Project 1 Evaluation		Total SCORE:	67_ / 90	
Project author:btp	150030 [Project reviewer:	aml140830	_
Data Exploration (40 poi	ints)			
Note: Deduct points for	trivial data sets	(<1000 rows).		
identifie	ed; data cleanin (0-5 points) At (0-10 points) At nd be easy to in (0-5 points) Co ed; data cleanin (0-5 points) At	g (if needed) was descr least 5 R functions were t least 2 meaningful gra nterpret. lumns were described; g (if needed) was descr least 5 R functions were At least 2 meaningful gr	a reasonable target column wibed; a link to find the data wase used for data exploration. The phs were included. Graphs should be a reasonable target column wibed; a link to find the data wase used for data exploration. Traphs were included. Graphs so	as provided. ould have vas as provided.
ML Algorithms and Evaluation (40 points) • Data Set 1				
510	_ (0-10 points) 7	Two appropriate algorit	chosen for the algorithms. thms were run on the data set I why one might have outperfo	
o <u>10</u>	_ (0-10 points) 7	Two appropriate algorit	chosen for the algorithms. thms were run on the data set I why one might have outperfo	
Project Depth (10 points	s) {Projects shou	uld get lower points for	trivial data sets.}	
• (4-7 p	points) Project r	roject did not meet or n met requirements and a went well beyond the		

Justification and Comments regarding Project Depth: (you must justify the Project Depth score)

Both data sets were missing the analysis portion of the assignment. I think the metrics were well chosen, the graphs were good for the most part (part 1 was missing a 1 of the 2 required graphs) and the algorithms were correct. So, this project met most of everything that was required. Missing the analysis was a big part of concluding the project though. Without a conclusion, the project drops from machine learning to sending data into functions. The analysis is key