We need an algorithm that locates the middle of that wedge. Let the top of the wedge be the linearly separable model "closest" to the y=1's and the bottom of the wedge be the linearly separable model "closest" to the y=0's. The "max margin hyperplane" is the parallel line in the center of the top and bottom. Note: there are two critical observations (the circled points). Since observations are x-vectors, these critical observations are called "support vectors" and hence the final model is called a "support

vector machine" (SVM). "Machine" is a fancy word meaning "complex model". So "machine learning" just means "learning complex models". To find the SVM... 21= 31 v.x-b ≥0: ve R, be R} First rewrite  $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} - \vec{b} = 0$  defines a line / hyperplane.

Note 
$$\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x} - b \ge 0$$
 defines a line / hyperplane.

Hesse Normal Form

 $|\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{1}| = |\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{0}| + \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{0}| + \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{0}| = |\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{0}| + \mathbf{\hat{r}}_{0}| + \mathbf$ 

Goal is to make m as large as possible (maximum margin) <=> making the w vector as small as possible.

Now we need two conditions

(I) All y=1's are above or equal to I\_U: 
$$\forall i \text{ s.t. } y_i = 1 \quad \overrightarrow{w}, \overrightarrow{x}_i - (\underline{b} + \underline{i}) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{v}.\overrightarrow{x}_i - \underline{b} \geq 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\overrightarrow{v}.\overrightarrow{x}_i - \underline{b}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$(Y_i - \frac{1}{2})(\overrightarrow{v}.\overrightarrow{x}_i - \underline{b}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$
(II) All y=0's are below or equal to I\_L: 
$$\forall i \text{ s.t. } y_i = 0 \quad \overrightarrow{w}.\overrightarrow{x}_i - (\underline{b} - \underline{i}) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \overrightarrow{v}.\overrightarrow{x}_i - \underline{b} \leq -1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\overrightarrow{w}.\overrightarrow{x}_i - \underline{b}) \leq -\frac{1}{2}$$

min || w | 5,t

on the x\_2 axis.

 $\ell_L: \vec{w}.\vec{x} - (b-\vec{s}) = 0, \vec{z}_L = \frac{b-\vec{s}}{\|\vec{w}\|} \vec{v}_0$ 

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(\vec{b}\cdot\vec{x}_i-b)\geq \frac{1}{2}$$
 
$$(\hat{b}\cdot\vec{x}_i-b)\geq \frac{1}{2}$$
 Note how both inequalities are the same for both I and II. Thus this inequality satisfies both constraints. So all observations will be in their right places. 
$$(\hat{b}\cdot\vec{x}_i-b)\geq \frac{1}{2}$$
 line is

You compute the SVM by optimizing the following problem:

and return the resulting w vector and b. There is no analytical solution. You need optimization algorithms. It can be solved with quadratic programming and other procedures as well.

K is linearly separable

Note: everything we did above generalizes to p>2. Note: most textbooks have 1's in the place of our 1/2's that's because they assumed  $\mathcal{Y} = \{-1, 1\}$  but we assumed binary. What if the data is not linearly separable? You can never satisfy that constraint... So this whole thing doesn't work. We will use a new objective function / loss function / error-tallying function called "hinge loss", H:

 $\left(y_i - \frac{1}{7}\right)(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}_i - b) = \frac{1}{7} - \alpha$ 

the wedge

Hi = mx & O, = - (-1 - d) }= mx{0, d} = d

$$\mathcal{S} \not\vdash \exists := \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{A}_{X} \underbrace{\langle Q, \frac{1}{4} - (y_{i} - \frac{1}{2})(\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}_{i} - b) \rangle}_{i=1}$$
But we also want to maximize the margin. So we combine both considerations together into the objective function of Vapnik (1963):

the hinge errors:

With this loss function, it is clear we wish to minimize the sum of

Once  $\lambda$  is set, the computer can do the optimization to find argmin the resulting SVM even using out of the box R packages. minimizing distance errors maximizing the width of

What is 
$$\lambda$$
? It is a positive "hyperparameter", "tuning parameter". It is set by you! It controls the tradeoff between these two considerations. 
$$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{H}\left(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{H}, \lambda\right)$$
What if you have the modeling setting where  $\mathcal{J} = \{1,2,...,L\}$ , a nominal categorical response with  $L > 2$  levels. The model will

What if you have the modeling setting where  $\sqrt[A]{} = \{1,2,...,L\}$ , a nominal categorical response with L>2 levels. The model will still be a "classification model" but not a "binary classification model" and it's sometimes called a "multinomial classification model". What is the null model g\_0? Again, g\_0 = SampleMode[y]. Consider a model that predicts on a new x\_\* by looking through the training data and finding the "closest" x\_i vector and returning its y\_i as the predicted response value. This is called a "nearest neighbor" model. Further, you may also want to find the K closest observations and return the mode of these K observations as the predicted response value (randomize ties). That's called "K nearest neighbors" (KNN) model where K is a natural number hyperparameter. There is another hyperparameter that must be specified, the "distance function" d:  $\chi^{\iota} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . The typical distance function is Euclidean distance aguared:

$$d\left(\vec{x}_{\star}, \vec{x}_{i}\right) := \int_{j=1}^{p} \left(x_{i,j} - x_{\star,j}\right)^{2}$$