number of trials, channels, participants and spatial
- 40 covariance of the sensors), specify exactly when and how large each effect should be, to simulate



- entire subject cohorts. Users can then run your custom analysis pipeline on these synthetic
- datasets and directly measure its ability to detect the planted effects—and its proclivity for
- 43 false alarms—under realistic noise and covariance conditions. Importantly, this toolbox can
- be used to determine the ideal number of trials per subject and the number of subjects,
- given the parameters of their recording system and the predetermined size of the effect under
- investigation.

#### 47 Method

## **Generative model**

- For each subject s, we simulate epoched data  $\mathbf{Y}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\mathsf{samples}} imes n_{\mathsf{feat}}}$  according to the general
- 50 linear model:

$$\mathbf{Y}_s = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{B}_s + \mathbf{1}oldsymbol{eta}_{0,s}^{ op} + oldsymbol{arepsilon}_s,$$

51 where

52

57

- $\blacksquare$  X is the full design matrix with  $n_{samples}*n_{trials}$  rows and  $n_{samples}*n_{conditions}$  columns
- $N_{\mathsf{samples}} = n_{\mathsf{epochs}} \times n_t$  is the total number of time samples across all trials, and  $\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0,s}^{\top}$  is a subject-specific intercept term. The matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  is the **full design matrix**, with one regressor for each combination of experimental condition and time point.
- The noise term is drawn from a multivariate normal distribution:

$$\varepsilon_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$$

where  $\sigma = \text{noise\_std}$  and  $= \text{spat\_cov}$  denotes the spatial covariance of the sensors (default: identity).

## 61 Constructing the regression coefficients

- 62 Each experimental effect is defined by:
  - an experimental condition (column index c),
  - a temporal window  $[t_{on}, t_{off}]$ ,
- $\bullet$  and a desired multivariate effect size d (interpreted as a Cohen-style d').
- 66 We first create a rectangular temporal mask:

$$m_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_{\text{on}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{off}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $_{57}$  If a causal kernel h is provided, the temporal profile is convolved and rescaled to unit energy:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{\mathbf{m} * h}{\|\mathbf{m} * h\|_2}$$

A uniform spatial pattern is used:  $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{1}\in\mathbb{R}^{n_{\mathsf{feat}}}$ . Its length under the inverse spatial covariance is:

$$L = \sqrt{\mathbf{v}^{\top - 1}\mathbf{v}} = \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(^{-1})}$$



We then scale the amplitude for subject s as:

$$a_s = \mathcal{N}(d \cdot \sigma/L, \text{ intersub\_noise\_std}^2)$$

Finally, the corresponding rows in the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{B}_s$  are populated as:

$$\beta_{c.t:s} = a_s \cdot \widetilde{m}_t \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\top}$$

All other entries of  $\mathbf{B}_s$  remain zero.

## 73 From effect size to decoding accuracy

Because  $\|\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}\|_2 = 1$ , the effective Mahalanobis distance between classes at each time point is:

$$d'_t = \frac{a_s}{\sigma} \cdot L = d$$

- This guarantees that the discriminability between class centroids at each time point matches the
- $_{76}$  desired d'. Under standard assumptions of equal-covariance Gaussian classes, the theoretical
- 77 decoding accuracy is:

$$P_{\rm correct} = \Phi\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)$$

- Thus, users can simulate data with known decoding difficulty:
- e.g. d = 0.2, 0.5, 1.0 yields ~60%, 69%, and 84% expected accuracy respectively, independent
- 80 of the number or covariance of features.

# Code Quality and Documentation

- 82 SimMEG is hosted on GitHub. Examples and API documentation are available on the platform
- 83 XXX. We provide installation guides, algorithm introductions, and examples of using the
- package with Jupyter Notebook [REF]. The package is available on Linux, macOS and
- $^{85}$  Windows for Python >=3.12 It can be installed with pip install simMEG. To ensure high code
- 96 quality, all implementations adhere to the PEP8 code style [REF], enforced by ruff [REF],
- the code formatter black and the static analyzer prospector. The documentation is provided
- through docstrings using the NumPy conventions and build using Sphinx.

## Acknowledgements

References