Problem 1. Parallelize this code to normalize a vector

- *Proof.* It was interesting. I wasn't able to get more than a 4x speedup from parallelize with only one node. It got better with more nodes, but very slowly. Probably because of the large overhead and large amount of waiting.
 - Pasted below are my code, makefile, and submission file

Listing 1: Parallel Normalize vector

```
#include <iostream>
#include <cstdlib>
#include <cmath>
#include <omp.h>
#define _USE_MATH_DEFINES
// function to compute the 2-norm of a vector v of length n
double norm(double *v, int n){
   double norm = 0.;
   for(int i=0; i<n; i++)</pre>
       norm += v[i]*v[i];
   return sqrt(norm);
}
// initialise v to values between -10 and 10
void initialize(double *v, int n){
   for(int i=0; i<n; i++)</pre>
       v[i] = cos(double(i)) * 10.;
}
void normalize_vector(double *v, int n){
   double norm = 0.;
   // compute the norm of v
   for(int i=0; i<n; i++)</pre>
       norm += v[i]*v[i];
   norm = sqrt(norm);
   // normalize v
   for(int i=0; i<n; i++)
       v[i] /= norm;
}
void normalize_vector_omp(double *v, int n)
{
```

```
double norm = 0.;
    // compute norm of v
    #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:norm)
    for (int i=0; i<n; i++) {
       norm += v[i]*v[i];
    }
    norm = sqrt(norm);
    // normalize v
    #pragma omp parallel
      #pragma omp for
      for(int i=0; i<n; i++)
          v[i] /= norm;
    }
}
int main( void ){
   const int N = 40000000;
   double *v = (double*)malloc(N*sizeof(double));
   bool validated = false;
   initialize(v, N);
   double time_serial = -omp_get_wtime();
   normalize_vector(v, N);
   time_serial += omp_get_wtime();
   // chck the answer
   std::cout << "serial error : " << fabs(norm(v,N) - 1.) << std::endl;
   int max_threads = omp_get_max_threads();
   initialize(v, N);
   double time_parallel = -omp_get_wtime();
   normalize_vector_omp(v, N);
   time_parallel += omp_get_wtime();
   // chck the answer
   std::cout << "parallel error : " << fabs(norm(v,N) - 1.) << std::endl;</pre>
   std::cout << max_threads << " threads" << std::endl;</pre>
   std::cout << "serial : " << time_serial << " seconds\t"</pre>
             << "parallel : " << time_parallel << " seconds" << std::endl;</pre>
   std::cout << "speedup : " << time_serial/time_parallel << std::endl;</pre>
   std::cout << "efficiency : " <<</pre>
       (time_serial/time_parallel)/double(max_threads) << std::endl;</pre>
   free(v);
```

```
return 0;
}
```

Listing 2: Make File

```
######################################
all: dot.exec \
   pi.exec \
    norm.exec
## Compile dot_prod
dot.exec: dot_prod.cpp
      g++ dot_prod.cpp -fopenmp -o dot.exec
## compile serial_pi
pi.exec: serial_pi.cpp
      g++ serial_pi.cpp -fopenmp -o pi.exec
## compile serial_pi
norm.exec: normalize_vec.cpp
      g++ normalize_vec.cpp -fopenmp -o norm.exec
clean:
      rm -rf *.exec
```

Listing 3: Submit file

```
#!/bin/bash
# a sample job submission script to submit an OpenMP job to the sandyb
# partition on Midway1 please change the --partition option if you want to use
# another partition on Midway1

# set the job name to hello-openmp
#SBATCH --job-name=Norm_vec

# send output to hello-openmp.out
#SBATCH --output=norm-vec-openmp.out

# this job requests node
#SBATCH --ntasks=2

# and request 8 cpus per task for OpenMP threads
#SBATCH --cpus-per-task=8

# this job will run in the sandyb partition on Midway1
```

```
#SBATCH --partition=sandyb

# set OMP_NUM_THREADS to the number of --cpus-per-task we asked for
export OMP_NUM_THREADS=$SLURM_CPUS_PER_TASK

# Run the process with mpirun. Notice -n is not required. mpirun will
# automatically figure out how many processes to run from the slurm options
### openmp executable
./norm.exec
```

Problem 2. Parallelize this dot product code

Proof. • It took about .7 seconds (un-parallelize) and then even longer .86 seconds when run parallelized this makes sense because of the overhead.

- It took about .4 seconds parallelized with 8 threads
- \bullet with an array of 500,000,000 , it took 2 seconds parallelized with 8 threads and my run with 1 thread was killed :(
- with array of 10,000, 8 threads took 1.934e-4 seconds and 1 thread took 9.45628e-05 seconds. This is wild because fewer threads took less time. Amdahl's law strikes again!

Listing 4: Parallel Dot product vector

```
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>
#include <omp.h>
int main(void){
   const int N = 10000;
   std::vector<double> a(N);
   std::vector<double> b(N);
   int num_threads = omp_get_max_threads();
   std::cout << "dot of vectors with length " << N << " with " << num_threads <<
       " threads" << std::endl;
   // initialize the vectors
   for(int i=0; i<N; i++) {</pre>
       a[i] = 1./2.;
       b[i] = double(i+1);
   }
   double time = -omp_get_wtime();
   double dot=0.;
```

Problem 3. MonteCarlo Estimate Pi

Listing 5: Parallel Dot product vector

```
Proof.
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <math.h>
#include <omp.h>
int main()
{
   const int niter = 10000000;
   double x,y;
   int count=0;
   double z;
   double pi;
   int threadID;
   //main loop
   #pragma omp parallel private(x, y, z, threadID)
   {
     threadID = omp_get_thread_num();
     srand(threadID);
   #pragma omp for reduction(+:count)
   for (int i=0; i<niter; ++i) {</pre>
       //get random points
       x = (double)random()/RAND_MAX;
       y = (double)random()/RAND_MAX;
       z = sqrt((x*x)+(y*y));
```

```
//check to see if point is in unit circle
if (z<=1)
{
         ++count;
}

/* end omp parallel */
}

pi = ((double)count/(double)niter)*4.0;  //p = 4(m/n)
printf("Pi: %f\n", pi);
return 0;
}</pre>
```