

**Answer 1** (20 points). (a) Yes. Proof: clearly  $S$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , so we need only check that: (i) if  $u, v \in S$  then  $u + v = (0, 0, 0)$ , so  $u + v = (0, 0, 0) \in S$ ; (ii) if  $u \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $\alpha u = (0, 0, 0) \in S$ .

(b) No. Justification:  $(0, 0, 0)$  is not in  $S$ .

(c) No. Justification:  $x = (1, 0, 0) \in S$ , however  $-x = (-1, 0, 0) \notin S$ .

(d) Yes. Proof: Clearly  $S$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , so we need only check that: (i) if  $A, B \in S$  then  $A + B = (-A^T) + (-B^T) = -(A + B)^T$ , so  $A + B \in S$ ; (ii) if  $A \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $\alpha A = \alpha(-A^T) = -(\alpha A)^T$ , so  $\alpha A \in S$ .

**Answer 2** (20 points). (a) True. Proof:  $\text{Ker}(A)$  is a subspace, and in class we proved that any subspace admits an orthonormal basis. (Note: although incorrect, we also accepted “False” with a certain justification, see footnote<sup>1</sup>.)

(b) False. Justification: A linear system can never have exactly two solutions, whereas there exist matrices with  $\dim \text{Ker} A = 2$ . (For example the  $2 \times 2$  zero matrix.)

(c) True. Proof: If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , then there is some corresponding eigenvector  $x \neq 0$ , and therefore every scaling  $\alpha x$  is an eigenvector too for every  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

(d) True. Proof: In lecture, we proved (i)  $\text{rank}(XY) \leq \text{rank}(X)$ , and (ii)  $\text{rank}(XY) \leq \text{rank}(Y)$  for any matrices  $X, Y$  of compatible dimension. Thus  $\text{rank}(ABC) \leq \text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(B)$  by applying (i) with  $X = AB$ ,  $Y = C$ , and then applying (ii) with  $X = A$  and  $Y = B$ .

**Answer 3** (20 points). (a) True. If  $A$  is invertible, then  $A^{-1}$  exists, thus so does  $A^{-2}$ . Note that  $A^2 A^{-2} = AAA^{-1}A^{-1} = AIA^{-1} = AA^{-1} = I$ , thus  $A^{-2}$  is the inverse of  $A^2$ .

(b) True. Proof: If  $A$  is invertible, then  $A^{-1}$  exists and  $AA^{-1} = I$ . This implies that  $A^{-1}$  is invertible with inverse  $A$ .

(c) True. If  $A$  and  $B$  are invertible, then  $A^{-1}$  and  $B^{-1}$  exist, and thus so does  $B^{-1}A^{-1}$ . Observe that  $B^{-1}A^{-1}AB = B^{-1}IB = I$ , thus  $B^{-1}A^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $AB$ .

(d) False. Counterexample:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$ . This is not invertible since it has rank 1.

**Answer 4** (15 points). (a) The rank of  $A$  is at least 1 since the first column is non-zero. The rank is 1 (else 2) if and only if the two columns are linearly dependent, i.e., if the columns are scalar multiples of each other, i.e., if  $k = 6$ . We conclude that  $A$  has rank 1 if  $k = 6$ , and otherwise has rank 2.

(b) In lecture, we proved that for a square matrix  $A$ , the linear system  $Ax = b$  has exactly one solution if and only if  $A$  has full rank. Thus by part (a),  $Ax = b$  has exactly one solution if and only if  $k \neq 6$ . (Note that this answer is independent of the value of the vector  $b$ .)

**Answer 5** (15 points).  $J = vv^T$  where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector with all entries 1. Thus by HW6,  $J$  has eigenvalue  $\|v\|^2 = n$  with multiplicity 1, and eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity  $n - 1$ .

**Answer 6** (10 points). From lecture,  $P_S$  can only have eigenvalues that are 0 and 1. Thus  $\text{Id}_n - 2P_S$  can only have eigenvalues that are  $1 - 2 \cdot 0 = 1$  or  $1 - 2 \cdot 1 = -1$ .

**Answer 7 (Bonus: 3 points)**. For example, consider  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Answer 8 (Bonus: 3 points)**. Apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $\langle x, v \rangle^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \|v\|^2$  where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector with all entries equal to 1.

**Answer 9 (Bonus: 4 points)**. Since  $A$  is stochastic and has strictly positive entries, 1 is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Thus  $1 - 1 = 0$  is an eigenvalue of  $A - \text{Id}$  by what was shown in lecture. Since 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A - \text{Id}$ , this matrix is not invertible.

<sup>1</sup>Alternative answer: “False. Justification: For some matrices (e.g., the identity),  $\text{Ker}(A) = \{0\}$  which is a 0-dimensional subspace and thus doesn’t admit an orthonormal basis.” This is incorrect (since the empty set is technically an orthonormal basis of the set  $\{0\}$ ), but we gave full marks for this since it demonstrates understanding of the main concepts.