



Relaxed Parsing of Regular Approximations of String-Embedded Languages

Author: Ekaterina Verbitskaia

Saint Petersburg State University
JetBrains Programming Languages and Tools Lab

26/08/2015

String embedding

- Embedded SQL

```
SqlCommand myCommand = new SqlCommand(  
    "SELECT * FROM table WHERE Column = @Param2",  
    myConnection);  
myCommand.Parameters.Add(myParam2);
```

- Dynamic SQL

```
IF @X = @Y  
    SET @TBL = ' #table1 '  
ELSE  
    SET @TBL = ' table2 '  
SET @S = 'SELECT x FROM' + @TBL + 'WHERE ISNULL(n,0) > 1'  
EXECUTE (@S)
```

- String-embedded code are expressions in some programming language
 - ▶ It may be necessary to support them in IDE: code highlighting, autocomplete, refactorings
 - ▶ It may be necessary to transform them: migration of legacy software on new platforms
 - ▶ It may be necessary to detect vulnerabilities in such code
 - ▶ Any other problems of programming languages can occur

Static analysis of string-embedded code

- Performed without programm execution
- Checks that the set of properties holds for each possible expression value
- Undecidable for string-embedded code in the general case
- The set of possible expression values is over approximated and then the approximation is analysed.

Existing tools

- PHP String Analyzer, Java String Analyzer, Alvor
 - ▶ Static analyzers for PHP, Java, and SQL embedded into Java respectively
- Kyung-Goo Doh et al.
 - ▶ Checks syntactical correctness of embedded code
- PHPStorm
 - ▶ IDE for PHP with support of HTML, CSS, JavaScript
- IntelliLang
 - ▶ PHPStorm and IDEA plugin, supports various languages
- STRANGER
 - ▶ Vulnerability detection of PHP
- Flaws
 - ▶ Limited functionality
 - ▶ Hard to extend them with new features or support new languages
 - ▶ Do not create structural representation of code

Static analysis of string-embedded code: the scheme

- Identification of hotspots: points of interest, where the analysis is desirable
- Approximation construction
- Lexical analysis
- **Syntactic analysis**
- Semantic analysis

Static analysis of string-embedded code: the scheme

Code: hotspot is marked

```
string res = "";  
for(i = 0; i < 1; i++)  
    res = "()" + res;  
use(res);
```


Possible values

{ "", "()", "()", ..., "()"^1 }

Regular approximation


("()")*

Approximation




Static analysis of string-embedded code: the scheme

Approximation




After lexing



Grammar

$start ::= s$
 $s ::= LBR\ s\ RBR\ s$
 $s ::= \epsilon$

Parse forest



Problem statement

The aim is to develop the algorithm suitable for syntactic analysis of string-embedded code

Tasks:


- Develop an algorithm for parsing of regular approximation of embedded code which produce a finite parse forest
- Parse forest should contain a parse tree for every correct (w.r.t. reference grammar) string accepted by the input automaton
- Incorrect strings should be omitted: no error detection
- An algorithm should not depend on the language of the host program and the language of embedded code

- **Input:** reference DCF-grammar G and DFA graph with no ϵ -transitions over the alphabeth of terminals of G
- **Output:** finite representation of the trees corresponding to all correct string accepted by input automaton



Right-Nullled Generalized LR algorithm

- RNLGR processes context free grammars
- Uses data structures which reduce memory consumption and guarantee appropriate time of analysis
- In case when LR conflicts occur, parses in each possible way
 - ▶ Shift/Reduce conflict
 - ▶ Reduce/Reduce conflict



RNGLR data structures: Graph-Structured Stack



RNGLR operations: shift





RNGLR operations: reduce



- Traverse the automaton graph and sequentially construct GSS, similarly as in RNLGR
- The set of LR-states is associated with each of input graph vertices
- The order in which the vertices of input graph are traversed is controlled with a queue. Whenever new edge is added to GSS, its head vertex is enqueued
- The algorithm implements relaxed parsing: errors are not detected, erroneous strings are ignored

Algorithm: correctness

Correct tree – derivation tree of some string accumulated along the path in the input graph



Algorithm: correctness

Theorem (Termination)

Algorithm terminates for any input

Theorem (Correctness)

Every tree, generated from SPPF, is correct

Theorem (Correctness)


For every path p in the inner graph, recognized w.r.t. reference grammar, a correct tree corresponding to p can be generated from SPPF

Implementation

- The algorithm is implemented as a part of YaccConstructor project using F# programming language
- The generator of RNLGR parse tables and data structures for GSS and SPPF are reused

Evaluation

- The data is taken from the project of migration from MS-SQL to Oracle Server
- 2,7 lines of code, 2430 queries, 2188 successfully processed
- The number of queries which previously could not be processed because of timeout is decreased from 45 to 1



- The algorithm for parsing of regular approximation of dynamically generated string which constructs the finite representation of parse forest is developed
- Its termination and correctness are proved
- The algorithm is implemented as a part of YaccConstructor project
- The evaluation demonstrated it could be used for complex tasks