

**Probability:**

Set  $\Omega$  (finite or countable)

$$P(\omega) > 0, \sum_{\omega} P(\omega) = 1$$

$$A \subseteq \Omega, P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} P(\omega)$$

**Example.** Birthday Problem:

“How many people do we need to have chance 50% that 2 or more have same birthday date?”

Say have  $n$  people and  $C$  categories.

- What is  $\Omega$ ?

$$\Omega = \{\omega : \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n), \omega_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, C\}\}$$

- What is  $P(\omega)$ ?

$$\text{Try } P(\omega) = \frac{1}{C^n}$$

- What is  $A$ ?

$$A = \{\omega : \omega_i \neq \omega_j, \forall i, j\}$$

$$P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} P(\omega) = \frac{1}{C^n} |A|$$

$$\text{where } |A| = C(C-1)(C-2) \dots (C-n+1)$$

**Answer 1:**

$$P(A) = (1 - \frac{1}{C})(1 - \frac{2}{C}) \dots (1 - \frac{n-1}{C})$$

**Answer 2:** (humans)

Use  $\log(1-x) \sim -x$

$$P(A) = \exp \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - i/C)$$

$$\approx \exp - \sum_{i=1}^n i/C$$

$$= \exp - \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{C}$$

Now set

$$e^{-\frac{\binom{n}{2}}{C}} = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow n = 1.2\sqrt{C} \approx 23$$

**Answer 3:**

$$\begin{aligned} \log(1-x) &= -x + O(x^2) \\ -x - x^2 &\leq \log(1-x) \leq -x, 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem:** if  $n, C$  tend to  $\infty$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n^3}{C^2} &\rightarrow 0, \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{C} \rightarrow E \\ P(A) &\approx e^{-E} \end{aligned}$$

**Problem** How many people:

Do we need to have even odds to have triple match?

**Example.**

Put  $N$  points down at random in  $[0, 1]^2$ , put  $\varepsilon$ -Ball around each, what's chance cover?

We put probabilities on  $\tau[0, 1]$

**Manifolds**

$$\Omega = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{35} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{35}, \sum x_i = s, \prod x_i = \rho\}$$

**Half Way House:**

$$\Omega = (0, 1]$$

Work with intervals  $(a_n, b_n] = I_n$ ,

$$A = \cup_{i=1}^n I_n, I_n \text{ disjoint intervals}$$

$$P(A) \triangleq \sum_{I_i \in A} (b_i - a_i)$$

**Model for fair coin tossing:**

$$\omega = .\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3\cdots$$

(using nonterminated:  $\frac{1}{2} = 0.011\cdots$ )

$$\omega = \sum \frac{d_n(\omega)}{2^n}$$

$$A = \{\omega : d_i(\omega) = 1\}$$

has  $P(A) = \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $i$ , similarly,

$$P(A_1 = E_1, \cdots, A_n = E_n) = \frac{1}{2^n}, \forall E_1, \cdots, E_n \in \{0, 1\}$$

**Theorem** (Borel's Weak Law of Large Numbers):

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, P\left\{\left|\frac{d_1 + \cdots + d_n}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right| > \varepsilon\right\} \rightarrow 0$$

*Proof:* Define  $\Omega_n(\omega) = 2 \cdot d_n(\omega) - 1$

Same to prove

$$\forall \varepsilon, P\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i\right| > 2\varepsilon\right\} \rightarrow 0$$

Note

$$\int_0^1 \Omega_i(\omega) d\omega = 0$$

$$\int_0^1 \Omega_i(\omega) \Omega_j(\omega) d\omega = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\text{So } \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i\right) d\omega = 0, \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i\right)^2 d\omega = n$$

Applying Markov's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} P\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i\right| > 2\varepsilon\right\} &= P\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i\right)^2 > 4\varepsilon^2 n^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\int (\sum \Omega_i)^2}{4\varepsilon^2 n^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2 n} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma:** Markov's inequality: if  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(\omega) \geq 0$$

Then  $\forall a > 0$

$$P\{\omega : f(\omega) \geq a\} \leq \frac{\int_0^1 f(\omega) d\omega}{a}$$

*Proof*

$$\int_0^1 f(\omega) d\omega \geq \int_A f(\omega) d\omega \geq aP(A)$$

We want strong law: Borel's normal number theorem:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i(\omega) = 0$$

**Problems:** not true

$w = .1111\cdots, w = 0110000111110\cdots$ , limit doesn't exist

**Definition.**  $A \subseteq \Omega$  to be negligible if

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$  finite or countable many intervals  $I_1, \cdots s.t.$

$$A \subset \cup_k I_k \text{ and } \sum_k |I_k| < \varepsilon$$

**Example.** rationals in  $(0, 1]$  negligible.

Note: a finite or countable union of negligible sets is negligible.

**Theorem** (Borel's Normal Number)

$$\Omega = \{0, 1\}, I = (a, b], P(I) = b - a$$

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i(\omega)}{2^i}, \Omega_i(\omega) = 2d_i(\omega) - 1$$

$$S_n(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i(\omega)$$

**Theorem**

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} = 0$$

Except for  $\omega$  in a negligible set.

*Proof.* Use subsequence argument.

**Lemma:** Say  $x_n$  real numbers,  $1 \leq i < \infty$ ,  $y_n = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$ , then  $\frac{y_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ , provided

$$\frac{y_{n^2}}{n^2} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\sup |x_n| < \infty$$

**Corollary**

$$\lim \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim \frac{S_{n^2}(\omega)}{n^2} = 0$$

*Proof.*  $h_n^2 \leq n \leq (h_n + 1)^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{y_n}{n} \right| &\leq \frac{|y_n|}{h_n^2} \\ &= \frac{|y_{h_n^2} - (y_{h_n^2} - y_n)|}{h_n^2} \\ &\leq \frac{|y_{h_n^2}|}{h_n^2} + \frac{|y_{h_n^2} - y_n|}{h_n^2} \end{aligned}$$

if  $|x_i| \leq C$  all  $i$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|y_n - y_{h_n^2}|}{h_n^2} &= \frac{|\sum_{i=h_n^2+1}^n x_i|}{h_n^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C(n - h_n^2)}{h_n^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2C}{h_n} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

$$B = \{\omega : \lim_n \frac{S_{n^2}(\omega)}{n^2} = 0\}$$

Show  $B^c$  is negligible, choose  $\delta_n \downarrow 0$

$$\{\omega : \left| \frac{S_{n^2}(\omega)}{n^2} \right| < \delta_n, \text{ any large } n\} \subseteq B$$

$$\begin{aligned} B^c &\subseteq \{\omega : \left| \frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2} \right| \geq \delta_n, \text{ i.o.}\} \\ &\subseteq \cup_{n=j}^{\infty} \{\omega : \left| \frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2} \right| \geq \delta_n\} \\ &\triangleq \cup_{n=j}^{\infty} B_n(\forall j) \end{aligned}$$

$B_n$  is a disjoint union of intervals.

$$P(B_n) \leq \frac{1}{n^2 \delta_n^2} \text{ (Chebyshev's inequality)}$$

Done if choose  $\delta_n$  s.t.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \delta_n^2} < \infty$$

Choose  $\delta_n = n^{-\frac{1}{4}}$

Remarks:

- The set of normal numbers has negligible complement.  
Notice  $N^c$  is not countable.

**Example.**  $(d_1(\omega), d_2(\omega), \dots) = (1, 1, u_3, 1, 1, u_6, \dots), d_i(\omega) = 1$  unless  $i = 3k$ .

Since  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(\omega) \geq \frac{2}{3}$ . Thus  $\omega$  is not normal.

but  $(u_3, u_6, \dots)$  is a 0-1 sequence, then such  $\omega$  is uncountable.

- Either need better bounds on  $P\{\frac{S_n}{n} > a\}$  or use subsequence
- WLLN:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{|\frac{S_n}{n}| > \varepsilon\} = 0$   
SLLN:  $P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n} = 0) = 1$ .  
**Example.** weak law holds but not strong law

$$P(j) = \frac{c}{j^2 \log |j|}, |j| \geq 2$$

Pick  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  independently from  $P(j)$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

Then  $P\{| \frac{S_n}{n} | > \varepsilon\} \rightarrow 0$

but  $| \frac{S_n}{n} | > \varepsilon$  i.o. with probability 1

Strong law says

$\frac{S_n}{n}$  gets small and stays small.

- Note weak law

$$P\left\{\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| > \varepsilon\right\} \leq \frac{1}{n\varepsilon^2}$$

But strong law has no finite content.

$$B = \{\omega : \text{Strong law holds}\} = \cap_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \cap_{n=m}^{\infty} \{\omega : \left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| < \frac{1}{k}\}$$

Algebras,  $\sigma$ -algebras, and construction of probability.

Let  $\Omega$  be a set.

**Definition.** A field is a subsets of  $\Omega : \mathcal{F}$  is a collection  $F \subseteq \Omega$ , s.t.

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- $F \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow F^c \in \mathcal{F}$
- $A, B \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$

**Example.**

- 
- 

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$$

$\Omega = (0, 1], \mathcal{F}$  is all finite disjoint unions of intervals  $(a, b]$

**Definition.** A  $\sigma$ -field is a field so

$$F_n \in \mathcal{F}, 1 \leq n < \infty$$

$$\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \in \mathcal{F}$$

- All subsets of  $\Omega$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.
- If  $\{F_n\}$  are  $\sigma$ -fields, the  $\cap F_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.
- So take any collection  $Q$  of subsets of  $\Omega$ ,

$$F(Q) = \text{Smallest } \sigma\text{-field containing } Q = \cap F$$

- Take  $(0, 1]$ ,  $Q = \text{All } (a, b]$ ,

$$F(Q) = \text{Borel sets}$$

**Definition.** A probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a set  $\Omega$ ,  
A  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets  $\mathcal{F}$  and a function  $P : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,

•

$$P(\emptyset) = 0$$

•

$$P(A^c) = 1 - P(A), A \in \mathcal{F}$$

•

$$A_i, 1 \leq i < \infty \in \mathcal{F}, A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset,$$

Then

$$P(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

**What have we learned since Greeks?**

- 1) Can't define length or area of general sets
- 2) Wonderful approximate theory.

Constructing measures

$\Omega, \mathcal{F}$  field of subsets,  $P$  probability on  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  
 $\forall E \subset \Omega$ , define

$$P^*(E) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(F_i)$$

Inf over countable collections  $\{F_i\}, F_i \in \mathcal{F}, E \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$

**Idea:** (Caratheodory)

$$M = \{A \subset \Omega : \forall E \subset \Omega, P^*(E) = P^*(A \cap E) + P^*(E \cap A^c)\}$$



**Theorem.**  $M$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $F$ ,

- $P^*$  is probability on  $M$ ,
- $P^*(F) = P(F), F \in F$ ,
- $P^*$  is unique such.

First step.

- 

$$P^*(\emptyset) = 0$$

- 

$$A \subseteq B, P^*(A) \leq P^*(B)$$

- 

$$A \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \Rightarrow P^*(A) \leq \sum_i P^*(A_i)$$

$$\text{Proof } \forall \varepsilon, \exists F_{i,h} \in F, \cup_h F_{i,h} \supseteq A_i$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} P(F_{ih}) \leq P^*(A_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$$

Note says

$$P^*(E) = P^*(A \cap E \cup A^c \cap E) \leq P^*(A \cap E) + P^*(A^c \cap E)$$

So  $A \in M \Leftrightarrow P^*(E) \geq P^*(A \cap E) + P^*(A^c \cap E)$

**Fact 1.**  $M$  is a field

- $\emptyset$
- complements
- suppose  $A, B \in M$ , show  $A \cap B \in M$

$$\begin{aligned}
P^*(E) &= P^*(B \cap E) + P^*(B^c \cap E) \\
&= P^*(A \cap B \cap E) + P^*(A^c \cap B \cap E) + P^*(A \cap B^c \cap E) + P^*(B^c \cap A^c \cap E) \\
(\text{subadditivity}) &\geq P^*(A \cap B \cap E) + P^*(A^c \cap B \cap E \cup A \cap B^c \cap E \cup B^c \cap A^c \cap E) \\
&= P^*(A \cap B \cap E) + P^*((A \cap B)^c \cap E)
\end{aligned}$$

**Fact 2.** countable additivity

$\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in M, A_m \cap A_s = \emptyset$ , everything.

$$P^*(E \cap (\cup A_i)) = \sum_n P^*(E \cap A_i)$$

*Proof.* Say  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , induction:

(trivial for  $n = 1$ )

$n = 2$ , if  $A_1 \cup A_2 = \Omega$ , correct!

If not,

$$\begin{aligned}
P^*(E \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)) &= P^*(E \cap (A_1 \cup A_2) \cap A_2) + P^*(E \cap (A_1 \cup A_2) \cap A_1^c) \\
&= P^*(E \cap A_1) + P^*(E \cap A_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Say OK for  $n - 1$ , given  $\{A_i\}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
P^*(E \cap \cup_1^n A_i) &= P^*(E \cap (\cup_1^{n-1} A_i)) + P^*(E \cap A_n) \\
&= \sum_1^n P^*(E \cap A_i) \\
P^*(E \cap \cup_1^n A_i) &\geq P^*(E \cap \cup_{i=1}^n A_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n P^*(A_i \cap E)
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Fact 3.**  $M$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $P^*$  is countably additive on  $M$ .

Say  $\{A_i\}_1^\infty \in M$ , show  $\cup_1^\infty A_i \in M$

“disjointify”:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A'_1 = A_1 \\ A'_2 = A_2 \cap (A'_1)^c \\ \dots \\ A'_n = A_n \cap (\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} A'_i)^c \end{array} \right.$$

then  $\cup_1^\infty A_i = \cup_1^\infty A'_i$

Let  $A = \cup_1^\infty A'_n, F_n = \cup_{i=1}^n A'_i \in M$

$$\begin{aligned}
P^*(E) &= P^*(E \cap F_n) + P^*(E \cap F_n^c) \\
&\geq P^*(E \cap F_n) + P^*(E \cap F_n^c) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n P^*(E \cap A'_i) + P^*(E \cap A^c)
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
P^*(E) &\geq \sum_1^\infty P^*(E \cap A'_i) + P^*(E \cap A^c) \\
&= P^*(E \cap \cup_1^\infty A'_i) + P^*(E \cap A^c) \\
&= P^*(E \cap A) + P^*(E \cap A^c)
\end{aligned}$$

and  $P^*$  add on M by fact 2.

**Fact 4.**  $F \subset M$

Take  $A \in F$ , and (given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ),  $A_i \in F$

So  $E \subset \cup_1^\infty A_i$  and

$$\sum_1^\infty P(A_i) \leq P^*(E) + \varepsilon$$

Set  $B_n = A \cap A_n$ ,  $C_n = A^c \cap A_n$

$$\begin{aligned}
E \cap A &\subset \cup_1^\infty B_n, E \cap A^c \subset \cup_1^\infty C_n \\
P^*(A \cap E) + P^*(A^c \cap E) &\leq \sum_n P(B_n) + P(C_n) \\
&= \sum_n P(A_n) \leq P^*(E) + \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

**Fact 5.**  $P^*(F) = P(F)$ ,  $F \in F$ ,

*Proof.*  $P^*(F) \leq P(F)$

If  $F \subset \cup_1^\infty F_n$ ,  $F_n \in F$

$$P(F) \leq \sum_i P(F \cap F_i) \leq \sum_1^\infty P(F_i)$$

$P^*(F) \geq P(F)$ :

Special case:

$$\Omega = (0, 1]$$

$\mathcal{F}$  = disjoint unions of finite intervals.

$$P(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i]) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (b_i - a_i)$$

Need unhappily to show that if  
 $I = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i]$ , then

$$P(I) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (b_i - a_i)$$

We show

$$a) \cup_1^{\infty} I_i \subset I \Rightarrow \sum (b_i - a_i) \leq (b - a)$$

$$b) I \subset \cup_1^{\infty} I_i \Rightarrow (b - a) \leq \sum_1^{\infty} (b_i - a_i)$$

*Proof* of a): Say  $(a_i, b_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$ , disjoint.

$\cup_1^n (a_i, b_i] \subset (a, b]$ , show  $\sum_1^n (b_i - a_i) \leq (b - a)$

Induction on  $n$ .

Say ok for  $n - 1$ ,

$(a(a_n, b_n]b]$  has  $a_n \geq a_i$

Therefore,  $\cup_1^{n-1} (a_i, b_k) \subset (a, a_n]$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n (b_i - a_i) &\leq (a_n - a) + b_n - a_n \\ &\leq b_n - a \\ &\leq b - a \end{aligned}$$

let  $n \rightarrow \infty$

*Proof* of b):  $(a, b] \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i]$ .

Pick  $\varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon < b - a$

$$[a + \varepsilon, b] \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i})$$

By **Heine-Borel Theorem**,  $\exists n$ ,

$$[a + \varepsilon, b] \subset \cup_1^n (a_i, b_i + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i})$$

$$(b - a - \varepsilon) \leq \sum_1^{\infty} (b_i - a_i) + \varepsilon$$

$$\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, b - a \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (b_i - a_i)$$

So

$\exists$  probability on  $M$  extending length on  $\mathcal{F}$

$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq M$ .

$M$  is a class of Lebesgue measure sets.

**Definition.** A collection of sets (in  $\Omega$ ) is a  $\pi$ -system if closed under finite intersections.

**Definition** A collection of sets is a  $\lambda$ -system, if

- $\emptyset \in L$
- Closed under complements
- $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in L_1$  and  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  All  $i \neq j$   
 $\cup_1^{\infty} A_i \in L$

**Example.**  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$L = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}\}$$

a)  $L$   $\lambda$ -system.  $A, B \in L, A \subseteq B$  then,  $B \setminus A = B \cap A^c \in L$

*Proof*  $B \in L$ , so  $B^c \in L, A \in L, A \cup B^c \in L$ . So,  $(A \cup B^c)^c = B \cap A^c \in L$

b)  $L$  is both  $\lambda$ -system and  $\pi$ -system, then  $L$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra.

*Proof*  $A, B \in L, (A \setminus (A \cap B)) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \setminus (A \cap B)) = A \cup B \in L$

Given  $\{A_n\}_1^{\infty} \in L$ , make  $A'_n$  disjoint.

$$\cup_1^{\infty} A_i = \cup_1^{\infty} A'_i \in L$$

**Theorem** ( $\pi - \lambda$ ) Let  $P$  be  $\pi$  system,  $L$  be  $\lambda$  system,  $P \subseteq L$ , then  $\sigma(P) \subseteq L$ .

*Proof* Let  $L_0$  = smallest  $\lambda$  system containing  $P$ .

We show  $L_0$  is  $\pi$ -system, so  $\sigma(P) \subseteq L_0 \subseteq L$ .

$A \in P$ , let  $L_A = \{B \subseteq \Omega : A \cap B \in L_0\}$ .

**Claim**  $L_A$  is  $\lambda$ -system

- $\Omega \in L_A$
- say  $B \in L_A, A \cap B \in L_0, A \setminus (A \cap B) \in L_0$   
e.g.  $A \cap (A^c \cup B^c) = A \cap B^c \in L_0$ , so  $B^c \in L_A$ .
- $B_i$  disjoint in  $L_A, B_i \cap A \in L_0, (\cup B_i) \cap A = \cup_1^\infty (B_i \cap A) \in L_0$   
so  $\cup B_i \in L_A$ .

**Claim.**  $A \in P, L_0 \subseteq L_A$

Take  $B \in P, A \cap B \in P$

$$P \subseteq L_A \rightarrow L_0 \subseteq L_A$$

Take  $B \in L_0$  claim  $L_0 \subseteq L_B$

*Proof* If  $A \in P, B \in L_A$ , so  $A \cap B \in L_0$ , so  $A \in L_B$ .

$P \subseteq L_B \rightarrow L_0 \subseteq L_B$

Finally,  $B, C \in L_0, B \in L_0, C \in L_B$ , e.g.  $B \cap C \in L_0$

So  $L_0$  is a  $\pi$ -system,  $L_0$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $L$ .

$\sigma(P) \subseteq L_0 \subseteq L$

**Corollary.**

If two probability measures  $P, Q$  agree on  $\pi$ -system  $P$ , then they agree on  $\sigma(P)$

*Proof* Let  $L = \{A : P(A) = Q(A)\}$

This is a  $\lambda$ -system if  $P(A_i) = Q(A_i), 1 \leq i \leq 2$

$A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ , then

$$P(\cup_1^\infty A_i) = Q(\cup_1^\infty A_i)$$

$\Rightarrow \sigma(P) \subset L$

So unique in Caratheodory,

If  $P(F) = Q(F), F \in \mathcal{F}, P^*(A) = P^*(A), A \in M$

*Proof*  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\pi$ -system.

**Independence.**

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  probability space,

**Definition.**  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  independent

$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$ .

**Definition.**  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  independent if any finite number of intersection:

$$P(A_{n_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{n_m}) = \prod_{j=1}^m P(A_{n_j})$$

**Example.**  $\Omega = (0, 1], \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i(\omega)}{2^i}$ ,  
 $A_i = \{d_i = 0\}$ ,  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  are independent,  
let  $Q_i = \sigma(A_i) = \{\emptyset, \Omega, Q_i = 0, Q_i = 1\}$

$$Q_{odd} = \sigma(Q_{2i+1}, 0 \leq i < \infty)$$

$$Q_{even} = \sigma(Q_{2i}, 1 \leq i < \infty)$$

$Q_{odd}$  and  $Q_{even}$  are independent, how to prove?

**Proposition.**  $(\Omega, F, P)$  probability space.

$Q_i, 1 \leq i \leq I$  be independent  $\pi$ -system, then

$\sigma(Q_i), 1 \leq i \leq I$  are independent.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_i = Q_i \cup \Omega$ ,

still  $\pi$ -system, still  $\mathcal{B}_i$  independent.

Let  $L_1 = \{B_1 \in \Omega : \forall B_j \subseteq \mathcal{B}_j, 0 \leq j \leq I, P(B_1 \cap B_2 \dots \cap B_I) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} P(B_i)\}$

$\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq L_1$ .

$L_1$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.

- $\Omega \in L_1$ .
- $B \in L_1$ , then  $B^c \in L_1$
- closed under disjoint unions.

So  $\sigma(B_1) \subseteq L_1$ .

Now have independence

$\sigma(B_1), \sigma(B_2), \dots, \sigma(B_I)$  so  $\sigma(B_1), \sigma(B_2), \dots, \sigma(B_I)$  independent, done.

**Theorem** (Borel-Cantelli)

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{F}$

1) if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty$

then  $P\{A_i \text{ i.o.}\} = 0$

2) if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = \infty$ , and  $A_i$  independent, then  $P(A_i \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ .

**Definition.**  $A_n \text{ i.o.} = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m = \{\omega \text{ with } A_i \text{ occurring infinitely often}\}$

*Proof* 1) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $N$ ,

$$\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \varepsilon$$

$$P(A_i \text{ i.o.}) \leq P(\cup_{i=N}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_N^{\infty} P(A_i) < \varepsilon$$

2) Use  $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ , all  $x > 0$

study  $P((A_n \text{ i.o.})^c) = P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i^c)$ .

Now  $P(\cap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i^c) \leq P(\cap_{i=n}^N A_i^c) = \prod_{i=n}^N P(A_i^c) = \prod_{i=n}^N (1 - P(A_i)) \leq e^{-\sum_{i=n}^N P(A_i)}$

Let  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , R.H.S. = 0

so  $P(\cap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i^c) = 0$

so  $P((A_n \text{ i.o.})^c) = 0 \Rightarrow P(A_i \text{ i.o.}) = 1$

Back to  $\Omega = (0, 1]$ ,  $\omega = \sum \frac{d_n}{2^n}$ ,  $\Omega_i(\omega) = 2d_i(\omega) - 1$

Strong law showed  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$ ,

$$\lim \frac{S_n}{n} = 0, a.s.$$

Recall  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\overline{\lim} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \geq n} x_i$$

$$\underline{\lim} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{i \geq n} x_i$$

$x_n$  has a limit  $\Leftrightarrow \overline{\lim} x_n = \underline{\lim} x_n$

**Fact.** with probability 1,  $\overline{\lim} S_n = +\infty$ ,  $\underline{\lim} S_n = -\infty$ .

and also  $\lim \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} = \infty$ ,  $\underline{\lim} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} = -\infty$ .

What about  $\frac{S_n}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}$ ?

Hardy showed  $\lim \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n} \log n} = 0$

**Theorem** (Kinchine's Law of Iterated Logarithm)  $\overline{\lim} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = 1$ ,  $\underline{\lim} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = -1$

*Proof* Setup.  $L = \{\omega : \overline{\lim} \frac{S_n}{g(n)} = 1\}$

$$g(n) = \sqrt{2n \log \log n}$$



$$L = (\cap_{c \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}} L_c) \cap \cap_{c \in (1,\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}} L_c^c$$

$$L_c = \{\omega : S_n > cg(n) \text{ i.o.}\}.$$

We show

•

$$\forall c < 1, P(L_c) = 1, (*)$$

•

$$\forall c > 1, P(L_c) = 0. (**)$$

We know  $P\{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} > a_n\} \leq e^{-\frac{a_n^2}{2}(1+o(1))}$

Large Deviations.

We prove (\*), (\*\*) by Borel-Cantelli.

For us,  $a_n = (1 + \varepsilon)\sqrt{2 \log \log n}$

We choose  $n = \lceil \theta^k \rceil, \theta > 1$ .

Get

$$\sum \frac{1}{k^{1+\varepsilon}} = \begin{cases} < \infty & \varepsilon > 0 \\ = \infty & \varepsilon < 0 \end{cases}$$

Problems:

- Only have on subsequence (Interpolation)
- Fix non-independence.

Why now?

- Illustrate Borel-Cantelli in non-trivial way.
- We meet

• Large deviations

• Max inequality

• Interpolation

c) Great theorem.

**Step 1.** maximum inequality

$$S_0 = 0, m_n = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_k$$

**Proposition.**  $\forall$  integer  $c > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P\{m_n \geq c\} &= P\{S_n \geq c\} + P\{S_n > c\} \\ &\leq 2P\{S_n \geq c\} \text{ (Kolmogorov's inequality)} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof*

$$\begin{aligned} P\{m_n \geq c\} &= P\{m_n \geq c, S_n \geq c\} + P\{m_n \geq c, S_n < c\} \\ &= I + II \end{aligned}$$

$$I = P\{S_n \geq c\}$$

For II, break space

At first time  $j$ , that  $S_j = c$ .

$$\begin{aligned} F_j &= \{\omega : S_1 < c, S_2 < c, \dots, S_j = c\} \\ P\{m_n \geq c, S_n < c\} &= \sum_j P\{m_n \geq c \text{ and } F_j\} \\ &= \sum_j P\{F_j, S_n - S_j < 0\} \\ &= \sum_j P\{F_j\} P\{S_n - S_j < 0\} \\ &= \sum_j P\{F_j\} P\{S_n - S_j > 0\} \\ &= \sum_j P\{F_j \text{ and } S_n - S_j > 0\} \\ &= P\{S_n > c\} \end{aligned}$$

**Step 2.**  $P\{L_c\} = 0, c > 1, L_c = \{\omega : S_n > cg(n) \text{ i.o.}\}$

Note  $\sum P(S_n > cg(n)) \leq \sum \frac{1}{(\log n)^2} = \infty$

So Borel-Cantelli doesn't work, use subsequence:

$$3 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots, g(n) \uparrow \infty$$

$$\{\omega : S_n(\omega) \geq cg(n), \text{ some } n; n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k\} \subseteq \{\omega : m_{n_k} > cg(n_{k-1})\} \triangleq A_k$$

$$L_c \subseteq A_k, \text{ i.o.}$$

O.K.

$$\begin{aligned}
P\{A_k\} &= P\{\omega : m_{n_k} > cg(n_{k-1})\} \\
&\leq 2P\{\omega : S_{n_k} \geq cg(n_{k-1})\} \\
&\leq 2e^{-c^2 \frac{g^2(n_{k-1})}{2n_k} (1+o(1))} (L.D.) \\
&= 2e^{-c^2 \frac{n_{k-1}}{n_k} \log \log(n_{k-1}) (1+o(1))} \\
&= 2 \frac{1}{(\log n_{k-1})^{c^2 \frac{n_{k-1}}{n_k}}}
\end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\theta \in (1, c^2)$

Set  $n_k = \lceil \theta^k \rceil \uparrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
P\{A_k\} &\leq 2 \frac{1}{(k \log \theta)^{(1+o(1)) \frac{c^2}{\theta}}} \\
\sum P(A_k) &< \infty, \frac{c^2}{\theta} > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Done.

**Step 3.**  $P(L_c) = 1, 0 < c < 1$

Given  $c < 1$ , let  $\gamma = \frac{c+1}{2}, \varepsilon = \frac{c+1}{2} - c$

**Claim.**  $L_c \supseteq \{\omega : S_{n_k} \geq cg(n_k), \text{ i.o.}\} \supseteq \{A_k \cap B_k, \text{ i.o.}\}$

$$A_k = \{\omega : |S_{n_{k-1}}| \leq \varepsilon g(n_k)\}$$

$$B_k = \{\omega : S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}} \geq \gamma g(n_k)\}$$

*Proof*  $\omega \in A_n \cap B_n, S_{n_k}(\omega) = S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}} + S_{n_{k-1}} \geq ((c + \varepsilon) - \varepsilon)g(n_k) = cg(n_k)$

Next.  $\{A_n \cap B_n, \text{ i.o.}\} \supset \{A_n \text{ all large } n\} \cap \{B_n \text{ i.o.}\}$

We show both pieces have probability 1.

For  $A_k$ ,

$$\frac{S_{n_{k-1}}}{g(n_k)} = \frac{S_{n_{k-1}}}{g(n_{k-1})} \frac{g(n_{k-1})}{g(n_k)} \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

From step 2.  $|S_{n_{k-1}}| \leq 2g(n_{k-1})$  has probability 1.

For all large  $n$ , we will choose  $n_k \uparrow \infty, \frac{n_k}{n_{k-1}} \rightarrow \infty$

For  $B_k$ , they are independent.

Show  $\sum P(B_k) = \infty$ , so  $B_k \text{ i.o.}$  with probability 1.

$$S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}} \stackrel{L}{=} S_{n_k - n_{k-1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P\{B_{n_k}\} &= P\{\omega : S_{n_k - n_{k-1}} \geq \gamma g(n_k)\} \\ &\leq e^{-(1+o(1))\gamma^2 g^2(n_k)/2(n_k - n_{k-1})} \\ &= e^{-(1+o(1))\gamma^2 (\log \log n_k) \frac{n_k}{n_k - n_{k-1}}}, \frac{n_k}{n_{k-1}} \uparrow \infty \\ &= \frac{1}{(\log n_k)(1+o(1))\gamma^2} \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\theta \in (1, \frac{1}{\gamma^2})$ , set  $n_k = e^{k^\theta}$

$$\sum_k \frac{1}{k^{(1+o(1))\gamma^2\theta}} = \infty$$

$$\gamma^2\theta < 1$$

Remarks.

i) We proved for  $\pm 1$  probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Same theorem true for any mean 0, variance  $\sigma^2$ , independent

$$\overline{\lim} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2 n \log \log n}} = 1$$

e.g. if  $P(X_n = 1) = p, P(X_n = -1) = q$

$$\overline{\lim} \frac{S_n - n(p - q)}{\sqrt{2pqn \log \log n}} = 1$$

ii) Erdős proved coin tossing

$$\begin{aligned} P\left\{\frac{S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} > d(n) \text{ i.o.}\right\} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \sum \frac{d(n)}{n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}d(n)^2} < \infty \\ 1 & \sum \frac{d(n)}{n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}d(n)^2} = \infty \end{cases} \\ \Rightarrow \overline{\lim} \left( \frac{S_n - n(p - q)}{\sqrt{npq}} - \sqrt{2 \log \log n} \right) \frac{\sqrt{2 \log \log n}}{\log \log \log n} &= \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

iii) Ordinary LIL.

If  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} > (1 + \varepsilon)$$

Only finitely often.

Can look at distribution of last time it's  $> 1 + \varepsilon$

iv) In statistical testing problems

people look at  $\{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} > .96\}$

If so LIL shows wait long enough sure of success.

$$:) \log \log 10^{100} = 5.43$$

### Distribution functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$

$d = 1$ , say  $\mu$  is probability on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Define  $F(x) = \mu(-\infty, x]$

Note  $(-\infty, x]$  is  $\pi$ -system.

So  $F(x)$  determines  $\mu$ .

•

$$F(-\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$$

•

$$F(\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1$$

•

$$F(x) \leq F(y), x \leq y$$

•

$$x_n \downarrow x, F(x_n) = \mu(-\infty, x_n] \rightarrow \mu(-\infty, x] = F(x).$$

### Example.

$$\mu(A) = \delta_0(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \in A \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Converse if  $F(x)$  satisfies the four conditions, then exists unique

$$\mu(x, y] = F(y) - F(x)$$

Right continuity

$$d = 2, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$\mu$  probability on  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$F(x) = \mu(A_x)$$

$$A_x = \{y_1, y_2 : y_i \leq x_i, i = 1, 2\}$$

This satisfies the four conditions, but not enough!

BOX:  $w, x, \xi, y$

$$F(\text{BOX}) = F(A_x) - F(A_w) - F(A_y) + F(A_\xi)$$

Need this  $\geq 0, \forall \text{ Box}$ .

H.W.Problem. Let  $F(x), G(y)$  be distribution functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, y) &= F(x) \wedge G(y) \\ L(x, y) &= (F(x) + G(y) - 1)_+ \end{aligned}$$

show these are bivariate distribution functions with margins:

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, \infty) &= F(x) \\ U(\infty, y) &= G(y) \\ L(x, \infty) &= F(x) \\ L(\infty, y) &= G(y) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\forall H(x, y)$  distribution function with margins  $F(x), G(y)$

$$L(x, y) \leq H(x, y) \leq U(x, y).$$

Correlations are extremal.

Application make multivariate distributions without needing normalized constraint:

$$d = 2, F_1(x)F_2(y)F_3(x+y) = A(x, y)$$

General d.

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : a_i < x \leq b_i\}$$

Vertices are  $V, V_i$  coordinates are  $a_i, b_i$

$$\text{sgn}(V) = (-1)^i, i = \# \text{ of times } a_i \text{ appears.}$$

e.g.  $n = 2, \text{sgn}(a_1, b_2) = -1$

$F(x)$  is real function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$\Delta_A F = \sum_V \text{sgn}(V) F(V)$$

$$d = 1 : \Delta_A F = F(b) - F(a)$$

**Theorem.** Suppose  $F(x)$  is continuous from above,

$\Delta_A F \geq 0, \forall$  bounded rectangle:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1$$

Then  $\exists$  unique probability  $\mu$  on Borel sets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mu(A) = \Delta_A(F)$$

$\infty$  measures

say  $\Omega$  set,  $\mathcal{F} : \sigma$ -algebra of subsets.

A measure is

$$\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

- 

$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

- 

$$A \subseteq B, \mu(A) \leq \mu(B) \text{ (monotonic)}$$

- 

$$\{A_i\} \text{ disjoint in } \mathcal{F}, \mu(\cup_1^\infty A_i) = \sum_1^\infty \mu(A_i) \text{ (countable additivity)}$$

Why?

- When we write

$$\mu(0, x] = \int_0^x e^{-y} dy$$

“ $\mu y$ ” is lebesgue on  $\mathbb{R}$

- Free (did all work)

- Calderon

Many of theorems same.

**Example.** If  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, A_i \uparrow A$

$$\mu(A) = \lim_i \mu(A_i)$$

*Proof.*  $B_i = A_i \setminus A_{i-1}$

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\cup_1^\infty B_i) = \sum_1^\infty \mu(B_i) = \lim \sum_1^n \mu(B_i) = \lim \mu(A_n)$$

Watch it. For probabilities,

$$A \subseteq B, P(B \setminus A) = P(B) - P(A)$$

and  $A_n \downarrow A, P(A) = \lim P(A_i)$

But for example length on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$A_n = (-\infty, -n], A_n \downarrow \emptyset$$

$$\lambda(A_n) = \infty, \forall n, \text{ not } \lambda(\emptyset) = 0$$

**Definition.**  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if

$$\exists B_i \in \mathcal{F}, \cup_1^\infty B_i = \Omega, \text{ and } \mu(B_i) < \infty \text{ all } i.$$

**Example.**  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $\sigma$  finite.

**Example.** On  $(0, 1]$ ,  $\mu(A) = \#\{\text{points in } A\}$  not  $\sigma$ -finite

Uniqueness  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}), \mu_1, \mu_2$  measures on  $\mathcal{F}$ , say  $P$  is a  $\pi$ -system in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and

$$\exists B_i \in P, \cup_1^\infty B_i = \Omega, \mu_i(B_j) < \infty, \text{ all } i, j$$

**Theorem.** If  $\mu_1(B) = \mu_2(B), B \in P$ , then

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 \text{ on } \sigma(P)$$

*Proof.* Pick  $F \in P, \mu_1(F) = \mu_2(F) < \infty, \nu_i(A) = \mu_i(A \cap F), i = 1, 2$   
 $\nu_1 = \nu_2$  on  $P$ , and if

$$L = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \nu_1(A) = \nu_2(A)\}$$

$\lambda$ -system,  $\pi - \lambda$  says:  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$  on  $\sigma(P)$

If  $\Omega = \cup_{i=1}^\infty B_i, B_i \in P$

$$\mu_1(B_j) = \mu_2(B_j) < \infty$$



Let  $A_1 = B_1, A_2 = B_2 \setminus A_1, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1(A) &= \mu_1(A \cap \cup A_i) \\ &= \sum \mu_1(A \cap A_i) \\ &= \sum \mu_2(A \cap A_i) \\ &= \mu_2(A)\end{aligned}$$

**Example.** On  $(0, 1]$ ,  $P = \{\emptyset\}$

$$\mu_1(\emptyset) = 0, \mu_1(\Omega) = \infty$$

$$\mu_2(\emptyset) = 0, \mu_2(\Omega) = 1$$

agree on  $P$ , not on  $\sigma(P)$

### Outer measure

$\Omega$  any set

$\mathcal{Q}$  any collection of subsets.

$\mu : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  any set function. ( $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ )

**Definition.** Any  $F \subseteq \Omega$ ,

$$\mu^*(F) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$$

Inf over coverings of  $F$  by sets in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

$F \subseteq \cup A_i$ , and  $\infty$  if no such cover.

**Definition.** An outer measure on  $\Omega$  is a function  $\mu^*$  all subsets to  $[0, \infty]$

•

$$\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$$

•

$$A \subseteq B, \mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$$

•

$$A \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i, \mu^*(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_i)$$

Check. Any example  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure.

For 3) If some  $A_i$  has  $\mu(A_i) = \infty$ , then done

and if all  $\mu^*(A_i) < \infty$ , then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, i, \exists \{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{Q}$

$$\sum_j \mu(A_{i,j}) \leq \mu^*(A_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$$

$\cup A_{i,j}$  cover  $A$ ,

$$\mu^*(\cup A_i) \leq_{i,j} \mu(A_{i,j}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} \leq \sum \mu^*(A_i) + \varepsilon.$$

**Example.** Hausdorff measure.

$\Omega, d$  be a metric space,

$\forall r, \varepsilon > 0$ , define  $A \subseteq \Omega$ ,

$$h_{r,\varepsilon}^*(A) = \inf c(r) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\text{diam}(B_i)}{2} \right)^r$$

Inf over coverings of  $A$  by balls of diameter  $\leq \varepsilon$

$$c(r) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{r}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{2} + 1)}$$

$$h_r^*(F) = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} h_{r,\varepsilon}^*(F)$$

(on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $r = d$ , this is  $\lambda$ )

**Measurable functions.**

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}), (\Omega', \mathcal{F}')$$

**Definition.**  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  is measurable if  $\forall A' \in \mathcal{F}', T^{-1}(A') \in \mathcal{F}$

Recall.  $T^{-1}(A') = \{\omega : T(\omega) \in A'\}$

Check.  $T^{-1}(\cup_i A'_i) = \cup_i T^{-1}(A'_i)$

$$T^{-1}(A^c) = T^{-1}(A)^c$$

$$T^{-1}(\cap A'_i) = \cap T^{-1}(A'_i)$$

$$A' \subseteq B' \Rightarrow T^{-1}(A') \subseteq T^{-1}(B')$$

**Proposition.**

i) If  $\mathcal{F}' = \sigma(\mathcal{A}')$

then  $T$  is measurable  $\Leftrightarrow T^{-1}(A') \in \mathcal{F}, \forall A' \in \mathcal{A}'$

ii)  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{T_1} (\Omega', \mathcal{F}') \xrightarrow{T_2} (\Omega'', \mathcal{F}'')$

$T_1$  and  $T_2$  are measurable  $\Rightarrow T_2 \circ T_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''$  is measurable.

*Proof* If conditions holds,

1) Let  $\mathcal{B}' = \{B' \in \Omega' : T^{-1}(B') \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . This contains  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

$\mathcal{B}'$  is closed under unions and complement, countable additivity.

2)  $(T_2 \circ T_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'') = \{\omega : T_2(T_1(\omega)) \in \mathcal{F}''\} = T_1^{-1}(T_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'')) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition.** A random variable is a real valued measurable function.

$$\forall \Omega, \mathcal{F}, \text{ and } X : \Omega \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \text{Borel}), X \text{ is measurable}$$

**Definition.** A random vector is a measurable function in  $\mathbb{R}^n(\text{Borel})$

**Theorem.**  $T : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a random vector  $\Leftrightarrow T_i(\omega)$  is a random variable.

*Proof* Say, each  $T_i$  is measurable.

$$\{\omega : T(\omega) \leq (x_1, \dots, x_n)\} = \cap_{i=1}^n \{\omega : T_i(\omega) \leq x_i\}$$

If  $T$  is measurable,

$$\{\omega : T_i(\omega) \leq x\} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \{\omega : T(\omega) \leq (n, \dots, x, n, \dots, n)\}$$

**Theorem.** If  $T : \mathbb{R}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^b$  is continuous, then  $T$  is measurable.

*Proof.*  $T$  continuous  $\Leftrightarrow \forall$  closed set  $B \in \mathbb{R}^b$ ,  $T^{-1}(B)$  is closed.

So  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(x, y) \rightarrow x + y$ ,  $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$ ,  $(x, y) \rightarrow \min(\max)(x, y)$  are measurable.

So if  $X_1, X_2$  are random variables,  $X_1 + X_2, X_1/X_2, X_1 \cap X_2, X_1 \cup X_2$  are random variables.

$$\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

So if  $X_i, 1 \leq i < \infty$  are random variables, then

$$\{\sup(X_i) \leq x\} = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{\omega : X_i \leq x\}$$

So  $\sup$  measurable, so  $\overline{\lim} X_i$  is measurable.

So  $\underline{\lim} X_n$  is measurable, so  $\{\omega : \lim X_n \exists\}$  is measurable.

**Example.** Not everything is measurable.

“Lebesgue mistake”

Let  $A$  be a Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\pi(A)$  need not be measurable.

New measures from old.

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  measure space.  $T : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\Omega', \mathcal{F}')$  measurable.

Define  $\mu^{T^{-1}}(B') = \mu(T^{-1}(B'))$

Check  $\mu^{T^{-1}}$  is a measure.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^{T^{-1}}(\emptyset) &= \mu\{\omega : T(\omega) \in \emptyset\} = \mu(\emptyset) = 0 \\ \mu^{T^{-1}}(\cup A_i) &= \mu(T^{-1}(\cup A_i)) = \mu(\cup T^{-1}(A_i))\end{aligned}$$

**Example.** Haar measure on  $O_n$

$$O_n = \{M : n \times n \text{ real } MM^T = I\}$$

**Fact**  $\exists$  a probability  $\lambda$  on  $O_n$

$$\lambda(MA) = \lambda(A), \forall M \in O_n, A \subseteq O_n$$

How to pick  $M \in O_n$  from haar measure?

Let  $Z_{i,j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$  be independent standard normal random variables.

Let  $A_{i,j} = Z_{i,j}, A \xrightarrow{C.S.} M$  this is Haar new  $\sigma$ -algebra from old.

If  $X_i, 1 \leq i < I$  are random variables.

$\sigma(\{X_i\}_{i \leq I})$  is a  $\sigma$  algebra generated by  $\{\omega : X_i \leq r_j\}$ .

$\{X_i\}_{i \in I}, \{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$  are random variables, they are independent if  $\sigma(\{X_i\}_{i \in I})$  independent of  $\sigma(\{Y_j\}_{j \in J})$

**Example.** Let  $X_i, 1 \leq i < \infty$  be independent uniform random variables.

Find distribution of  $M_n = \max(X_i)$

$X_i$  is uniform if  $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow (0, 1]$  has  $P^{x_i^{-1}}(A) = \lambda(A)$

$$P(M_n \leq x) = P(\max X_n \leq x) = P(X_1 \leq x)^n = x^n$$

Let's approximate for understanding

$$P(M_n \leq 1 - \frac{c}{n}) = (1 - \frac{c}{n})^n \sim e^{-c}$$

**Example.** Let  $X_n$  be independent standard normal random variables.

$$P(X_i \leq x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dt = \Phi(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}P(M_n \leq x) &= P(X_i \leq x, 1 \leq i \leq n)(*) \\ &= \Phi(x)^n \\ &= e^{n \log \Phi(x)} \\ &= e^{n \log (1 - (1 - \Phi(x)))}\end{aligned}$$

H.W. show that  $\forall x > 0$ ,

$$\frac{xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(1+x^2)} \leq \int_x^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dt \leq \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}x}$$

So  $1 - \Phi(x) \sim (x \text{ large}) \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}x}$

$$\star = e^{-n \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}x}}$$

take  $x = \sqrt{2 \log n - \log \log n + C}$

$$\star \sim e^{-n \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(2 \log n - \log \log n + C)}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{2 \log n}}} = e^{-\frac{e^{-C/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}}$$

Double exponential.

**Theorem.**  $P\{M_n \leq \sqrt{2 \log n - \log \log n + C}\} \sim e^{-\frac{e^{-C/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}}$

Whats  $(\Omega, F)$  and where is?

We build this, recall  $\Omega = (0, 1]$  Borel  $\lambda$

We use this, We have  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{d_i(\omega)}{2^i}$ ,  $d_i \in \{0, 1\}$

$d_i$  are random variables.

We said  $\{d_{2i}\}$  and  $\{d_{2i+1}\}$  are independent, therefore,

$$u_0 = \sum_1^\infty \frac{d_{2i}(\omega)}{2^i}$$

and

$$u_1 = \sum_1^\infty \frac{d_{2i+1}(\omega)}{2^i}$$

and both uniform.

Observe. If  $F(x)$  is a distribution function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and say  $F$  continuous strictly increasing. Then  $F^{-1}$  exists,

$F(F^{-1}(x)) = x$ ,  $U$  is uniform.

$$P(F^{-1}(U) \leq x) = P(u \leq F(x)) = F(x)$$

$$X(\omega) = F^{-1}(\omega) \text{ distributes as } F(x)$$

**Example.** Let  $X_1, \dots$  be i.i.d. standard exponential random variables.

$$P(X_i \geq x) = e^{-x}$$

Take  $T(y) = -\log y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(T(U) > x) &= P(-x > \log U) \\ &= P(e^{-x} > U) \\ &= e^{-x} \end{aligned}$$

### Integration(Expectation)

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, M)$  measure space

$f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  measurable.

Want to define  $\int f d\mu$

Why. In probability  $\mu dx$  lebesgue.

On  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f$  probability density.

Our  $\int$  is "better" than Riemann. ( $L^2$  is complete)

Here  $f$  allowed to be  $\pm\infty$ , convention

$$0 \cdot \infty = 0$$

$\infty - \infty$  not defined.

Use step functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  taking only finitely many values.

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \delta_{A_i}(\omega), A_i = \{\omega : f(\omega) = x_i\}$$

$\pm\infty$  allowed.

**Fact.**  $\forall$  measurable  $f, \exists$  step functions  $f_n$  s.t.

$$f_n(\omega) \geq 0, 0 \leq f_n(\omega) \uparrow f(\omega)$$

or

$$f(\omega) < 0, f_n \downarrow f(\omega)$$

*Proof*

$$f_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} -n & \text{If } -\infty \leq f(\omega) \leq -n \\ -\frac{h-1}{2^n} & \text{If } -\frac{h}{2^n} < f(\omega) \leq -\frac{h-1}{2^n}, 1 \leq h \leq n2^n \\ \frac{h-1}{2^n} & \text{If } \frac{h-1}{2^n} \leq f(\omega) < \frac{h}{2^n}, 1 \leq h \leq n2^n \\ n & \text{If } n \leq f(\omega) \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

**Definition.** for  $f \geq 0$  All  $\omega$ .

$$\int f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^N \inf_{\omega \in A_i} f(\omega) \delta_{A_i}(\omega)$$

$A_i$  partition of  $\Omega$ .

Write any  $f$  as  $f_+ - f_-$

$$f_+(\omega) = \max(f(\omega), 0), f_-(\omega) = \max(-f(\omega), 0)$$

**Definition**  $\int f d\mu = \int f_+ d\mu - \int f_- d\mu$ . If not  $\infty - \infty$ , else  $f$  not integrable.

**Theorem.**  $f \geq 0$  all  $\omega$ , then

1) If  $f$  is a step function,

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_1^N x_i \mu(A_i)$$

2)  $f(\omega) \leq g(\omega)$ , then

$$\int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$$

3) (Monotone Convergence Theorem)

$0 \leq f_n(\omega) \uparrow f(\omega)$  all  $\omega$ , then

$$\lim_n \int f_n d\mu = \int \lim_n f_n d\mu$$

4)  $f, g \geq 0, \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \int (\alpha f + \beta g) d\mu = \alpha \int f d\mu + \beta \int g d\mu$

*Proof*

1) Say  $f = \sum_1^n x_i \delta_{A_i}$ , Let  $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m$  decomposition.

$$\beta_j = \inf_{\omega \in B_j} f(\omega)$$

If  $A_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset, \beta_j \leq x_i$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \beta_j \mu(B_j) &= \sum_{i,j} \beta_j \mu(A_i \cap B_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} x_i \mu(A_i \cap B_j) \\ &= \sum_i x_i \mu(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\int f d\mu \leq \sum x_i \mu(A_i)$$

Other direction free.

2) Free.

3) By 2),

$$\lim \int f_n d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$$

Need  $\int f d\mu \leq \lim \int f_n d\mu$ ,

Only need  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \mu(A_i) \leq \lim_n \int f_n$

$\forall$  decomposition  $\{A_i\}, \nu_i = \inf_{\omega \in A_i} f(\omega)$

**Step 1.** Say  $S < \infty$ , each  $\nu_i, \mu(A_i) > 0, < \infty$

Choose  $\varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon < \nu_i$  all  $i$ .

Let  $A_{n,i} = \{\omega \in A_i : f_n(\omega) \geq \nu_i - \varepsilon\}$

$f_n(\omega) \uparrow f(\omega) \Rightarrow A_{n,i} \uparrow A_i$ , so  $\mu(A_{n,i}) \uparrow \mu(A_i)$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int f_n d\mu &\geq \sum_{i=1}^N (\nu_i - \varepsilon) \mu(A_{n,i}) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i \mu(A_i) - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0, \lim \int f_n d\mu \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i \mu(A_i)$ .

**Step 2**  $s < \infty$ , some  $\nu_i$  on  $\mu(A_i)$  might be 0 or  $\infty$ ,

Say for  $1 \leq i \leq m, \nu_i, \mu(A_i) > 0, < \infty$

Decompose into  $A_{m,n}$  as above.

$1 \leq i \leq m$ , and  $(\cup^m A_{n,i})^c$

**Step 3.**  $S = \infty$ , some  $i, \nu_i \mu(A_i) = \infty$

and  $\nu_i \mu(A_i) > 0$

Choose  $x, y, 0 < x < \nu_i, 0 < y < \mu(A_i)$

Let  $A_{n,i} = \{\omega \in A_i, f_n(\omega) \geq x\}$

$f_n \uparrow f, A_{n,i} \uparrow A_i = \{\omega : f(\omega) \geq x\}$

$\mu(A_{n,i}) \uparrow \mu(A_i)$

$\int f_n d\mu \geq x \mu(A_{n,i}) \uparrow xy$ . Let  $x$  or  $y \uparrow \infty$

$$\lim_n \int f_n d\mu \geq xy$$

4) Linearity.

Say  $f = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \delta_{A_i}, g = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \delta_{B_j}, \alpha, \beta \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\alpha f + \beta g) d\mu &= \sum_{i,j} (\alpha x_i + \beta y_j) \mu(A_i \cap B_j) \\ &= \alpha \sum_i x_i \mu(A_i) + \beta \sum_j y_j \mu(B_j) \end{aligned}$$

General,  $f, g \geq 0$ , Take  $f_n \uparrow f, g_n \uparrow g$

Step functions and use 3).

Remarks.

1) This generalized Riemann integral.



$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, M) = (0, 1], \lambda, f(\omega) = \delta_{\text{Rationals}}$

Riemann integral.

$\int_0^1 f d\lambda$  doesn't exist and our  $\int f d\lambda = 0$

2) But  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$  is not Lebesgue integrable but is Riemann integrable.

Airy function.  $A(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \cos(u^3 - xu) du$

3) There is an integral including both Kurzweil-Henstock integral.

American Math Monthly 1987 Page 450

**Fatou's lemma.**  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  given,

$f_n(\omega) \geq 0$ , then

$$\int \liminf f_n(\omega) d\mu \leq \liminf \int f_n d\mu$$

*Proof.* Let  $g_n(\omega) = \inf_{k \geq n} f_k(\omega) \uparrow \liminf f_n(\omega)$ .

So  $\int g_n \uparrow \int \liminf f_n d\mu$ , also

$$g_n(\omega) \leq f_n(\omega)$$

So

$$\int g_n \leq \int f_n$$

Take  $\liminf$  both sides.

$$\int \liminf f_n \leq \liminf \int f_n d\mu$$

**Example.**

1)  $\Omega = (0, 1]$ ,

$$f_n = \begin{cases} I(x > \frac{1}{2}) & n \text{ even} \\ I(x \leq \frac{1}{2}) & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

$$\liminf f_n = 0, \int f_n = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$0 = \int 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} = \liminf \int f_n$$

2) Positivity measures on  $(0, \infty)$ ,

$$f_n = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{on } (n, n+1) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$f_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$  all  $\omega$

$0 \leq -1$ ? No!

3) On  $(0, 1]$ ,

$$f_n = \begin{cases} n^2 & \text{on } (0, \frac{1}{n}) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$f_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0, \forall \omega$ , but  $\int f_n = n \uparrow \infty$

$$\int \lim \neq \lim \int$$

Useful to know

If for example  $f_n(\omega) \geq 0$ , a. e.  $\mu$ , then

$$\int \underline{\lim} f_n \leq \underline{\lim} \int f_n$$

**Example.** Fatou.  $(0, 1]$ . Let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be enumeration of rationals.

$$0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}$$

Consider  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{1}{i^2 \sqrt{|x-A_i|}}$

This is  $\infty$  all  $A_i$

But  $f(x) = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^2} \sqrt{n-A_i}$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \leq \lim_n \sum \frac{1}{i^2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{|x-A_i|} dx < \infty$$

$$\therefore f(x) \text{ is } < \infty \text{ a.e. } x.$$

**Problem** Find single  $x$ , so  $f(x) < \infty$

$$p_n = P\{m_n = a_n\}, q_n = 1 - p_n$$

$$\nu_n(a_n) = e^{-\{\log_2 n\}}$$

**Question:** What's a natural condition for two probability measure  $\mu_n, \nu_n$  to merge even if don't converge?

One idea:

Pick metric for weak convergences.

$\mu_n, \nu_n$  merge:  $d(\mu_n, \nu_n) \rightarrow 0$

OR

$\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$  merge  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\forall \text{ bounded continuous function } f, \left| \int f d\mu_n - \int f d\mu \right| \rightarrow 0$$

**Example.** Say  $\mu_n = \delta_n, \nu_n = \delta_{n+\frac{1}{n}}$

Easy to see any standard metric with  $d(\mu_n, \nu_n) \rightarrow 0$

But  $\exists f$  s.t.

$$\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow 1, \int f d\mu_n \rightarrow 0$$

See Persi's paper with Freedman. "Uniformities compatible with weak\* topology"

Last day, built (on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ )

$\int f d\mu$ , monotone  $\uparrow$ , Fatou  $\int \underline{\lim} \leq \underline{\lim} \int, f \geq 0$

**Theorem.** (Dominated convergence)

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu), f_n, g$  measurable

•

$$|f_n| \leq g, \text{ all } n$$

•

$g$  integrable

•

$$f_n(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega), n \rightarrow \infty$$

Then  $f$  is integrable and

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$$

*Proof*

$f_n^+, f_n^- \leq g$ , so  $f^* = \overline{\lim} f_n, f_* = \underline{\lim} f_n \leq g$

and  $f_n^+, f_n^-$  are integrable.

Cheap trick

$$\begin{aligned} g - f_n, g + f_n &\geq 0 \\ \int (g + f_*) &= \int \underline{\lim} (g + f_n) \\ &\leq \underline{\lim} \int (g + f_n) \\ &= \int g + \underline{\lim} \int f_n \\ \int (g - f_*) &= \int \underline{\lim} (g - f_n) \\ &\leq \underline{\lim} \int (g - f_n) \\ &= \int g - \overline{\lim} \int f_n \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\int f_* \leq \underline{\lim} \int f_n \leq \overline{\lim} \int f_n \leq \int f^*$$

But  $f_* = f^* - f$ , done.

**Change of measure** and 1, 2, 3 argument.

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu), (\Omega', \mathcal{F}')$$

$T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  is measurable.

Define  $\mu^{T^{-1}}$  on  $\mathcal{F}'$

$$\mu^{T^{-1}}(B) = \mu(T^{-1}(B))$$

**Theorem** (change of measure)

$$f : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable, } f \geq 0$$

Then

$$\int f(\omega') \mu^{T^{-1}}(d\omega') = \int f(T(\omega)) \mu(d\omega)$$

*Proof* 1, 2, 3 argument:

1) Take

$$f(\omega') = \delta_B(\omega') = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega' \in B \\ 0 & \omega' \notin B \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \delta_B(\omega') \mu^{T^{-1}}(d\omega') &= \mu(T^{-1}(B)) \\ &= \int \delta_B(T(\omega)) \mu(d\omega) \\ &= (\delta_B(T(\omega)) = \delta_{T^{-1}(B)}(\omega)) \end{aligned}$$

2) If OK for  $f_1, f_2$ , then OK for  $f_1 + f_2$ .

3)  $\forall f \geq 0, \exists f_n \uparrow f, f_n$  step functions.

$$\begin{aligned} \int f \mu^{T^{-1}} &= \int \lim f_n \mu^{T^{-1}} \\ &= \lim \int f_n \mu^{T^{-1}} \\ &= \lim \int f_n(T(\omega)) \mu(d\omega) \\ &= \int f(T(\omega)) \mu(d\omega) \end{aligned}$$

**Example.**  $\Omega = \{\{0, 1\}^n\}$ ,  $\mu(\omega) = \frac{1}{2^n}$

$$\Omega' = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

$$T(\omega) = \sum_1^n \omega_i$$

$$\mu^{T^{-1}}(j) = \frac{\binom{n}{j}}{2^n}$$

Take  $f(j) = j^2$ .

Theorem says

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{j^2 \binom{n}{j}}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n} (\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n)^2$$

**Product spaces and Fubini,**

Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  be sets.

$$(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) : \omega_i \in \Omega_i\}$$

If  $A \subseteq \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$

Section  $A_{\omega_1} = \{\omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A\}$

$f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  any function,

$$f_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) = f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

:) sections are great

•

$$(\cup_{i \in I} A_i)_{\omega_1} = \cup_i A_{i\omega_1}$$

•

$$(\cap A_i)_{\omega_1} = \cap A_{i\omega_1}$$

•

$$(A_{\omega_1})^c = (A^c)_{\omega_1}$$

**Definition.** Say  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1), (\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$

A rectangle  $A = A_1 \times A_2 = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2), \omega_i \in A_i\}$

measurable rectangle if  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$

**Definition.**  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 = \sigma$ -algebra containing measurable rectangles.

## Sectioning Lemma

•

$A \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ , then  $A_{\omega_1}$  and  $A_{\omega_2}$  are  $\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_1$  measurable  $\forall \omega_1, \omega_2$

•

If  $f : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable, then  $f_{\omega_1}, f_{\omega_2}$  are  $\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_1$  measurable.

*Proof*

1) True for  $A = A_1 \times A_2$

$$A_{\omega_1} = \begin{cases} A_2 & \text{if } \omega_1 \in A_1 \\ \emptyset & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{A \text{ so true}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  contains rectangles.

$\mathcal{S}$  closed under unions and complements and so  $\mathcal{S} \supseteq \sigma\{\text{rectangle}\}$

2) Look at  $\{f : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ so that 2) holds}\}$ ,

1. This contains  $\delta_{A_1 \times A_2}$
2.  $f_1, f_2$  OK,  $f_1 + f_2$  OK.
3. Monotone limits.

**Warning** converse fails:

If  $A_{\omega_1}, A_{\omega_2}$  measurable, doesn't  $\Rightarrow A$  is measurable.

**Example.**  $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = (0, 1], \mathcal{F}_i = \{A : A \text{ is countable or cocountable}\}$  in  $(0, 1]^2$ , w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ .

Diagonal:  $A_{\omega_1}, A_{\omega_2}$  a point sets.

$A \notin \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$

$(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1), (\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$

**Definition** A kernel  $K(\omega_1, d\omega_2)$  is a function  $K : \Omega_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , such that

•

$\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_2, \omega \rightarrow K(\omega, A)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$  measurable

•

$\forall \omega_1, K(\omega_1, \cdot)$  is probability on  $\mathcal{F}_2$

### Example

- $\Omega_1$  is a “parameter space”  
 $\{P_\theta(dx)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is a kernel.  
 Let  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$\Omega_1 = \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty]$$

$$K(\nu, \sigma, A) = \int_A \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} dx$$

•

$$K(\omega_1, A) = \mu(A) \text{ some fixed } \mu$$

•

$$\Omega_1 = \Omega_2, K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \text{ is a Markov chain}$$

**Aim** For  $\pi$  probability on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , want to define  $\pi K$  on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ . Pick  $\omega_1$  from  $\pi$  and then  $\omega_2$  from  $K(\omega_1, \cdot)$

**Lemma** Given  $K$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$  measurable, the map  $\omega_1 \mapsto K(\omega_1, A_{\omega_1})$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$  measurable.

*Proof* Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be  $\{A \subseteq \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \text{ such that true}\}$

•

$$\mathcal{S} \text{ contains } A_1 \times A_2$$

$$\text{Because } K(\omega_1, (A_1 \times A_2)_{\omega_1}) = \delta_{A_1^c}(\omega_1) K(\omega_1, A_2)$$

(Recall rectangles are  $\pi$ -system)

- $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system

$$K(\omega_1, (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)_{\omega_1}) = K(\omega_1, \Omega_2) \text{ is measurable}$$

If  $A \in \mathcal{S}$

$$K(\omega_1, (A_{\omega_1})^c) = K(\omega_1, (A^c)_{\omega_1}) = 1 - K(\omega_1, A_{\omega_1}) \text{ is measurable}$$

If  $\{A_i\}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  disjoint

$$K(\omega_1, (\cup_1^\infty A_i)_{\omega_1}) = K(\omega_1, \cup A_{i\omega_1}) = \sum K(\omega_1, (A_i|_{\omega_1}))$$

$\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system containing  $\pi$ -system of rectangles,  $\mathcal{S} \supseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$

**Definition** Given  $\pi$  on  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ ,  $K : \Omega_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,

$$\pi K(A) = \int_{\Omega_1} K(\omega_1, A_{\omega_1}) \pi(d\omega_1)$$

**Theorem** This is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$

•

$$A = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \int K(\omega_1, \Omega_2) \pi(d\omega_1) = 1$$

•

$$\pi K(A^c) = 1 - \pi K(A)$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} & \{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{ disjoint in } \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 \\ \pi K(\cup A_i) &= \int K(\omega_1, (\cup A_i)_{\omega_1}) \pi(d\omega_1) \\ &= \int \sum_1^{\infty} K(\omega_1, A_{i\omega_1}) \pi(d\omega_1) \\ &= \sum \pi K(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem** (Fubini for kernels) Given  $\pi K$  as above:

- $\pi K$  is the unique probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$

$$\text{such that } \pi K(A_1 \times A_2) = \int_{A_1} K(\omega_1, A_2) \pi(d\omega_1)$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall f : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty] \text{ measurable} \\ \omega_1 \mapsto \int f_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) K(\omega_1, \omega_2) & \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ measurable} \end{aligned}$$

•

$$\int f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \pi K(d\omega_1, d\omega_2) = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) K(\omega_1, d\omega_2) \right) \pi(d\omega_1)$$



*Proof*

- 1) From  $\pi - \lambda$  (measurable rectangles:  $\pi$ -system generating  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ )
- 2, 3) Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{f \text{ so true}\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) &= \delta_{A_1 \times A_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ &= \delta_{A_1}(\omega_1) K(\omega_1, A_2) \end{aligned}$$

OK for linear combinations, monotone limits.

Remarks

- If  $K(\omega_1, A) = \mu(A)$   
This says

$$\int \int f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \pi(d\omega_1) \pi(d\omega_2) = \int \left[ \int f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mu(d\omega_2) \right] \pi(d\omega_1)$$

- $\pi(A) = \pi K(A \times \Omega_2)$  First margin.
- If  $\exists Q(\omega_2, d\omega_1) : \Omega_2 \times \mathcal{F}_1$  kernel, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi K(A) &= \mu Q(A) \\ \mu(B) &= \pi K(\Omega_1 \times B)(?) \\ \int K(\omega_1, A_{\omega_1}) \pi(d\omega_1) &= \int Q(\omega_2, A_{\omega_2}) \mu(d\omega_2)^* \end{aligned}$$

then  $Q$  is called a regular conditional distribution for  $\pi K$  given  $\omega_2$ .

\* is Bayes theorem in measure theory language:  $K$  was  $P_\theta(dx)$ .

Various extensions.

**Theorem** Let  $\pi, K$  be as above:

$$f : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$$

measurable and  $\pi K$  integrable.

Set  $\mathcal{G} = \{\omega_1 : f_{\omega_1} \text{ is } K(\omega_1, \cdot) \text{ integrable}\}$ .

Define  $Kf : \Omega_1 \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty], Kf(\omega_1) = \begin{cases} \int f_{\omega_1} K(\omega_1, d\omega_1) & \text{if } \omega_1 \in \mathcal{G} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

Then  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}, \pi(\mathcal{G}) = 1$

$$\int f d\omega K = \int (Kf) d\pi$$

**Example** Take  $\Omega_1 = (0, 1], \pi = \lambda$  Lebesgue,

$$\Omega_2 = (0, 1], K_{\omega_1}(0) = K_{\omega_1}(1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$f(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{(-1)^{\omega_2}}{\omega_1}$$

$$f_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\omega_1} & \omega_2 = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\omega_1} & \omega_2 = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Kf(\omega_1) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_1} = 0$$

But  $K(f^+)(\omega_1) = K(f^-)(\omega_1) = \frac{1}{\omega_1}$  and

$$\int f^+ \pi K = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\omega_1} = \infty$$

IOUs

- **Question** We proved  $f_n \geq 0, \int \lim f_n = \lim \int f_n$ , what about  $f_n \downarrow$

**Example**  $f_n$  on  $[0, \infty) = \frac{I(x > n)}{n}$   $f_n \downarrow$  i.o.  $0 = \lim f_n$  but

$$0 = \int_0^\infty 0 d\mu \neq \lim \int f_n = \infty$$

But if

$$f_n(\omega) \geq 0, f_n(\omega) \downarrow$$

and

$$\int f_n d\mu < \infty, \text{ some } n$$

Then

$$\int \lim f_n d\mu = \lim \int f_n d\mu$$

(By dominated convergence)

- Independence

**Lemma** If

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & \cdots \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$

rows finite on  $\infty$ , columns finite on  $\infty$  and all  $A_{i,j}$  are independent.

Then

If  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(A_{n,j}, 1 \leq j < \infty)$  have

$\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  are independent

*Proof* Let  $\mathcal{C}_i = \{\text{All finite intersections of sets } A_{i,j}\}$  These are  $\pi$ -systems, and

$$\begin{aligned} C_i &= \cap_{j \in J_i} A_{i,j} \\ P(\cap_{i=1}^n C_i) &= P(\cap_i \cap_j A_{i,j}) \\ &= \prod_{i,j} P(A_{i,j}) \\ &= \prod_i P(C_i) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\sigma(A_{i,j}, 1 \leq j < \infty) = \mathcal{F}_i$  are independent.

### Strong law

**Theorem** Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  i.i.d. with mean  $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X_1) < \infty$ , then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu, \text{ a.s.}$$

*Proof* w.l.o.g.  $X_i \geq 0$

$$X = X^+ - X^-, \sum X_i = \sum X_i^+ - \sum X_i^-$$

Truncation

$$Y_i = X_i \delta_{\{X_i \leq i\}}$$

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$$

Subsequences

$$\alpha > 1, u_n = [\alpha^n]$$

We prove,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$* \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left\{\left|\frac{T_{u_i} - \mathbb{E}(T_{u_i})}{u_i}\right| > \varepsilon\right\} < \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(T_n) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(Y_i^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(x_i^2 \delta_{\{x_i \leq i\}}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\{X_1^2 \sum \delta_{\{X_i \leq i\}}\} \\ &\leq n \mathbb{E}\{X_1^2 \delta_{\{X_i \leq n\}}\} \end{aligned}$$

Chebyshev applied to \*

$$\begin{aligned} * &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 u_i^2} u_i \mathbb{E}(X_1^2 \delta_{\{X_1 \leq u_i\}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E}(X_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_i} \delta_{\{X_i \leq u_i\}}) \end{aligned}$$

Study

$$* * \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_i} \delta_{\{x \leq u_i\}}$$

Smallest  $N_1$  call it  $N_x$

$u_{N_x} > x_1$  so  $\alpha^{N_x} > x$

$$\alpha^n \leq 2u_n(?)$$

$$** \leq \sum_{n \geq N_x} \frac{2}{\alpha^n} = K \alpha^{-N_x}$$

$K = \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-1}$  and since  $\alpha^{N_x} > x$

$$** \leq \frac{K}{x}$$

$$* \leq \frac{k}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E}(X_1) < \infty$$

So Borel-cantelli

$$\frac{T_{u_n} - \mathbb{E}(T_{u_n})}{u_n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

We have it for truncated variances on a subsequence

Fight on a way back

Elementary fact

$x_i$  real numbers,  $x_i \rightarrow x$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \rightarrow x$$

Well,  $\mathbb{E}(Y_i) = \mathbb{E}(X_i \delta_{\{x_i \leq i\}})$

$$\uparrow \mu = \mathbb{E}(X_i)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(T_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(Y_i) \rightarrow \mu$$

Have

$$\frac{T_{u_n}}{u_n} \rightarrow \mu, \text{ a.s.}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \sum P(X_i \neq Y_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i > i) \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} P(X_1 > t) dt \\ &= \mu \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

So Borel Cantelli,  $X_n \neq Y_n$  finitely often

$$\frac{S_n - T_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

Have

$$\frac{S_{u_n}}{u_n} \rightarrow \mu, \text{ a.s.}$$

Interpolation

Fix  $h, u_n \leq h \leq u_{n+1}$

$$\frac{S_{u_n}}{u_{n+1}} \leq \frac{S_h}{h} \leq \frac{S_{u_{n+1}}}{u_n}$$

So

$$\frac{u_n}{u_{n+1}} \frac{S_{u_n}}{u_n} \leq \frac{S_h}{h} \leq \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n} \frac{S_{u_{n+1}}}{u_{n+1}}$$

$$n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n} = \frac{[\alpha^{n+1}]}{[\alpha^n]} \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \mu \leq \varliminf \frac{S_h}{h} \leq \overline{\lim} \frac{S_h}{h} \leq \alpha \mu \text{ a.s.}$$

Let  $\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{n}, P(\cap \text{setsof?}) = 1$

$$\frac{S_h}{h} \rightarrow \mu \text{ a.s.}$$

Etamadi's ?

- Tchebyshev
- Truncation
- Terpolation
- Tsubsequences

## Remarks

- This is Nice and strong:

$$\text{If } \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{?} \mu < \infty, \text{ then } \mathbb{E}(X_1) = \mu$$

- :( No finite content  
(S.L.L.N. says  $|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu| < \varepsilon$  and stays there all  $n > N$ , ? can't be more quantitative without mean.

- Say  $X_n$  have  $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0, \text{var}(1)$ .  
Let  $m = m(\varepsilon) = \sup_n \{ \frac{S_n}{n} \geq c \}$

$$P(m < \infty) = 1$$

**Theorem** (?)

$$P\{\varepsilon^2 m(\varepsilon) \leq x\} \stackrel{?}{\rightarrow} (2\Phi(\sqrt{x}) - 1)(\chi_1^2 = Z^2)$$

$$m(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$$

- $X_i$  i.i.d.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xF(dx) = 0$

$$X_n^{(m)} = X_n \delta_{\{|X_n| \leq m\}}, \mu_n^m = \mathbb{E}(X_n^{(m)})$$

$$S_n^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{(m)} = \mathbb{E}(S_n^{(m)}), \alpha_m = \sup_i (\mu_i^{(m)})$$

**Theorem** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $m$  large enough  
 $\alpha_m < \varepsilon$ , then

$$P\{\cup_{n=m}^{\infty} |\frac{S_n}{n}| > \varepsilon\} \leq \int_{|X|>m} |X|F(dx) + ?$$

“Proof chow-robbins-siegmund great expectations”

- some kind of converse  
Say  $X$  has  $\mathbb{E}(X^-) < \infty, \mathbb{E}(X^+) = \infty$ ,  
then  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ , a.s.  
*Proof* w.l.o.g.  $X \geq 0$   
Let  $X^i = X \delta_{\{X \leq i\}}$   
S.L.L.N.

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X^i) \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X^i) \uparrow \mathbb{E}(X) = \infty$$

- S.L.L.N. is special case of  
a) Ergodic theorem  
b) Martingale convergence

- **Example**  $X$  takes values  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots\}$

$$P\{X = \pm j\} = \frac{c}{(|j| + 1)^2 \log(|j| + 1)}$$

$$P\left\{\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| > \varepsilon\right\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

But  $\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| > \varepsilon$ , i.o. all  $\varepsilon > 0$

- **Example** Cauchy,  $X$  has density  $\frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)} - \infty < x < \infty$

$$P\left(\frac{S_n}{n} \leq x\right) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)} dx$$



**Definition** on  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $F_n, F$  be distribution functions,  $F_n \Rightarrow F$  “ $F_n$  converges to  $F$  weakly (or in distribution)”.

If  $\forall$  continuity x of  $F$ ,  $F_n(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x)$ .

**Example**  $X_n = 1 \pm \frac{1}{n}$  probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $X \equiv 1$ .  
 $F_n(1) \equiv \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $F(1) = 1$   $F_n \Rightarrow F$  OK.

**Definition** If  $X_n, X$  defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  say  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$  “ $X_n$  converges to  $X$  in probability”.

If  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X, P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)\}) = 1.$$

**Property**  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \Rightarrow F_n \Rightarrow F$ , and all implications are strict.

*Proof*  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon, \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\{|X_n - X| > \varepsilon \text{ i.o.}\} = \cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \cup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| > \varepsilon\} \triangleq C_m$ .

$C_m \downarrow \emptyset$  with probability 1, so  $P(C_m) \downarrow 0$ , so  $P(C_m) \geq P(|X_m - X| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ .

Say,  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ ,

then

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \leq x - \varepsilon) - P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) &\leq P(X_n \leq x) \\ &\leq P(X \leq x + \varepsilon) + P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \\ \Rightarrow P(X \leq x - \varepsilon) &\leq \underline{\lim} P(X_n \leq x) \\ &\leq \overline{\lim} P(X_n \leq x) \\ &\leq P(X \leq x + \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

For x continuity point of  $F(x)$ , let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Counterexamples

$F_n \Rightarrow F \not\Rightarrow$  convergence in probability.

**Example** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent standard normals.

Let  $X_n = Y$ ,  $F_n(x) = \Phi(x) = F(x)$

$$P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \not\rightarrow 0$$

**Example**  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \not\Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) = (0, 1], \lambda$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} (0, \frac{1}{2}] & (\frac{1}{2}, 1] & (0, \frac{1}{4}] & (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] & (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}] & (\frac{3}{4}, 1] & (0, \frac{1}{16}] & \dots \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 & A_5 & A_6 & A_7 & \dots \end{array}$$

$$X_n(\omega) = \delta_{A_i}(\omega), X(\omega) = 0$$

$$P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = P(X_n = 1) \rightarrow 0$$

But for  $\omega : X_n(\omega) = 1$ , i.o.  $\forall \omega$ .

**Example**  $P(j) = \frac{c}{(|j|+1)^2 \log(5+|j|)}$

$$j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

$$X_i \text{ i.i.d. from } P(j)$$

$$S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{p} 0 \text{ but } \frac{S_n}{n} \not\rightarrow 0, \text{ a.s.}$$

Other notions of convergence

- Setwises  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\mu_n(A) \rightarrow \mu(A)$$

- Total variation.  $\sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} |\mu_n(A) - \mu(A)| \rightarrow 0$

Skorohod's theorem (on  $\mathbb{R}$ )

**Theorem** Say  $F_n \Rightarrow F$ , then on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) = ((0, 1], \text{Borel}, \lambda)$ ,  $\exists Y, Y_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$P(Y_n \leq x) = F_n(x), P(Y \leq x) = F(x)$$

and

$$Y_n(\omega) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Y(\omega) \text{ all } \omega$$

*Proof* Say first,  $F_n, F$  are continuous for all  $x$  (strictly increasing).

Recall,  $Y(\omega) = F^{-1}(\omega)$ ,  $Y_n(\omega) = F_n^{-1}(\omega)$  have distribution functions  $F_n, F$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y(\omega) \leq x) &= \lambda(\omega : F^{-1}(\omega) \leq x) \\ &= \lambda(\omega : \omega \leq F(x)) \\ &= F(x) \end{aligned}$$

And clearly,  $Y_n(\omega) \rightarrow Y(\omega)$  all  $\omega$ .

If pick  $u \in (0, 1]$ ,  $F^{-1}(u)$  has distribution function  $F$ .

Set  $Y_n(\omega) = F_n^{-1}(\omega)$  and  $Y(\omega) = F^{-1}(\omega)$  for  $\omega$  a continuity point of  $F$  And

$Y_n(\omega) = Y(\omega) = 0$ , rest.

$$P(Y_n \leq x) = F(x)$$

Have to argue

$$Y_n(\omega) \rightarrow Y(\omega) \text{ all } \omega$$

**Corollary**  $F_n \Rightarrow F \Leftrightarrow \forall$  bounded continuous  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) F_n(dx) \rightarrow \int f(x) F(dx)$$

*Proof* Say  $F_n \Rightarrow F$ , choose  $Y_n, Y$ . Skorohod.

$$Y_n(\omega) \rightarrow Y(\omega) \text{ all } \omega$$

$$\int f dF_n = \mathbb{E}(f(Y_n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(f(Y)) = \int f dF \text{ (Dominated Convergence Theorem)}$$

On the other hand, if  $\int f dF_n \rightarrow \int f dF$  all bounded continuous functions.  
Approximate  $f$  as  $f_\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \int f_\varepsilon dF &\leq \int f_\varepsilon dF_n \\ &\leq F_n(x) \\ &\leq \int f_\varepsilon^+ dF_n \\ &\rightarrow \int f_\varepsilon^+ dF \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , when  $x$  is continuous point, OK.

**Theorem** (Slutsky) Suppose  $X_n, Y_n, X$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ ,

$$X_n \Rightarrow X \text{ and } Y_n - X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

Then

$$Y_n \Rightarrow X$$

*Proof* Given any  $x$ , choose  $y' < x < y''$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  s.t.

$$y' < x - \varepsilon < x < x + \varepsilon < y''$$

$$\mu(y') = \mu(y'') = 0, \mu \Leftrightarrow F$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n \leq y') - P(|X_n - Y_n| \geq \varepsilon) &\leq P(Y_n \leq x) \\ &\leq P(X_n \leq y'') + P(|X_n - Y_n| \geq \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F(y') &\leq \underline{\lim} P(Y_n \leq x) \\
&\leq \overline{\lim} P(Y_n \leq x) \\
&\leq F(y'')
\end{aligned}$$

If  $F$  is continuous at  $x$ , let  $y', y'' \rightarrow x$ .

**Example** Card guessing.  $Z_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{probability } \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{probability } 1 - \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}$

$$S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N Z_n = \# \text{ correct guesses}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(S_N) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{N} = \log N - \gamma + o\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \sim \log N$$

$$\text{Var}(S_N) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = \log N - \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \gamma + o\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \sim \log N$$

can show,  $P\left(\frac{S_N - \mu_N}{\sigma_N} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \Phi(x) \Leftrightarrow P\left(\frac{S_N - \log N}{\sqrt{\log N}} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \Phi(x)$

$$X_N = \frac{S_N - \mu_N}{\sigma_N}, Y_n = \frac{S_N - \log N}{\sqrt{\log N}}$$

Ok provided  $P(|X_N - Y_N| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$   
Look at  $\left(\frac{S_N}{\sigma_N} - \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{\log N}}\right) - \left(\frac{\mu_N}{\sigma_N} - \frac{\log N}{\sqrt{\log N}}\right)$

**Theorem** (Helly selection)

On  $\mathbb{R}$ , have any family  $F_n(x)$  (Distribution function), then  $\exists n_k, 1 \leq k < \infty$  and a monotone right continuous  $F$ ,  $0 \leq F \leq 1$ , so that  $F_{n_k} \Rightarrow F$ .  
Note  $F$  need not be distribution function.

**Example**

$$\begin{aligned} F_n &\leftrightarrow \delta_n \\ F_n &\Rightarrow F \equiv 0 \\ F_n &\leftrightarrow \delta_{-n} \\ F_n &\Rightarrow F \equiv 1 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof*

Use Cantor

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \cdots \\ x_{22} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$

Each row bounded, then  $\exists l_r$  and  $n_k$  so that

$$x_{r,n_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} l_r$$

All  $r$ .

*Proof of Cantor*

By compactness,  $\exists n_1$  and  $l_1, x_{1,n_1} \rightarrow l_1$ .

Look at  $x_{2,n_1}, \exists n_{1,2}$  subsequence of  $n_1$ , and  $l_2$  so  $x_{2,n_{1,2}} \rightarrow l_2$ .

Keep going.

Now set  $n_k = n_{k,k}$ .

This is a subsequence of each  $n_{i,j}$  and so  $x_{i,n_k} \rightarrow l_i$  all  $i$ .

Back to  $F_n$  look at rationals  $r$ :

$$F_1(r_i), F_2(r_i), \dots$$

$\forall n_k$  (by Cantor) for all rationals  $r_i$  and  $G(r_i), F_{n_k}(r) \xrightarrow{k} G(r)$ .

Let  $F(x) = \inf_{r > x} G(r)$ , this is monotone in  $x$ .

**Claim**  $F(x)$  is right continuous.

Given  $x, \varepsilon > 0, \exists r > x, G(r) < F(x) + \varepsilon, \forall y, x < y < r$  have

$$F(x) \leq F(y) \leq G(r) \leq F(x) + \varepsilon$$

**Claim** If  $x$  is continuity point of  $F$ , then  $F_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ .

Given  $\varepsilon$ , choose  $y < x$  so  $F(x) - \varepsilon < F(y)$ .

Choose  $r, R, y < r < x < R$  and  $G(R) < F(x) + \varepsilon$   
then

$$F(x) - \varepsilon < F(y) \leq G(r) \leq F(x) \leq G(R) < F(x) + \varepsilon$$

Also,  $\forall n, F_n(y) \leq F_n(r) \leq F_n(x) \leq F_n(R)$ .

Now take  $\underline{\lim}, \overline{\lim} F_{n_k}(x)$  and  $\underline{\lim} F_n(x)$  within  $\varepsilon$  of  $F(x)$  and so  $F_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ .

**Definition** Let  $\mu_n$  be probabilities on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{\mu_n\}$  is tight if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists (a, b]$  so  $\mu_n(a, b] > (1 - \varepsilon), \forall n$ .

$\mu_n$  are “almost compactly supported” mass doesn’t drift to  $\infty$ .

**Theorem** Given  $\mu_n$ , tightness is a necessary and sufficient condition for:  $\forall$  subsequence  $n_k, \exists n_{k_i}$  and probability  $\mu$  so that  $\mu_{n_{k_i}} \Rightarrow \mu$ .

*Proof* “ $\Rightarrow$ ” say  $\mu_n$  tight, given  $n_k, \mu_{n_k}$ , by Helly has subsequence  $n_{k_i}$  and a  $\mu$  (not necessarily probability)

$$\mu_{n_{k_i}} \Rightarrow \mu$$

Choose  $(a, b]$  so that  $F_n$  is continuous at  $a, b$ , and  $\mu_n(a, b] > (1 - \varepsilon) \forall n$ .

$$F_{n_k}(b) - F_{n_k}(a) > (1 - \varepsilon)$$

$$F_\mu(b) - F_\mu(a) > (1 - \varepsilon)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = 1$$

Remark:

“Everything” goes over to probability on a complete separable metric space.  
(See Dudley book)

Let  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  be polish space Borel sets,  $\mu_n, \mu$  are probabilities on  $(\mathcal{X}, \text{Borel})$ .

Define  $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$ , weak\*.

If  $\forall$  bounded continuous  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\bullet \int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \text{ Borel } B, \mu(\partial B) = 0, \mu_n(B) \rightarrow \mu(B)$$

$$\bullet \text{ If } \mu_n \Rightarrow \mu, \exists Y_n(\omega), Y(\omega) \text{ on } (0, 1], \lambda$$

$$P(Y_n \in B) = \mu_n(B)$$

$$P(Y \in B) = \mu(B)$$

$$Y_n(\omega) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Y(\omega) \text{ all } \omega$$

- $\mu_n$  is tight by definition, if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$  compact  $K$ , so  $\mu_n(K) > (1 - \varepsilon)$  all  $n$ .
- $\{\mu_n\}$  tight then  $\exists n_k$  and  $\mu$  probability so  $\mu_{n_k} \Rightarrow \mu$ .

## Reference

- Billingsley, convergence of probability measures.
- “Just the facts”, O. Kallenberg, Foundations of Modern Probability second edition.

## Characteristic functions.

Let  $\mu$  be a probability on  $\mathbb{R}$ , (Associate  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $X$ ) the characteristic function

$$\phi_\mu(t) (= \phi_F(t) = \phi_X(t))$$

is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} \mu(dx) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{itx}), e^{itx} = \cos(tx) + i \sin(tx) \\ &= \int \cos(tx) \mu(dx) + i \int \sin(tx) \mu(dx) \end{aligned}$$

## Baby Facts

- $\phi_\mu(t)$  exists for all  $t$
  - $\phi_\mu(0) = 1$
  - $\phi_\mu(t)$  is uniformly continuous.
- Proof*

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_\mu(t+h) - \phi_\mu(t)| &= \left| \int (e^{i(t+h)x} - e^{itx}) \mu(dx) \right| \\ &\leq \int |e^{i(t+h)x} - e^{itx}| \mu(dx) \\ &= \int |e^{ihx} - 1| \mu(dx) \end{aligned}$$

As  $h \rightarrow 0$  RHS  $\rightarrow 0$  independent of  $t$ .

Three main theorems.

I)  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent

$$\phi_{X+Y}(t) = \phi_X(t)\phi_Y(t)$$

II) If  $\mu, \nu$  probabilities and

$$\phi_\mu(t) = \phi_\nu(t) \text{ all } t$$

then  $\mu = \nu$ .

III)  $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu \Leftrightarrow$

$$\phi_{\mu_n}(t) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_\mu(t), \forall t$$

**Example** Card guessing.

Deck  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , guess with complete feedback.

$$\text{Let } X_j = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{n} \\ j & \frac{1}{j} \end{cases}, S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$$

**Claim**  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  has nontrivial limit,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j(t) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{itX_j}) = 1 - \frac{1}{j} + \frac{e^{itj}}{j} \\ \mathbb{E}(e^{it\frac{S_n}{n}}) &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{1 - e^{it/n}j}{j} \right) \\ &= e^{\sum_{j=1}^n \log \left( 1 - \frac{1 - e^{it/n}j}{j} \right)} \\ &\sim e^{-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1 - e^{it/n}j}{j/n}} \\ &\rightarrow e^{-\int_0^1 \frac{1 - e^{itx}}{x} dx} \end{aligned}$$

Points

a) RHS is a characteristic function for some probability  $\mu$  and so

$$P\left(\frac{S_n}{n} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \mu(-\infty, x]$$

b) Not all limits are normal or Poisson.

c) What does  $\mu$  look like?

d) This  $\mu$  is famous.



F.F.T. (See my paper average random time FFT)

Sino-Soviet Feud

Stable laws,  $S_n = \sum X_i, X_i$  i.i.d.

$$P\left(\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \leq x\right) \rightarrow F(x)$$

Infinitely divisible.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1n_1} \\ X_{21} & \cdots & X_{2n_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \leq x\right\} \rightarrow F(x)ID$$

Class L.  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots$  independent, not i.i.d.

G-K “proved” laws in class L are unimodal.

Chung Proof wrong!

Ibragima published counterexample.

Japanese Ibra wrong

Ibragima publish proof

Sun Ibra wrong

Yamazato OK

On  $\mathbb{R}$ , last time we proved  $\{\mu_n\}$  tight, then  $\forall n_k, \exists n_{k(i)}$  and probability  $\mu$ , such that  $\mu_{n_{k(i)}} \Rightarrow \mu$

**Corollary:**  $\{\mu_n\}$  tight and  $\forall \{n_k\}$  s.t.  $\mu_{n_k} \Rightarrow \mu$ , then  $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$ .

*proof* If not, at some  $x$ ,  $\mu\{x\} = 0, \mu_n(-\infty, x] \not\rightarrow \mu(-\infty, x]$ .

So  $\exists \varepsilon > 0, n_k$  s.t.  $|\mu_{n_k}(-\infty, x] - \mu(-\infty, x]| > \varepsilon$ .

By tightness,  $\exists n_{k(i)}$  s.t.  $\mu_{n_{k(i)}} \Rightarrow \mu$ .

Three main theorems about random variables.

- If  $u$  and  $v$  have characteristic functions  $\mu_u$  and  $\mu_v$ , then  $u * v$  has characteristic function  $\phi_u(t)\phi_v(t)$ .

*proof* If  $X$  and  $Y$  are random variables distributed as  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\mu * \nu}(t) &= \mathbb{E}e^{it(X+Y)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}e^{itX}e^{itY} \\ &= \mathbb{E}e^{itX}\mathbb{E}e^{itY} \\ &= \phi_\mu(t)\phi_\nu(t)\end{aligned}$$

- Inversion and Uniqueness.

Say  $\mu$  is probability on  $\mathbb{R}$ , characteristic function  $\phi(t)$ , then if  $a, b$  continuous points of  $\mu$ ,

$$\mu(a, b] = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{-iat} - e^{-ibt}}{it} \phi(t) dt = I(t)$$

Consequence:  $\mu \mapsto \phi$  is 1-1.

*proof* Need  $S(T) = \sin c(T) = \int_0^T \frac{\sin x}{x} dx, T \geq 0$

**Fact 1**  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} S(T) = \frac{\pi}{2}$

**Fact 2**  $S(\theta T) = \text{sgn}(\theta)S(T|\theta|), T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}I(T) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{it(x-a)} - e^{it(x-b)}}{it} dt \right] \mu(dx) \\ &= 2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{sgn}(x-a)S(|x-a|T) - \text{sgn}(x-b)S(|x-b|T)}{\phi_T(x)} \mu(dx)\end{aligned}$$

$$\phi_T(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < a \\ \frac{1}{2} & x = a \\ 1 & a < x < b \\ \frac{1}{2} & x = b \\ 0 & x > b \end{cases}$$

Then by dominated convergence theorem,  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} I(T) = \mu(a, b]$

*proof*

If  $\phi_\mu(t) = \phi_\nu(t)$  for all  $t$ ,

know  $\mu(a, b] = \nu(a, b], \forall \{\mu, \nu\}$  continuity points of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

Such intervals form  $\pi$ -system generating Borel field.

**Fact 1** Can't have  $\phi_\mu(t) = \phi_\nu(t)$  except at  $t = t_0$ . (By continuity of characteristic function)

**Fact 2** Can have  $\phi_\mu(t) = \phi_\nu(t), |t| < A$  not all  $t$ .

$$\phi_n(t) = 1 - |t|, |t| \leq 1$$

compact support.

If  $\phi$  is characteristic function of compact support, then

$$\phi_n(t) = 1 - |s|, |s| \leq 1, s = t + 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$\phi_\nu$  is also a characteristic function.

**Fact 3** From 2, if  $\mu_1 * \mu_2 = \mu_3 \rightarrow \mu_3$ , can't conclude  $\mu_2 = \mu_3$ .

For example,  $\mu_\mu^2 = \mu_\mu \mu_\nu$

See Feller Introduction to Probability, Volume II, second edition, chapter 15.

- **Theorem** (Continuity Theorem)

$$\mu_n \Rightarrow \Leftrightarrow \phi_n(t) \rightarrow \phi(t) \text{ all } t$$

*proof* “ $\Rightarrow$ ” If  $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$ , make  $Y_n, Y, Y_n(\omega) \rightarrow Y(\omega)$  all  $\omega$

$$\mu_n(t) = \mathbb{E} e^{itY_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} e^{itY} = \phi(t) \text{ Bounded Convergence Theorem}$$

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”  $\phi_n(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$ , Claim  $\{\mu_n\}$  is tight.

$$\begin{aligned} u &> 0 \\ \frac{1}{u} \int_{-u}^u (1 - \phi_n(t)) dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{u} \int_{-u}^u (1 - e^{itx}) dt \right] \nu_n(dx) \\ &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\sin ux}{ux} \right) \mu_n(dx) \\ &\geq 2 \int_{|x| \geq \frac{2}{u}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{|ux|} \right) \mu_n(dx) \\ &\geq \mu_n \left\{ x : |x| > \frac{2}{u} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(Notice  $|x|\mu \geq 2, \frac{1}{|ux|} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $1 - \frac{1}{|ux|} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ )

Now  $\phi_n(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$  all  $t$ , and  $\phi(0) = 1$  and  $\phi$  continuous.

In neighbor of 0,  $\exists u$  small, so

$$\frac{1}{u} \int_{-u}^u (1 - \phi(t)) dt < \varepsilon$$

Fix  $u$ , let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{u} \int_{-u}^u (1 - \phi_n(t)) dt < 2\varepsilon$ , all  $n \geq n_0$ .

So let  $a = \frac{2}{u}, n > n_0, \mu_n(x : |x| > a) < 2\varepsilon$ . increase  $a$  to deal with  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_0-1}, \{\mu_n\}$  is tight.

$\Rightarrow \mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$  for  $\mu_n$  tight and if  $\mu_{n_k} \Rightarrow \mu \Rightarrow \mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$ .

## Variations

- Inversion theorem says  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(t)| dt < \infty$   
then  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} I(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-iat} - e^{-ibt}}{it} \phi(t) dt$

$$\left| \frac{e^{-iat} - e^{-ibt}}{it} \right| < |b - a|$$

So  $\mu(a, b] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \phi(t) dt \leq |b - a|$ .

So can't have jumps.

So OK for  $\forall a, b$ .

$$\frac{F(x) - F(x+h)}{h} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-itx} - e^{-it(x+h)}}{ith} \phi(t) dt$$

and  $h \rightarrow 0$  exists  $\mu$  has density  $f(x)$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \phi(t) dt$$

- What/How can we tell about  $\mu$  from  $\phi$ ?  
Moments  $\mathbb{E}(X^k) < \infty$   
 $\mathbb{E}(X^k) = (-i)^k \phi^{(k)}(0)$  if  $\phi$  is  $k$  times differentiable.

What about atoms?

If  $\mu$  is supported on  $\mathcal{L} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$

$$\phi(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}} p_j e^{itj}, \phi(t + 2\pi) = \phi(t)$$

**Theorem** Have density bounded by  $M \Leftrightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(t)| < \infty$   
(Markov Moment Problem)

- Example of Theorem.

P.D. was studying  $X = \int_0^1 B_1(\omega) dB_2(\omega)$

$$Y = \int_0^1 B_2(\omega) dB_1(\omega)$$

Are they independent? No!

Central Limit Theorem:

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  random variables

- “not too different” no one dominates
- “not too dependent”, then

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n - \mu}{\sigma_n} \leq x\right\} = \Phi(x), \Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$$

**Example**

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \frac{1}{i} \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{i} \end{cases}$$

and independent.

$$\mu_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \sim \log n$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{i}\right) \sim \log n$$

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n - \log n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \leq x\right\} \sim \Phi(x)$$

**Example**

$$X_i = \begin{cases} i & \frac{1}{i} \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{i} \end{cases}$$

No CLT.

Lindeberg.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1r_1} & \cdots \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2r_2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{nr_n} & \cdots \end{array}$$

Triangular array.

All  $\mathbb{E}(X_{ij}) = 0, \mathbb{E}(X_{ij}^2) = \sigma_{ij}^2 < \infty$ .

$X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nr_n}$  independent.

Condition (Lindeberg).

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} \int_{\{\omega: |X_{nk}(\omega)| > \varepsilon \sigma_n\}} X_{nk}(\omega)^2 P(d\omega) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \sigma_{ni}^2$$

**Theorem** If Lindeberg, then  $P\{\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n} \leq x\} \rightarrow \Phi(x)$ .

We prove  $\mathbb{E}e^{it\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n}} \rightarrow e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$

Need

A) If  $\varepsilon_i, \omega_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, |\varepsilon_i| \leq 1, |\omega_j| \leq 1$ , then  $|\prod \varepsilon_i - \prod \omega_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\varepsilon_i - \omega_i|$ .

*proof* OK  $n = 1, \prod \varepsilon_i - \prod \omega_j = (\varepsilon_1 - \omega_1)(\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n) + \omega_1(\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n - \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n)$

B)  $\forall x, t, |e^{itx} - (1 + itx - \frac{t^2 x^2}{2})| \leq \min(|tx|^2, |tx|^3)$

*proof* w.l.o.g.  $\sigma_n^2 = 1$

From B)

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_{nk}(t) - (1 - \frac{t^2 \sigma_{nk}^2}{2})| &\leq \mathbb{E} \min(|tX_{nk}|^2, |tX_{nk}|^3) \\ &\leq \varepsilon t^3 \int_{|X_{nk}| < \varepsilon} |X_{nk}|^2 + t^2 \int_{|X_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon} |X_{nk}|^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon t^3 \sigma_{nk}^2 + t^2 \int_{|X_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon} |X_{nk}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By Lindeberg:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{r_n} |\phi_{nk}(t) - (1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2)| \rightarrow 0$$

We show

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^{r_n} \phi_{nk}(t) &\stackrel{1}{=} \prod_{k=1}^{r_n} (1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2) + o(1) \\ &\stackrel{2}{=} \prod_{k=1}^{r_n} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2} + o(1) \\ &= e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

1 From Lindeberg:

$$\sigma_{nk}^2 = \int_{|X_{nk}| < \varepsilon} |X_{nk}|^2 + \int_{|X_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon} |X_{nk}|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 + \int_{|X_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon} |X_{nk}|^2$$

So  $\max \sigma_{nk}^2 \rightarrow 0$  So  $\forall t, 1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2$  is in  $(0, 1)$  when  $n$  sufficient large.

Using A):

$$|\prod_{k=1}^{r_n} \phi_{nk}(t) - \prod_{k=1}^{r_n} (1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2)| \leq \sum |\phi_{nk}(t) - (1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2)| \rightarrow 0$$

2)

$$|\prod (1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2) - \prod e^{-\frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} |e^{-\frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2} - (1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \sigma_{nk}^2)| *$$

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, |e^z - (1+z)| \leq |z|^2 \sum \frac{|z|^{k-2}}{k!} \leq |z|^2 e^{|z|}$$

$$\text{So } * \leq \frac{t^4}{4} e^{\frac{t^2}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} \sigma_{nk}^4 \leq \varepsilon^2 e^{\frac{t^2}{2}} \frac{t^2}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} \sigma_{nk}^2 = \varepsilon^2 e^{\frac{t^2}{2}} \frac{t^2}{4}$$

$$\textbf{Example } X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \frac{1}{i} \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{i} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & & & \\ x_1 & x_2 & & \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \cdots \end{array}$$

$$\sigma_n^2 \sim \log n, \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \sum \int_{|X_{nk}| > \varepsilon \sqrt{\log n}} |X_{nk}(\omega)|^2 dP = 0$$

for all large n.

### Example Lyapunov Theorem

Suppose have triangular array and  $\mathbb{E}|X_{nk}|^{2+\delta} < \infty$  some  $\delta > 0$ .

If  $\frac{1}{\sigma_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{E}(|X_{nk}|^{2+\delta}) \rightarrow 0$ , then CLT holds.

*proof* Look at Lindeberg:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int |X_{nk}|^2 dP &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int \frac{|X_{nk}|^{2+\delta}}{\varepsilon^\delta \sigma_n^\delta} dP \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\delta \sigma_n^{2+\delta}} \sum \mathbb{E}|X_{nk}|^{2+\delta} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

History:

- Demoivre 1750. Proved for Binomial(p)
- Laplace 1780 “proved” for i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}e^{tX_i} < \infty$
- Chebyshev 1880 gave first rigorous proof. Assume  $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^h < \infty$  all h.
- Lyapunov 1920  $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^{2+\delta}$  OK



- Lindeberg-Levy: 1940 Lindeberg Condition.

10 Examples of CLT:

- Sampling without replacement:  
From an urn: urn has balls labels  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be results of sampling without replacement.  
**Theorem** (Erdos-Renyi 1910)  
If  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$  “not too different” and  $\frac{n}{N}$  small.

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \leq x\right\} \rightarrow \Phi(x)$$

- Holfding Combinatorial CLT.  
Let  $A = (A_{ij})_{n \times n}$  matrix.  
Pick  $\omega$  a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  at random.

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{i\omega(i)}$$

n large,  $A_{ij}$  “not too wild”  $P\left\{\frac{S_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \leq x\right\} \rightarrow \Phi(x)$ .  
Let  $A_{ij} = |i - j|, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n |i - \omega(i)|$$

- Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}, P(j) = \frac{1}{N}$   
For primes  $2, 3, 5, \dots, X_p(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & p|\omega \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$S_N(\omega) = \sum_{p \leq N} X_p(\omega) = \# \text{ distinct primes that divide } \omega$$

$$S_N(12) = 2$$

**Theorem** (Erdos-Kac)

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n - \log \log N}{\sqrt{\log \log N}} \leq x\right\} \rightarrow \Phi(x)$$

- Pick  $m \in O(n)$  orthogonal matrix.  
**Theorem**  $P\{tr(m) \leq x\} \xrightarrow{n} \Phi(x)$

$$\sup |P\{tr(M) \leq x\} - \Phi(x)| \leq \frac{1}{n!}$$

- Say have a graph at each vertex put uniform on  $(0, 1)$

$$S_N = \# \text{ local max} = \sum_{\nu \in G} X_\nu$$

$$P\left(\frac{S_N - \sum \frac{1}{d_\nu + 1}}{\sqrt{\sigma_N^2}} \leq x\right) \sim \Phi(x)$$

## Poisson Approximation

$$N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$P_\lambda(j) = e^{-\lambda} \lambda^j / j!, j \in N$$

$$P_\lambda * P_\mu = P_{\lambda+\mu}$$

$$\lambda \text{ large: } P_\lambda\left\{\frac{x-\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leq t\right\} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)$$

Poisson Heuristic:

If  $|I| < \infty, X_i \in \{0, 1\}, i \in I$

$$P(X_i = 1) = p_i, W = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$$

Let  $\lambda = \mathbb{E}(W) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i$

If  $|I|$  “large” with  $p_i$  “small”

$X_i$  “not too dependent”

then “ $P(W = j) \approx P_\lambda(j)$ ” If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  probabilities on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$

$$\|\mu - \nu\| = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} |\mu(F) - \nu(F)|$$

**Definition** A dependency Graph. for  $\{X_i\}$  is a simple undirected graph. vertex set  $I$ , and some edges  $(i, j)$ . If  $\exists A, B \subseteq I$  with no edge from  $A$  to  $B$ , then  $\{X_i\}_{i \in A}, \{X_j\}_{j \in B}$  are independent.

**Example** If  $\{X_i\}$  are independent, take empty graph on  $I$ .

For  $i \in I$ , let  $N_i = \{i\} \cup \{j : (i, j) \in E\}$  (neighborhood).

**Theorem**  $\{X_i\}$  binary,  $z \in \text{Poisson}(\lambda), \Lambda = \sum_{i \in I} p_i, \{X_i\}$  have dependency graph  $(I, E)$ . then  $W = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$

$$\|L(\omega) - L(z)\|_{TV} \leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \notin N_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in W_i} p_i p_j \right\}$$

**Example** (Poisson)

$\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are independent.

$P(X_i = 1) = p$ , then we get empty dependency graph.

...

I.O.U.  $P_\lambda(A) = \sum_{j \in A} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^j}{j!}$ ,  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$   
**Property** (Solving Stein Equation)

$$\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{N}, \exists! f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, s.t.$$

•

$$f(?) = 0$$

•

$$f(j+1) - jf(j) = \delta_A(j) - P_\lambda(A), \text{ all } j \in \mathbb{N}$$

•

$$|f(j)| \leq 1.25, |f(j+1) - f(j)| \leq \min(3, \frac{1}{\lambda})$$

*Proof* Clearly, there is a unique solution

$$\text{e.g. } f(0) = 0, f(1) = \delta_A(1) - P_\lambda(A)$$

? Write down  $f(j)$ , multiply ? by  $\frac{\lambda^j}{j!}$

$$\frac{\lambda^{j+1}}{j!} f(j+1) - \frac{\lambda^j}{(j-1)!} f(j) = \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} (\delta_A(j) - P_\lambda(A))$$

Sum this in  $j$  up to  $k-1$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{(k-1)!} f(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} (\delta_A(j) - P_\lambda(A))$$

•

$$f(k) = \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} (\delta_A(j) - P_\lambda(A))$$

•

$$f(k) = -\frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} (\delta_A(j) - P_\lambda(A))$$

(Because  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} (\delta_A(j) - P_\lambda(A)) = 0$ )

Step 2. Bound  $f(k)$  use  $|\delta_A(j) - P_\lambda(A)| \leq 1$ .

- $k \leq \lambda + \frac{1}{5}$  use

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(k)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda}\right)^{k-(j+1)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{k-1}{\lambda}} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda - (k-1))}
\end{aligned}$$

have  $k-1 < \lambda + \frac{1}{5} - 1$  or  $1 - \frac{1}{5} < \lambda - (k-1)$   
or  $\frac{1}{\lambda - (k-1)} < \frac{5}{4} = 1.25$

$$|f(k)| \leq 1.25$$

•

$$\begin{aligned}
k &> \lambda + \frac{1}{5} \\
|f(k)| &\leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{k(k+1)^m} \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{k+1}} \\
&= \frac{k+1}{k(k+1-\lambda)}^*
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $k > \lambda + \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $k+1 > \lambda + 1 + \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $k+1 - \lambda > \frac{6}{5}$  or  $\frac{1}{k+1-\lambda} < \frac{5}{6}$   
So  $* < \frac{k+1}{k} \frac{5}{6} \Rightarrow |f(k)| < 1.25$  for  $k \geq 2$

$$f(1) = \lambda^{-1}(\delta_A(0) - P_\lambda(A))$$

$$|f(1)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - e^{-2}) < 1$$

Largest  $A = \{0\}$ , smallest  $A = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

So  $|f(k)| \leq 1.25$ , and  $|f(k+1) - f(k)| \leq 3$ . We need H.W.  $|f(k+1) - f(k)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

3 Basic problems of elementary problem (and variations)

- Birthday Problem
- Coupon Collection
- Matching

I) Let  $(V, E)$  be simple graph, vertex set  $V$ , edge set  $E$ .

Color vertices with  $c$  colors. Let  $W$  be number of monochromatic edges.

Choose color  $i$  with probability  $p_i$

(Classical Birthday:  $|V| = 23$ , complete graph,  $p_i = \frac{1}{c}$ )

For Poisson set up,  $I = \{(i, j) : (i, j) \in E\}$

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{vertex } i \text{ and } j \text{ have same color} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$W = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{(i,j)}) = \sum \theta_a^2$$

$$\lambda = \mathbb{E}(W) = |E| \sum_{a=1}^c \theta_a^2$$

Poisson heuristic

If  $|E|$  large,  $\theta_a$  small with  $|E| \sum_a \theta_a^2$  moderate.

$$P(W = j) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^j}{j!}$$

$$P(W = 0) = 1 - e^{-\lambda}$$

II) Coupon Collections

$N$  boxes, (outcomes)

Buy  $k$  coupons.

$$P(\text{coupon} = i) = \theta_i, 1 \leq i \leq N$$

Let  $W$  = chance all boxes have 1 or more balls.

**Example** How many people in village to have chance  $\geq \frac{1}{2}$  somebody has birthday on each of  $N = 365$  days. (Answer = 2700)

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{Box } i \text{ empty} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i = \# \text{empties}$$

We want  $P(W = 0)$

$$? = (1 - \theta_i)^k, \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - \theta_i)^k$$

Poisson heuristic says  $N$  and  $?$  large,  $\theta_i$  small and  $\lambda$  “is a number”.

Then  $P(W = 0) \sim e^{-\lambda}$

**Example**  $\theta_i = \frac{1}{N}, \lambda = N(1 - \frac{1}{N})^k$ .

$$? = N \log N + C?$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= N(1 - \frac{1}{N})^k \\ &= e^{k \log(1 - \frac{1}{N}) + \log N} \\ &\sim e^{-\frac{k}{N} + \log N} \\ &= e^{-c} \end{aligned}$$

Variation  $\theta$  uniform for  $\{\theta_i : \sum \theta_i = 1\} A_N$

$$h = 100,000, W = 365$$

III) Matching (Monmort 1708)

Classical version:

Let  $\Omega = S_n =$  All  $n!$  permutations, pick  $\omega \in \Omega$  uniformly,

$$W(\omega) = \#\{i : \omega(i) = i\}$$

$$X_i(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega(i) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$p_i = P(X_i = 1) = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\lambda = n \frac{1}{n} = 1$$

$$P(W = 0) = e^{-1}$$

$$P(W > 0) = 1 - e^{-1} \leq \frac{2}{3}$$

Variations: say deck has  $c_i$  cards. Type i,

$$1 \leq i \leq C, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i = N$$

Shuffle each of two such decks,  $W = P(\text{at least one match})$

$$X_i, 1 \leq i \leq N, X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{Matching ?} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

?

Problem 4. Pick  $\omega \in S_n$  uniformly. Let

$$W(\omega) = \#\{i : \omega(i+1) = \omega(i) + 1\}$$

Find good approximation for  $P(W = j)$  (and prove your answer)

For proof, look in paper by A?-Gorden-Goldstein (Statistical Science). Find a version of Steins method that fits and check condition.