






TEST TITLE	PRIORITY	TEST CASE ID	TEST NUMBER	TEST DATE
Animal Behaviour analysis AI	Top	1	1	01/04/2024
TEST DESCRIPTION	TEST DESIGNED BY		TEST EXECUTED BY	EXECUTION DATE
Animal Behaviour analysis AI image testing	Alex Pantus		Alex pantus	01/04/2024

IMAGE ID	TEST DESCRIPTION	TEST DATE	EXPECTED RESULTS	ACTUAL RESULTS	PASS / FAIL	ADDITIONAL NOTES												
01	<div></div> <div>Iteration 7</div>	01/04/2025	I expected the results to be good as we trained with a good number of high quality images	<table><tr><th>Tag</th><th>Probability</th></tr><tr><td>cat</td><td>99.7%</td></tr><tr><td>eyes</td><td>34.4%</td></tr><tr><td>skin condition</td><td>12%</td></tr><tr><td>happy</td><td>3.7%</td></tr><tr><td>laying</td><td>3.3%</td></tr></table>	Tag	Probability	cat	99.7%	eyes	34.4%	skin condition	12%	happy	3.7%	laying	3.3%	Fail	Due to the poor confidence scores, 34% would rank it as “low” confidence in our confidence criteria. The model has been good at identifying animal type so that remains constant but after this training we improved the image set for diseases and trained it again for iteration 8 as the disease performance was our focus
Tag	Probability																	
cat	99.7%																	
eyes	34.4%																	
skin condition	12%																	
happy	3.7%																	
laying	3.3%																	

02	<div></div> <div>Iteration 8</div>	01/04/2025	I expected the results would be better and more accurate as it is the latest Iteration especially on identifying it's a cat and identifying it's unhealthy eye	<table><tr><th>Tag</th><th>Probability</th></tr><tr><td>cat</td><td>99.7%</td></tr><tr><td>unhealthy eyes</td><td>58.2%</td></tr><tr><td>laying</td><td>5%</td></tr><tr><td>skin condition</td><td>3.7%</td></tr><tr><td>healthy eyes</td><td>2.9%</td></tr></table>	Tag	Probability	cat	99.7%	unhealthy eyes	58.2%	laying	5%	skin condition	3.7%	healthy eyes	2.9%	Pass	The confidence score for the unhealthy eyes is lower than I hoped but this is part of the limitations of the AI and images available online. Typically I would aim for 60-70% minimum but the lack of false positives make it good enough
Tag	Probability																	
cat	99.7%																	
unhealthy eyes	58.2%																	
laying	5%																	
skin condition	3.7%																	
healthy eyes	2.9%																	
	<div></div> <div>Iteration 7</div>	01/04/2025	I expected the results to be good as we trained with a good number of high quality images	<table><tr><th>Tag</th><th>Probability</th></tr><tr><td>dog</td><td>96.5%</td></tr><tr><td>skin condition</td><td>58.6%</td></tr><tr><td>eyes</td><td>17.3%</td></tr><tr><td>bloated</td><td>9.1%</td></tr><tr><td>cat</td><td>7.8%</td></tr></table>	Tag	Probability	dog	96.5%	skin condition	58.6%	eyes	17.3%	bloated	9.1%	cat	7.8%	Fail	The confidence score is ok, almost passing the 60% confidence threshold which would deem it as "high" in our criteria but the 17.3% and 9.1% false positives for eyes and bloated make it fail
Tag	Probability																	
dog	96.5%																	
skin condition	58.6%																	
eyes	17.3%																	
bloated	9.1%																	
cat	7.8%																	

	<div><p>Iteration 8</p></div>	01/04/2025	I expected the results would be better more accurate especially on identifying and disease symptom	<table><tr><th>Tag</th><th>Probability</th></tr><tr><td>dog</td><td>96.7%</td></tr><tr><td>skin condition</td><td>73%</td></tr><tr><td>bloated</td><td>2.5%</td></tr><tr><td>unhealthy eyes</td><td>2.3%</td></tr><tr><td>cat</td><td>1.5%</td></tr></table>	Tag	Probability	dog	96.7%	skin condition	73%	bloated	2.5%	unhealthy eyes	2.3%	cat	1.5%	Pass	The confidence score for skin condition is higher, passing the 70% mark indicating the image set that was used for skin condition is better and more well-rounded in iteration 8 and comparatively better than the skin cognition data set.
Tag	Probability																	
dog	96.7%																	
skin condition	73%																	
bloated	2.5%																	
unhealthy eyes	2.3%																	
cat	1.5%																	
	<div><p>Iteration 8</p></div>		I expected the results here to be food but a bit poorer than the skin condition ones as it's less obvious in this photo	<table><tr><th>Tag</th><th>Probability</th></tr><tr><td>outdoors</td><td>80.1%</td></tr><tr><td>dog</td><td>79.9%</td></tr><tr><td>limping</td><td>71.8%</td></tr><tr><td>skin condition</td><td>61.2%</td></tr><tr><td>walking</td><td>57.8%</td></tr></table>	Tag	Probability	outdoors	80.1%	dog	79.9%	limping	71.8%	skin condition	61.2%	walking	57.8%	Pass	Limping got to 71.8% score, making it pass the 65% mark therefore we can label it as high confidence. It's even better that it did it on a quite zoomed out photo.
Tag	Probability																	
outdoors	80.1%																	
dog	79.9%																	
limping	71.8%																	
skin condition	61.2%																	
walking	57.8%																	

## **DISCLAIMER**

Any articles, templates, or information provided by Smartsheet on the website are for reference only. While we strive to keep the information up to date and correct, we make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability, or availability with respect to the website or the information, articles, templates, or related graphics contained on the website. Any reliance you place on such information is therefore strictly at your own risk.