



Beatland festival Audit Report

Version 1.0

X: @AlexScherbatyuk

July 24, 2025

Beatland festival Audit Report

Alexander Scherbatyuk

July 24, 2025

Prepared by: X: @AlexScherbatyuk Lead Auditors: - Alexander Scherbatyuk

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - Medium
 - * [M-1] Memorabilia Collection: Last Item Cannot Be Redeemed Due to Incorrect Supply Bounds Check
 - Informational
 - * [I-1] Immutable BeatToken Address Configuration

Protocol Summary

A festival NFT ecosystem on Ethereum where users purchase tiered passes (ERC1155), attend virtual(or not) performances to earn BEAT tokens (ERC20), and redeem unique memorabilia NFTs (integrated in the same ERC1155 contract) using BEAT tokens.

Disclaimer

The @AlexScherbatyuk makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

Scope

```
1 src/  
2 --- BeatToken.sol  
3 --- FestivalPass.sol  
4 --- interfaces  
5 ----- IFestivalPass.sol
```

Roles

Owner: The owner and deployer of contracts, sets the Organizer address, collects the festival proceeds.

Organizer: Configures performances and memorabilia.

Attendee: Customer that buys a pass and attends performances. They use rewards received for attending performances to buy memorabilia.

Executive Summary

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	0
Medium	1
Low	0
Info	1
Gas Optimizations	0
Total	2

Findings

Medium

[M-1] Memorabilia Collection: Last Item Cannot Be Redeemed Due to Incorrect Supply Bounds Check

Description The redeemMemorabilia function in FestivalPass.sol contains a logic error that prevents users from redeeming the last item in a memorabilia collection, even when supply is available.

Impact Users cannot redeem the final item in any memorabilia collection Collections effectively have 1 less item than their configured maxSupply This breaks the expected functionality where all items in a collection should be redeemable

Proof of Concepts * Create a memorabilia collection with maxSupply = 2 * Give a user sufficient BEAT tokens * Successfully redeem the first item * Attempt to redeem the second (and final) item * Expected: Success * Actual: Reverts with "Collection sold out"

```
1 // ===== Memorabilia Collection Supply Bounds Issue
  =====
2 function test_MemorabiliaCollection_LastItemCannotBeRedeemed()
  public {
3   // Create a collection with maxSupply = 2 (only 2 items
    available)
4   vm.prank(organizer);
5   uint256 collectionId = festivalPass.createMemorabiliaCollection
    (
6     "Test Collection",
7     "ipfs://test",
8     100e18,
9     2, // maxSupply = 2
10    true
11  );
12  // Give user enough BEAT tokens
13  vm.prank(address(festivalPass));
14  beatToken.mint(user1, 300e18);
15  // First redemption should succeed (item #1)
16  vm.prank(user1);
17  festivalPass.redeemMemorabilia(collectionId);
18  // Verify first item was minted
19  uint256 tokenId1 = festivalPass.encodeTokenId(collectionId, 1);
20  assertEq(festivalPass.balanceOf(user1, tokenId1), 1, "First
    item should be minted");
21  // Second redemption should succeed (item #2) - but it will
    fail due to the bug
22  vm.prank(user1);
23  vm.expectRevert("Collection sold out"); // This should NOT
    happen!
24  festivalPass.redeemMemorabilia(collectionId);
25  // The bug: when currentItemId = 2 and maxSupply = 2
26  // The condition "currentItemId < maxSupply" becomes "2 < 2"
    which is false
27  // But it should be "currentItemId <= maxSupply" which would be
    "2 <= 2" (true)
28 }
```

Recommended mitigation Users will be able to redeem the final item in any memorabilia collection

```
1 - require(collection.currentItemId < collection.maxSupply, "Collection
  sold out");
2 + require(collection.currentItemId <= collection.maxSupply, "Collection
  sold out");
```

Informational

[I-1] Immutable BeatToken Address Configuration

Description The FestivalPass constructor accepts a `_beatToken` address parameter but stores it as a public address variable without any setter function to modify it if incorrectly configured during deployment.

```
1 @> address public beatToken;
2     address public organizer;
3     .
4     .
5     .
6 @>     constructor(address _beatToken, address _organizer) ERC1155("ipfs
7         ://beatdrop/{id}") Ownable(msg.sender) {
8         setOrganizer(_organizer);
9 @>     beatToken = _beatToken;
10    }
```

Impact In case the wrong `_beatToken` address is provided during deployment, the contract will be permanently linked to an incorrect or non-existent token contract. This would completely break core protocol functionality. The entire tokenomics and reward system would be non-functional. Users would lose access to earned rewards and bonuses. This represents a complete failure of the protocol's core value proposition.

Proof of Concepts This test demonstrates the core vulnerability by:

Deploying with Wrong Address: Creates a new FestivalPass contract with an incorrect `beatToken` address (0x1234567890123456789012345678901234567890)

Verifying the Problem: Confirms that the wrong address is permanently stored in the contract

Testing Core Functionality Failures:

- **VIP Pass Purchase:** When a user buys a VIP pass, the contract tries to mint 5 BEAT tokens to the user by calling `BeatToken(beatToken).mint(msg.sender, bonus)`. Since `beatToken` points to a wrong address, this call fails.
- **Performance Attendance:** When users attend performances, the contract tries to mint reward tokens. This also fails due to the wrong address.
- **Memorabilia Redemption:** When users redeem memorabilia, the contract tries to burn BEAT tokens using `BeatToken(beatToken).burnFrom(msg.sender, collection.priceInBeat)`. This fails as well.
- **No Recovery Path:** The test demonstrates that there's no way to fix this - no setter function exists to change the `beatToken` address.

```
1    function test_Vulnerability_ImmutableBeatTokenAddress() public {
2        // Deploy a new FestivalPass with an incorrect beatToken
           address
3        address incorrectBeatToken = address(0
           x1234567890123456789012345678901234567890);
4        FestivalPass vulnerableFestivalPass = new FestivalPass(
           incorrectBeatToken, organizer);
5        // Verify the incorrect address is set
6        assertEq(vulnerableFestivalPass.beatToken(), incorrectBeatToken
           );
7        vm.prank(organizer);
8        vulnerableFestivalPass.configurePass(2, VIP_PRICE, 1);
9        // Try to buy a VIP pass - this will fail because the incorrect
           address doesn't have mint function
10       vm.prank(user1);
11       vm.expectRevert(); // Will revert when trying to call mint on
           incorrect address
12       vulnerableFestivalPass.buyPass{value: VIP_PRICE}(2);
13       // Try to attend a performance - this will also fail
14       vm.prank(organizer);
15       uint256 perfId = vulnerableFestivalPass.createPerformance(block
           .timestamp + 1 hours, 2 hours, 100e18);
16       vm.warp(block.timestamp + 90 minutes);
17       vm.prank(user1);
18       vm.expectRevert(); // Will revert when trying to call mint on
           incorrect address
19       vulnerableFestivalPass.attendPerformance(perfId);
20       // Try to redeem memorabilia - this will also fail
21       vm.prank(organizer);
22       uint256 collectionId =
23           vulnerableFestivalPass.createMemorabiliaCollection("Test
               Collection", "ipfs://test", 100e18, 10, true);
24       vm.prank(user1);
25       vm.expectRevert(); // Will revert when trying to call burnFrom
           on incorrect address
26       vulnerableFestivalPass.redeemMemorabilia(collectionId);
27       // There is NO way to fix this - no setter function exists
28       // The contract is permanently broken if the wrong address is
           used during deployment
29   }
```

Recommended mitigation Setter function to set a valid address after deployment with an onlyOwner modifier to restrict access rights to the owner only.

```
1 +    function setBeatToken(address _beatToken) external onlyOwner {
2 +        beatToken = _beatToken;
3 +    }
```