

# Conjunctive Path Querying by Matrix Multiplication

Rustam Azimov

Saint Petersburg State University

St. Petersburg, Russia

rustam.azimov19021995@gmail.com

Semyon Grigorev

Saint Petersburg State University

St. Petersburg, Russia

Semen.Grigorev@jetbrains.com

## ABSTRACT

## 1 INTRODUCTION

## 2 PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce the basic notions used throughout the paper.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of edge labels. Define an *edge-labeled directed graph* as a tuple  $D = (V, E)$  with a set of nodes  $V$  and a directed edge-relation  $E \subseteq V \times \Sigma \times V$ . For a path  $\pi$  in a graph  $D$  we denote the unique word obtained by concatenating the labels of the edges along the path  $\pi$  as  $l(\pi)$ . Also, we write  $n\pi m$  to indicate that a path  $\pi$  starts at node  $n \in V$  and ends at node  $m \in V$ .

Similar to the case of the context-free grammars, we deviate from the usual definition of a conjunctive grammar in the *binary normal form* [2] by not including a special start non-terminal, which will be specified in the queries to the graph. Since every conjunctive grammar can be transformed into an equivalent one in the binary normal form [2] and checking that an empty string is in the language is trivial, then it is sufficient to only consider grammars of the following type. A *conjunctive grammar* is 3-tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, P)$  where  $N$  is a finite set of non-terminals,  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of terminals, and  $P$  is a finite set of productions of the following forms:

- $A \rightarrow B_1 C_1 \& \dots \& B_m C_m$ , for  $m \geq 1$ ,  $A, B_i, C_i \in N$ ,
- $A \rightarrow x$ , for  $A \in N$  and  $x \in \Sigma$ .

For conjunctive grammars we also use the conventional notation  $A \xrightarrow{*} w$  to denote that the string  $w \in \Sigma^*$  can be derived from a non-terminal  $A$  by some sequence of applying the production rules from  $P$ . The relation  $\rightarrow$  is defined as follows:

- Using a rule  $A \rightarrow B_1 C_1 \& \dots \& B_m C_m \in P$ , any atomic subterm  $A$  of any term can be rewritten by the subterm  $(B_1 C_1 \& \dots \& B_m C_m)$ :

$$\dots A \dots \rightarrow \dots (B_1 C_1 \& \dots \& B_m C_m) \dots$$

- A conjunction of several identical strings in  $\Sigma^*$  can be rewritten by one such string: for every  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,

$$\dots (w \& \dots \& w) \dots \rightarrow \dots w \dots$$

The *language* of a conjunctive grammar  $G = (N, \Sigma, P)$  with respect to a start non-terminal  $S \in N$  is defined by  $L(G_S) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \xrightarrow{*} w\}$ .

For a given graph  $D = (V, E)$  and a conjunctive grammar  $G = (N, \Sigma, P)$ , we define *conjunctive relations*  $R_A \subseteq V \times V$ , for every  $A \in N$ , such that  $R_A = \{(n, m) \mid \exists n\pi m (l(\pi) \in L(G_A))\}$ .

We define a *conjunctive matrix multiplication*,  $a \circ b = c$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are matrices of the suitable size that have subsets of  $N$  as elements, as  $c_{i,j} = \{A \mid \exists A \rightarrow B_1 C_1 \& \dots \& B_m C_m \in P \text{ such that } (B_k, C_k) \in d_{i,j}\}$ , where  $d_{i,j} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n a_{i,k} \times b_{k,j}$ .

We define the *conjunctive transitive closure* of a square matrix  $a$  as  $a^{conj} = a^{(1)} \cup a^{(2)} \cup \dots$  where  $a^{(i)} = a^{(i-1)} \cup (a^{(i-1)} \circ a^{(i-1)})$ ,  $i \geq 2$  and  $a^{(1)} = a$ .

## 3 RELATED WORKS

## 4 CONJUNCTIVE PATH QUERYING BY THE CALCULATION OF TRANSITIVE CLOSURE

Since the query evaluation using the relational query semantics and conjunctive grammars is undecidable problem [1] then we propose an algorithm that calculates the over-approximation of all conjunctive relations  $R_A$ .

### 4.1 Reducing conjunctive path querying to transitive closure

In this section, we show how the over-approximation of all conjunctive relations  $R_A$  can be calculated by computing the transitive closure.

Let  $G = (N, \Sigma, P)$  be a conjunctive grammar and  $D = (V, E)$  be a graph. We number the nodes of the graph  $D$  from 0 to  $(|V| - 1)$  and we associate the nodes with their numbers. We initialize  $|V| \times |V|$  matrix  $b$  with  $\emptyset$ . Further, for every  $i$  and  $j$  we set  $b_{i,j} = \{A_k \mid ((i, x, j) \in E) \wedge ((A_k \rightarrow x) \in P)\}$ . Finally, we compute the conjunctive transitive closure  $b^{conj} = b^{(1)} \cup b^{(2)} \cup \dots$  where  $b^{(i)} = b^{(i-1)} \cup (b^{(i-1)} \circ b^{(i-1)})$ ,  $i \geq 2$  and  $b^{(1)} = b$ . For the conjunctive transitive closure  $b^{conj}$ , the following statements holds.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $D = (V, E)$  be a graph, let  $G = (N, \Sigma, P)$  be a conjunctive grammar. Then for any  $i, j$  and for any non-terminal  $A \in N$ , if  $(i, j) \in R_A$  and  $i\pi j$ , such that there is a derivation tree according to the string  $l(\pi)$  and a conjunctive grammar  $G_A = (N, \Sigma, P, A)$  of the height  $h \leq k$  then  $A \in b_{i,j}^{(k)}$ .*

**PROOF.** (Proof by Induction)

**Basis:** Show that the statement of the lemma holds for  $k = 1$ . For any  $i, j$  and for any non-terminal  $A \in N$ , if  $(i, j) \in R_A$  and  $i\pi j$ , such that there is a derivation tree according to the string  $l(\pi)$  and a conjunctive grammar  $G_A = (N, \Sigma, P, A)$  of the height  $h \leq 1$  then there is edge  $e$  from node  $i$  to node  $j$  and  $(A \rightarrow x) \in P$  where  $x = l(\pi)$ . Therefore  $A \in b_{i,j}^{(1)}$  and it has been shown that the statement of the lemma holds for  $k = 1$ .

**Inductive step:** Assume that the statement of the lemma holds for any  $k \leq (p - 1)$  and show that it also holds for  $k = p$  where  $p \geq 2$ . Let  $(i, j) \in R_A$  and  $i\pi j$ , such that there is a derivation tree according to the string  $l(\pi)$  and a conjunctive grammar  $G_A = (N, \Sigma, P, A)$  of the height  $h \leq p$ .

Let  $h < p$ . Then by the inductive hypothesis  $A \in b_{i,j}^{(p-1)}$ . Since  $b^{(p)} = b^{(p-1)} \cup (b^{(p-1)} \circ b^{(p-1)})$  then  $A \in b_{i,j}^{(p)}$  and the statement of the lemma holds for  $k = p$ .

Let  $h = p$ . Let  $A \rightarrow B_1 C_1 \& \dots \& B_m C_m$  be the rule corresponding to the root of the derivation tree from the assumption

of the lemma. Therefore the heights of all subtrees corresponding to non-terminals  $B_1, C_1, \dots, B_m, C_m$  are less than  $p$ . Then by the inductive hypothesis  $B_x \in b_{i,t_x}^{(p-1)}$  and  $C_x \in b_{t_x,j}^{(p-1)}$ , for  $x = 1 \dots m$  and  $t_x \in V$ . Let  $d$  be a matrix that have subsets of  $N \times N$  as elements, where  $d_{i,j} = \bigcup_{t=1}^n b_{i,t}^{(p-1)} \times b_{t,j}^{(p-1)}$ . Therefore  $(B_x, C_x) \in d_{i,j}$ , for  $x = 1 \dots m$ . Since  $b^{(p)} = b^{(p-1)} \cup (b^{(p-1)} \circ b^{(p-1)})$  and  $(b^{(p-1)} \circ b^{(p-1)})_{i,j} = \{A \mid \exists(A \rightarrow B_1 C_1 \& \dots \& B_m C_m) \in P \text{ such that } (B_k, C_k) \in d_{i,j}\}$  then  $A \in b_{i,j}^{(p)}$  and the statement of the lemma holds for  $k = p$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $D = (V, E)$  be a graph and let  $G = (N, \Sigma, P)$  be a conjunctive grammar. Then for any  $i, j$  and for any non-terminal  $A \in N$ , if  $(i, j) \in R_A$  then  $A \in b_{i,j}^{conj}$ .*

**PROOF.** By the lemma 4.1, if  $(i, j) \in R_A$  then  $A \in b_{i,j}^{(k)}$  for some  $k$ , such that  $i\pi j$  with a derivation tree according to the string  $l(\pi)$  and a conjunctive grammar  $G_A = (N, \Sigma, P, A)$  of the height  $h \leq k$ . Since the matrix  $b^{conj} = b^{(1)} \cup b^{(2)} \cup \dots$ , then for any  $i, j$  and for any non-terminal  $A \in N$ , if  $A \in b_{i,j}^{(k)}$  for some  $k \geq 1$  then  $A \in b_{i,j}^{conj}$ . Therefore, if  $(i, j) \in R_A$  then  $A \in b_{i,j}^{conj}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Thus, we show how the over-approximation of all conjunctive relations  $R_A$  can be calculated by computing the conjunctive transitive closure  $b^{conj}$  of the matrix  $b$ .

## 4.2 The algorithm

In this section we introduce an algorithm for calculating the conjunctive transitive closure  $b^{conj}$  which was discussed in Section 4.1.

The following algorithm takes on input a graph  $D = (V, E)$  and a conjunctive grammar  $G = (N, \Sigma, P)$ .

---

### Algorithm 1 Conjunctive recognizer for graphs

---

```

1: function CONJUNCTIVEGRAPHPARSING( $D, G$ )
2:    $n \leftarrow$  a number of nodes in  $D$ 
3:    $E \leftarrow$  the directed edge-relation from  $D$ 
4:    $P \leftarrow$  a set of production rules in  $G$ 
5:    $T \leftarrow$  a matrix  $n \times n$  in which each element is  $\emptyset$ 
6:   for all  $(i, x, j) \in E$  do ▷ Matrix initialization
7:      $T_{i,j} \leftarrow T_{i,j} \cup \{A \mid (A \rightarrow x) \in P\}$ 
8:   while matrix  $T$  is changing do
9:      $T \leftarrow T \cup (T \circ T)$  ▷ Transitive closure calculation
10:  return  $T$ 

```

---

Similar to the case of the context-free grammars we can show that the Algorithm 1 terminates in a finite number of steps. Since each element of the matrix  $T$  contains no more than  $|N|$  non-terminals, then total number of non-terminals in the matrix  $T$  does not exceed  $|V|^2|N|$ . Therefore, the following theorem holds.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $D = (V, E)$  be a graph and let  $G = (N, \Sigma, P)$  be a conjunctive grammar. Algorithm 1 terminates in a finite number of steps.*

**PROOF.** It is sufficient to show, that the operation in line 9 of the Algorithm 1 changes the matrix  $T$  only finite number of times. Since this operation can only add non-terminals to some elements of the matrix  $T$ , but not remove them, it can change the matrix  $T$  no more than  $|V|^2|N|$  times.  $\square$

## 5 EVALUATION

## 6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to ... for their careful reading, pointing out some mistakes, and invaluable suggestions. This work is supported by grant from JetBrains Research.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Hellings. 2014. Conjunctive context-free path queries. (2014).
- [2] Alexander Okhotin. 2013. Conjunctive and Boolean grammars: the true general case of the context-free grammars. *Computer Science Review* 9 (2013), 27–59.