

Idealization Axiom:

$$\forall^s f F \exists x R(x, F) \iff \exists x \forall^s y R(x, y)$$

Standardization Axiom:

$$\forall P(x) \exists^s A \subseteq E \quad \forall^s x (x \in A \iff x \in E \text{ and } P(x))$$

(E is some parent set)

Transfer Axiom:

For any standard parameters A, B, \dots, L of a **classical** formula F :

$$\forall^s x F(x, A, B, \dots, L) \iff \forall x F(x, A, B, \dots, L)$$

$$\text{letting } F' = \neg F \text{ gives the equivalent } \exists x F'(x, A, B, \dots, L) \iff \exists^s x F'(x, A, B, \dots, L)$$

By the dual form, all objects uniquely defined by classical formulas are both unique and standard.

Note: in general not all properties $P(x)$ are set-forming, but we can always create a standard set ${}^s\{x \in E : P(x)\}$

Example to think about: for v illimited, the interval in \mathbb{N} of $[0, v] \subset \mathbb{N}$ but ${}^s[0, v] = \mathbb{N} \dots$

Well-Ordering Principle of the Natural Numbers:

“Every nonempty subset of \mathbb{N} has a smallest element”

Induction comes from this well-ordering principle:

$P(x)$ is true for $x = 0$ and $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$ means $P(x)$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Convert to a set so we can justify by the well-ordering principle: $T = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : P(x)\}$, $F = \mathbb{N}/A$.

Assume the premise and that $F \neq \emptyset$, so F must have a least element f . However, since $N = T + F$, $f - 1 \in T$ and $P(f - 1) \Rightarrow P(f)$, a contradiction. So F must be empty.

So as long as we can *properly* form the set T (see below), we can apply induction without further thought (see exercise 2.8.4).

P being **set-forming** in E implies (means?): letting $F = \{x \in E : P(x)\}$

$$\forall x \in F P(x) \text{ and } \forall x \in E/F \neg P(x)$$

Set-forming nonexample: $P(x) = “x \text{ is standard}”$, form $\{x \in \mathbb{N} : P(x)\}$

Suppose $P(x)$ set forming and that this set is finite; then the set of illimited integers has a smallest element b for which $b - 1 = n$, where n is standard. However, transfer applies to the formula $b = n + 1$, so b must be standard too, a contradiction. Hence the set of standard integers must be infinite. However, every infinite set of integers contains illimited integers by idealization, so $P(x)$ does not hold for some elements in the set, hence $P(x)$ is not set-forming in \mathbb{N} .

Note the converse is not true: given an illimited n , $[0, n]$ is nonstandard (invert theorem 2.4.2), but we are still forbidden from collecting all the standard elements here.

nonexample 2: From a standard and infinite E and same $P(x)$, form $T = \{x \in E : P(x)\}$

Suppose $P(x)$ set forming and T finite, so T must be standard (theorem 2.4.2). Then, due to sharing exactly the same standard elements, transfer applies and we have $T = E$, a contradiction. So T must be infinite. Since any infinite set contains nonstandard elements (apply idealization to the \neq relation), T contains nonstandard elements, hence $P(x)$ is not set forming in standard infinite sets.

This complements theorem 2.4.2:

For infinite standard sets, “ x is standard” must not be set-forming (just proved).

A finite set is standard \iff all elements are standard (2.4.2).

Exercises 1.9 (didn't know how to solve #3 without axioms from the next chapter???)

- 1.9.1: To do this without axioms from chapt 2 requires an inductive argument, which I did type up before, but I deleted it for some reason and am too lazy to type up again because annoying :)

Injective and surjective are classical properties, hence we can form the classical statement $P(x)$ = “an injective map of x to itself is surjective”.

This is true for all standard intervals of \mathbb{N} : an injective map from $[0, n - 1]$ implies the image must have cardinality n . Since the codomain also has size n , the map is surjective.

Since $P(x)$ is classical, transfer applies and $P(x)$ holds for all intervals $[0, n] \subset \mathbb{N}$, including illimited n .

- 1.9.2: Let n be illimited and form the infinite set $S = \{1\} \cap \{n, n + 1, n + 2, \dots\}$. Then the property forming $T = \{x \in S : x \text{ is standard}\}$ is set forming: 1 is standard, and all elements of S/T are nonstandard (this shows S is nonstandard, see nonexample 2).

Let n be illimited and form the set $\{1, n\}$. By the same reasoning above, the property “ x is standard” is set-forming here. (also note this set is nonstandard by the inversion of theorem 2.4.2)

- 1.9.3: All 3 propositions are false. Counterexample: for every standard integer n , $2^n > n$, so transfer applies: for any illimited integer n , $n < 2^n$, so 2^n is also illimited.

For positive x illimited and a limited, $x - a$ is illimited (and thus also nonstandard). Suppose the contrary: $x - a = b$, for some b limited. Then $x = a + b$, which by F' , would imply x is standard, contradictory to x being illimited.

Exercises 2.8

- 2.8.1: Suppose we had a standard nonempty set with all nonstandard elements. Then the property “ x is standard” would be set-forming here, and nonexample 2 implies this set must be finite, but inversion of theorem 2.4.2 says this set is then nonstandard, a contradiction. So any standard nonempty set must have at least one standard element.

- 2.8.2: A standard infinite set must have infinite nonstandard elements, otherwise by listing the nonstandard elements in a set and taking the difference we'd obtain that “ x is standard” is set-forming, a contradiction by nonexample 2. By the same example, any infinite set of all nonstandard elements must be nonstandard, as “ x is standard” is set-forming in this set.

- 2.8.3: The projections (two standard functions) uniquely define the sets E and F from $E \times F$, so E and F are both standard.

- 2.8.4: Continuing the earlier discussion on induction, for any (classical or not) property P , standardization says we can always form $T = {}^s\{x \in \mathbb{N} : P(x)\}$. Then $F = \mathbb{N}/T$ is also standard. Should $P(0)$ hold and $\forall^s n P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$, then F must be empty (see the earlier discussion, it fits right in). So the conclusion that $P(n)$ holds for all standard $n \in \mathbb{N}$ holds.

- 2.8.5: B and C are obviously standard. Take $D = C/A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 \leq x < \varepsilon\}$. D must be nonstandard as “ x is standard” is set forming. So supposing A standard immediately leads to contradiction as this would uniquely define D via a standard formula, hence A is nonstandard.

- 2.8.6: Form