Context-Free Path Queries and Sparse Matrix Multiplication

Ekaterina Shemetova The Thørväld Group Hekla, Iceland larst@affiliation.org

Julia Susanina Inria Paris-Rocquencourt Rocquencourt, France

ABSTRACT

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computer systems organization → Embedded systems; *Redundancy*; Robotics; • Networks → Network reliability.

KEYWORDS

datasets, neural networks, gaze detection, text tagging

ACM Reference Format:

Ekaterina Shemetova, Arseniy Terekhov, Julia Susanina, and Semyon Grigorev. 2018. Context-Free Path Queries and Sparse Matrix Multiplication. In Woodstock '18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456

1 INTRODUCTION

Context-Free Path Querying (CFPQ) is a sublcass of Language-constrained path problem, where language is set to be Context-Free.

Importance of CFPQ. Existing theoretical results

Linear input. Valiant [7], Lee [6].

Yannacacis [8]? Reps?

Bradford [2]

RSM [5].

C alias analysis [9]

Chatterjee [4]

For trees

Truly-subcubic algorithm is stil an open problem.

Truly-subcubic for Language Editing Distance [3].

Matrices Can we improve that

2 PRELIMINARIES

The function nnz(A) denotes the number of non-zero elements in matrix A

Let $i\pi j$ denote a unique path between nodes i and j of the graph and

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Woodstock '18, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY © 2018 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15. https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456 Arseniy Terekhov Inria Paris-Rocquencourt Rocquencourt, France

Semyon Grigorev Rajiv Gandhi University Doimukh, Arunachal Pradesh, India

 $l(\pi)$ denotes a unique string which is obtained from the concatenation of edge labels along the path π . For a context-free grammar $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ and directed labelled graph $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta)$, a triple (A, i, j) is *realizable* iff there is a path $i\pi j$ such that nonterminal $A \in N$ derives $l(\pi)$.

Short description of the Rustam algorithm + pseudocode ??.

Algorithm 1 Context-free recognizer for graphs

```
1: function CONTEXTFREEPATHQUERYING(D, G)
2: n \leftarrow the number of nodes in D
3: E \leftarrow the directed edge-relation from D
4: P \leftarrow the set of production rules in G
5: T \leftarrow the matrix n \times n in which each element is \emptyset
6: for all (i, x, j) \in E do \triangleright Matrix initialization
7: T_{i,j} \leftarrow T_{i,j} \cup \{A \mid (A \rightarrow x) \in P\}
8: while matrix T is changing do
9: T \leftarrow T \cup (T \times T) \triangleright Transitive closure T^{cf} calculation
10: return T
```

3 CUBIC UPPER BOUND USING SPARSE MATRIX MULTIPLICATION

In this section we show that the Algorithm 1 has complexity $O(n^3)$ if sparse matrix multiplication is used. The reason is that one needs to compute at most $|N|n^2$ realizable triples in total during all iterations of the algorithm, whereas the number of new triples found on each i-th iteration can be relatively small. The number of operations of the i-th iteration can be reduced by multiplying the matrix $B_{(i-2)}$ containing all the previously found triples, on the matrix $A_{(i-1)}$ which has only those triples firstly obtained in the (i-1)-th iteration. In other words, we have:

$$B_i = B_{i-1} + A_i, \tag{1}$$

where

$$A_{i} = (B_{i-2}A_{i-1} + A_{i-1}B_{i-2} + A_{i-1}A_{i-1}) - B_{i-2}.$$
 (2)

So the following two conditions hold:

- (1) $\forall i, B_{(i-2)} \cap A_{(i-1)} = \emptyset;$
- (2) $\forall i, j, A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$.

Notice that the first condition implies that one of the two multiplied matrices should be sparse, because $nnz(B_{(i-2)}) + nnz(A_{(i-1)}) \le |N|n^2$. Also, by the second condition matrices A are pairwise dis-

joint, therefore
$$nnz(\sum_{i=1}^{n^2} A_i) \le |N|n^2$$
.

The Algorithm 1 can be modified using Equations 1 and 2 instead of the naive calculation of transitive closure on every iteration. It is important that the modified agorithm has the same number of iterations in the worst case as the original one $-|N|n^2=O(n^2)$. This is because the height of the parse tree does not exceed this value. As in the Algorithm 1, modified version derives new triples, going from leaves to the root of the parse tree.

Theorem 3.1. The matrix B_{n^2} containing all possible realizable triples can be calculated in $O(n^3)$ time.

PROOF. The correctness of the algorithm can be easily deduced from the correctness of the Algorithm 1 [1]. Now we show the cubic time complexity of the modified algorithm. Consider the equation for calculating B_{n^2} :

$$\begin{split} B_{n^2} &= B_{n^2-1} + B_{i-2}A_{i-1} + A_{i-1}B_{i-2} + A_{i-1}A_{i-1} = \\ &= B_{n^2-2} + B_{i-3}A_{i-2} + A_{i-2}B_{i-3} + A_{i-2}A_{i-2} + \\ &+ B_{i-2}A_{i-1} + A_{i-1}B_{i-2} + A_{i-1}A_{i-1} = \dots = \\ &= B_1 + B_1B_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n^2-1} B_{i-2}A_{i-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n^2-1} A_{i-1}B_{i-2} + \sum_{i=2}^{n^2-1} A_{i-1}A_{i-1}. \end{split}$$

Suppose, without loss of generality, that the matrix B_{i-2} is dense, than the matrix A_{i-1} is sparse. Using naive sparse matrix multiplication algorithm, we have that $O(nnz(A_{i-1})n)$ operations are needed for multiplication of the matrix B_{i-2} and the matrix A_{i-1} . Let T(AB) be the number of operations that need to be performed to multiply matrices A and B. Thus, the total number of operation for obtaining B_{n^2} is in

$$\begin{split} T(B_1B_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n^2-1} T(B_{i-2}A_{i-1}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n^2-1} T(A_{i-1}B_{i-2}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n^2-1} T(A_{i-1}A_{i-1}) = \\ &= O(n^\omega) + O(\sum_{i=2}^{n^2-1} nnz(A_{i-1})n) = \\ &= O(n^\omega) + O(nnz(\sum_{i=2}^{n^2-1} A_{i-1})n) = O(|N|n^2n) = O(n^3). \end{split}$$

REFERENCES

[1] Rustam Azimov and Semyon Grigorev. 2018. Context-free Path Querying by Matrix Multiplication. In Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGMOD Joint International Workshop on Graph Data Management Experiences & Systems (GRADES) and Network Data Analytics (NDA) (Houston, Texas) (GRADES-NDA '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article 5, 10 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3210259.3210264

П

- [2] P. G. Bradford. 2017. Efficient exact paths for dyck and semi-dyck labeled path reachability (extended abstract). In 2017 IEEE 8th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics and Mobile Communication Conference (UEMCON). 247–253. https://doi.org/10.1109/UEMCON.2017.8249039
- [3] Karl Bringmann, Fabrizio Grandoni, Barna Saha, and Virginia Vassilevska Williams. 2019. Truly Subcubic Algorithms for Language Edit Distance and RNA Folding via Fast Bounded-Difference Min-Plus Product. SIAM J. Comput. 48, 2 (2019), 481–512. https://doi.org/10.1137/17M112720X arXiv:https://doi.org/10.1137/17M112720X
- [4] Krishnendu Chatterjee, Bhavya Choudhary, and Andreas Pavlogiannis. 2017. Optimal Dyck Reachability for Data-Dependence and Alias Analysis. Proc. ACM Program. Lang. 2, POPL, Article 30 (Dec. 2017), 30 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3158118
- [5] Swarat Chaudhuri. 2008. Subcubic Algorithms for Recursive State Machines. In Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (San Francisco, California, USA) (POPL '08). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 159–169. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 1328438.1328460

- [6] Lillian Lee. 2002. Fast Context-free Grammar Parsing Requires Fast Boolean Matrix Multiplication. J. ACM 49, 1 (Jan. 2002), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1145/505241. 505242
- [7] Leslie G. Valiant. 1975. General Context-free Recognition in Less Than Cubic Time.
 J. Comput. Syst. Sci. 10, 2 (April 1975), 308–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0000(75)80046-8
- [8] Mihalis Yannakakis. 1990. Graph-theoretic methods in database theory. In Proceedings of the ninth ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART symposium on Principles of database systems. ACM, 230–242.
- [9] Qirun Zhang, Xiao Xiao, Charles Zhang, Hao Yuan, and Zhendong Su. 2014. Efficient Subcubic Alias Analysis for C. SIGPLAN Not. 49, 10 (Oct. 2014), 829–845. https://doi.org/10.1145/2714064.2660213