

# Lectures Notes on Secure and Dependable Systems

Florian Rabe

2017



# Contents

|            |  |           |
|------------|--|-----------|
| <b>I</b>   | <b>Introduction</b>                                    | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>1</b>   | <b>Meta-Remarks</b>                                    | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>2</b>   | <b>Challenges</b>                                      | <b>9</b>  |
| 2.1        | General Aspects . . . . .                              | 9         |
| 2.2        | Major Disasters Caused by Programming Errors . . . . . | 10        |
| 2.3        | Major Failures Caused By System Updates . . . . .      | 13        |
| 2.4        | Other Interesting Failures . . . . .                   | 13        |
| 2.5        | Major Vulnerabilities due to Weak Security . . . . .   | 14        |
| 2.5.1      | Software and Internet . . . . .                        | 14        |
| 2.5.2      | Dedicated Systems . . . . .                            | 16        |
| <b>3</b>   | <b>Concepts</b>  | <b>17</b> |
| <b>II</b>  | <b>Systematic Software Development</b>                 | <b>19</b> |
| <b>4</b>   | <b>Implementation</b>                                  | <b>21</b> |
| 4.1        | Process . . . . .                                      | 21        |
| 4.2        | Design Principles . . . . .                            | 21        |
| <b>5</b>   | <b>Specification</b>                                   | <b>23</b> |
| <b>6</b>   | <b>Testing</b>   | <b>25</b> |
| <b>7</b>   | <b>Code Analysis</b>                                   | <b>27</b> |
| <b>III</b> | <b>High-Level Data Structures</b>                      | <b>29</b> |
| <b>8</b>   | <b>Types</b>   | <b>31</b> |
| <b>9</b>   | <b>Functional Programing</b>                           | <b>33</b> |
| <b>IV</b>  | <b>Formal Methods</b>                                  | <b>35</b> |
| <b>10</b>  | <b>Logical Foundations</b>                             | <b>37</b> |
| <b>11</b>  | <b>Model Checking</b>                                  | <b>39</b> |
| <b>12</b>  | <b>Program Verification</b>                            | <b>41</b> |

|           |  |           |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| <b>V</b>  | <b>Security</b>  | <b>43</b> |
| <b>13</b> | <b>Sytems and Institutions</b>                             | <b>45</b> |
| <b>14</b> | <b>Data Security</b>                                       | <b>47</b> |
| 14.1      | History . . . . .  | 47        |
| 14.2      | Symmetric Encryption . . . . .                             | 47        |
| 14.2.1    | AES . . . . .  | 47        |
| 14.3      | Asymmetric Encryption . . . . .                            | 47        |
| 14.3.1    | RSA . . . . .  | 47        |
| 14.4      | Authentication . . . . .                                   | 48        |
| 14.5      | Hashing . . . . .  | 48        |
| 14.5.1    | MDx . . . . .  | 48        |
| 14.5.2    | SHA-x . . . . .  | 48        |
| 14.6      | Key Generation and Distribution . . . . .                  | 48        |
| <b>15</b> | <b>Privacy</b>   | <b>49</b> |
| <b>VI</b> | <b>Appendix</b>  | <b>51</b> |
| <b>A</b>  | <b>Mathematical Preliminaries</b>                          | <b>53</b> |
| A.1       | Binary Relations . . . . .                                 | 53        |
| A.2       | Binary Functions . . . . .                                 | 53        |
| A.3       | The Integer Numbers . . . . .                              | 54        |
| A.3.1     | Divisibility . . . . .                                     | 54        |
| A.3.2     | Equivalence Modulo . . . . .                               | 54        |
| A.3.3     | Arithmetic Modulo . . . . .                                | 55        |
| A.3.4     | Digit-Base Representations . . . . .                       | 56        |
| A.3.5     | Finite Fields . . . . .                                    | 56        |
| A.4       | Size of Sets . . . . .                                     | 57        |
| A.5       | Important Sets and Functions . . . . .                     | 58        |
| A.5.1     | Base Sets . . . . .  | 58        |
| A.5.2     | Functions on the Base Sets . . . . .                       | 59        |
| A.5.3     | Set Constructors . . . . .                                 | 59        |
| A.5.4     | Characteristic Functions of the Set Constructors . . . . . | 60        |

**Part I**

**Introduction**



# Chapter 1

## Meta-Remarks

### Important stuff that you should read carefully!

**State of these notes** I constantly work on my lecture notes. Therefore, keep in mind that:

- I am developing these notes in parallel with the lecture—they can grow or change throughout the semester.
- These notes are neither a subset nor a superset of the material discussed in the lecture.
- Unless mentioned otherwise, all material in these notes is exam-relevant (in addition to all material discussed in the lectures).

**Collaboration on these notes** I am writing these notes using LaTeX and storing them in a git repository on GitHub at <https://github.com/florian-rabe/Teaching>. Familiarity with LaTeX as well as Git and GitHub is not part of this lecture. But it is essential skill for you. Ask in the lecture if you have difficulty figuring it out on your own.

As an experiment in teaching, I am inviting all of you to collaborate on these lecture notes with me.

By forking and by submitting pull requests for this repository, you can suggest changes to these notes. For example, you are encouraged to:

- Fix typos and other errors.
- Add examples and diagrams that I develop on the board during lectures.
- Add solutions for the homeworks if I did not provide any (of course, I will only integrate solutions after the deadline).
- Add additional examples, exercises, or explanations that you came up or found in other sources. If you use material from other sources (e.g., by copying an diagram from some website), make sure that you have the license to use it and that you acknowledge sources appropriately!

The TAs and I will review and approve or reject the changes. If you make substantial contributions, I will list you as a contributor (i.e., something you can put in your CV).

Any improvement you make will not only help your fellow students, it will also increase your own understanding of the material. Therefore, I can give you up to 10% bonus credit for such contributions. (Make sure your git commits carry a user name that I can connect to you.) Because this is an experiment, I will have to figure out the details along the way.

**Other Advice** I maintain a list of useful advice for students at [https://svn.kwarc.info/repos/frabe/Teaching/general/advice\\_for\\_students.pdf](https://svn.kwarc.info/repos/frabe/Teaching/general/advice_for_students.pdf). It is mostly targeted at older students who work in individual projects with me (e.g., students who work on their BSc thesis). But much of it is useful for you already now or will become useful soon. So have a look.





## Chapter 2

# Concepts



# Chapter 3

## Challenges

This chapter lists examples of disasters and failures that serve as examples of what secure and dependable systems should avoid.

The lists are not complete and may be biased by whether

- I became aware of it and found it interesting enough
- the cause could be determined and was made public

Feel free to edit these notes by adding important examples that I forgot when I compiled the lists.

All damage estimates are relative to the time of the event and not adjusted to inflation.

Note that for security problems, the size of the damage is naturally unknown because attacks will typically remain secret. Only the cost of updating the systems can be estimated, which may or may not be indicative of the severity of the security problem.

### 3.1 General Aspects

|  |
|--|
| State-of-the-art software and hardware systems simply are not safe, secure, and dependable.<br>Moreover, we do not understand very well yet how to make them so. |
|--|

This is different from many other areas such as mechanical or chemical engineering. While these occasionally cause disasters, these can usually be traced back to human error, foul play, or negligent or intentional violation of regulations. Such disasters usually result in criminal proceedings, civil litigation, or revision or extension of regulations.

The situation is very different for computer systems. There is no general methodology for designing and operating computer systems well that can be easily described, taught, or codified.

The situation will hopefully improve over the course of the 21st century. The problem has been recognized decades ago, and many companies and researchers are working on it. They approach from very different directions with different goals and different methodologies.

|   |
|---|
| This has resulted in a wide and diverse variety of not coherently connected methods with varying degrees of depth, maturity, cost, benefit, and practical adoption. |
|---|

A typical effect is a trade-off along a spectrum of methods:

- cheap but weak methods on one end
- strong but expensive methods on the other end.

Therefore, it is often necessary to choose a degree of safety assurance rather than actually guarantee safety. This spectrum is so extreme that

- the majority of practical software development does not systematically ensure any kind of safety,
- the majority of theoretical solutions are neither ready nor affordable for practical use.

Incidentally, this means that this course's subject matter is much less well-defined than that of other courses.<sup>1</sup> That makes it particular difficult to design a syllabus for. It will give a overview of the most important state-of-the-art

---

<sup>1</sup>For example, the other two courses in this module almost design themselves because the subject matter is very well understood and standardized.

methods.

## 3.2 Major Disasters Caused by Programming Errors

**Mariner 1** The 1962 rocket launch of Mariner 1 failed causing damage of around \$20 million. The cause was a programming error, where a mathematical formula in the specification was misread. Details: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mariner\\_1](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mariner_1)

**Therac-25** Between 1985 and 1987, the Therac-25 machine for medical radiation therapy caused death and/or serious injury in at least 6 cases. Patients received a radiation overdose because the high intensity energy beam was administered while using the protection meant for the low intensity beam.

The cause was that the hardware protection was discontinued relying exclusively on software to prevent a mismatch of beam and protection configuration. But the software had always been buggy due to a systemic failures in the software engineering process including complex systems (code written in assembly, machine had its own OS), lack of software review, insufficient testing (overall system could not be tested), bad documentation (error codes were not documented), and bad user interface (critical safety errors could be manually overridden, thus effectively being warnings).

Details: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Therac-25>

**Patriot Rounding Error** In 1991 during the Gulf war, a US Patriot anti-missile battery failed to track an incoming Iraqi Scud missile resulting the death of 28 people.

The cause was a rounding error in the floating point computation used for analyzing the missile's path. The software had to divide a large integer (number of 0.1s clock cycles since boot 100 hours ago) by 10 to obtain the time. This was done using a floating-point multiplication by 0.1—but 0.1 is off by around 0.000000095 when chopped to a 24-bits binary float. The resulting time was off by 0.3 seconds, which combined with the high speed of Scud missile led to a serious miscalculation of the flight path.

Details: <http://www-users.math.umn.edu/~arnold/disasters/patriot.html>

**Ariane 5** In 1996, the first launch of an Ariane 5 rocket (overall development cost \$7 billion) failed, and the rocket had to be destructed after launch. Both the primary and the backup system had shut down, each trying to transfer control to the other, after encountering the same behavior, which they interpreted as a hardware error.

The cause was an overflow exception in the alignment system caused by converting a 64-bit float to a 16-bit integer, which was not caught and resulted in the display of diagnostic data that the autopilot could not interpret. The programmers were away of the problem but had falsely concluded that no conversion check was needed (and therefore omitted the check to speed up processing). Their conclusion had been made based on Ariane 4 flight data that turned out to be inappropriate for Ariane 5.

The faulty component was not even needed for flight and was only kept active for a brief time after launch for convenience and in order to avoid changing a running system.

Details: <http://www-users.math.umn.edu/~arnold/disasters/ariane5rep.html>

**Intel Pentium Bug** In 1994, it was discovered that the Intel Pentium processor (at the time widely used in desktop computers) wrongly computed certain floating point divisions. The cost of replacing the CPUs was estimated at about \$400 million.

The error occurred in about 1 in 9 billion divisions. For example, 4195835.0/3145727.0 yielded 1.333739068902037589 instead of 1.333820449136241000.

The cause was a bug in the design of the floating point unit's circuit.

**Kerberos Random Number Generator** From 1988 to 1996, the network authentication protocol Kerberos used a mis-designed random number generation algorithm. The resulting keys were so predictable that brute force attacks became trivial although it is unclear if the bug was ever exploited.

The cause was the lack of a truly random seed value for the algorithm. Moreover, the error persisted across attempted fixes because of process failures (code hard to read, programmers had moved on to next version).

Detail: <http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2331&context=cstech>

**USS Yorktown** In 1997, critical navigation and weapons hardware on the USS Yorktown was paralyzed at sea for 3 hours while rebooting machines.

The cause was a blank field in a database that was interpreted as 0 leading to a division-by-zero. Special floating point values such as infinity or NaN were not used resulting in an exception. The exception was handled by neither the software nor the operating systems (Windows NT) thus crashing both.

Details: <http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~wkahan/Boulder.pdf>

**Mars Climate Orbiter** In 1998 the Mars Climate Orbiter was lost causing damage of around \$300 million after software had calculated a false trajectory when updating the position of the spacecraft.

The cause was that two components by different manufacturers exchanged physical quantities as plain numbers (i.e., without units). One component assumed customary units (pound seconds) whereas the other assumed SI units (Newton seconds). The first component was in violation of the specification of the interface.

**Year 2000 and 2038 Problems** Leading to the year 2000, about \$300 billion were spent worldwide to update outdated software that was unable to handle dates with a year of 2000 or higher.

The cause was that much software was used far beyond the originally envisioned lifetime. At programming time, especially at times when memory was still scarce, it made sense to use only two digits for the year in a date. That assumption became flawed when dates over 2000 had to be handled.

A related problem is expected in the year 2038. At that point the number of seconds since 1970-01-01, which is the dominant way of storing time on Unix, will exceed the capacity of a 32-bit integer. While application software is expected to be updated by then anyway, modern embedded systems may or may not still be in use.

**Los Angeles Airport Network Outage** In 2007, LA airport was partially blocked for 10 due to a network outage that prevented passenger processing. About 17,000 passengers were affected.

The cause was a single network card malfunction that flooded the network and propagated through the local area network.

Details: [https://www.oig.dhs.gov/assets/Mgmt/OIGr\\_08-58\\_May08.pdf](https://www.oig.dhs.gov/assets/Mgmt/OIGr_08-58_May08.pdf)

**Debian OpenSSL Random Number Generator** From 2006 to 2008 Debian's variant of OpenSSL used a flawed random number generator. This made the generated keys easily predictable and thus compromised. It is unclear whether this was exploited.

The cause was that two values were used to obtain random input: the process ID and an uninitialized memory field. Uninitialized memory should never be used but is sometimes used as a convenient way to cheaply obtain a random number in a low-level programming language like C. The respective line of code had no immediately obvious purpose because it was not commented. Therefore, it was removed by one contributor after code analysis tools had detected the use of uninitialized memory and flagged it as a potential bug.

Detail: <https://github.com/g0tmilk/debian-ssh>

**Knight Capital Trading Software** In 2012, high-frequency trading company Knight Capital lost about \$10 million per minute for 45 minutes trading on the New York Stock Exchange.

The cause was an undisclosed bug in their automatic trading software.

**Heartbleed** From 2012 to 2014, the OpenSSL library was susceptible to an attack that allowed remotely reading out sections of raw physical memory. The affected sections were random but repeated attacks could piece together large parts of the memory. The compromised memory sections could include arbitrary critical data such as passwords or encryption keys. OpenSSL was used not only by many desktop and server applications but also in portable and embedded devices running Linux. The upgrade costs are very hard to estimate but were put at multiple \$100 millions by some experts.

The cause was a bug in the Heartbeat component, which allowed sending a message to the server, which the server echoed back to test if the connection is alive. The server code did not check whether the given message length  $l$

was actually the length of the message  $m$ . Instead, it always returned  $l$  bytes starting from the memory address of  $m$  even if  $l$  was larger than the length of  $m$ . This was possible because the used low-level programming language (C) let the programmers store  $m$  in a memory buffer and then over-read from that buffer.

Details: [http://www.theregister.co.uk/2014/04/09/heartbleed\\_explained/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2014/04/09/heartbleed_explained/)

**Shellshock (Bashdoor)** From 1998 to 2014, it was possible for any user to gain root access in the bash shell on Unix-based systems. The upgrade cost is unknown but was generally small because updates were rolled out within 1 week of publication. Moreover, in certain server applications that passed data to bash this was possible for arbitrary clients as well.

The cause was the use of unvalidated strings to represent complex data. Bash allowed storing function definitions as environment variables in order to share function definitions across multiple instances. The content of these environment variables was trusted because function definitions are meant to be side-effect-free. However, users could append `;C` to the value of an environment variable defining a function. When executing this function definition, bash also executed `C`.

Independently, many server applications (including the widely used cgi-bin) pass input provided by remote users to bash through environment variables. This resulted in input provided by remote clients being passed to the bash parser, which was against the assumptions of the parser. Indeed, several bugs in the bash parser caused remotely exploitable vulnerabilities.

Details: <https://fedoramagazine.org/shellshock-how-does-it-actually-work/>

**Apple 'goto fail' Bug** From 2012 to 2014, Apple's iOS SSL/TLS library falsely accepted faulty certificates. This left most iOS applications susceptible to impersonation or man-in-the-middle attacks. Because Apple updated the software after detecting the bug, its cost is unclear.

The immediately cause was a falsely-duplicated line of code, which ended the verification of the certificate instead of moving on to the next check. But a number of insufficiencies in the code and the software engineering process exacerbated the effect of the small bug.

The code was as follows:

```
static OSStatus SSLVerifySignedServerKeyExchange(
    SSLContext *ctx, bool isRsa, SSLBuffer signedParams,
    uint8_t *signature, UInt16 signatureLen)
{
    OSStatus      err;
    ...

    if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &serverRandom)) != 0)
        goto fail;
    if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.update(&hashCtx, &signedParams)) != 0)
        goto fail;
    if ((err = SSLHashSHA1.final(&hashCtx, &hashOut)) != 0)
        goto fail;
    ...

fail:
    SSLFreeBuffer(&signedHashes);
    SSLFreeBuffer(&hashCtx);
    return err;
```

In a better programming language that emphasizes the use of high-level data structures, the bug would likely not have happened or be caught easily. But even using C, it could have been caught by a variety of measures including unreachable code analysis, indentation style analysis, code coverage analysis, unit testing, or coding styles that enforce braces around one-command blocks.

Details: <https://www.imperialviolet.org/2014/02/22/applebug.html>

### 3.3 Major Failures Caused By System Updates

**Odyssey Court Software** In an ongoing crisis since 2016, US county court and California and other states have difficulties using the new Odyssey software for recording and dissemination of court decisions. This has caused dozens of human rights violations due to erroneous arrests or imprisonment. This includes cases where people spent 20 days in jail based on warrants that had already been dismissed.

The cause is a tight staffing situation combined with the switch to a new, more modern software system for recording court decisions. The new software expects uses more high-level data types (e.g., reference to a law instead of string) in many places. This has led to the erroneous recording of decisions and a backlog of converting old decisions into the new database (including decisions that invalidate decisions that are already in the database).

Details: <https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2016/12/court-software-glitches-result-in-erroneous-arrests-de>

**Other Notable Cases** This is a selection of failures that did not cause direct damage but led to availability failures on important infrastructure.

In 1990, all AT&T phone switching centers shut down for 9 hours due to a bug in a software update. An estimated 75 million phone calls were missed.

In 1999, a faulty software update in the British passport office delayed procedures. About half a million passports were issued late.

In 2004, the UK's child support agency EDS introduced a software update while restructuring the personnel. This led to several million people receiving too much or too little money and hundreds of thousands of back-logged cases.

In 2015, the New York Stock Exchange had to pause for 3 hours for a reboot after a software problem. 700,000 trades had to be canceled.

In 2015, hundreds of flights in the North Eastern US had to be canceled or delayed for several hours. The cause was a problem with new and behind-schedule computer system installed in air traffic control centers.

### 3.4 Other Interesting Failures

**FBI Virtual Case File Project** In 2005 the Virtual Case File project of the FBI, which had been developed since 2000, was scrapped. The software was never deployed, but the project resulted in the loss of \$170 million of development cost

The cause was systemic failures in the software engineering process including

- poor specification, which caused bad design decisions
- repeated specification changes
- repeated change in management
- micromanagement of software developers
- inclusion of many personnel which little training in computer science in key positions

These problems were exacerbated by the planned flash deployment instead of a gradual phasing-in of the new system—a decision that does have advantages but made the systems difficult to test and made it easier for design flaws to creep in. The above had two negative effects on the code base

- increasing code size due to changing specifications
- increasing scope due to continually added features

which exacerbated the management and programming problems.

**Excel Gene Names** In 2016, researchers found that about 20% of papers in genomics journals contain errors in supplementary spreadsheets.

The cause is that Microsoft Excel by default guesses the type of cell data that is entered as a string and converts the string into that type. This affects gene names like "SEPT2" (Septin 2, converted to the date September 02) or REKIN identifiers like "2310009E13" (converted to the floating point number  $2.31E + 13$ ).

Details: <https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-016-1044-7>

**Failures in Involving Computer-Related Manufacturing** This is a selection of other notable failures that involve hardware manufacturing.

In 2006, two Airbus plants used incompatible version of CAD software. This resulted in cables being produced too short to connect.

In 2006, Sony batteries mostly used in Dell notebooks had to be recalled. The resulting cost was about \$100 million.

In 2016, Samsung Galaxy phones had to be recalled due to faulty batteries.

## 3.5 Major Vulnerabilities due to Weak Security

### 3.5.1 Software and Internet

**Operating Systems** Vulnerabilities in operating systems are critical because only a few systems are used world-wide so that any problem is shared by many users. Moreover, the operating system usually has full access to the computer and its network, which allows any attack to do great damage.

Moreover, operating systems are usually bundled with standard applications (e.g., web browser, email viewer). These are tightly integrated with the OS (e.g., by using the same libraries for encryption or accessing files). Thus, a vulnerability often badly affects the majority of users who use these standard applications.

In 2000, the ILOVEYOU worm used weaknesses in the Windows and Outlook to infect a significant share of all internet-connected computers within a few days. Its damage was estimated at over \$5 billion and the removal costs at over \$410 billion.

In recent years operating system companies have reacted to these problems. They have become more sensitive to security issues and allow for coordinated disclosure of vulnerabilities together with swift updates. Most noticeably for end users, they more and more nudge or even force users to install updates. For example,

- Microsoft Windows 10 automatically downloads and installs updates in a way that users cannot prevent.
- Google's Android now reserves the right to download minor updates immediately, even via mobile data.

This has greatly reduced the frequency of major problems.

An additional problem is that attacks are often conducted by state governments for purposes of terrorism, oppression, espionage, sabotage, or law enforcement.

In 2010, the stuxnet worm was used by presumably the US and/or Israel to sabotage Iran's nuclear program. It was the most sophisticated attack to become public, involving multiple zero-day exploits and including attacks on programmable logic controllers.

In 2013, Edward Snowden revealed a massive secret surveillance program run by the US government. It used many ways to intercept data sent by users either at transmission nodes or at company data centers. This included connection metadata and any unencrypted or decryptable content. The stolen data remains mostly secret so that it remains unclear what was compromised and how it was used. In response, many software companies introduced end-to-end encryption that precludes even themselves to access their users data.

In 2016, Citizen Lab discovered an attack that used previously unknown vulnerabilities in Apple's Safari on iOS. It allowed, for the first time, an attacker to remotely take full control of the iPhone, triggered as soon as Safari was pointed to the attack URL. It is suspected that the exploit was produced commercially by the Israeli company NSO Group and used (at least) by the United Arab Emirates to spy on dissidents.

Details: <http://www.vanityfair.com/news/2016/11/how-bill-marczak-spyware-can-control-the-iphone>

**Cloud Services** Consumers are more and more using internet services for their processing needs. These include

- file storage, e.g., via Dropbox
- email and calendar services, e.g., via gmail
- office applications, e.g., directly via Google's office web site or indirectly via Microsoft's office suite
- social networking, e.g., via Facebook

Most modern operating systems and their bundled applications store large amounts of user data on the company's web servers, including, e.g., message archive, photographs, or location history. This creates unprecedented risks for privacy with legal regulation mostly lagging behind. (Most legislation was designed to limit the government from violating privacy. Corporations were barely restricted they used to have less power.)

Because most users do not understand the technical issues, accept terms of service blindly, and grant access rights to applications generously, more and more user data is available to the free market. This is used for both legitimate (e.g., advertising-financed free services) or questionable purposes (e.g., manipulating voter preferences through personalized messages).



In 2014, The Fappening was an attack that combined phishing and password-guessing to gain access to many user accounts on Apple's iCloud. These accounts included, among other things, backups of all photographs taken with iPhones. Among the private data stolen and published were hundreds of nude pictures of celebrities.

**Large Institutions** In 2014, Sony Pictures suffered a major break in (possibly by North Korea to blackmail or punish Sony in relation to the movie *The Interview*) mostly facilitated by unprecedented negligence. Problems included

- unencrypted storage of sensitive information
- password stored in plain text files (sometimes even called “passwords” or placed in the same directory as encrypted files)
- easily guessable passwords
- large number of unmonitored devices
- lack of accountability and responsibility for security, ignorance towards recommendations and audits
- lack of systematic lesson-learning from previous failures (which included 2011 hacks of Sony PlayStation Network and Sony Pictures that stole account information including unsalted or plain text passwords)
- weak IT and information security teams

Stolen data included employee data (including financial data), internal emails, and movies.

In 2016, the US democratic party's headquarters suffered a breakin (possibly by Russia to manipulate or discredit that year's presidential election). The stolen data included in particular internal emails and personal data of donors. Especially, the former hurt the public perception of the party's campaign to an unknown degree that may or may not have been decisive.

**Web Site Account Data** Many organizations holding user data employ insufficient security against digital break-ins and insufficient (if any) encryption of user data. They get hacked so routinely that a strong market for stolen identities has developed, often pricing bulk datasets at a few dollars per identity. An overview can be found at <https://haveibeenpwned.com/>.

The effects are exacerbated by two effects:

- System administrators are not sufficiently educated about password hashing, often false believing default hash configurations to be secure. Thus, hacks often allow inverting the hash function thus exposing passwords in addition to the possibly sensitive user data.
- Users are not sufficiently educated about systematically using different passwords on every site. Thus, any breach also compromises accounts on any other sites that use the same user name or email address and password.

Many websites now offer and nudge users to use two-factor authentication to protect accounts from identity theft. A second factor (e.g., via email or text message) may be required for every login, for every login from a new location, or for every sensitive action like changing the password. Security questions, which are particularly vulnerable, are phased out by leading companies. But both websites and users are slow to get used to it.

The following describes a few high-profile cases.

In a (estimated) 2008 (only reported in 2016) of myspace, about 360 million accounts were compromised. The stolen data included user name, email address, and badly hashed passwords (unsalted SHA1).

In a 2012 hack of linkedin, 160 million accounts were compromised. The stolen data included user name, email address, and badly hashed passwords (unsalted SHA1).

In a 2015 hack of Ashley Madison, about 30 accounts were compromised. The stolen records included name, email address, hashed password, physical description, and sexual preferences. Most passwords were hashed securely (using bcrypt for salting and stretching), but about 10 million passwords were hashed insecurely (using a single MD5 application). This led to multiple extortion attempts and possibly suicides.

In a 2016 hack of the Friend Finder network, about 400 million accounts were compromised. The stolen records included name, email address, registration date, and unhashed or badly hashed passwords.

In two separate hacks in 2013 (only reported in 2016) and 2014 of Yahoo, over 1 billion user accounts were compromised by presumably state-sponsored actors. The stolen records included name, email address, phone number, date of birth, and hashed passwords, and in some cases security questions and answers.

### 3.5.2 Dedicated Systems

Many domains are increasingly using computer technology. Often this is done by engineers with little training in computer science and even less training in security aspects. In many cases, the resulting systems are highly susceptible to attacks, spared only by the priorities of potential hackers and terrorists.

**Embedded Systems** Embedded systems are increasingly running high-level operating systems, typically variants of Linux or Windows, and software. They are particularly vulnerable due to a number of systemic flaws:

- Software often cannot be updated at all or not conveniently. Thus, they collect many security vulnerabilities over time.
- Affected devices may be in use for years or decades, thus accumulating many vulnerabilities.
- It is hard or impossible for users to interact with the software in a way that would allow them to understand or patch its vulnerabilities.
- Access is often not secured or not secured well. Often master passwords (possibly the same on every instance of the system and possibly hard-coded) are used to allow access for technicians.

**Cars** The upcoming wave of self-driving cars requires the heavy use of experienced software developers and a thorough regulation process. It is therefore reasonable to hope that security will play a major role in the design and legal regulation.

But even today's traditional cars are susceptible to attacks including remote takeover of locks, wheels, or engine. The causes are

- not or not properly protected physical interfaces for diagnostics and repair,
- permanent internet connections, which are useful for navigation and entertainment, that are not strictly separated from engine controls.

One of the more high-profile benevolent attack demonstrations was described in <https://www.wired.com/2015/07/hackers-remotely-kill-jeep-highway/>.

**Medical Systems** Hospitals and manufacturers of medical devices are notoriously easy to hack.

Weaknesses include unchangeable master passwords, unencrypted communication between devices, outdated and non-updateable software running in devices, and outdated or non-existent protection against attackers. Systemic causes include a highly-regulated release process that precludes fast patching of software and a slow update cycle.

Details: <http://cacm.acm.org/magazines/2015/4/184691-security-challenges-for-medical-devices/fulltext>

See also the Symantec 2016 Healthcare Internet Security Threat Report available at <https://www.symantec.com/solutions/healthcare>

## Part II

# Systematic Software Development



## Chapter 4

# Implementation

### 4.1 Process

### 4.2 Design Principles



## Chapter 5

# Specification





## Chapter 6

# Testing



## Chapter 7

# Code Analysis



## Part III

# High-Level Data Structures



## Chapter 8

# Types





## Chapter 9

# Functional Programing



**Part IV**

**Formal Methods**



## Chapter 10

# Logical Foundations



## Chapter 11

# Model Checking





## Chapter 12

# Program Verification



# Part V

## Security



## Chapter 13

# Systems and Institutions



# Chapter 14

## Data Security

### 14.1 History

### 14.2 Symmetric Encryption

#### 14.2.1 AES

### 14.3 Asymmetric Encryption

The idea behind RSA is that if  $N = p \cdot q$  for large prime numbers  $p$  and  $q$ , it is very difficult to compute  $p$  and  $q$  from  $n$ .

#### 14.3.1 RSA

**Setup** Choose two large primes  $p$  and  $q$  (typically of roughly equal size). Put  $N = p \cdot q$ .

Now put  $n = (p - 1)(q - 1)$ . (Actually, any common multiple of the two numbers is fine.) Note that  $n = \varphi(N)$ . Pick  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that there is a  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $e \cdot d \equiv_n 1$ . Such a  $d$  exists if  $\gcd(e, n) = 1$  and is easy to compute (see Thm. A.8).

The keys are defined as follows:

- public information (encryption key):  $N$  and  $e$
- private information (decryption key):  $n, d, p$ , and  $q$

Among the private information, only  $N$  and  $d$  are needed later on. So  $n, p$ , and  $q$  can be forgotten. But they have to remain private— $p$  (or  $q$ ) is enough to compute  $n$  and  $d$ .

Different keys are often compared by their size. That size is the number of bits in  $N$ .

**Encryption** Messages are numbers  $x \in F_N$ . For example, we can choose the largest  $k$  such that  $2^k < N$  and use  $k$ -bit messages.

Encryption and decryption are functions  $\mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$  given by

- encryption:  $x \mapsto x^e \bmod N$
- decryption:  $x \mapsto x^d \bmod N$

These are indeed inverse to each other:

**Theorem 14.1.** *For all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ , we have  $(x^d)^e \equiv_N (x^e)^d \equiv_N x$ .*

*Proof.* In general, because  $N = p \cdot q$  for prime numbers  $p$  and  $q$ , we have that  $x \equiv_N y$  iff  $x \equiv_p y$  and  $x \equiv_q y$ .

So we have to show that  $x^{de} \equiv_p x$ . (We also have to show the same result for  $q$ , but the proof is the same.) We distinguish two cases:

- $p|x$ : Then trivially  $x^{de} \equiv_p x \equiv_p 0$ .

- Otherwise. Then  $p$  and  $x$  are coprime.

By construction of  $e$  and  $d$  and using Thm. A.8, we have  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $e \cdot d + k \cdot n = 1$ . Thus, we have to show  $x^{de} = x \cdot (x^{p-1})^{k \cdot (q-1)} \equiv_p x$ . That follows from  $x^{p-1} \equiv_p 1$  as known from Thm. A.19.

□

**Attacks** To break RSA,  $d$  has to be computed. There are 3 natural ways to do that:

- Factor  $N$  into  $p$  and  $q$ . Then compute  $d$  easily.
- Compute  $n$  using  $n = \varphi(N)$  (which may be easier than finding  $p$  and  $q$ ). Then compute  $d$  easily.
- Find  $d$  such that  $e \cdot d \equiv_n 1$  (which may be easier than finding  $n$ ).

Currently these are believed to be equally hard.

It is believed that there is no algorithm for factoring  $N$  that is polynomial in the number of bits of  $N$ . That is not proved. There are hypothetical machines (e.g., quantum computers) that can factor  $N$  polynomially.

Note that checking if  $N$  can be factored (without producing the factors) is polynomial, and practical algorithms exist (in particular, the AKS algorithms). That is important to find the large prime number  $p$  and  $q$  efficiently.

If there is indeed no polynomial algorithm, factoring relies on brute-force attacks that find all prime numbers  $k < \sqrt{N}$  and test  $k|N$ . Therefore, larger keys are harder to break than smaller ones. Because of improving hardware, the key size that is considered secure grows over time.

Keys of size 1024 are considered secure today, but because security is a relative term, keys of size 2048 are often recommended. Larger keys are especially important if data is needed to remain secure far into the future, when faster hardware will be available.

## 14.4 Authentication

## 14.5 Hashing

### 14.5.1 MDx

### 14.5.2 SHA-x

## 14.6 Key Generation and Distribution



## Chapter 15

# Privacy



**Part VI**

**Appendix**



# Appendix A

## Mathematical Preliminaries

### A.1 Binary Relations

A binary relation on  $A$  is a subset  $\# \subseteq A \times A$ . We usually write  $(x, y) \in \#$  as  $x\#y$ .

**Definition A.1** (Properties of Binary Relations). We say that  $\#$  is ... if the following holds:

- reflexive: for all  $x$ ,  $x\#x$
- transitive: for all  $x, y, z$ , if  $x\#y$  and  $y\#z$ , then  $x\#z$
- a preorder: reflexive and transitive
- anti-symmetric: for all  $x, y$ , if  $x\#y$  and  $y\#x$ , then  $x = y$
- symmetric: for all  $x, y$ , if  $x\#y$ , then  $y\#x$
- an order: preorder and anti-symmetric
- an equivalence: preorder and symmetric
- a total order: order and for all  $x, y$ ,  $x\#y$  or  $y\#x$

An element  $a \in A$  is called ... of  $\#$  if the following holds:

- least element: for all  $x$ ,  $a\#x$
- greatest element: for all  $x$ ,  $x\#a$
- least upper bound for  $x, y$ :  $x\#a$  and  $y\#a$  and for all  $z$ , if  $x\#z$  and  $y\#z$ , then  $a\#z$
- greatest lower bound for  $x, y$ :  $a\#x$  and  $a\#y$  and for all  $z$ , if  $z\#x$  and  $z\#y$ , then  $z\#a$

**Theorem A.2.** *If  $\#$  is an order, then least element, greatest element, least upper bound of  $x, y$ , and greatest lower bound of  $x, y$  are unique whenever they exist.*

### A.2 Binary Functions

A binary function on  $A$  is a function  $\circ : A \times A \rightarrow A$ . We usually write  $\circ(x, y)$  as  $x \circ y$ .

**Definition A.3** (Properties of Binary Functions). We say that  $\circ$  is ... if the following holds:

- associative: for all  $x, y, z$ ,  $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z$
- commutative: for all  $x, y$ ,  $x \circ y = y \circ x$
- idempotent: for all  $x$ ,  $x \circ x = x$

An element  $a \in A$  is called a ... element of  $\circ$  if the following holds:

- left-neutral: for all  $x$ ,  $a \circ x = x$
- right-neutral: for all  $x$ , and  $x \circ a = x$
- neutral: left-neutral and right-neutral
- left-absorbing: for all  $x$ ,  $a \circ x = a$
- right-absorbing: for all  $x$ ,  $x \circ a = a$
- absorbing: left-absorbing and right-absorbing

**Theorem A.4.** *Neutral and absorbing element of  $\circ$  are unique whenever they exist.*

## A.3 The Integer Numbers

### A.3.1 Divisibility

**Definition A.5** (Divisibility). For  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we write  $x|y$  iff there is a  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x * k = y$ . We say that  $y$  is divisible by  $x$  or that  $x$  divides  $y$ .

*Remark A.6* (Divisible by 0 and 1). Even though division by 0 is forbidden, the case  $x = 0$  is perfectly fine. But it is boring:  $0|x$  iff  $x = 0$ .

Similarly, the case  $x = 1$  is trivial:  $1|x$  for all  $x$ .

**Theorem A.7** (Divisibility). *Divisibility has the following properties for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$*

- *reflexive:  $x|x$*
- *transitive: if  $x|y$  and  $y|z$  then  $x|z$*
- *anti-symmetric for natural numbers  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ : if  $x|y$  and  $y|x$ , then  $x = y$*
- *1 is a least element:  $1|x$*
- *0 is a greatest element:  $x|0$*
- *$\gcd(x, y)$  is a greatest lower bound of  $x, y$*
- *$\text{lcm}(x, y)$  is a least upper bound of  $x, y$*

*Thus,  $|$  is a preorder on  $\mathbb{Z}$  and an order on  $\mathbb{N}$ .*

*Divisibility is preserved by arithmetic operations: If  $x|m$  and  $y|m$ , then*

- *preserved by addition:  $x + y|m$*
- *preserved by subtraction:  $x - y|m$*
- *preserved by multiplication:  $x * y|m$*
- *preserved by division if  $x/y \in \mathbb{Z}$ :  $x/y|m$*
- *preserved by negation of any argument:  $-x|m$  and  $x|-m$*

*$\gcd$  has the following properties for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ :*

- *associative:  $\gcd(\gcd(x, y), z) = \gcd(x, \gcd(y, z))$*
- *commutative:  $\gcd(x, y) = \gcd(y, x)$*
- *idempotence:  $\gcd(x, x) = x$*
- *0 is a neutral element:  $\gcd(0, x) = x$*
- *1 is an absorbing element:  $\gcd(1, x) = 1$*

*$\text{lcm}$  has the same properties as  $\gcd$  except that 1 is neutral and 0 is absorbing.*

**Theorem A.8.** *For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ax + by = \gcd(x, y)$ .  $a$  and  $b$  can be computed using the extended Euclidean algorithms.*

**Definition A.9.** If  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we call  $x$  and  $y$  **coprime**.

For  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , the number of coprime  $y \in \{0, \dots, x - 1\}$  is called  $\varphi(x)$ .  $\varphi$  is called Euler's **totient function**.

We have  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(1) = \varphi(2) = 1$ ,  $\varphi(3) = 2$ ,  $\varphi(4) = 1$ , and so on. Because  $\gcd(x, 0) = x$ , we have  $\varphi(x) \leq x - 1$ .  $x$  is prime iff  $\varphi(x) = x - 1$ .

### A.3.2 Equivalence Modulo

**Definition A.10** (Equivalence Modulo). For  $x, y, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we write  $x \equiv_m y$  iff  $m|x - y$ .

**Theorem A.11** (Relationship between Divisibility and Modulo). *The following are equivalent:*

- $m|n$
- $\equiv_m \supseteq \equiv_n$  (i.e., for all  $x, y$  we have that  $x \equiv_n y$  implies  $x \equiv_m y$ )
- $n \equiv_m 0$

*Remark A.12* (Modulo 0 and 1). In particular, the cases  $m = 0$  and  $m = 1$  are trivial again:

- $x \equiv_0 y$  iff  $x = y$ ,
- $x \equiv_1 y$  always

Thus, just like 0 and 1 are greatest and least element for  $|$ , we have that  $\equiv_0$  and  $\equiv_1$  are the smallest and the largest equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem A.13** (Modulo). *The relation  $\equiv_m$  has the following properties*

- *reflexive:*  $x \equiv_m x$
- *transitive:* if  $x \equiv_m y$  and  $y \equiv_m z$  then  $x \equiv_m z$
- *symmetric:* if  $x|y$  then  $y|x$

*Thus, it is an equivalence relation.*

*It is also preserved by arithmetic operations: If  $x \equiv_m x'$  and  $y \equiv_m y'$ , then*

- *preserved by addition:*  $x + y \equiv_m x' + y'$
- *preserved by subtraction:*  $x - y \equiv_m x' - y'$
- *preserved by multiplication:*  $x * y \equiv_m x' * y'$
- *preserved by division if  $x/y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x'/y' \in \mathbb{Z}$ :*  $x/y \equiv_m x'/y'$
- *preserved by negation of both arguments:*  $-x \equiv_m -x'$

### A.3.3 Arithmetic Modulo

**Definition A.14** (Modulus). We write  $x \bmod m$  for the smallest  $y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \equiv_m y$ .

We also write *modulus<sub>m</sub>* for the function  $x \mapsto x \bmod m$ . We write  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  for the image of *modulus<sub>m</sub>*.

*Remark A.15* (Modulo 0 and 1). The cases  $m = 0$  and  $m = 1$  are trivial again:

- $x \bmod 0 = x$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_0 = \mathbb{Z}$
- $x \bmod 1 = 0$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_1 = \{0\}$

*Remark A.16* (Possible Values). For  $m \neq 0$ , we have  $x \bmod m \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ . In particular, there are  $m$  possible values  $m \bmod x$ .

For example, we have  $x \bmod 1 \in \{0\}$ . And we have  $x \bmod 2 = 0$  if  $x$  is even and  $x \bmod 2 = 1$  if  $x$  is odd.

**Definition A.17** (Arithmetic Modulo  $m$ ). For  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define arithmetic operations modulo  $m$  by

$$x \circ_m y = (x \circ y) \bmod m \quad \text{for} \quad \circ \in \{+, -, \cdot\}$$

Moreover, if there is a unique  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that  $q \cdot x \equiv_m y$ , we define  $x/_m y = q$ .

Note that the condition  $y|x$  is neither necessary nor sufficient for  $x/_m y$  to be defined. For example,  $2/_4 2$  is undefined because  $1 \cdot 2 \equiv_4 3 \cdot 2 \equiv_4 2$ . Conversely,  $2/_4 3$  is defined, namely 2.

**Theorem A.18** (Arithmetic Modulo  $m$ ). *For  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\bmod$  commutes with arithmetic operations in the sense that*

$$(x \circ y) \bmod m = (x \bmod m) \circ_m (y \bmod m) \quad \text{for} \quad \circ \in \{+, -, \cdot\}$$

Moreover,  $x/_my$  is defined iff  $\gcd(y, m) = 1$  and

$$(x/y) \bmod m = (x \bmod m)/_m(y \bmod m) \quad \text{if } y|x$$

$$x/_my = x \cdot_m a \quad \text{if } ay + bm = 1 \text{ as in see Thm. A.8}$$

**Theorem A.19** (Fermat's Little Theorem). *For all prime numbers  $p$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that  $x^p \equiv_p x$ . If  $x$  and  $p$  are coprime, that is equivalent to  $x^{p-1} \equiv 1$ .*

### A.3.4 Digit-Base Representations

Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , which we call the base.

**Theorem A.20** (Div-Mod Representation). *Every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  can be uniquely represented as  $a \cdot m + b$  for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ .*

Moreover,  $b = x \bmod m$ . We write  $b \operatorname{div} m$  for  $a$ .

**Definition A.21** (Base- $m$ -Notation). For  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , we define  $(d_k \dots d_0)_m = d_k \cdot m^k + \dots + d_1 \cdot m + d_0$ . The  $d_i$  are called digits.

**Theorem A.22** (Base- $m$  Representation). *Every  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  can be uniquely represented as  $(0)_m$  or  $(d_k \dots d_0)_m$  such that  $d_k \neq 0$ .*

Moreover, we have  $k = \lfloor \log_m x \rfloor$  and  $d_0 = x \bmod m$ ,  $d_1 = (x \operatorname{div} m) \bmod m$ ,  $d_2 = ((x \operatorname{div} m) \operatorname{div} m) \bmod m$  and so on.

*Example A.23* (Important Bases). We call  $(d_k \dots d_0)_m$  the binary/octal/decimal/hexadecimal representation if  $m = 2, 8, 10, 16$ , respectively.

In case  $m = 16$ , we write the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  as  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, a, b, c, d, e, f\}$

### A.3.5 Finite Fields

In this section, let  $m = p$  be prime.

**Construction** Then  $x/_py$  is defined for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $y \neq 0$ . Consequently,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field.

Up to isomorphism, all finite fields are obtained as an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . This field is usually called  $F_{p^n}$  because it has  $p^n$  elements. From now on, let  $q = p^n$ .

All elements of  $F_q$  are vectors  $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$  for  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Addition and subtraction are component-wise, the 0-element is  $(0, \dots, 0)$ , the 1-element is  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

However, multiplication in  $F_q$  is tricky. To multiply two elements, we think of the vectors  $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$  as polynomials  $a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + a_1X + a_0$ , and multiply the polynomials. This can introduce powers  $X^n$  and higher, which we eliminate using  $X^n = k_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + k_1X + k_0$ . The resulting polynomial has degree at most  $n-1$ , and its coefficient (modulo  $p$ ) yield the result.

The values  $k_i$  always exists but are non-trivial to find. They must be such that the polynomial  $X^n - k_{n-1}X^{n-1} - \dots - k_1X - k_0$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . There may be multiple polynomials, which may lead to different multiplication operations. However, all of them yield isomorphic fields.

**Binary Fields** The operations become particularly easy if  $p = 2$ . The elements of  $F_{2^n}$  are just the bit strings of length  $n$ . Addition and subtraction are the same operation and can be computed by component-wise XOR. Multiplication is a bit more complex but can be obtained as a sequence of bit-shifts and XORs.



**Exponentiation and Logarithm** Because  $F_q$  has multiplication, we can define natural powers in the usual way:

**Definition A.24.** For  $x \in F_q$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $x^l \in F_q$  by  $x^0 = 1$  and  $x^{l+1} = x \cdot x^l$ .

If  $l$  is the smallest number such that  $x^l = y$ , we write  $l = \log_x y$  and call  $n$  the **discrete  $q$ -logarithm** of  $y$  with base  $x$ .

The powers  $1, x, x^2, \dots \in F_q$  of  $x$  can take only  $q - 1$  different values because  $F_q$  has only  $q$  elements and  $x^l$  can never be 0 (unless  $x = 0$ ). Therefore, they must be periodic:

**Theorem A.25.** For every  $x \in F_q$ , we have  $x^q = x$  or equivalently  $x^{q-1} = 1$  for  $x \neq 0$ .

For some  $x$ , the period is indeed  $q - 1$ , i.e., we have  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{q-1}\} = F_q \setminus \{0\}$ . Those  $x$  are called primitive elements of  $F_q$ . But the period may be smaller. For example, the powers of 1 are  $1, \dots, 1$ , i.e., 1 has period 1. For a non-trivial example consider  $p = 5$ ,  $n = 1$ , (i.e.,  $q = 5$ ): The powers of 4 are  $4^0 = 1$ ,  $4^1 = 4$ ,  $4^2 = 16 \bmod 5 = 1$ , and  $4^3 = 4$ .

If the period is smaller,  $x^l$  does not take all possible values in  $F_q$ . Therefore,  $\log_x y$  is not defined for all  $y \in F_q$ .

Computing  $x^l$  is straightforward and can be done efficiently. (If  $n > 1$ , we first have to find the values  $k_i$  needed to do the multiplication, but we can precompute them once and for all.)

Determining whether  $\log_x y$  is defined and computing its value is also straightforward: We can enumerate all powers  $1, x, x^2, \dots$  until we find 1 or  $y$ . However, no efficient algorithm is known.

## A.4 Size of Sets

The size  $|S|$  of a set  $S$  is a very complex topic of mathematics because there are different degrees of infinity. Specifically, we have that  $|\mathcal{P}(S)| > |S|$ , i.e., we have infinitely many degrees of infinity.

In computer science, we are only interested in countable sets. We use a very simple definition that writes  $C$  for countable and merges all greater sizes into uncountable sets, whose size we write as  $U$ .

**Definition A.26** (Size of sets). The size  $|S| \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{C, U\}$  of a set  $S$  is defined by:

- if  $S$  is finite:  $|S|$  is the number of elements of  $S$
- if  $S$  is infinite and bijective to  $\mathbb{N}$ :  $|S| = C$ , and we say that  $S$  is countable
- if  $S$  is infinite and not bijective to  $\mathbb{N}$ :  $|S| = U$ , and we say that  $S$  is uncountable

We can compute with set sizes as follows:

**Definition A.27** (Computing with Sizes). For two sizes  $s, t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{C, U\}$ , we define addition, multiplication, and exponentiation by the following tables:

|     |                    | $t$                |     |     |  |
|-----|--------------------|--------------------|-----|-----|--|
|     |                    | $n \in \mathbb{N}$ | $C$ | $U$ |  |
| $s$ | $s + t$            | $m + n$            | $C$ | $U$ |  |
|     | $m \in \mathbb{N}$ | $C$                | $C$ | $U$ |  |
|     | $C$                | $C$                | $C$ | $U$ |  |
|     | $U$                | $U$                | $U$ | $U$ |  |

  

|     |                    | $t$                |     |     |  |
|-----|--------------------|--------------------|-----|-----|--|
|     |                    | $n \in \mathbb{N}$ | $C$ | $U$ |  |
| $s$ | $s * t$            | $m * n$            | $C$ | $U$ |  |
|     | $m \in \mathbb{N}$ | $C$                | $C$ | $U$ |  |
|     | $C$                | $C$                | $C$ | $U$ |  |
|     | $U$                | $U$                | $U$ | $U$ |  |

  

|     |                                    | $t$   |   |     |                                    |     |
|-----|------------------------------------|-------|---|-----|------------------------------------|-----|
|     |                                    | $s^t$ | 0 | 1   | $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ |     |
| $s$ | $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ | 0     | 1 | 0   | 0                                  | 0   |
|     |                                    | 1     | 1 | 1   | 1                                  | 1   |
|     |                                    | $C$   | 1 | $m$ | $m^n$                              | $U$ |
|     |                                    | $C$   | 1 | $C$ | $C$                                | $U$ |
|     |                                    | $U$   | 1 | $U$ | $U$                                | $U$ |

Because exponentiation  $s^t$  is not commutative, the order matters:  $s$  is given by the row and  $t$  by the column.

The intuition behind these rules is given by the following:

**Theorem A.28.** *For all sets  $S, T$ , we have for the size of the*

- *disjoint union:*

$$|S \uplus T| = |S| + |T|$$

- *Cartesian product:*

$$|S \times T| = |S| * |T|$$

- *set of functions from  $T$  to  $S$ :*

$$|S^T| = |S|^{|T|}$$

Thus, we can understand the rules for exponentiation as follows. Let us first consider the 4 cases where one of the arguments has size 0 or 1: For every set  $A$

1. there is exactly one function from the empty set (namely the empty function):  $|A^\emptyset| = 1$ ,
2. there are as many functions from a singleton set as there are elements of  $A$ :  $|A^{\{x\}}| = |A|$ ,
3. there are no functions to the empty set (unless  $A$  is empty):  $|\emptyset^A| = 0$  if  $A \neq \emptyset$ ,
4. there is exactly one function into a singleton set (namely the constant function):  $|\{x\}^A| = 1$ ,

Now we need only one more rule: The set of functions from a non-empty finite set to a finite/countable/uncountable set is again finite/countable/uncountable. In all other cases, the set of functions is uncountable.

## A.5 Important Sets and Functions

The meaning and purpose of a data structure is to describe a set in the sense of mathematics. Similarly, the meaning and purpose of an algorithm is to describe a function between two sets.

Thus, it is helpful to collect some sets and functions as examples. These are typically among the first data structures and algorithms implemented in any programming language and they serve as test cases for evaluating our languages.

### A.5.1 Base Sets

When building sets, we have to start somewhere with some sets that are assumed to exist. These are called the *bases sets* or the *primitive sets*.

The following table gives an overview, where we also list the size of each set according to Def. A.26:

| set  | description/definition   | size                |
|--|--|---------------------|
| typical base sets of mathematics <sup>1</sup>                |  |                     |
| $\emptyset$  | empty set  | 0                   |
| $\mathbb{N}$   | natural numbers  | $C$                 |
| $\mathbb{Z}$   | integers   | $C$                 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_m$ for $m > 0$                                   | integers modulo $m$ , $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$ <sup>2</sup>   | $m$                 |
| $\mathbb{Q}$   | rational numbers   | $C$                 |
| $\mathbb{R}$   | real numbers   | $U$                 |
| additional or alternative base sets used in computer science |  |                     |
| <i>unit</i>  | unit type, $\{()\}$ , equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}_1$   | 1                   |
| $\mathbb{B}$   | booleans, $\{false, true\}$ , equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}_2$   | 2                   |
| <i>int</i>   | primitive integers, $-2^{n-1}, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ for machine-dependent $n$ , equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ <sup>3</sup> | $2^n$               |
| <i>float</i>   | IEEE floating point approximations of real numbers   | $C$                 |
| <i>char</i>  | characters   | finite <sup>4</sup> |
| <i>string</i>  | lists of characters  | $C$                 |

<sup>1</sup>All of mathematics can be built by using  $\emptyset$  as the only base set because the others are definable. But it is common to assume at least the number sets as primitives.

<sup>2</sup> $\mathbb{Z}_0$  also exists but is trivial:  $\mathbb{Z}_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ .

<sup>3</sup>Primitive integers are the  $2^n$  possible values for a sequence of  $n$  bits. Old machines used  $n = 8$  (and the integers were called “bytes”), later machines used  $n = 16$  (called “words”). Modern machines typically use 32-bit or 64-bit integers. Modern programmers usually—but dangerously—assume that  $2^n$  is much bigger than any number that comes up in practice so that essentially  $int = \mathbb{Z}$ .

<sup>4</sup>The ASCII standard defined  $2^7$  or  $2^8$  characters. Nowadays, we use Unicode characters, which is a constantly growing set containing

### A.5.2 Functions on the Base Sets

For every base set, we can define some basic operations. These are usually built-in features of programming languages whenever the respective base set is built-in.

We only list a few examples here.

#### Numbers

For all number sets, we can define addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division in the usual way.

Some care must be taken when subtracting or dividing because the result may be in a different set. For example, the difference of two natural numbers is not in general a natural number but only an integer (e.g.,  $3 - 5 \notin \mathbb{N}$ ). Moreover, division by 0 is always forbidden.

#### Quotients of the Integers

The function *modulus*<sub>*m*</sub> (see Sect. A.3.3) for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  maps  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  to  $x \bmod m \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ .

In programming languages, the set  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is usually not provided. Instead,  $x \bmod y$  is built-in as a functions on *int*.

#### Booleans

On booleans, we can define the usual boolean operations conjunction (usually written `&` or `&&`), disjunction (usually written `|` or `||`), and negation (usually written `!`).

Moreover, we have the equality and inequality functions, which take two objects  $x, y$  and return a boolean. These are usually written  $x == y$  and  $x != y$  in text files languages and  $x = y$  and  $x \neq y$  on paper.

### A.5.3 Set Constructors

From the base sets, we build all other sets by applying set constructors. Those are operations that take sets and return new sets.

The following table gives an overview, where we also list the size of each set according to Def. A.27:

---

the characters of virtually any writing system, many scientific symbols, emojis, etc. Many programming languages assume that there is one character for every primitive integers, e.g., typically  $2^{32}$  characters.

| set   | description/definition   | size   |
|---|--|--|
| typical constructors in mathematics                             |  |  |
| $A \uplus B$  | disjoint union   | $ A  +  B $  |
| $A \times B$  | (Cartesian) product  | $ A  *  B $  |
| $A^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$                                    | $n$ -dimensional vectors over $A$  | $ A ^n$  |
| $B^A$ or $A \rightarrow B$                                      | functions from $A$ to $B$  | $ B ^{ A }$  |
| $\mathcal{P}(A)$  | power set, equivalent to $\mathbb{B}^A$  | $2^{ A } = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if }  A  = n \\ U & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$                     |
| $\{x \in A   P(x)\}$  | subset of $A$ given by property $P$  | $\leq  A $   |
| $\{f(x) : x \in A\}$  | image of function $f$ when applied to elements of $A$  | $\leq  A $   |
| $A/r$   | quotient set for an equivalence relation $r$ on $A$  | $\leq  A $   |
| selected additional constructors often used in computer science |  |  |
| $A^*$   | lists over $A$   | $\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ U & \text{if }  A  = U \\ C & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ |
| $A^?$   | optional element <sup>5</sup> of $A$   | $1 +  A $  |
| $enum\{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$                                       | for new names $l_1, \dots, l_n$<br>enumeration: like $\mathbb{Z}_n$ but also introduces<br>named elements $l_i$ of the enumeration | $n$  |
| $l_1(A_1)   \dots   l_n(A_n)$                                   | labeled union: like $A_1 \uplus \dots \uplus A_n$ but also introduces<br>named injections $l_i$ from $A_i$ into the union          | $ A_1  + \dots +  A_n $  |
| $\{l_1 : A_1, \dots, l_n : A_n\}$                               | record: like $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ but also introduces<br>named projections $l_i$ from the record into $A_i$               | $ A_1  * \dots *  A_n $  |
| inductive data types <sup>6</sup><br>classes <sup>7</sup>       |  | $C$<br>$U$   |

#### A.5.4 Characteristic Functions of the Set Constructors

Every set constructor comes systematically with characteristic functions into and out of the constructed sets  $C$ . These functions allow building elements of  $C$  or using elements of  $C$  for other computations.

For some sets, these functions do not have standard notations in mathematics. In those cases, different programming languages may use slightly different notations.

The following table gives an overview:

| set $C$                           | build an element of $C$                               | use an element $x$ of $C$                     |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| $A_1 \uplus A_2$                  | $inj_1(a_1)$ or $inj_2(a_2)$ for $a_i \in A_i$        | pattern-matching                              |
| $A_1 \times A_2$                  | $(a_1, a_2)$ for $a_i \in A_i$                        | $x.i \in A_i$ for $i = 1, 2$                  |
| $A^n$                             | $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for $a_i \in A$                   | $x.i \in A$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$             |
| $B^A$                             | $(a \in A) \mapsto b(a)$                              | $x(a)$ for $a \in A$                          |
| $A^*$                             | $[a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}]$ <sup>8</sup> for $a_i \in A$  | pattern-matching                              |
| $A^?$                             | <i>None</i> or <i>Some</i> ( $a$ ) for $a \in A$      | pattern-matching                              |
| $enum\{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$         | $l_1$ or $\dots$ or $l_n$                             | switch statement or pattern-matching          |
| $l_1(A_1)   \dots   l_n(A_n)$     | $l_1(a_1)$ or $\dots$ or $l_n(a_n)$ for $a_i \in A_i$ | pattern-matching                              |
| $\{l_1 : A_1, \dots, l_n : A_n\}$ | $\{l_1 = a_1, \dots, l_n = a_n\}$ for $a_i \in A_i$   | $x.l_i \in A_i$                               |
| inductive data type $A$           | $l(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ for a constructor $l$ of $A$     | pattern-matching                              |
| class $A$                         | <b>new</b> $A$  | $x.l(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ for a field $l$ of $A$ |

<sup>5</sup>An optional element of  $A$  is either absent or an element of  $A$ .

<sup>6</sup>These are too complex to define at this point. They are a key feature of functional programming languages like SML.

<sup>7</sup>These are too complex to define at this point. They are a key feature of object-oriented programming languages like Java.

<sup>8</sup>Mathematicians start counting at 1 and would usually write a list of length  $n$  as  $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ . However, computer scientists always start counting at 0 and therefore write it as  $[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]$ . We use the computer science numbering here.

# Bibliography