Chapter 1

$\mathscr{D}_X$-modules and the Riemann-Hilbert Correspondence

Chapter 2

The Behaviour of $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-Modules

Mention BVWZ

The classical approximation of the roots of the b-polynomial due to Kashiwara (1976) relies on a quotient module $\int \mathscr{M}/\mathscr{D}_X u$ being holonomic. This is no longer true in the multivariate case but a refined assumption, called relative holonomicity, due to Maisonobe (2016) still holds. This refinement works with $\mathscr{D}_X \times \mathbb{C}[s]$-modules whence one gets characteristic varieties inside $T^*X \times \mathbb{C}^p$.

p?

Maybe also mention the example Robin put on the white-board? Possibly in the main body?

2.1 Modules over $\mathscr{A}_X^R$

Basic Definitions and Properties

Let X be a smooth complex irreducible algebraic variety of dimension n and denote $\mathscr{D}_X$ for it's sheaf of rings of algebraic differential operators. For a regular commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra integral domain R we define a sheaf of rings on $X \times \operatorname{Spec} R$ by

$$\mathscr{A}_X^R = \mathscr{D}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_R; \qquad \mathscr{A}_X = \mathscr{A}_X^{\mathbb{C}[s]}$$

where we abbreviated $\mathcal{O}_R = \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} R}$. It will also be convenient to use the abbreviation $\mathcal{O}_X^R := \mathcal{O}_{X \times \operatorname{Spec} R}$.

The order filtration $F_p\mathscr{D}_X$ extends to a filtration $F_p\mathscr{A}_X^R = F_p\mathscr{D}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_R$ on $\mathscr{A}_X^R$ which is called the relative filtration.

Maybe here or in $\mathscr{D}_X$-part? $\mathscr{A}_X^R$ is the sheaf of rings generated by $\mathcal{O}_X^R$ and Θ_X, left/right

The proof of the following results proceeds precisely like the case of $\mathscr{D}_X$-modules which may be found in (Hotta and Tanisaki, 2007, Chapter 2).

Proposition 2.1.1. *A quasi-coherent $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module $\mathscr{M}$ is coherent if and only if it admits a filtration such that $\operatorname{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$ is coherent over $\operatorname{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{A}_X^R$.*

Proposition 2.1.2. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module, then the support of $\operatorname{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$ in $T^*X \times \operatorname{Spec} R$ is independent of the chosen filtration. It is called the characteristic variety of $\mathscr{M}$ and denoted $\operatorname{Ch}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$.*

A coherent $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module $\mathscr{M}$ is said to be relative holonomic over R if $\operatorname{Ch}^{rel}\mathscr{M} = \cup_w \Lambda_w \times S_w$ for irreducible conic Lagrangian subvarieties $\Lambda_w \subseteq T^*X$ and irreducible closed subvarieties $S_w \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} R$.

Basic Operations

For any right $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module $\mathscr{M}$ and left $\mathscr{D}_X$-module $\mathscr{N}$ the tensor product $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathscr{N}$ comes equipped with a right $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module structure defined by

$$f \cdot (m \otimes n) = mf \otimes n; \qquad \xi \cdot (m \otimes n) = m\xi \otimes n - m \otimes \xi n$$

for any sections f of $\mathcal{O}_X^R$ and ξ in Θ_X. The same definition applies for a $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module structure on $\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^R} \mathscr{N}$ whenever $\mathscr{N}$ is a left $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module.

Similarly, given a left $\mathscr{D}_X$-module $\mathscr{L}$ and a left $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module $\mathscr{N}$ a left $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module structure on $\mathscr{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathscr{L}$ is defined by

$$f \cdot (\ell \otimes n) = \ell \otimes fn; \qquad \xi \cdot (\ell \otimes n) = \xi\ell \otimes n + \ell \otimes \xi n$$

for any sections f of $\mathcal{O}_X^R$ and ξ in Θ_X.

Lemma 2.1.3. *Let $\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{N}$ be right and left $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-modules respectively and let $\mathscr{L}$ be a left $\mathscr{D}_X$-module. Then one has a isomorphism of left $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-modules*

$$(\mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathscr{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^R} \mathscr{N} \cong \mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^R} (\mathscr{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathscr{N}).$$

Proof. This is immediate by checking that the obvious bijection conserves the $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module structure. Note that the only nontrivial check is the action of a section ξ from Θ_X. □

Lemma 2.1.4. *Let $\mathscr{N}$ be a left $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module which is locally free as a $\mathcal{O}_X^R$-module. Consider $\mathscr{A}_X^R$ as a right $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module, then $\mathscr{A}_X^R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^R} \mathscr{N}$ is locally free as a right $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module.*

Proof. Consider local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ on X and a local $\mathcal{O}_X^R$-basis $\{n_\beta\}_\beta$ for $\mathscr{N}$. Then $\{1 \otimes n_\beta\}_\beta$ will be a local $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-basis for $\mathscr{A}_X^R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X^R} \mathscr{N}$.

To see that this generates the $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module note that $\{\xi^\alpha \otimes n_\beta\}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a $\mathcal{O}_X^R$-basis set where α runs over all multi-indices in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. These sections can be recovered using the $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-action on the proposed generating set by induction on $|\alpha|$. Indeed, $\xi^\alpha \cdot (1 \otimes n_\beta)$ equals $\xi^\alpha \otimes n_\beta$ up to a element in the $\mathcal{O}_X^R$-span of $\{\xi^\gamma \otimes n_\beta\}_{|\gamma|<|\alpha|}$.

For the freedom, suppose there is a local $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-relation $\sum_\beta P_\beta \cdot 1 \otimes n_\beta = 0$ with some P_β nonzero. This is of the form $\sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_{\alpha,\beta}\xi^\alpha \cdot 1 \otimes n_\beta = 0$ with the $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ sections of $\mathcal{O}_X^R$ not all equal to zero. Pick some multi-index $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and of maximal degree such that $f_{\mu,\beta}$ is non-zero for some β. Then, rewriting $\sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_\alpha \xi^\alpha \cdot 1 \otimes n_\beta = 0$ in terms of the $\mathcal{O}_X^R$-basis $\{\xi^\alpha \otimes n_\beta\}_{\alpha,\beta}$ one finds a non-zero coeffiencient at $\xi^\eta \otimes n_\beta$ for some β which is a contradiction. □

2.2 Direct Image Functor for $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-modules

In this section we state the natural generalisation of the direct image functor for $\mathscr{D}_X$-modules to the relative case of $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-modules. As with $\mathscr{D}$-modules this is the most natural for right-modules.

introduc-

Transfer Modules and $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module Direct Image

Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be some morphism of smooth algebraic varieties, by abuse of notation we will also denote μ for the induced map from $Y \times \operatorname{Spec} R$ to $X \times \operatorname{Spec} R$. A-priori it is not even clear what $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module should correspond to $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$ since there is no natural push forward of vector fields. This issue may be resolved by use of the transfer $(\mathscr{A}_Y^R, \mu^{-1}\mathscr{A}_X^R)$-bimodule $\mathscr{A}_{Y\to X}^R := \mathcal{O}_Y^R \otimes_{\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^R)} \mu^{-1}\mathscr{A}_X^R$.

Definition 2.2.1. *The direct image functor $\int_\mu$ from $\boldsymbol{D}^{b,r}(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ to $\boldsymbol{D}^{b,r}(\mathscr{A}_X^R)$ is defined to be $\boldsymbol{R}\mu_*(- \otimes^L_{\mathscr{A}_Y^R} \mathscr{A}_{Y\to X}^R)$. For any $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$ module $\mathscr{M}$ the j-th direct image is the $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-modules $\int_\mu^j \mathscr{M} = \mathscr{H}^j \int_\mu \mathscr{M}$. The subscript μ will be surpressed whenever there is no ambiguity.*

To compute the direct image $\int^j \mathscr{M}$ a resolution for the transfer bimodule $\mathscr{A}_{Y\to X}$ is required.

Definition 2.2.2. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a right $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module, the relative Spencer complex $\mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{M})$ is a complex of right $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-modules, concentrated in negative degrees, with $\mathrm{Sp}_Y^{-k}(\mathscr{M}) = \mathscr{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \wedge^k \Theta_Y$ and as differential the right-$\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-linear map δ given by*

$$m \otimes \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k \mapsto \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} m \otimes [\xi_i, \xi_j] \wedge \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\xi_i} \wedge \cdots \widehat{\xi_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k$$
$$- \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^i m\xi_i \otimes \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\xi_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k$$

The following lemma and it's proof are a generalisation of exercise 1.20 in Sabbah (2011) to the relative case.

Lemma 2.2.1. *The relative Spencer complex $\mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ is a locally free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_X^R$ as left $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module.*

Proof. Define a filtration on $\mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ by the complexes $F_k \mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ which have term $F_{k-\ell}\mathscr{A}_Y^R$ in spot ℓ. This filtration induces the complexes $\mathrm{gr}_k^{rel} \mathrm{Sp}_X^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ with term $\mathrm{gr}_{k-\ell}^{rel} \mathscr{A}_Y^R$ in spot ℓ.

In local coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ one finds that $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet := \oplus_k \mathrm{gr}^{rel}{}_k \mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ is the Koszul complex of $\mathcal{O}_Y^R[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n] = \mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{A}_Y^R$ with respect to $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$. Since $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ form a regular sequence a standard result on Koszul complexes yields that $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ is a locally free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_R$ as $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module. Should I explain what a Koszul complex is? Give reference to some book

On the other hand, it is immediate that $F_0 \mathrm{Sp}^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R) = \mathrm{gr}_0^{rel} \mathrm{Sp}^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ is $\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_R$ viewed as a complex. Hence, there is no contribution to $\mathrm{gr}^{rel} \mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ from the terms of $k > 0$. That is to say that $\mathrm{gr}_k^{rel} \mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the zero complex for $k > 0$. Hence, $F_0 \mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ is a quasi-isomorphism by the exactness of the direct limit. It follows that $\mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ is a resolution of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_R$. That the terms of $\mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ are locally free follows from lemma 2.1.4 after some minor adjustments in the statement and proof. □ Would be nice to give a reference, proof may be found on stackexchange

Define the transfer Spencer complex as the complex of $(\mathscr{A}_Y^R, f^{-1}\mathscr{A}_X)$-bimodules given by $\mathrm{Sp}_{Y\to X}^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R) := \mathrm{Sp}_Y^\bullet(\mathscr{A}_Y^R) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y^R} \mathscr{A}_{Y\to X}^R$. The following lemma and it's proof are direct generalisation of exercise 3.4 in Sabbah (2011) to the relative case.

Lemma 2.2.2. *The transfer Spencer complex* $\mathrm{Sp}^\bullet_{Y\to X}(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ *is a resolution of* $\mathscr{A}^R_{Y\to X}$ *as a bimodule by locally free left* $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$*-modules.*

Proof. To see that the terms of the complex are locally free recall from lemma 2.1.3 the following isomorphisms of left $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-modules

$$(\mathscr{A}_Y^R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \wedge^\ell \Theta_Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y^R} \mathscr{A}_{Y\to X} \cong \mathscr{A}_Y^R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y^R} (\wedge^\ell \Theta_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathscr{A}_{Y\to X}).$$

be possi- remove tep from roof and ring need inor ad- ent of pre- proof.

Note that $\mathscr{A}^R_{Y\to X}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y^R$-module since it is the pullback of a locally free module on $X \times \operatorname{Spec} R$. Combined with the fact that $\wedge^\ell\Theta$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module this yields that $\wedge^\ell\Theta_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathscr{A}_{Y\to X}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y^R$-module. Hence lemma 2.1.3 is applicable and yields that the terms of the transfer Spencer complex are locally free $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-modules.

That the transfer Spencer complex is a resolution of $\mathscr{A}^R_{Y\to X}$ follows from lemma 2.2.1 by using that $\mathscr{A}^R_{Y\to X}$ is a locally free and hence flat over $\mathcal{O}_Y^R$. □

Since tensoring with locally free modules yields a exact functor this simplifies the computation of the direct image as follows.

Corollary 2.2.3. *It holds that* $\int = \boldsymbol{R}\mu_*(- \otimes_{\mathscr{A}_Y^R} \mathrm{Sp}^\bullet_{Y\to X}(\mathscr{A}_Y^R))$.

Lemma 2.2.4. *Construction of global section in* $\int^j \mathscr{M}$.

Theorem 2.2.5. *Long exact sequence*

Functorial Properties of the Direct Image

Theorem 2.2.6. *Let* $\mu : Z \to Y$ *and* $\nu : Y \to X$ *be morphisms of smooth algebraic varieties. If* μ *is proper then* $\int_{\nu\circ\mu} = \int_\nu \int_\mu$.

Proof. See `http://www.math.stonybrook.edu/~cschnell/mat615/lectures/lecture17.pdf` □

This theorem reduces the computation of direct images to closed embeddings and projections by writing $\mu = \pi \circ \iota$ for $\iota : Y \to Y \times X$ and $\pi : Y \times X \to X$.

Denote by $\boldsymbol{D}^{b,r}_{qc}(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ the full subcategory of $\boldsymbol{D}^{b,r}(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ consisting of those complexes of right $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-modules whose cohomology sheaves are quasi-coherent over $\mathcal{O}_Y \times \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} R}$. Similarly for $\boldsymbol{D}^{b,r}_{coh}(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ with the cohomology being coherent $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-modules.

Theorem 2.2.7. *Let* $\mu : X \to Y$ *be a morphism of nonsingular algebraic varieties. Then the direct image* $\int$ *takes* $\boldsymbol{D}^{b,r}_{qc}(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ *into* $\boldsymbol{D}^{b,r}_{qc}(\mathscr{A}_X^R)$*. Moreover, when* μ *is proper the direct image takes* $\boldsymbol{D}^{b,r}_{coh}(\mathscr{A}_Y^R)$ *into* $\boldsymbol{D}^{b,r}_{coh}(\mathscr{A}_X^R)$.

Proof. See `http://www.math.stonybrook.edu/~cschnell/mat615/lectures/lecture18.pdf` □

Kashiwara's Estimate for the Characteristic Variety

Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be a proper morphism of smooth algebraic varieties. Given a coherent $\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module $\mathscr{M}$ with relative characteristic variety $\mathrm{Ch}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$. We desire to estimate $\mathrm{Ch}^{rel}\int^j \mathscr{M}$ in terms of $\mathscr{M}$. Such a estimate in the non-relative case is known due to Kashiwara Kashiwara (1976).

The original proof by Kashiwara (1976) uses the theory of microlocal differential operators. The idea of the following proof is due to Malgrange (1985) in a K-theoretic context and we follow the exposition of Sabbah (2011) and replace it with the corresponding relative notions.

Consider the following cotangent diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mu^* T^* X \times \operatorname{Spec} R \arrow[dl, "T^*\mu"'] \arrow[dr, "\widetilde{\mu}"] & \\ T^* Y \times \operatorname{Spec} R & & T^* X \times \operatorname{Spec} R \end{tikzcd}$$

where the maps $T^*\mu$ and $\widetilde{\mu}$ act on the first component.

Theorem 2.2.8. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module. Then, for any $j \geq 0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Ch}^{rel}\left(\int^j \mathscr{M}\right) \subseteq \widetilde{\mu}\left((T^*\mu)^{-1}(\mathrm{Ch}^{rel}\mathscr{M})\right).$$

Note that the statement is local so, after replacing X by some affine open, we may assume that $X \times \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $Y \times \operatorname{Spec} R$ are compact.

The first step is to note that a similar inclusion is easy for the $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-modules. For any $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module $\mathcal{M}$ define $\int^j \mathcal{M} := \mathscr{H}^j\left(\boldsymbol{R}\mu_*(\boldsymbol{L}(T^*\mu)^*\mathcal{M})\right)$. Note that $(T^*\mu)^*$ produces a sheaf on $\mu^*T^*X \times \operatorname{Spec} R$ by the tensor product $- \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X \times \mathcal{O}_R} \mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{A}_X^R$. Hence, looking at the supports, the following result is immediate.

Lemma 2.2.9. *For any $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module $\mathcal{M}$ it holds that*

$$\operatorname{Supp} \int^j \mathcal{M} \subseteq \widetilde{\mu}\left((T^*\mu)^{-1} \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{M}\right).$$

Applying this to $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$ it remains to understand the difference between $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}\int^j \mathscr{M}$ and $\int^j \mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$. This may be done using relative Rees modules.

Definition 2.2.3. *Let z be a new variable. The relative Rees sheaf of rings $\mathcal{R}\mathscr{A}_Y^R$ is defined as the subsheaf $\oplus_p F_p \mathscr{A}_Y^R z^p$ of $\mathscr{A}_Y^R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[z]$. Similarly, any filtered $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module $\mathscr{M}$ gives rise to a $\mathcal{R}\mathscr{A}_Y$-module $\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} := \oplus_p F_p \mathscr{M} z^p$.*

The following obvious isomorphisms of filtered modules allow us to view the relative Rees module as a parametrisation of gradings with different steps.

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}}{(z-1)\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}} \cong \mathscr{M}; \qquad \frac{\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}}{z\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}} = \mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{M}; \qquad \frac{\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}}{z^\ell \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}} = \mathrm{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}\mathscr{M}.$$

Here $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}$ takes a filtered object and returns the graded object $\oplus_k F_k/F_{k-\ell}$. In particular $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}\mathscr{M}$ is a graded $\mathrm{gr}_{[\ell]}\,\mathscr{A}_X^Y$-module. The first step is to understand the interaction between direct images and $\operatorname{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}$ for large ℓ. The first formula may be also be used to find the corresponding filtered $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module for any graded $\mathcal{R}\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module without $\mathbb{C}[z]$-torsion.

One can define a direct image of $\mathcal{R}\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-modules similarly to the $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module direct image and this preserves are coherence and being graded similarly to theorem 2.2.7.

Check that direct image yields graded structure

Lemma 2.2.10. *For sufficiently large ℓ the kernel of z^ℓ in $\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$ stabilises. For such ℓ the quotient $\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} / \ker z^\ell$ is the $\mathcal{R}\mathscr{A}_X^R$-coherent module associated to a good filtration on $\int^j \mathscr{M}$.*

Proof. By $\int \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$ being coherent over the sheaf of Noetherian rings $\mathcal{R}\mathscr{A}_X^R$ one gets that $\ker z^\ell$ locally stabilises. This is sufficient due to $X \times \operatorname{Spec} R$ being compact.

Now consider the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{z-1} \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{M} \to 0$. This induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{z-1} \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \to \int^j \mathscr{M} \to \int^{j+1} \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{z-1} \cdots .$$

Since $\int^{j+1} \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$ is a graded $\mathcal{R}\mathscr{A}_X^R$-module one has that $z-1$ is injective whence it follows that $\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/(z-1)\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \cong \int^j \mathscr{M}$. This yields the desired result using that $\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/\ker z^\ell$ is $\mathbb{C}[z]$-torsion free and the isomorphism

$$\frac{\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}}{(z-1)\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}} \cong \frac{\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/\ker z^\ell}{(z-1)(\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/\ker z^\ell)}.$$

□

citly, in
roof the
image
ees and
ard is iden-
Should
that this
s no prob-

From now on we equip $\int^j \mathscr{M}$ with the good filtation inherited from the Rees module's direct image. By the formula relating Rees modules and $\operatorname{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}$ the direct image of Rees modules induces a direct image of $\operatorname{gr}_{[\ell]} \mathscr{A}_Y^R$-modules.

Lemma 2.2.11. *If ℓ is sufficiently large then $\operatorname{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}\int^j \mathscr{M}$ is a subquotient of $\int^j \operatorname{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}\mathscr{M}$.*

Proof. The goal is to establish the equivalent statement for Rees modules. The short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{z^\ell} \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \to \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/z^\ell\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \to 0$ induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{z^\ell} \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \to \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/z^\ell\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \to \int^{j+1} \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{z^\ell} \cdots .$$

Hence, $\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/z^\ell \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$ is a submodule of $\int^j(\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/z^\ell\mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M})$ and it remains to show that $\mathcal{R}^{rel}\int^j \mathscr{M}/z^\ell\mathcal{R}^{rel}\int^j \mathscr{M}$ is a quotient of $\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/z^\ell \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$.

Let ℓ be sufficiently large so that the foregoing lemma yields a isomorphism $\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/\ker z^\ell \cong \mathcal{R}^{rel}\int^j \mathscr{M}$. The map z^ℓ induces a isomorphism $\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/\ker z^\ell \cong z^\ell \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$. Therefore $z^\ell \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/z^{2\ell} \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M} \cong \mathcal{R}^{rel}\int^j \mathscr{M}/z^\ell\mathcal{R}^{rel}\int^j \mathscr{M}$. The desired quotient follows by applying the map $m \mapsto z^\ell m$ on $\int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}/z^\ell \int^j \mathcal{R}^{rel}\mathscr{M}$. □

The main remaining task is to relate these results to the desired case of $\ell = 1$.

Definition 2.2.4. *For any filtered $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module $\mathscr{M}$ and $\ell \geq 1$ the G-filtration on $\mathrm{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}\mathscr{M}$ is defined by*

$$G_j\mathscr{M} = \bigoplus_k \frac{F_{k+j-\ell}\mathscr{M}}{F_{k-\ell}\mathscr{M}}.$$

Lemma 2.2.12. *For any filtered $\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-module $\mathscr{M}$ and $\ell \geq 1$ one has the following isomorphism of $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{A}_Y^R$-modules*

$$\mathrm{gr}^G \mathrm{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}\mathscr{M} \cong (\mathrm{gr}^{rel}\mathscr{M})^\ell.$$

Proof. This is immediate by a direct computation. □

Lemma 2.2.13. *One has a finite spectral sequence with E_2-term*

$$E_2 = \int^j \mathrm{gr}^G \mathrm{gr}^{rel}_{[\ell]}\mathscr{M}$$

Bibliography

Hotta, R. and Tanisaki, T. (2007). *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, volume 236. Springer Science & Business Media.

Kashiwara, M. (1976). B-functions and holonomic systems. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 38(1):33–53.

Maisonobe, P. (2016). Filtration relative, l'idéal de bernstein et ses pentes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.03354*.

Malgrange, B. (1985). Sur les images directes de d-modules. *manuscripta mathematica*, 50(1):49–71.

Sabbah, C. (2011). Introduction to the theory of d-modules. *Lecture Notes, Nankai*. Accessed in October 2020 at `http://www.cmls.polytechnique.fr/perso/sabbah/livres/sabbah_nankai110705.pdf`.

AFDELING
Straat nr bus 0000
3000 LEUVEN, BELGIË
tel. + 32 16 00 00 00
fax + 32 16 00 00 00
www.kuleuven.be