

UC Berkeley  
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences

EECS 126: PROBABILITY AND RANDOM PROCESSES

**Homework 05**

Spring 2023

**1. Midterm**

Solve again the midterm problems which you got incorrect. Please demonstrate understanding of the questions without simply copying the solutions.

**Solution:** [See midterm solutions.](#)

## 2. Bernoulli Convergence

Consider an independent sequence of random variables  $X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{n})$ .

- Show that  $X_n$  converges to 0 in probability.
- Argue that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = 0\right\}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \{X_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq N\}\right).$$

- Using part b, show that  $X_n$  does **not** converge almost surely to 0.  
*Hint:* Consider applying the union bound and the independence of the  $X_n$ .

**Solution:**

- We want to show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - 0| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Because each  $X_n$  can only be 0 or 1, if  $\varepsilon \geq 1$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - 0| > \varepsilon) = 0$ , so the limit is also zero. If  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - 0| > \varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = 1) = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0.$$

- Since each  $X_n$  can only take on the values 0 or 1, the limit of  $X_n$  is 0 iff the sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is eventually always 0. In other words,  $\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = 0\}$  occurs if and only if there exists an  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $X_n = 0$ . Thus

$$\left\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = 0\right\} = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \{X_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq N\}.$$

- Applying the union bound to the equality in part b,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = 0\right) &\leq \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq N) \\ &= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \{X_n = 0\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Because the  $X_n$  are independent, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \prod_{n=N}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n = 0) \\ &= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{N-1}{N} \cdot \frac{N}{N+1} \cdot \frac{N+1}{N+2} \cdots \end{aligned}$$

By telescoping, this infinite product is zero for any value of  $N$ , so we have

$$= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0.$$

Since this probability is not 1,  $X_n$  does not converge almost surely to 0. In fact, since this probability is 0,  $X_n$  *almost surely does not converge* to 0. A related result is Kolmogorov's 0-1 law, which states that a sequence of independent random variables either converges or does not converge with probability 1.

### 3. The CLT Implies the WLLN

- a. Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of random variables. Show that if  $X_n$  converges in distribution to a constant  $c$ , then  $X_n$  converges in probability to  $c$ .
- b. Now let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$  and finite variance  $\sigma^2$ . Show that the CLT implies the WLLN: that is,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \implies \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu,$$

where  $\xrightarrow{d}$  is short for “converges in distribution” and  $\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}}$  for “converges in probability.”

#### Solution:

- a. Since  $X_n$  converges in distribution to  $c$ , we know that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(c - \varepsilon) &= F_c(c - \varepsilon) = 0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) &= F_c(c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Using these limits, we have convergence in probability:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - c| \geq \varepsilon) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq c - \varepsilon) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \geq c + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq c - \varepsilon) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n > c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(c - \varepsilon) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - F_{X_n}(c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \\ &= 0 + 1 - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(The reason we take  $c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  instead of  $c + \varepsilon$  is because  $1 - F_{X_n}(x) = \mathbb{P}(X_n > x)$ , but we have  $\mathbb{P}(X_n \geq c + \varepsilon)$ , which is not a strict inequality.)

- b. From the CLT, we know that

$$Z_n := \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \right) \text{ converges to } Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \text{ in distribution.}$$

Additionally,  $a_n := \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $Y_n := a_n Z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \rightarrow 0$  in distribution. By part a, since  $c = 0$  is a constant,  $Y_n$  also converges to 0 in probability. In other words,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow \mu \text{ in probability,}$$

which is precisely the Weak Law of Large Numbers.

*Note.* The claim that “if  $Z_n \rightarrow Z$  in distribution and  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  as constants, then  $a_n Z_n \rightarrow 0$  in distribution” requires proof, which we present below.

For  $x < 0$  and any  $N \geq 1$ , we know that  $\frac{x}{a_n} \leq -N$  eventually, so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(a_n Z_n \leq x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_n \leq \frac{x}{a_n}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_n \leq -N) = \mathbb{P}(Z \leq -N).$$

The left-hand side does not depend on  $N$ , so taking the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  of both sides, by continuity from above, we find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(a_n Z_n \leq x) \leq \mathbb{P}(Z = -\infty) = 0.$$

Similarly, for  $x > 0$ , we know that  $\frac{x}{a_n} \geq N$  eventually for any  $N$ , so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(a_n Z_n > x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_n > N) = \mathbb{P}(Z > N),$$

and taking the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we find that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(a_n Z_n > x) \leq 0$ , or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(a_n Z_n \leq x) = 1$ . In other words, we have shown that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(a_n Z_n \leq x) = \mathbb{1}\{0 \leq x\},$$

i.e.  $a_n Z_n$  converges to 0 in distribution. This is a specific case of a more general result called *Slutsky's theorem*.

#### 4. CLT Cannot Be Upgraded

- a. Show that if  $X_n$  converges to  $X$  in probability and  $Y_n$  to  $Y$  in probability, then  $aX_n + Y_n$  converges to  $aX + Y$  in probability.
- b. Show that the CLT cannot be upgraded to convergence in probability or almost surely. That is, if  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance 1, prove that it cannot be the case that

$$Z_n := \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow Z, \quad \text{where } Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \text{ almost surely or in probability.}$$

*Hint:* From part a, the sequence of random variables  $\sqrt{2}Z_{2n} - Z_n$  converges in probability to  $(\sqrt{2} - 1)Z$ . Does this contradict the fact that  $Z_n$  converges to  $Z$  in probability?

#### Solution:

- a. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the union bound,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|(aX + Y) - (aX_n + Y_n)| > \varepsilon) &\leq \mathbb{P}(|a(X - X_n)| > \varepsilon/2 \text{ or } |Y - Y_n| > \varepsilon/2) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(|X - X_n| > \varepsilon/(2|a|)) + \mathbb{P}(|Y - Y_n| > \varepsilon/2), \end{aligned}$$

which we know converges to 0. Hence  $aX_n + Y_n$  converges to  $aX + Y$  in probability.

- b. We observe that

$$\sqrt{2}Z_{2n} - Z_n = \frac{X_{n+1} + X_{n+2} + \dots + X_{2n}}{\sqrt{n}},$$

is equal in distribution to  $Z_n$ , and hence must converge in distribution to  $Z$ . However, convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution, so  $\sqrt{2}Z_{2n} - Z_n$  must also converge to  $(\sqrt{2} - 1)Z$  in distribution. As  $Z \neq (\sqrt{2} - 1)Z$ , this is a contradiction.

Lastly, as  $Z_n$  cannot converge in probability, it cannot converge almost surely either, since almost sure convergence is a stronger form of convergence.

## 5. Finite Exit Time

Consider the random walk  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , where the  $X_i$  are i.i.d. with mean zero and variance 1. (Note that the  $X_i$  do not have to be discrete.) Show that almost surely the random walk will leave the interval  $[-a, a]$  in finite time.

*Hint:* Let  $T$  be the first time that the random walk leaves the interval  $[-a, a]$ , and show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(T > n) = 0$ .

**Solution:** We note that  $T > n$  only if  $|S_n| \leq a$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}(T > n) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right| \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Fix a particular value of  $N$ . By the Central Limit Theorem,  $S_n/\sqrt{n}$  converges to  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  in distribution, and hence for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right| \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right| \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)| \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{N}}\right).$$

In other words, for any value of  $N$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right| \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)| \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{N}}\right).$$

By continuity from above, the right-hand side converges to  $\mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)| \leq 0) = 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}(T = \infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(T > n) = 0$ , we conclude that  $T < \infty$  almost surely.

*Remark:* We could not directly conclude that  $\mathbb{P}(|S_n/\sqrt{n}| \leq a/\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)| \leq 0)$  because  $a/\sqrt{n}$  is varying with  $n$ . Hence, we showed that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n,m} \rightarrow 0$  for the 2d “grid” of values  $p_{n,m} = \mathbb{P}(|S_n/\sqrt{n}| \leq a/\sqrt{m})$ , which implies that the “diagonal” sequence  $p_{n,n} \rightarrow 0$ , as desired. This is a common style of argument for working with sequences like  $\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x_n)$ .

## 6. Coupon Collector Convergence

In the coupon collector's problem, there are  $n$  different types of coupons, and you are trying to collect them all. Each time you purchase an item, you receive one of the  $n$  coupons uniformly at random. Let  $T_n$  denote the number of purchases it takes to collect all  $n$  coupons. Prove that  $T_n/(n \ln n) \rightarrow 1$  in probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Hint:* Consider using Chebyshev's inequality to show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{T_n - nH_n}{n \ln n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

$H_n$  denotes the  $n$ th harmonic sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$ . You may use the fact that  $H_n \sim \ln n$ .

**Solution:** We can write  $T_n$  as an independent sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , where  $X_i \sim \text{Geometric}(\frac{n-i+1}{n})$  is the number of purchases required to collect the  $i$ th new coupon. Noting that the variance of a  $\text{Geometric}(p)$  random variable is  $\frac{1-p}{p^2} \leq \frac{1}{p^2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(T_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n}{n-i+1} = n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} = nH_n \\ \text{var}(T_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(X_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{n}{n-i+1}\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{n}{i}\right)^2 \leq n^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, it suffices to note that the summation converges. Notably,

$$\text{var}\left(\frac{T_n - nH_n}{n \ln n}\right) \leq \frac{n^2}{(n \ln n)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and now Chebyshev's inequality gives

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{T_n - nH_n}{n \ln n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \text{var}\left(\frac{T_n - nH_n}{n \ln n}\right) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence,  $(T_n - nH_n)/(n \ln n) \rightarrow 0$  in probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . To conclude, we note that  $H_n \sim \ln n$  asymptotically, so  $T_n/(n \ln n) \rightarrow 1$  in probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Remark:* From previous analysis, we know that  $\mathbb{E}(T_n)$  is close to  $n \ln n$ , so we have shown a result similar in spirit to a “weak law for the coupon collector problem”: as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $T_n$  is “close” to its expected value. However, since we are not dealing with i.i.d. random variables, we cannot use the version of the WLLN proved in lecture to deal with this problem.