

A Gift of Fire

Fourth edition

Sara Baase

Chapter 7: Evaluating and Controlling Technology

Slides prepared by Cyndi Chie and Sarah Frye. Fourth edition revisions by Sharon Gray.



What We Will Cover

- Evaluating Information
- The "Digital Divide"
- Neo-Luddite Views of Computers, Technology, and Quality of Life
- Making Decisions About Technology



- Expert information or 'wisdom of the crowd'?
 - Daunting amount of information on the web, much of this information is not correct
 - Search engines are replacing librarians, but Web sites are ranked by popularity, not by expert evaluation
 - Wisdom of the crowd ratings by public of Web site
 - If millions participate, the results will be useful



- Wikipedia
 - Written by volunteers, some posts are biased and not accurate
 - Although anyone can write, most people do not
 - Those that do typically are educated and experts



- Wisdom of the crowd
 - Problems of unreliable information are not new
 - The Web magnifies the problems
 - Rating systems are easy to manipulate
- Vulnerable viewers
 - Less educated individuals
 - Children



The Need for Responsible Judgment

Narrowing the information stream



- Abdicating responsibility
 - People willing to let computers do their thinking
 - Reliance on computer systems over human judgment may become institutionalized
 - Fear of having to defend your own judgment if something goes wrong



Computer Models

- Evaluating Models
 - How well do the modelers understand the underlying science or theory?
 - Models necessarily involve assumptions and simplifications of reality.
 - How closely do the results or predictions correspond with the results from physical experiments or real experience?



Computer Models

- Why models may not be accurate
 - We might not have complete knowledge of the system we are modeling.
 - The data describing current conditions or characteristics may be incomplete or inaccurate.
 - Computing power may be inadequate for the complexity of the model.
 - It is difficult, if not impossible, to numerically quantify variables that represent human values and choices.



The "Digital Divide"

Trends in Computer Access

- New technologies only available to the wealthy
- The time it takes for new technology to make its way into common use is decreasing
- Cost is not the only factor; ease of use plays a role
- Entrepreneurs provide low cost options for people who cannot otherwise afford something
- Government funds technology in schools
- As technology becomes more prevalent, the issues shift from the haves and have-nots to level of service



The "Digital Divide"

The Global Divide and the Next Billion Users

- Approximately two billion people worldwide have access to the Web, a fivefold increase over roughly a decade. Approximately five billion do not use the Internet.
- Non-profit organizations and huge computer companies are spreading computer access to people in developing countries.
- Bringing new technology to poor countries is not just a matter of money to buy equipment; PCs and laptops must work in extreme environments.
- Some people actively working to shrink the digital divide emphasize the need to provide access in ways appropriate to the local culture.



Criticisms of Computing Technologies

- Computers cause massive unemployment and de-skilling of jobs.
- Computers "manufacture needs"; we use them because they are there, not because they satisfy real needs.
- Computers cause social inequity
- Computers cause social disintegration; they are dehumanizing. They weaken communities and lead to isolation of people from each other.



Criticisms of Computing Technologies (cont.)

- Computers separate humans from nature and destroy the environment.
- Computers benefit big business and big government the most.
- Use of computers in schools thwarts development of social skills, human values, and intellectual skills in children.
- Computers do little or nothing to solve real problems.



Views of Economics, Nature, and Human Needs

- Difference in perspective between Luddites and non-Luddites
- What is the purpose of technology?
 - To Luddites, it is to eliminate jobs to reduce cost of production
 - To non-Luddites, it is to reduce effort needed to produce goods and services.
 - While both statements say nearly the same thing, the first suggests massive unemployment, profits for capitalists, and a poorer life for most workers. The second suggests improvements in wealth and standard of living.



Does the technology create a need for itself?



Nature and human life styles

- Luddites argue that technology has made no important improvements in life.
- Many debates set up a humans-versus-nature dichotomy.
- Whether a computing device is "good," by a humancentered standard, depends on whether it meets our needs, how well it does so, at what cost, and how well it compares to alternatives.



Accomplishments of technology

- Increased life expectancy
- Elimination or reduction of many diseases
- Increased standard of living
- Assistive technologies for those with disabilities



Discussion Questions

- To what extent are Neo-Luddite criticisms (on slides 12 and 13) valid?
- Can a society choose to have certain specific desirable modern inventions while prohibiting undesirable ones?



The Difficulty of Prediction

- Each new technology finds new and unexpected uses
- The history of technology is full of wildly wrong predictions
- Weizenbaum argued against developing speech recognition technology
 - Mistaken expectations of costs and benefits
 - Should we decline a technology because of potential abuse and ignore the benefits?
 - New technologies are often expensive, but costs drop as the technology advances and the demand increases



Intelligent Machines and Superintelligent Humans - Or the End of the Human Race?

- Technological Singularity point at which artificial intelligence or some combined human-machine intelligence advances so far that we cannot comprehend what lies on the other side
- We cannot prepare for aftermath, but prepare for more gradual developments
- Select a decision making process most likely to produce what people want



A Few Observations

- Limit the scope of decisions about development of new technology
- Decentralize the decision-making process and make it noncoercive, to reduce the impact of mistakes, avoid manipulation by entrenched companies who fear competition, and prevent violations of liberty



Discussion Questions

- How well can we predict the consequences of a new technology or application?
- Who would make the decisions?