# CS 3600 Project 3 Wrapper

CS 3600 - Symples Spring 2022

Due April 10th 2022

### Context





Figure 1: Example Bayesian network for medical diagnosis. Source: http://song.bayesian.net/index.php/Bayesian\_net

Probabilistic inference over Bayesian networks is a standard AI technique for medical diagnosis. Bayesian networks represent complex causal relationships between patient information, medical conditions, and symptoms. Probabilistic inference allows us to compute diagnostic queries, determining the likelihood of medical conditions given observed symptoms as evidence. Use the example Bayes net above as a prompt for the following questions.

Recall that the naïve Bayes assumption is that no effects of a cause are also causes of each other. If two effects are correlated it is because they are related to the same, underlying cause. The naïve Bayes model provides an alternative representation for diagnostic inference. Draw a Bayes net representing the naïve Bayes model for diagnosing Flu given its symptoms (assume the symptoms of Flu are every successor of Flu in the Bayes net in Figure 1). Which model (the Bayes net in Figure 1 or the naïve Bayes model that you've constructed) is a richer representation? That is to say, is there anything we can represent with one model that we cannot represent with the other model?

SICK <sub>t-1</sub>	P(SICK <sub>t</sub> = T   SICK <sub>t-1</sub> )	P(SICK <sub>t</sub> = F   SICK <sub>t-1</sub> )
т	0.7	0.3
F	0.5	0.5



The traditional Dynamic Bayes Net has an unobservable random variable  $X_t$  that has a single parent of the value of  $X_{t-1}$ , which is the value of X at the previous time step. For example,  $SICK_t$  is conditioned on  $SICK_{t-1}$ . This can capture a relationship such as "when one is sick, the probability is high that one is still sick at the next time step, and when one is not sick, one can become sick or stay well with equal probability". See the image for an example. However, if one were to use this Bayes network to predict the future, the model may conclude that people become sick randomly and then stay sick. This setup does not account for second-order effects, such as: "after one is sick for a while, the probability is high that one stops being sick". A 2-Markov assumption states that an unobservable random variable  $X_t$  is conditioned on  $X_{t-1}$  and  $X_{t-2}$ . Using a time step equal to a week, draw a 2-Markov Dynamic Bayes Network that captures the intuition that one can become sick at any time. When one is sick one is likely to remain sick unless they have been sick for two weeks, at which time they are likely to cease being sick. When one is sick, the probability of cough is high and when one is not sick, the probability of cough is low. Show all the conditional probability tables; make up reasonable numbers to express the relationships described above.

Medical diagnosis with Bayesian networks are currently used as a decision support systems by healthcare professionals. An expert can input patient information and observed symptoms, and the decision support system outputs a set of possible diagnoses with associated likelihoods, but the final diagnosis decision is up to the medical professional. Why should we require a human supervisor to accept or override the decision of the AI diagnosis system? Name two (2) potential sources of error or unaccounted for situations for these Bayes net diagnosis models that are mitigated by having a trained healthcare professional make the final diagnosis decision.

Publicly accessible online services often use databases and symptom matching to inform users of possible medical conditions given a list of symptoms. These services do not provide diagnosis likelihoods. Could providing a free online service with Bayes-net-based medical diagnosis have negative impacts on human behavior? Could they have positive impacts? If you answered yes to either question, give one example. If you answered no, explain why not.