

# Probability theory exercises

Alexander Ritz

October 18, 2019

## 1. Exercise

- (i) Construct the smallest and largest possible  $\sigma$ -algebra in a given set  $\Omega$ .
- (ii) Construct all possible  $\sigma$ -algebras in a set of magnitude 4:  $\Omega_4 := \{a, b, c, d\}$ .
- (iii) **Sketch** what a  $\sigma$ -algebra in the set  $\Omega_5 := [0, 5]$  ( $\Omega_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ ) would conceptually look like.
- (iv) Compare the  $\sigma$ -algebras constructed or sketched in (ii) und (iii). What do you notice?

## 2. Exercise

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  und  $\mathcal{B}$  be two  $\sigma$ -algebras in  $\Omega$  with  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}$ .

- (i) Show that  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurability of  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  implies  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurability.
- (ii) Show that an indicator function  $\mathbb{1}_M : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable exactly when  $M \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (iii) Let  $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions. Show that the sets

$$\{f < g\}, \{f \leq g\}, \{f = g\} \text{ and } \{f \neq g\}$$

are elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ .<sup>1</sup>

*Hint:* The  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurability of a function  $f$  is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \{f \leq \alpha\} \in \mathcal{A} &\iff \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \{f \geq \alpha\} \in \mathcal{A} \\ \iff \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \{f > \alpha\} \in \mathcal{A} &\iff \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \{f < \alpha\} \in \mathcal{A} \end{aligned}$$

## 3. Exercise

Given  $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions. Show:

- (i)  $\alpha + \beta \cdot g$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable ( $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ).
- (ii)  $f + g$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable.
- (iii)  $f^2$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable.
- (iv)  $f \cdot g$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable.

## 4. Exercise

Let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a random variable with *Exponential* distribution, implying:

$$F_X(z) = P(\{X \leq z\}) = \int_0^z \lambda \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot t) dt = 1 - \exp(-\lambda \cdot z)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>With the usual notation utilised in the field of statisitics:  $\{f < g\} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid f(\omega) < g(\omega)\}$

- (i) Show that  $X$  possesses a density.
- (ii) Derive the distribution function of the random variable  $Y := 1 - \exp(-c \cdot X)$ . What special case is constructed by setting  $c$  equal to  $\lambda$ ?
- (iii) Calculate, insofar either exists, expected value and variance of  $X$ .
- (iv) Show that, for all  $s, t \geq 0$

$$P(X \leq s + t \mid X > s) = P(X \leq t)$$

Interpret the statement.

5. Exercise

Describe the „elements“ (or rather components) of a random variable and its associated probability space. Evaluate and explicitly describe the necessity of the often assumed measurability property.

*Hint:* The concept of „events“ und „results“ should be considered as well.