

Problem Set #1

Measure Theory, Jan Ertl

Alex Weinberg

1

Solution 1. (1.3)

1. Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}$ open. So $A \in \mathcal{G}_1$ by definition. Take $A^c \in \mathbb{R}$ which is closed by properties of open/closed sets.
 $\Rightarrow A^c \notin \mathcal{G}_1$ by definition of \mathcal{G}_1
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$ not closed under complements. So \mathcal{G}_1 is not an algebra. \square

2. WTS: \mathcal{G}_2 algebra

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{G}_2$
 $\Rightarrow \emptyset \in \mathcal{G}_2$
- Suppose $A_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_j} (a_i, b_i]$
So then $\bigcup_j^M A_j = \bigcup_j^M (\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_j} ((a_i, b_i]) \in \mathcal{G}_2$
- Suppose $A_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_j} (a_i, b_i]$
So then complement $(-\infty, a_1] \cup (b_n, \infty) \in \mathcal{G}_2$ \square

So \mathcal{G}_2 is an algebra.

3. WTS: \mathcal{G}_3 sig-alg

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{G}_3$
- Suppose $A_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_j} ((a_i, b_i] \cup (-\infty, b] \cup (a, \infty))$
So then $\bigcup_j^\infty A_j = \bigcup_j^\infty (\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_j} ((a_i, b_i] \cup (-\infty, b] \cup (a, \infty))) \in \mathcal{G}_3$ \square

So, \mathcal{G}_3 is a sigma algebra

Solution 2. (1.7) Suppose \mathcal{A} is an sigma algebra.

WTS: $\{\emptyset, X\} \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$

Pf: $\emptyset \in S$ for every S sigma algebra. Also, S must be closed under complements therefore $\emptyset^c = X \in S$. So the smallest possible sigma algebra is $\{\emptyset, X\}$. Also, suppose $A \in \mathcal{A}$ so $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ because $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$
 $\therefore \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$

Solution 3. (1.10)

- i) $\emptyset \in S_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha$ by definition of sig-alg. $\Rightarrow \emptyset \in \bigcap^\alpha S_\alpha$
- ii) suppose $A_1, \dots \in \bigcap^\alpha S_\alpha$ this implies $A_1, \dots \in S_\alpha \forall \alpha$
So the union of $A_i \in S_\alpha$ for every alpha.

So $\cup A_1, \dots \in \cap^\infty S_\alpha$

Also, suppose $A \in \cap S_\alpha$ now we know that $A \in S_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha$ by definition of sigma-algebra.
 $A^c \in S_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha$ so, $A^c \in \cap S_\alpha$ Therefore intersection is a sigma algebra.

Solution 4. (1.17)

i) We know

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

if

$$A \cap B = \emptyset$$

. Now suppose $A \subset B$ and $B = A \cup U$.

So,

$$\mu(A \cup U) = \mu(A) + \mu(U) \geq \mu(A)$$

because measure is valued on positive reals.

ii) We know,

$$\mu(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(A_i) - \mu(\cap_i A_i)$$

So,

$$\mu(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) + \underbrace{\mu(\cap_i^\infty A_i)}_{\geq 0} = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(A_i)$$

Solution 5. (1.18)

WTS:

$$\lambda(A) = \mu(A \cap B)$$

Pf:

$$A, B \subset S \Rightarrow (A \cap B) \subset S. \Rightarrow \emptyset \cap B = \emptyset$$

So, i)

$$\lambda(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

And because intersection is in S and ,

$$\lambda(A) = \mu(A \cap B) \Rightarrow \lambda(\cup^\infty A_i) = \mu(\cup^\infty (A_i \cap B)) = \sum_{\lambda(A_i)}^\infty \underbrace{\mu(A_i \cap B)}_{\lambda(A_i)} = \sum^\infty \lambda(A_i)$$

Solution 6. (1.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu(A_1) - \mu(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n)) \\
&= \mu(A_1 \cap \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) \\
&= \mu(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_1 \cap A_n)) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_1 \cap A_n) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(A_1) - \mu(A_n)) \\
&= \mu(A_1) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \\
&\therefore \mu(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)
\end{aligned}$$

2

Solution 7. (2.10) We know from the countable subadditivity of the outer measure that

$$\mu^*(B) \leq \mu^*(B \cap E) + \mu^*(B \cap E^c)$$

So the if \geq from the theorem holds, and \leq holds from definition of outer measure and it is equivalent to replace it with equality.

Solution 8. (2.14) **WTS:** $\sigma(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{M}$ From Caratheodory and construction of lebesgue measure as a infinite collection of the form $(a, b]$ and $(-\infty, a]$ we know

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{M} \tag{1}$$

$$\text{if } o \in \sigma(\mathcal{O}) \text{ then } o \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \tag{2}$$

$$\text{so, } o \in \mathcal{M} \tag{3}$$

3

Solution 9. (3.1) Suppose $r = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_i$

So $\mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_i) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(r_i)$

but by construction of lebesgue measure, $\mu(r_i) = 0, \forall i$

So, $\mu(r) = \mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_i) = 0$

Solution 10. (3.4)

Because the set of all measurable sets is a sigma-algebra, it is closed under complements. So those conditions provided each are complements of each other.

I'll show that the sets being measurable are all equivalent statements.

$f^{-1}((-\infty, a))$ is measurable $\iff f^{-1}([a, \infty))$ is measurable (they are complements).

WTS:

$$f^{-1}((-\infty, a)) \in \mathcal{M} \iff f^{-1}((-\infty, a]) \in \mathcal{M}$$

Left to Right: Suppose sets of the form $f^{-1}((-\infty, a]) \in \mathcal{M}$.
Now, we construct a sequence of sets $E_{i,n} = f^{-1}((-\infty, a - \frac{1}{n}]) \in \mathcal{M}$. This countable union $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{i,n} = f^{-1}((-\infty, a])$ is in \mathcal{M}

Right to Left: Suppose sets of the form $f^{-1}((-\infty, a)) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then their complements, sets of the form $f^{-1}([a, \infty))$ are also in \mathcal{M} . We can use a similar argument, employing sets of the form $f^{-1}([a + \frac{1}{n}, \infty))$ to show that sets of the form $f^{-1}((a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{M}$. This shows that the complements of these sets, $f^{-1}((-\infty, a])$, are also elements of \mathcal{M}

Solution 11. (3.7)

WTS:

1. $f + g$
2. $f \cdot g$
3. $\max(f, g)$
4. $\min(f, g)$
5. $|f|$

Pf:

1. Take $F(f(x) + g(x)) = f(x) + g(x)$. So F is cont. and (part 4) measurable. So, $f + g$ is measurable.
2. Take $F(f(x) \cdot g(x)) = f(x)g(x)$. So F is cont. and (part 4) measurable. So, $f \cdot g$ is measurable.
3. As f and g are measurable functions on (X, \mathcal{M}) ,
 $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in X : f(x) < a\} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\{x \in X : g(x) < a\} \in \mathcal{M}$.
So,

$$\{x \in X : \max(f(x), g(x)) < a\} = \{x \in X : f(x) < a\} \cap \{x \in X : g(x) < a\}$$

. \mathcal{M} is closed under countable intersections, therefore, $\{x \in X : \max(f(x), g(x)) < a\} \in \mathcal{M}$, so that $\max(f(x), g(x))$ is measurable.

4. $\{x \in X : \min(f(x), g(x)) > a\} = \{x \in X : f(x) > a\} \cap \{x \in X : g(x) > a\}$. \mathcal{M} is closed under countable intersections,
So, $\{x \in X : \min(f(x), g(x)) > a\} \in \mathcal{M}$, so that $\min(f(x), g(x))$ is measurable. Basically the same proof as above.
5. Because $\{x \in X : |f(x)| > a\} = \{x \in X : f(x) < -a\} \cup \{x \in X : f(x) > a\}$. Both of these sets are in \mathcal{M} . \mathcal{M} is closed under countable unions, therefore, $\{x \in X : |f(x)| > a\} \in \mathcal{M}$, so that $|f(x)|$ is measurable.

Solution 12. (3.14)

WTS:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N = N(\epsilon) \text{ such that } n \geq N \implies |f(x) - s_n(x)| < \epsilon, \forall x \in X$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose $f(x) < M$. Pick $N > M$ in the naturals. Then $f(x) < N \quad \forall x$ and $x \notin E_\infty^N$. We also see that there exists N_1 such that

$$N_1 > N \text{ and } \frac{1}{2^{N_1}} < \epsilon$$

Now, it follows that for $n > N_1$,

$$\forall x \in X, x \in E_i^n \text{ for some index } 0 \leq i \leq N_1, i \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then $f(x) \in [\frac{i-1}{2^n}, \frac{i}{2^n})$ and our simple function in this interval is $s_n(x) = \frac{i-1}{2^n}$. But because we chose this N_1 so that it works for every single $x \in X$, and so $|f(x) - s_n(x)| < \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{1}{2^{N_1}} < \epsilon$ we have uniform convergence.

Solution 13. (4.13)

WTS: $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, E)$

Which is to say,

WTS: $\int_E f^+ d\mu$ finite

WTS: $\int_E f^- d\mu$ finite

We are given $\|f\| = f^+ + f^-$.

$0 \leq f^+$ and $0 \leq f^-$ from the definition

Because $\|f\| < M$ on E , then $0 \leq f^+ < M$ and $0 \leq f^- < M$ on E .

Because $\mu(E) < \infty$, we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f^+ d\mu &< M\mu(E) < \infty \\ \int_E f^- d\mu &< M\mu(E) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

This is what we needed.

$$\therefore f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, E).$$

Solution 14. (4.14)

Contrapositive is easier to show.

Suppose there exists a measurable set $\hat{E} \subset E$ such that f is infinite on \hat{E} .

WLOG: $f = +\infty$

$$\infty = \int_{\hat{E}} f d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E \|f\| d\mu$$

But this implies that $f \notin \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, E)$. Contradiction so our statement is true.

Solution 15. (4.15) Suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu, E)$.

Define the set of simple functions $B(f) = \{s : 0 \leq s \leq f, s \text{ simple, measurable}\}$.

Suppose WLOG $f \leq g$. It follows that $f^+ \leq g^+$ and $f^- \geq g^-$.

So we have that $B(f^+) \subset B(g^+)$ and $B(g^-) \subset B(f^-)$

Which gives us that $\int_E f^+ d\mu \leq \int_E g^+ d\mu$ and $\int_E f^- d\mu \geq \int_E g^- d\mu$.

From definition of the lebesgue integral we know,

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f^+ d\mu - \int_E f^- d\mu \leq \int_E g^+ d\mu - \int_E g^- d\mu = \int_E g d\mu$$

So,

$$\int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E g d\mu$$

Solution 16. (4.16)

Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{L}(E, \mu)$.

So, $\int_E f^+ d\mu < \infty, \int_E f^- d\mu < \infty$.

As $A \subset E$,

$\int_A f^+ d\mu < \infty$ and $\int_A f^- d\mu < \infty$.

So, $f \in \mathcal{L}(A, \mu)$.

Solution 17. (4.21) From above, $\lambda() = \int f d\mu$ is a measure on \mathcal{M} .

Therefore,

$$\lambda(A) = \lambda(A \setminus B) + \lambda(A \cap B) = \lambda(A \setminus B) + \lambda(B)$$

So,

$$\int_A f d\mu = \int_{A \setminus B} f d\mu + \int_B f d\mu = \int_B f d\mu$$