# UC Berkeley Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences

### EECS 126: Probability and Random Processes

#### Homework 12

Spring 2023

#### 1. Flipping Coins and Hypothesizing

You flip a coin until you see heads. Let 0 , and suppose that your hypotheses are

$$X = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if the bias of the coin is } p \\ 1 & \text{if the bias of the coin is } q. \end{cases}$$

You observe Y, the number of flips until you see heads. Find a decision rule  $\hat{X}$  that maximizes  $\mathbb{P}(\hat{X}=1\mid X=1)$  subject to  $\mathbb{P}(\hat{X}=1\mid X=0)\leq \beta$  for some  $\beta\in[0,1]$ .

*Hint*: Remember to calculate the randomization constant  $\gamma$ .

### 2. One Flip

You flip a single coin and observe its result  $Y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ . Suppose the hypotheses are

$$X = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p = \frac{1}{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } p = \frac{2}{3}. \end{cases}$$

- a. Find the MLE of X and its associated type I and type II error rates.
- b. Plot the error curve.
- c. Derive the randomized decision rule that minimizes type II error subject to the constraint of  $\beta=0.5$  on the type I error.

Hint: You should only need to look at the plot from part b.

## 3. Exam Difficulty

The difficulty of an EECS 126 exam,  $\Theta$ , is uniformly distributed on [0, 100] (continuously). Alice gets a score X that is uniformly distributed on  $[0, \Theta]$ , and she wants to estimate the difficulty of the exam given her score.

- a. What is the MLE of  $\Theta$ ? What is the MAP of  $\Theta$ ?
- b. What is the LLSE for  $\Theta$ ?

# 4. Gaussian LLSE

Let X, Y, Z be i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

- a. Find  $\mathbb{L}(X^2 + Y^2 \mid X + Y)$ .
- b. Find  $\mathbb{L}(X + 2Y \mid X + 3Y + 4Z)$ .
- c. Find  $\mathbb{L}((X+Y)^2 \mid X-Y)$ .

#### 5. Projections

The following exercises are from the note on the Hilbert space of random variables. See the notes for some hints.

- a. Let  $\mathcal{H} := \{X : X \text{ is a real-valued random variable with } \mathbb{E}(X^2) < \infty\}$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{H}$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication over the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , and prove that the function  $\langle X, Y \rangle := \mathbb{E}(XY)$  is an inner product on  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- b. Let U be a subspace of a real inner product space V. We define the *projection* map P onto U as follows: for each  $v \in V$ , let Pv be the unique vector in U such that  $v Pv \in U^{\perp}$ . Prove that P is a linear transformation.
- c. Using part b, prove that  $\mathbb{L}(X+Y\mid Z)=\mathbb{L}(X\mid Z)+\mathbb{L}(Y\mid Z)$  for all  $X,Y,Z\in\mathcal{H}$ .
- d. Now, suppose that U is a finite-dimensional subspace,  $\dim U := n$ , with an orthonormal basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Prove that  $Px = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, u_i \rangle u_i$  for all  $x \in V$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Remark. It is possible for  $X \neq 0$  to have  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) = 0$ , e.g. if X = 0 with probability 1. To fix this, we can take almost-sure equivalence classes of random variables, where X and Y are equivalent if  $\mathbb{P}(X = Y) = 1$ . You may cite this construction when checking that  $X \neq 0$  implies  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) > 0$ .

#### 6. Sufficient Statistics

Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. samples drawn from a probability distribution parameterized by  $\theta$ . (We are in the non-Bayesian setting, so  $\theta$  is deterministic but unknown).

A statistic  $T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  is a *sufficient statistic* for  $\theta$  if for all t, the conditional distribution of  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  given T = t does not depend on  $\theta$ . Intuitively,  $T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  "captures all there is to know about  $\theta$  from the sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ ."

- a. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be drawn i.i.d. from a Poisson distribution with mean  $\mu$ . Show that  $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\mu$ .
- b. Let T be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , and let  $\hat{\theta}$  be an estimator for  $\theta$  with  $var(\hat{\theta}) < \infty$ . Show that in mean-squared error sense,  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} \mid T]$  is at least as good as  $\hat{\theta}$  at estimating  $\theta$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} \mid T] - \theta)^2] \le \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2].$$

Hint: Consider expanding the decomposition  $\mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] = \mathbb{E}[((\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}]) + (\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] - \theta))^2]$ . Remark. Since  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} \mid T]$  is a function of T, the result above suggests we should be looking for estimators of  $\theta$  that are functions of sufficient statistics.