




# SE 4352

## Software Architecture and Design

Fall 2018

Module 3

# Chapter 16




# Requirements

- Architectures exist to build systems that satisfy requirements.
- But, to an architect, not all requirements are created equal.
- An *architecturally significant requirement* (ASR) is a requirement that will have a profound effect on the architecture.
- How do we find those?



# ASRs and Requirements Documents

- An obvious location to look for candidate ASRs is in the requirements documents or in user stories.
- Requirements should be in requirements documents!
- Unfortunately, this is not usually the case.
- Why?



# Don't Get Your Hopes Up

- Many projects don't create or maintain the detailed, high-quality requirements documents.
- Standard requirements pay more attention to functionality than quality attributes.
- Most of what is in a requirements specification does not affect the architecture.
- No architect just sits and waits until the requirements are “finished” before starting work. The architect *must* begin while the requirements are still in flux.





# Don't Get Your Hopes Up

- Quality attributes, when captured at all, are often captured poorly.
  - “The system shall be modular”
  - “The system shall exhibit high usability”
  - “The system shall meet users’ performance expectations”
- Much of what is useful to an architect is not in even the best requirements document.
  - ASRs often derive from business goals in the development organization itself
  - Developmental qualities (such as teaming) are also out of scope

# What Could HELP?

- More involvement by the architect in requirements elicitation
- But...architects are costly resources



# Gathering ASRs from Stakeholders

- Say your project won't have the QAs nailed down by the time you need to start your design work.
- What do you do?
- Stakeholders often have *no idea* what QAs they want in a system
  - if you insist on quantitative QA requirements, you're likely to get numbers that are arbitrary.
  - at least some of those requirements will be very difficult to satisfy.
- Architects often have very good ideas about what QAs are reasonable to provide.
- Interviewing the relevant stakeholders is the surest way to learn what they know and need.






# Gathering ASRs from Stakeholders

- The results of stakeholder interviews should include
  - a list of architectural drivers
  - a set of QA scenarios that the stakeholders (as a group) prioritized.
- This information can be used to:
  - refine system and software requirements
  - understand and clarify the system's architectural drivers
  - provide rationale for why the architect subsequently made certain design decisions
  - guide the development of prototypes and simulations
  - influence the order in which the architecture is developed.



# Quality Attribute Workshop

- The QAW is a facilitated, stakeholder-focused method to generate, prioritize, and refine quality attribute scenarios before the software architecture is completed.
- The QAW is focused on system-level concerns and specifically the role that software will play in the system.





# QAW Steps

## ■ **Step 1: QAW Presentation and Introductions.**

- QAW facilitators describe the motivation for the QAW and explain each step of the method.

## ■ **Step 2: Business/Mission Presentation.**

- The stakeholder representing the business concerns behind the system presents the system's business context, broad functional requirements, constraints, and known quality attribute requirements.
- The quality attributes that will be refined in later steps will be derived largely from the business/mission needs presented in this step.

## ■ **Step 3: Architectural Plan Presentation.**

- The architect will present the system architectural plans as they stand.
- This lets stakeholders know the current architectural thinking, to the extent that it exists.

## ■ **Step 4: Identification of Architectural Drivers.**

- The facilitators will share their list of key architectural drivers that they assembled during Steps 2 and 3, and ask the stakeholders for clarifications, additions, deletions, and corrections.
- The idea is to reach a consensus on a distilled list of architectural drivers that includes overall requirements, business drivers, constraints, and quality attributes.

# QAW Steps

## ■ **Step 5: Scenario Brainstorming.**

- Each stakeholder expresses a scenario representing his or her concerns with respect to the system.
- Facilitators ensure that each scenario has an explicit stimulus and response.
- The facilitators ensure that at least one representative scenario exists for each architectural driver listed in Step 4.

## ■ **Step 6: Scenario Consolidation.**

- Similar scenarios are consolidated where reasonable.
- Consolidation helps to prevent votes from being spread across several scenarios that are expressing the same concern.


## ■ **Step 7: Scenario Prioritization.**

- Prioritization of the scenarios is accomplished by allocating each stakeholder a number of votes equal to 30 percent of the total number of scenarios

## ■ **Step 8: Scenario Refinement.**

- The top scenarios are refined and elaborated.
- Facilitators help the stakeholders put the scenarios in the six-part scenario form of source-stimulus-artifact-environment-response-response measure.

# ASRs from Business Goals



# ASRs from Business Goals




FIGURE 16.1 Some business goals may lead to quality attribute requirements (which lead to architectures), or lead directly to architectural decisions, or lead to nonarchitectural solutions.

- 
- What kinds of business goals have driven the construction of the World Wide Web?

# Categories of Business Goals, to Aid in Elicitation

1.	Contributing to the growth and continuity of the organization
2.	Meeting financial objectives
3.	Meeting personal objectives
4.	Meeting responsibility to employees
5.	Meeting responsibility to society
6.	Meeting responsibility to state
7.	Meeting responsibility to shareholders
8.	Managing market position
9.	Improving business processes
10.	Managing the quality and reputation of products
11.	Managing change in environmental factors



