Error Recovery By Using Graph Parsing

Marat Khabibullin St. Petersburg Academic University 194021, Khlopina Str 8/3 St. Petersburg, Russia maratx387@gmail.com

Andrei Ivanov St. Petersburg State University 198504, Universitetsky prospekt 28 Peterhof, St. Petersburg, Russia

Semvon Grigorev St. Petersburg State University 198504, Universitetsky prospekt 28 Peterhof, St. Petersburg, Russia ivanovandrew2004@gmail.com rsdpisuy@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Abstract is very abstract. Abstract is very abstract.

Keywords

!!!!!

1. INTRODUCTION

ERROR RECOVERY ALGORITHM

Additional edges with error markers goes forward and with all tokens, goes in the its start vertex (as a result we have loops). Number of edges may be optimized by filtering with FIRST/REST and other functions

Select the best tree from SPPF after parsing finish.

Priority queue for descriptors. How to choose priority function?

Priority is a number of additional edges (not from the original input) in processed prefix. Suffix length.

3. EVALUATION

Calc.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2018 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-2138-9.

DOI: 10.1145/1235

Grammar.

Input generation Timing. Original GLL. GLL with error recovery. Cases: without errors, error in the end of file, in the middle, abd in the start.

4. DUSCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Prorotype. Future Work Evaluation. BlackBox project. Related Work