Homework 2

You have to submit your solutions as announced in the lecture.

Unless mentioned otherwise, all problems are due 2017-03-02

There will be no deadline extensions unless mentioned otherwise in the lecture.

Problem 2.1 Shellshock

Points: 10

Homework 2

given: 2017-02-08

Consider the shellshock example from the lecture notes. In this problem, we implement a minimal shell that could exhibit the fault but will not because we design it well.

You can use any programming language. However, it is best to use a programming language that supports good system design. SML will work well; Java or C++ are OK. I personally recommend Scala.

1. Implement datatypes for the following grammar, which represents the commands our shell can handle.

```
commands
COMM
          ::= fun NAME(NAME)\{COMM\}
                                             function definition
               run NAME(SPACE EXPR)*
                                             shell call
               NAME(EXPR)
                                             function call
               COMM; COMM
                                             command sequence
expressions
EXPR
           ::= NAME
                                             variable
               " (\\ | \" | [^\"])* "
                                             string
names
NAME
          ::= alphanumeric string
```

where red color indicates BNF meta-symbols.

You need one datatype per non-terminal with one constructor per production. Let Comm and Expr be the types for COMM and EXPR.

This language is pretty boring in order to be simple. Feel free to add, e.g., if commands, number expressions, functions with return values etc. However, watch out that writing the parser will become increasingly work-intensive.

2. Implement a parser for your data type. It should be of the form

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun}\;parseCommand(command:String):Comm =\\ \dots\\ \mathbf{fun}\;parseExpr(expr:String):Expr =\\ \dots \end{array}
```

3. Implement an interpreter for your data type. It should be of the form

```
abstract class Value() class StringValue(value:String) extends Value abstract class Def() class ValDef(name:String,value:Value) extends Def class FunDef(name:String,argName:String,body:Comm) extends Def fun interpret(context:List[Def],command:Comm):List[Def] = \dots fun evaluate(context:List[Def],expr:Expr):Value = \dots
```

and interpret commands as follows:

- $fun f(x)\{C\}$: return FunDef(f, x, C)
- $run\ n\ arq_1\ \dots\ arq_n$: call the shell function n with the listed arguments
- f(e): evaluate e to v, retrieve FunDef(f, x, C) from the context, interpret C with an additional ValDef(x, v) in the context
- C; D: interpret C, then interpret D with the FunDefs returned by C added to the context

and evaluate expressions as follows

- n: retrieve ValDef(n, v) from the context and return v
- "s": return the string s with the escapes removed
- 4. Implement main function that takes a string s, calls c = parseComm(s), then calls interpret(Nil, c). Optionally, you can also
 - read an entire file and parse+interpret every line in it
 - read commands from standard input, at which point you have an actual shell

Those steps are not required but recommended because they help with testing.

- 5. Now for the faulty functionality of bash, modify your program as follows:
 - At the beginning, try to parse every available environment variable that starts with fun into a Comm.
 - Whenever that succeeds, interpret the resulting commands, which returns defs: List[Def]. (*)
 - Now call interpret on the input with defs instead of Nil as the initial context.
- 6. Activate the fault by showing that data in environment variables may lead to the execution of arbitrary shell commands.
- 7. Isolate the fault (This is how it was "fixed" in bash.) by considering only environment variables whose name begins with a certain prefix, e.g., $SHELL_FUNC$.
- 8. Remove the fault by making sure that data is never executed. To do so, change (*) so that it never calls interpret.

For questions 1-5, submit a single program. For questions 7-8, submit a single program. Use comments as needed to understand which part solves which question.

For question 6, submit a screenshot from a normal shell session that demonstrates the failure of your shell.