Distributed Systems – Final Project

Alexandra Maximova Thomas Müller Lukas Häfliger ETH ID 11-916-376 ETH ID 09-913-534 ETH ID 11-946-936 haelukas@student.ethz.ch amaximov@student.ethz.chmuelltho@student.ethz.ch

Christian Vonrüti ETH ID 11-930-914 cvonruet@student.ethz.ch vianda@student.ethz.ch

Alexander Viand ETH ID 09-940-131

Marko Živković ETH ID 10-921-211 markoz@student.ethz.ch

ABSTRACT

We present a cross-platform game that allows up to eight players (who can be using different platforms) to play together via local network, or alternatively allows singleplayer matches against AI opponents.

The game is inspired by the "light cycle" scene from the 1982 film "Tron". ■■ The game is implemented using the Unity Engine, which is a high-level framework for game development ■ The game supports Windows x86/x86_64 and Android with potential for easy ports to others platforms thanks to the cross platform capabilities of the Unity engine.

INTRODUCTION + PRIOR WORK

We were inspired to create this game after playing Armagetron Advanced, an open source game that is itself inspired by the light cycle scene from Tron. In section ■2 we will look at Armagetron Advanced and other games inspired by "Tron" ■and android ports■. In section ■3, we will explain the gameplay and game mechanics that we decided to implement for our game, and in section 4 we will introduce Unity with a focus on its networking concepts. In section ■5 we will show how we use these concepts in our game in order to ensure ■what??■. In section ■6 we show our implementation of collision detection and prediction, ensuring reasonable behaviour in case of network delays that are "long" in comparison to the high in-game speeds. In section ■7 we introduce the AI that we use in the single-player mode as well as our own extension to the game, randomly roaming obstacles. In the last section, we will give our conclusions on our game working both with Unity as well as with a larger group.

EXISTING "TRON"-LIKE GAMES

Armagetron Advanced is one of two open source projects that try to recreate the light cycle scene, the other being GLTron by Andreas Umbach. GLTron is a more faithful reproduction both visually as well in terms of game mechanics of the original light cycle scene from the 1982 film while Armagetron Advanced is further removed from the original visually and offers a wide variety of gamemodes that go far beyond what is seen in the film as well as heavy customization, with parameters like base speed, arena size, number of players, etc. We were aiming more to recreate the enjoyment of playing Armagetron Advanced rather than to be faithful to the original scene. Since the scope of this project was very limited, we do not offer the extensive options and choice of game mode that Armagetron Advanced does, but rather focused on implementing the "core" game mode with fixed parameters. Our game is visually very dissimilar to both the original scene as well as both games. Instead, we were inspired by the aesthetics from the 2010 film "Tron Legacy" which also features a light cycle scene, which does not, however, in terms of "game mechanics", bear much resemblance to the original. $\blacksquare \text{un-nest last sntc} \blacksquare$

2.1 Existing mobile solutions

Armagetron Advanced itself is available for Windows, Linux and Mac OS but does not have an Android (or other mobile platform) port. Armagetron Advanced offers local (splitscreen), local network and internet multiplayer for up to ■????■ players. There exists an android game called Androgetron developed by a member of the Armagetron Advanced community, however this is a much simplified clone which features only split-screen multiplayer. On the official Google Play Store ■TM!!■ there are a few more games ■list??■ that are essentially identical to Androgetron and seem to be all based on an android port of GLTron. They also do not offer any kind of non-split-screen multiplayer.

Split screen is a viable option on a full size laptop or desktop monitor, however it feels very cramped even on larger tablets and is essentially unusable on smartphones. Our goal was therefore to implement a true (networked) multiplayer experience on mobile devices. Instead of trying to adapt the large and complex code base of Armagetron Advanced (or GLTron), we decided to implement a version of the game with smaller scope from scratch. Mention OpenGL being waaay too complex?■ We decided to use Unity, a high level game engine and Integrated Development Engine (IDE) (which will be discussed in section 3), to implement the following game mechanics:

GAMEPLAY AND GAME MECHANICS 3.

We simplified AA to a single game mode, with fixed speed, arena size, etc. We did, however, also extend the game to new concepts not present in any of the other versions. Specifically, we introduced collectible powerups and moving obstacles that roam the arena.

- 1. you drive around in an arena
- 2. the bike moves constantly and you can steer only by turning left or right at 90° angles.
- 3. you leave a solid trail that is like a wall (and will be referred to as wall from now on)
- 4. colliding with your own or another player's wall results in your death
- 5. the aim is to be the last player alive
- 6. being close to another wall increases your speed, allowing you to e.g. overtake other players.
- 7. There are two different types of "power ups", which are randomly spawned items that will either increase your speed or make you invincible (you will be able to go through walls) for a short amount of time
- 8. there are (optional?) obstacles in the form of large cubes that slowly "roll" around the arena, collisions with these also result in death.

4. UNITY

Unity, which is developed by Unity Technologies, is a relatively new game engine that is designed "to make game dev more democratic" ■find cit■. Unity includes the core engine which is used through an IDE that offers an editor ■fig■ that allows manipulation of 3D environments, game assets and live debugging. Unity is based on Mono (an open source .NET-compatible framework) and allows development in JavaScript, Boo or C#. We chose to use C# because of its similarities to Java and Unity's integration with the extremely powerful Visual Studio IDE. Unity supports a large number of plattforms, including x86/x86_64 (Windows, Mac OS and Linux), Android, iOS and Windows Phone 8, with a generally very small required effort for porting between platforms. The support for native x86 versions greately reduced the time needed for testing and debugging during development.

Unity's core concept is that of a "scene" which is an abstraction of a 3D space that contains "GameObjects". "GameObjects" are containers that contain "Components" (which can also be GameObjects, allowing for nesting). ■containers containing containers contained in ...■ All "GO"s have a basic "transform" "component" which contains information about position, rotation and velocity of the "GO". There are many other types of components, including "Lights", "Cameras" and "Materials" as well as Scripts.

Unity offers two very different methods for networking. A high-level "synchronization" feature as well as comparatively "low level" Remote Procedure Calls (RPC). Both require a GO to have a "NetworkView" component which makes them visible to the network in Unity.

Synchronization allows for high-frequency, low-cost updates of GOs. It can however only be used to synchronize certain properties of the GO, e.g. the transform (pos,rot,vel) component.

RPC on the other hand, is intended to be used for less frequent and less time-sensitive communication and is very similar to RPC implementations in other frameworks.

Our game combines (as \blacksquare will \blacksquare most Unity applications) both methods, using Synchronization for player and wall positions (or more correctly player and wall "transforms"), as well as RPC for state transitions and general control, as described in the next section.

Unity does not have a high-level concept representing the current application insth-level concept representing the current application instance in its entirety, instead every script has to be attached to a GameObject and each instance (client?) will execute the same code for a given GameObject (the code will usually have a currentObject.isMine() or Network.isServer type switch) It is therefore DESCRIBE HERE HOW INGAME SYNCH WORKS

While most of the networking during a round of the game is done via Unity's synchronization, the actual round (or game) start and end, server joining and other state transitions are done via RPC and event based programming.

5. STATE/CONTROL FLOW: NETWORK START GAME/NEXT ROUND

Their is an "empty" (i.e. code-only) GameObject that contains the "MainController" which is responsible for updating the GUI and communicating (locally) state changes between the Game and the NetworkController.

- 1. DiscoverServer
- 2. Lobby/Playerlist
- 3. StartGame

4. Death and new Round

6. COLLISONS/POWERUPS/PREDICTION

7. OPPONENTS: AI + CUBES

In local single player, there wenn KI stirbt hat sie 9950/50 links/rechts.

Nur jedes 2te frame darf sie sich drehen (sonst geht es auf der stelle)

0.005

Sch... simple aber effektiv.

8. CONCLUSION

Working in a larger group was an interesting change and while our intra team communication was good there were considerable efficiency losses when e.g. bugfixes for one component affected code that was concurrently being refactored.

Wir glauben dass wir unser goal eine enjoyable networked multiplayer version zu builden gut erfüllt haben. Unity allowed us to very quickly create the visual aspects of the game and allowed doppel allowed us to focus on the distributed networking component of the game.

Eine naheliegender next step would be allowing the player to customize the parameters of the game. Another interessante extension wäre Internet multiplayer, however additional work for NAT and higher latencies might be necessary.

9. REFERENCES

- [1] Services: Sending Notifications to the User. http://developer.android.com/guide/components/ services.html#Notifications. Accessed on 29 Aug 2013.
- [2] E. Burnette. Hello, Android: introducing Google's mobile development platform. Pragmatic Bookshelf, 3 edition, 2010.
- [3] R. Fielding, J. Gettys, J. Mogul, H. Frystyk, L. Masinter, P. Leach, and T. Berners-Lee. Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1. RFC 2616, 1999.
- [4] R. T. Fielding. Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures. Phd thesis, UC Irvine, 2000.