# **Contents**

1	Intr	on	į					
2	Methodology							
	2.1	CoDA	 					
		2.1.1	Motivation					
		2.1.2	Preliminaries					
		2.1.3	Common Transformations	. 6				
		2.1.4	The VAR Model	. 8				
		2.1.5	$\mathcal{T} ext{-Spaces}$	. (				
	2.2	INGA	RCH	. (				
		2.2.1	Motivation	. (				
		2.2.2	INGARCH Model	. 10				
	2.3	Other	methods	. 12				
Αŀ	bildı	ıngsver	zeichnis	15				
Ta	belle	nverzei	ichnis	16				

# 1 Introduction

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 CoDA

#### 2.1.1 Motivation

One way to see our data is as a compositional time series. The exact definition of compositional will follow later but in general compositional data, which is by nature multivariate, describes relations between the parts instead of absolute values. We transform the data in such a way, that the values of each category can be seen as the relative share of the total amount at the current time. We then predict the relative share of the category for the next point in time. Since we are ultimately interested in the absolute value, we include the total sum of all categories as an additional variable and then use it for calculating the absolute value. This is modelled as the so-called  $\mathcal{T}$ -Space which will be introduced later. Since VAR models are easy to estimate and interpret and have some beneficial properties with our choice of transformation, we opt to focus on them. One such property is the fact that the VAR model does not depend on the concrete choice of the ilr-transformation [KFH15].

#### 2.1.2 Preliminaries

The basis of this section is given by [KFH15], [Ego+03] and [FH20].

CoDA, which is short for "'Compositional Data Analysis"' works with compositional data. The key to compositional data is the fact that the absolute value of its parts is less important than the relative relation of the parts to each other. To define compositional data, we first need to define the (D-1)-dimensional simplex,

$$\mathbb{S}^{D} := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_D)^T : x_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, D; \sum_{i=1}^{D} x_i = \kappa \right\}$$

where  $\kappa$  is a positive constant [KFH15]. The choice of  $\kappa$  is not relevant, as the relative information in the compositional parts stays the same. A D-dimensional vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_D)^T$  is said to be compositional if it is part of  $\mathbb{S}^D$ . Next we can induce a (D-1)-dimensional vector space on  $\mathbb{S}^D$  by perturbation and power transformation. For compositions  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^D$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  they are defined respectively as [KFH15]

$$\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{y} := \mathcal{C}(x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2, \dots, x_D y_D)^T, \quad \alpha \odot \mathbf{x} := \mathcal{C}(x_1^{\alpha}, x_2^{\alpha}, \dots, x_D^{\alpha})^T.$$

Here  $\mathcal{C}$  is the closure operation that maps each compositional vector from the real value space  $\mathbb{R}^D_+$  into its representation in  $\mathbb{S}^D$ 

$$C(\boldsymbol{x}) := \left(\frac{\kappa x_1}{\sum_{i=1}^D x_i}, \dots, \frac{\kappa x_D}{\sum_{i=1}^D x_i}\right)^T.$$

Using  $y^{-1} := \mathcal{C}(y_1^{-1}, y_2^{-1}, \dots, y_D^{-1})$ , the inverse perturbation can be defined as

$$\mathbf{x} \ominus \mathbf{y} := \mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{y}^{-1}$$

Now we further define an inner product in order to have an inner product space over the simplex  $\mathbb{S}^D$ . For two compositions  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^D$  define the Aitchison inner product as

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_a := \frac{1}{2D} \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D \log(\frac{x_i}{x_j}) \log(\frac{y_i}{y_j}).$$

In addition, a norm and distance measure can be defined

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_a^2 := \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_a, \quad d_a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \|\mathbf{x} \ominus \mathbf{y}\|_a.$$

This induced geometry is called the Aitchison geometry and it allows us to express a composition  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^D$  as a perturbation-linear combination of a basis of  $\mathbb{S}^D$ .

However, in order to use standard statistical tools, it is desirable to move from this geometry to the Euclidean real space [FH20]. There are various ways to map the data from the simplex  $\mathbb{S}^D$  to the real space  $\mathbb{R}^D$ . A review of the most common transformations is provided in the following section.

#### 2.1.3 Common Transformations

Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^D$  be D-part compositions.

#### alr Coordinates

The additive log-ratio (alr) Coordinates are defined as

$$\mathbf{y}^{(k)} = alr_k(\mathbf{x}) := \left(\log\left(\frac{x_1}{x_k}\right), \dots, \log\left(\frac{x_{k-1}}{x_k}\right), \log\left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{x_k}\right), \dots, \log\left(\frac{x_D}{x_k}\right)\right).$$

and map the composition  $\mathbf{x}$  to the real space  $\mathbb{R}^D$ . They are mainly mentioned for historic purposes since they are an intuitive way of transformation. However, limitations are posed by their dependence on the choice of the denominator  $x_k$  and the fact that they are not orthogonal to each other [FH20].

#### clr Coefficients

Let  $g(\mathbf{x})$  be the geometric mean of  $\mathbf{x}$ . The centered log-ratio coefficients are then defined as

$$\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_D)^T = clr(\mathbf{x}) := \left(\log\left(\frac{x_1}{g(\mathbf{x})}\right), \dots, \log\left(\frac{x_D}{g(\mathbf{x})}\right)\right)^T.$$

This transformation maps  $\mathbf{x}$  into the hyperplane  $V = \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^D : \sum_{i=1}^D z_i = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ . Hence the transformed data is constrained, which is emphasised by the term 'coefficient' instead of 'coordinates' [FH20]. It can be shown that the clr transformation is an isometry[Ego+03]. Therefore it holds

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_a = \langle clr(\mathbf{x}), clr(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_a,$$
  
 $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_a = d(clr(\mathbf{x}), clr(\mathbf{y})).$ 

#### ilr Coordinates

The isometric log-ratio (ilr) are closely related to the clr Coefficients. Assume the inverse clr transformation is isometric. Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  be an orthonormal base in the D-dimensional hyperplane V. Then  $\mathbf{e}_i = clr^{-1}(v_i), i = 1, \ldots, D-1$  is an orthonormal basis of the simplex  $\mathbb{S}$ . For  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}$ , the ilr transformation can then be defined as

$$\mathbf{u} = ilr(\mathbf{x}) = (\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle_a, \dots, \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_{D-1} \rangle_a)^T.$$

In addition to being isometric, the ilr transformation is also isomorph. Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be two compositions and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$ilr(\alpha \odot \mathbf{x} \oplus \beta \odot \mathbf{y}) = \alpha \cdot ilr(\mathbf{x}) + \beta \cdot ilr(\mathbf{y})$$

as well as,

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_a &= \langle ilr(\mathbf{x}), ilr(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_a, \\ d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_a &= d(ilr(\mathbf{x}), ilr(\mathbf{y})), \\ \|x\|_a &= \|ilr(x)\| = \|u\|. \end{split}$$

From the definition of the ilr coordinates it can be seen that they can be expressed as a linear combination of the basis induced by the clr coefficients as seen above. Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a  $D \times (D-1)$  matrix with columns  $\mathbf{v}_i = clr(\mathbf{e}_i)$ . For a composition  $\mathbf{x}$  the vector of ilr coordinates associated with  $\mathbf{V}$  is given by,

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{V}} = ilr_{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{V}^T clr(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{V}^T \log(\mathbf{x}).$$

The matrix **V** is the contrast matrix with the orthonormal basis  $(\mathbf{e}_i)_{i=1}^{D-1}$  [Ego+03]. A special choice of orthogonal coordinates leads to the coordinates

$$ilr(\mathbf{x}) = (z_1, \dots, z_{D-1})^T,$$

$$z_j = \sqrt{\frac{D-j}{D-j+1}} \log \left(\frac{x_j}{\frac{D-j}{\sqrt{\prod_{l=j+1}^{D} x_l}}}\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, D-1.$$

With this choice, the problem of interpretation, which arises from the relative nature of the compositional data and the dimension of the simplex, can be solved. The part  $x_1$  is only contained in  $z_1$  and therefore contains all relative information of  $x_1$  [FH20].

To transform the data back in the simplex, the inverse transformation is given by,

$$x_{1} = \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{D-1}{D}}z_{1}\right),$$

$$x_{i} = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(D-j+1)(D-j)}}z_{j} + \sqrt{\frac{D-i}{D-i+1}}z_{i}\right), \quad i = 2, \dots, D-1,$$

$$x_{D} = \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{D-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(D-j+1)(D-j)}}z_{j}\right).$$

#### 2.1.4 The VAR Model

Since we have established the basic setting we can now introduce compositional time series (CTS). A CTS  $\{x_t : t = 1, ..., n\}$  can be defined as a series where  $x_t = (x_{1t}, ..., x_{Dt})^T \in \mathbb{S}^D$ . They are thus characterised by their positive components which sum up to a constant  $\kappa$  for each point in time t = 1, ..., n

$$\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_{it} = \kappa, \ x_i > 0, i = 1, \dots D; t = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $\mathbf{x}_t$  be a D-dimensional compositional vector measured at time t, t = 1, ..., n and  $\mathbf{z}_t = ilr(x_t)$  be its ilr transformation determined by the matrix  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then the VAR model with lag order p is given by [KFH15]

$$\mathbf{z}_{t} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{V}} + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{V}}^{(1)} \mathbf{z}_{t-1} + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{V}}^{(2)} \mathbf{z}_{t-2} + \ldots + \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{V}}^{(p)} \mathbf{z}_{t-p} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}. \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\mathbf{c_V} \in \mathbb{R}^{D-1}$  is a real vector,  $\mathbf{A_V^{(i)}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(D-1)\times(D-1)}$  are parameter matrices and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t$  is a white noise process with covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ . The observation  $\mathbf{z}_t$  therefore depends on the p past observations  $\mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{t-p}$ . It can be shown, that two VAR(p) models resulting from different ilr transformations are compositionally equivalent which means that the same predictions are obtained [KFH15].

#### Estimation of the VAR Model

Assuming a sample size of n, equation 2.1 can be written in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{ZB} + \mathbf{E},$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (D-1)},$$

$$\mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times [(D-1)p+1]} \text{ with } \mathbf{Z}_t = (1, \mathbf{z}_{t-1}^T, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{t-p}^T)^T,$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \left[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{A}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{(p)}\right]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(D-1)p+1 \times (D-1)}.$$

The parameter **B** can then be estimated separately for each column of **Y** by the ordinary least squares (OLS) method. In addition, if there are no restrictions posed on the parameter, the estimator is equal to the generalised least squares (GLS). If the VAR(p) process is normally distributed and the rows of the error matrix **E** represent a white noise process, thus  $\mathbf{E} \sim WN(\Sigma)$  where  $\Sigma$  is the covariance matrix, then the estimator is also equal to the maximum likelihood (ML) estimator. Under these assumptions it can be shown that the OLS estimator is consistent and asymptotic normal [KFH15] [Lüt07].

### 2.1.5 $\mathcal{T}$ -Spaces

Since in our context absolute values should be predicted eventually, we model this information in form of the sum of the original parts. Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a D-dimensional compositional vector and define an extended vector space  $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^D$ . An element of  $\mathcal{T}$  now has the form  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = [t(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x})] = [t_x, \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_D]$  where  $t(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^D x_i$ . This allows us to model the relative structure of the data as well as the total sum of the compositional parts. Often times the logarithm of the sum is taken. In the subsequent analysis, the compositional part  $\mathbf{x}$  is then transformed using one of the possible transformations and then the statistical analysis is performed. In our case, back transformation of the results are required as well as scaling the proportional values back to the absolute values to get a final result. [KFH15]

### 2.2 INGARCH

In this section we introduce the INGARCH(p,q) model. First we provide a motivation on why we chose this model and review some other possible models for discrete time series count data. The review is mainly based on [Lib16] and [Hei03]. A more detailed review can be found in [MMM97]. Subsequently we define the INGARCH(p,q) model itself and list some of its properties.

#### 2.2.1 Motivation

This section is based on [Lib16] and [Hei03].

Since our data can be seen as a discrete time series with count data, we want a model

which is able to take these properties into account. In addition, autocorrelation and overdispersion are two common features in count data. One common way to deal with count data are Markov chains. The dependent variable can take on all possible values in the so called state space and the probability of changing states is then modelled as a transition probability. A limitation is the fact that these models become cumbersome if the state space gets too big and lose tractability. As an extension to the basic Markov chains models, Hidden Markov chains are proposed by [MMM97]. However, since there is no generally accepted way to determine the order of this model, it can cause problems if the data structure does not provide intuitive ways to do it. Another issue is that the number of parameters which need to be estimated gets big quickly, especially if the order of the model is big.

Other common models for time series data are the ARMA models. There exists a discrete version of them in the form of the Discrete Autoregressive Moving Average (DARMA) models. They can be defined as a mixture of discrete probability distributions and a suitable chosen marginal probability function [BS09]. While there have been various applications, for example in [CDK87], there seem to be difficulties in their estimation [Hei03].

State space models with conjugated priors are proposed by [HF89]. The observations are assumed to be drawn from a Poisson distribution whose mean itself follows a Gamma distribution. The parameters of the Gamma distribution are chosen in such a way that its mean is constant but its variance is increasing. While there are ways proposed by [Zeg88] to handle overdispersion, these models have the weakness of needing further assumptions to handle zeros while also having more complicated model specifications [Hei03].

While there are many more possible models, we decided to focus on the class of Generalised Linear Models (GLM). In the case of discrete time series with count data, the observations are modelled conditionally on the past and follow a discrete distribution. The conditional mean is then connected with a link function to the past observations and conditional means. Furthermore, a covariate vector can be introduced to account for external influence. While being easy to use and estimate they still provide a good amount of flexibility. In addition, a wide array of tools is available for various tests and forecasts. From the class of the GLMs we compare the INGARCH(p,q) and a log-linear model, which will be discussed in section 2.3. We then chose the INGARCH(p,q) model based on its superior performance and stability.

#### 2.2.2 INGARCH Model

Denote a time series consisting of integer values with  $\{Y_t : t \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and a r-dimensional time varying covariate vector with  $\mathbf{X}_t = (X_{t,1}, \dots, X_{t,r})^T$ . Let the conditional mean be  $\lambda_t = \mathbb{E}\left[Y_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}\right]$  where  $\mathcal{F}_{t-1}$  is the sigma-field generated by  $Y_l$  and  $\lambda_l$  for l < t,  $\mathcal{F}_{t-1} = \sigma(Y_1, \dots, Y_l, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ . Therefore, the conditional mean of the time series is dependent on its combined history of the past conditional means and its past values. With this, we can define the integer valued generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity model of order (p,q) (INGARCH(p,q) model) as,

$$Y_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \sim P(\lambda_t); \forall t \in \mathbb{N},$$
 (2.2)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}\right] = \lambda_t = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Y_{t-k} + \sum_{l=1}^q \alpha_l \lambda_{t-l}$$
(2.3)

where  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(\lambda_t)$  is a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda_t$ . The integer p defines the number of past values to regress on, whereas q does the same for the past conditional means. In order to account for external effects as well, we add the covariate vector  $\mathbf{X}_t$ 

$$Y_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \sim P(\lambda_t); \forall t \in \mathbb{N},$$
 (2.4)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}\right] = \lambda_t = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k Y_{t-k} + \sum_{l=1}^q \alpha_l \lambda_{t-l} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{X}_t$$
 (2.5)

where  $\eta$  is the parameter for the covariates.

The distributional assumptions  $Y_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \sim P(\lambda_t)$  implies

$$p_t(y; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{P}(Y_t = y | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = \frac{\lambda_t^y \exp(-\lambda_t)}{y!}, \ y \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
 (2.6)

Furthermore it can be shown that conditionally on the past history  $\mathcal{F}_{t-1}$  the model is equidispersed, i.e. it holds  $\lambda_t = \mathbb{E}[Y_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbb{V}[Y_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$ . However, unconditionally the model exhibits overdispersion. In that case it holds  $\mathbb{E}[Y_t] \leq \mathbb{V}[Y_t]$  [Hei03].

#### **Estimation of the INGARCH Model**

We summarise the estimation of the INGARCH(p,q) Model as described in [Lib16].

The parameter space for the INGARCH(p,q) model with external effects 2.4 is given by

$$\Theta = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q+r+1} : \beta_0 > 0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \ge 0, \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_k + \sum_{l=1}^q \alpha_l < 1 \right\}.$$

To ensure positivity of the conditional mean  $\lambda_t$ , the intercept  $\beta_0$  must be positive while all other parameters must be non negative. The upper bound of the sum ensures that the model has a stationary and ergodic solution with moments of any order [FLO06; FRT09; DFT12]. A quasi maximum likelihood approach is used to estimate the parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . For observations  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$  the conditional quasi log-likelihood function, up to a constant, is given by,

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log p_t(y_t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_t \log(\lambda_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})) - \lambda_t \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$
 (2.7)

where  $p_t(y_t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is the probability density function defined in 2.6. The conditional mean is seen as a function  $\lambda_t : \Theta \to \mathbb{R}^+$ . The conditional score function is given by,

$$S_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \sum_{t=1}^n \left( \frac{y_t}{\lambda_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial \lambda_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}.$$
 (2.8)

The vector  $\frac{\partial \lambda_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta}$  can be computed recursively. The conditional information matrix is given by,

$$G_n(\theta) = \sum_{t=1}^n Cov \left( \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; Y_t)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{t=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \lambda_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \lambda_t(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)^T.$$

Finally, assuming that the quasi maximum likelihood estimator (QMLE)  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of  $\theta$  exists, it is the solution to

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} := \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} (\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})). \tag{2.9}$$

### 2.3 Other methods

## **Bibliography**

- Biswas, Atanu and Peter X.-K. Song. 'Discrete-valued ARMA processes'. In: Statistics & Probability Letters 79.17 (2009), pp. 1884–1889. ISSN: 0167-7152. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2009.05.025. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167715209001977.
- Chang, Tiao J., J.W. Delleur and M.L. Kavvas. 'Application of Discrete Autoregressive Moving Average models for estimation of daily runoff'. In: *Journal of Hydrology* 91.1 (1987), pp. 119–135. ISSN: 0022-1694. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(87)90132-6. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022169487901326.
- Doukhan, Paul, Konstantinos Fokianos and Dag Tjøstheim. 'On weak dependence conditions for Poisson autoregressions'. In: Statistics & Probability Letters 82.5 (2012), pp. 942-948. ISSN: 0167-7152. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2012.01.015. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167715212000259.
- Egozcue, Juan Jose et al. 'Isometric Logratio Transformations for Compositional Data Analysis'. In: *Mathematical Geology* 35 (Apr. 2003), pp. 279–300. DOI: 10.1023/A: 1023818214614.
- Ferland, René, Alain Latour and Driss Oraichi. 'Integer-Valued GARCH Process'. In: Journal of Time Series Analysis 27.6 (2006), pp. 923-942. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9892.2006.00496.x. eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1467-9892.2006.00496.x. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1467-9892.2006.00496.x.
- Filzmoser, Peter and Karel Hron. '2.30 Compositional Data Analysis in Chemometrics'. In: Comprehensive Chemometrics (Second Edition). Ed. by Steven Brown, Romà Tauler and Beata Walczak. Second Edition. Oxford: Elsevier, 2020, pp. 641-662. ISBN: 978-0-444-64166-3. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409547-2.14591-3. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780124095472145913.
- Fokianos, Konstantinos, Anders Rahbek and Dag Tjøstheim. 'Poisson Autoregression'. In: Journal of the American Statistical Association 104.488 (2009), pp. 1430-1439. DOI: 10.1198/jasa.2009.tm08270. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1198/jasa.2009.tm08270. URL: https://doi.org/10.1198/jasa.2009.tm08270.
- Harvey, A. C. and C. Fernandes. 'Time Series Models for Count or Qualitative Observations'. In: *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 7.4 (1989), pp. 407–417. ISSN: 07350015. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1391639 (visited on 04/04/2023).
- Heinen, Andreas. Modelling Time Series Count Data: An Autoregressive Conditional Poisson Model. MPRA Paper 8113. University Library of Munich, Germany, July 2003. URL: https://ideas.repec.org/p/pra/mprapa/8113.html.

- Kynčlová, Petra, Peter Filzmoser and Karel Hron. 'Modeling Compositional Time Series with Vector Autoregressive Models'. In: *Journal of Forecasting* 34.4 (2015), pp. 303–314. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/for.2336. eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/for.2336. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/for.2336.
- Liboschik, Tobias. 'Modeling count time series following generalized linear models'. In: 2016.
- Lütkepohl, H. New Introduction to Multiple Time Series Analysis. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007. ISBN: 9783540262398. URL: https://books.google.at/books?id=muorJ6FHIiEC.
- Macdonald, Lain, Iain L. MacDonald and Iain L. MacDonald. 'Hidden Markov and Other Models for Discrete- valued Time Series'. In: 1997.
- Zeger, Scott L. 'A Regression Model for Time Series of Counts'. In: *Biometrika* 75.4 (1988), pp. 621–629. ISSN: 00063444. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2336303 (visited on 04/04/2023).

# **List of Figures**

# **List of Tables**