## Homework Assignment 1

Bailey Wickham & Alex MacLean CSC530

May 20, 2021

## 1 Propositional Logic and Normal Forms

2. (5 points) Convert the following formula to an equisatisfiable one in CNF using Tseitin's encoding:

$$\neg(\neg r \rightarrow \neg(p \land q))$$

Write the final CNF as the answer. Use  $a_{\phi}$  to denote the auxiliary variable for the formula  $\phi$ ; for example,  $a_{p \wedge q}$  should be used to denote the auxiliary variable for  $p \wedge q$ . Your conversion should not introduce auxiliary variables for negations.

Substitutions:

$$a_{\phi} \leftrightarrow \neg(\neg r \to \neg a_{p \land q})$$
$$a_{p \land q} \leftrightarrow (p \land q)$$

Conjunction:

$$a_{\phi} \wedge (a_{\phi} \leftrightarrow \neg(\neg r \rightarrow \neg a_{p \wedge q})) \wedge (a_{p \wedge q} \leftrightarrow (p \wedge q))$$
 
$$where$$
 
$$(a_{\phi} \leftrightarrow \neg(\neg r \rightarrow \neg a_{p \wedge q})) \equiv (\neg a_{\phi} \vee \neg r) \wedge (\neg a_{\phi} \vee a_{p \wedge q}) \wedge (r \vee \neg a_{p \wedge q} \vee a_{\phi})$$
 
$$and$$
 
$$(a_{p \wedge q} \leftrightarrow (p \wedge q)) \equiv (\neg a_{p \wedge q} \vee p) \wedge (\neg a_{p \wedge q} \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee a_{p \wedge q})$$
 
$$so$$
 
$$\phi \equiv a_{\phi} \wedge (\neg a_{\phi} \vee \neg r) \wedge (\neg a_{\phi} \vee a_{p \wedge q}) \wedge (r \vee \neg a_{p \wedge q} \vee a_{\phi}) \wedge (\neg a_{p \wedge q} \vee p) \wedge (\neg a_{p \wedge q} \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee a_{p \wedge q})$$

3. (10 points) Let  $\phi$  be a propositional formula in NNF, and let I be an interpretation of  $\phi$ . Let the positive set of I with respect to  $\phi$ , denoted  $pos(I,\phi)$ , be the literals of  $\phi$  that are satisfied by I. As an example, for the NNF formula  $\phi = (\neg r \land p) \lor q$  and the interpretation  $I = [r \mapsto \bot, p \mapsto \top, q \mapsto \bot]$ , we have  $pos(I,\phi) = \{\neg r,p\}$ . Prove the following theorem about the monotonicity of NNF:

**Monotonicity of NNF:** For every interpretation I and I' such that  $pos(I, \phi) \subseteq pos(I', \phi)$ , if  $I \models \phi$ , then  $I' \models \phi$ .

(**Hint:** Use structural induction.)

*Proof.* Proceed by structural induction.

**Base case**: Suppose that  $\phi$  is of the form: p or  $\neg p$  and  $I \models \phi$ . Then  $pos(I, \phi)$  must contain p or  $\neg p$  respectively. Since  $pos(I, \phi) \subseteq pos(I', \phi)$  then that element must also be in  $pos(I', \phi)$ . Therefore  $I' \models \phi$ .

**Inductive hypothesis**: Suppose there exists a  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  such that for every interpretation I and I' such that  $pos(I, \phi_i) \subseteq pos(I', \phi_i)$ , if  $I \models \phi_i$ , then  $I' \models \phi_i$ .

**Inductive step:** Let  $\phi = \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$  and I satisfy  $\phi$ . Let  $pos(I, \phi) \subseteq pos(I', \phi)$  for some interpretation I'. Then  $I \models \phi_1$  and  $pos(I, \phi_1) \subseteq pos(I, \phi) \subseteq pos(I', \phi)$  so by the inductive hypothesis  $I' \models \phi_1$ . A similar argument can be applied to  $\phi_2$ . Since  $I' \models \phi_1$  and  $I' \models \phi_2$ ,  $I' \models \phi$ . The case for  $\phi = \phi_1 \vee \phi_2$  follows similarly.

**Conclusion:** By induction, every interpretation I and I' such that  $pos(I, \phi) \subseteq pos(I', \phi)$ , if  $I \models \phi$ , then  $I' \models \phi$ .

4. (10 points) Let  $\phi$  be an NNF formula. Let  $\hat{\phi}$  be a formula derived from  $\phi$  using a modified version of Tseitin's encoding in which the CNF constraints are derived from implications rather than bi-implications. For example, given the formula

$$a_1 \wedge (a_2 \vee \neg a_3),$$

the new encoding is the CNF equivalent of the following, where  $x_0, x_1, x_2$  are fresh auxiliary variables:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_0 & \wedge \\ (x_0 \rightarrow a_1 \wedge x_1) & \wedge \\ (x_1 \rightarrow a_2 \vee x_2) & \wedge \\ (x_2 \rightarrow \neg a_3) & \end{array}$$

Note that Tseitin's encoding to CNF starts with the same formula, except that  $\rightarrow$  is replaced with  $\leftrightarrow$ . As a result, the new encoding has roughly half as many clauses as the Tseitin's encoding.

Prove that  $\hat{\phi}$  is satisfiable if and only if  $\phi$  is satisfiable.

(**Hint**: Use the theorem from Problem 3.)