

Testing

Introduction



Copyright © Software Carpentry 2010

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License

See http://software-carpentry.org/license.html for more information.



Nobody enjoys testing



Nobody enjoys testing

So you can skip this lecture *if*



- your programs always work correctly, or



- your programs always work correctly, or
- you don't care if they're correct or not, so long as their output looks plausible, and



- your programs always work correctly, or
- you don't care if they're correct or not, so long as their output looks plausible, and
- you like being inefficient



- your programs always work correctly, or
- you don't care if they're correct or not, so long as their output looks plausible, and
- you like being inefficient

The more you invest in quality, the less total time it takes to build working software





- if the program is doing what it's supposed to



- if the program is doing what it's supposed to
- what the program actually is supposed to do



- if the program is doing what it's supposed to
- what the program actually is supposed to do

Tests are runnable specifications



- if the program is doing what it's supposed to
- what the program actually is supposed to do
 Tests are runnable specifications
- Less likely to fall out of sync with the program than documentation



Quality is *not* just testing



Quality is *not* just testing

Trying to improve the quality of software by doing more testing is like trying to lose weight by weighing yourself more often.

- Steve McConnell



Quality is *not* just testing

Trying to improve the quality of software by doing more testing is like trying to lose weight by weighing yourself more often.

- Steve McConnell

Good tests localize problems to speed up debugging



Testing comparison of 7-digit phone numbers



Testing comparison of 7-digit phone numbers

10⁷ possible numbers



Testing comparison of 7-digit phone numbers 10^7 possible numbers

(10⁷)² possible pairs of numbers



Testing comparison of 7-digit phone numbers

10⁷ possible numbers

 $(10^7)^2$ possible pairs of numbers

At 10⁶ million tests/sec, that's 155 days



Testing comparison of 7-digit phone numbers

10⁷ possible numbers

 $(10^7)^2$ possible pairs of numbers

At 10⁶ million tests/sec, that's 155 days

...and then you start testing the next function



How do you know that your tests are correct?



"All" testing can do is show that there *might* be a problem



"It might work in practice, but it'll never work in theory."



If testing isn't easy, people won't do it



If testing isn't easy, people won't do it

Must be easy to:



add or change tests



- add or change tests
- understand existing tests



- add or change tests
- understand existing tests
- run tests



- add or change tests
- understand existing tests
- run tests
- understand test results



- add or change tests
- understand existing tests
- run tests
- understand test results

And test results must be reliable



- add or change tests
- understand existing tests
- run tests
- understand test results

And test results must be reliable

No false positives or false negatives



Testing



fixture



fixture

What the test is run on



fixture

action



fixture

action

—

What's done to the fixture



fixture

action

expected result



fixture

action

expected result

What *should* happen



fixture

action

expected result

actual result



fixture

action

expected result

actual result

What *actually*

happened



fixture

action

expected result

actual result

report



fixture

action

expected result

actual result

report

Summary





True if second argument is a prefix of the first



True if second argument is a prefix of the first

False otherwise



True if second argument is a prefix of the first

False otherwise

dna_starts_with('actggt', 'act') => True



True if second argument is a prefix of the first

False otherwise

```
dna_starts_with('actggt', 'act') => True
```

dna_starts_with('actggt', 'ctg') => False



True if second argument is a prefix of the first

False otherwise

```
dna_starts_with('actggt', 'act') => True
dna_starts_with('actggt', 'agt') => False
```

Do this one from scratch to show ideas



True if second argument is a prefix of the first

False otherwise

dna_starts_with('actggt', 'act') => True

dna_starts_with('actggt', 'agt') => False

Do this one from scratch to show ideas

Then introduce a library that can take care of the repetitive bits



```
# Test directly
assert dna_starts_with('a', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'at')
assert not dna_starts_with('at', 't')
```



```
# Test directly
assert dna_starts_with('a', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'at')
assert not dna_starts_with('at', 't')
```



```
# Test directly
assert dna_starts_with('a', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'at')
assert not dna_starts_with('at', 't')
```

...but there's a lot of repeated code...



```
# Test directly
assert dna_starts_with('a', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'at')
assert not dna_starts_with('at', 't')
```

...but there's a lot of repeated code...

...and it's easy to overlook that not...



```
# Test directly
assert dna_starts_with('a', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'a')
assert dna_starts_with('at', 'at')
assert not dna_starts_with('at', 't')
```

...but there's a lot of repeated code...

...and it's easy to overlook that not...

...and it only tests up to the first failure



```
# Tests in table

# Sequence Prefix Expected

Tests = [
    ['a', 'a', True],
    ['at', 'a', True],
    ['at', 'at', True],
    ['at, 't', False]
]
```



```
# Tests in table

# Sequence Prefix Expected

Tests = [
    ['a', 'a', True],
    ['at', 'a', True],
    ['at', 'at', True],
    ['at, 't', False]
]
```

Easy to read



```
# Tests in table
# Sequence Prefix Expected
Tests = [
  ['a', 'a', True],
  ['at', 'a', True],
  ['at', 'at', True],
  ['at, 't', False]
]
```

Easy to read

Easy to add new tests



```
# Run and report
passes = 0
for (seq, prefix, expected) in Tests:
  if dna_starts_with(seq, prefix) == expected:
    passes += 1
print '%d/%d tests passed' % (passes, len(Tests))
```



```
# Run and report

passes = 0

for (seq, prefix, expected) in Tests:
   if dna_starts_with(seq, prefix) == expected:
     passes += 1

print '%d/%d tests passed' % (passes, len(Tests))
```

No runnable code is copied when adding tests



```
# Run and report

passes = 0

for (seq, prefix, expected) in Tests:
   if dna_starts_with(seq, prefix) == expected:
     passes += 1

print '%d/%d tests passed' % (passes, len(Tests))
```

No runnable code is copied when adding tests

But when tests fail, we don't know which ones



```
# Run and report

passes = 0

for (i, (seq, prefix, expected)) in enumerate(Tests):
   if dna_starts_with(seq, prefix) == expected:
     passes += 1
   else:
     print 'test %d failed' % i

print '%d/%d tests passed' % (passes, len(Tests))
```



```
# Run and report
passes = 0
for (i, (seq, prefix, expected)) in enumerate(Tests):
  if dna_starts_with(seq, prefix) == expected:
    passes += 1
  else:
    print 'test %d failed' % i
print '%d/%d tests passed' % (passes, len(Tests))
```

Produces (index, element) for each element of list



```
# Run and report
passes = 0
for (i, (seq, prefix, expected)) in enumerate(Tests):
  if dna_starts_with(seq, prefix) == expected:
    passes += 1
  else:
    print 'test %d failed' % i
print '%d/%d tests passed' % (passes, len(Tests))
```

Decompose into variables by matching structure



```
# Run and report
passes = 0
for (i, (seq, prefix, expected)) in enumerate(Tests):
  if dna_starts_with(seq, prefix) == expected:
    passes += 1
  else:
    print 'test %d failed' % i
print '%d/%d tests passed' % (passes, len(Tests))
```

Test passes as before



```
# Run and report
passes = 0
for (i, (seq, prefix, expected)) in enumerate(Tests):
  if dna_starts_with(seq, prefix) == expected:
    passes += 1
  else:
    print 'test %d failed' % i
print '%d/%d tests passed' % (passes, len(Tests))
```

Summarize results that don't need attention



```
# Run and report
passes = 0
for (i, (seq, prefix, expected)) in enumerate(Tests):
  if dna_starts_with(seq, prefix) == expected:
    passes += 1
  else:
    print 'test %d failed' % i
print '%d/%d tests passed' % (passes, len(Tests))
```

Report each result that needs attention separately



This pattern is used for testing over and over



This pattern is used for testing over and over

Many libraries to support it in many languages



This pattern is used for testing over and over Many libraries to support it in many languages We'll look at one that comes with Python



This pattern is used for testing over and over Many libraries to support it in many languages We'll look at one that comes with Python But first, we'll look at how to handle errors in programs systematically



created by

Greg Wilson

July 2010



Copyright © Software Carpentry 2010
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License
See http://software-carpentry.org/license.html for more information.