

Lecture Notes on General Relativity (GR)

lazierthanthou

(https://github.com/lazierthanthou/Lecture_Notes_GR)

February 23, 2019

Contents

1	Topology	4
1.1	Topological Spaces	4
1.2	Continuous maps	4
1.3	Composition of continuous maps	5
1.4	Inheriting a topology	5
2	Manifolds	6
2.1	Topological manifolds	6
2.2	Terminology	6
2.3	Chart transition maps	6
2.4	Manifold philosophy	6
3	Multilinear Algebra	8
3.1	Vector Spaces	8
3.2	Linear Maps	9
3.3	Vector Space of Homomorphisms	9
3.4	Dual Vector Spaces	9
3.5	Tensors	10
3.6	Vectors and Covectors as Tensors	10
3.7	Bases	10
3.8	Basis for the Dual Space	11
3.9	Components of Tensors	11
4	Differential Manifolds	12
4.1	Strategy	12
4.2	Compatible charts	12
4.3	Diffeomorphisms	14
5	Tangent Spaces	15
5.1	Velocities	15
5.2	Tangent vector space	15
5.3	Components of a vector w.r.t. a chart	17
5.4	Chart-induced basis	17
5.5	Change of vector components under a change of chart	18
5.6	Cotangent spaces	19
5.7	Change of components of a covector under a change of chart	19
6	Fields	20

6.1	Bundles	20
6.2	Tangent bundle of smooth manifold	20
6.3	Vector fields	22
6.4	The $C^\infty(M)$ -module $\Gamma(TM)$	22
6.5	Tensor fields	23
7	Connections	24
7.1	Directional derivatives of tensor fields	24
7.2	New structure on $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ required to fix ∇	25
7.3	Change of Γ 's under change of chart	26
7.4	Normal Coordinates	27
8	Parallel Transport & Curvature	28
8.1	Parallelity of vector fields	28
8.2	Autoparallely transported curves	28
8.3	Autoparallel equation	28
8.4	Torsion	29
8.5	Curvature	30
9	Newtonian spacetime is curved!	31
9.1	Laplace's questions	31
9.2	The full wisdom of Newton I	31
9.3	The foundations of the geometric formulation of Newton's axiom	32
10	Metric Manifolds	35
10.1	Metrics	35
10.2	Signature	36
10.3	Length of a curve	36
10.4	Geodesics	37
11	Symmetry	39
11.1	Push-forward map	39
11.2	Pull-back map	40
11.3	Flow of a complete vector field	41
11.4	Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra $(\Gamma(TM), [\cdot, \cdot])$ of vector fields	41
11.5	Symmetry	42
11.6	Lie derivative	42
12	Integration	44
12.1	Review of integration on \mathbb{R}^d	44
12.2	Integration on one chart	45
12.3	Volume forms	45
12.4	Integration on <u>one</u> chart domain U	47
12.5	Integration on the entire manifold	47
13	Lecture 13: Relativistic spacetime	48
13.1	Time orientation	48
13.2	Observers	49
13.3	Role of the Lorentz transformations	51
14	Lecture 14: <u>Matter</u>	52
14.1	Point matter	52
14.2	Field matter	53

14.3 Energy-momentum tensor of matter fields	54
15 Einstein gravity	56
15.1 Hilbert	56
15.2 Variation of S_{Hilbert}	56
15.3 Solution of the $\nabla_a T^{ab} = 0$ issue	57
15.4 Variants of the field equations	57
16 L18: Canonical Formulation of GR-I	59
16.1 Dynamical and Hamiltonian formulation of General Relativity	59
17 Lecture 22: <u>Black Holes</u>	60
17.1 Radial null geodesics	60
17.2 Eddington-Finkelstein	61

Abstract

These are lecture notes on General Relativity.

They are based on the [Central Lecture Course](#) by **Dr. Frederic P. Schuller** (**A thorough introduction to the theory of general relativity**) introducing the mathematical and physical foundations of the theory in 24 self-contained lectures at the International Winter School on Gravity and Light in Linz/Austria for the WE Heraeus International Winter School of Gravity and Light, 2015 in Linz as part of the world-wide celebrations of the 100th anniversary of Einstein's theory of general relativity and the International Year of Light 2015.

These lectures develop the theory from first principles and aim at an audience ranging from ambitious undergraduate students to beginning PhD students in mathematics and physics. Satellite Lectures (see other videos on this channel) by Bernard F Schutz (Gravitational Waves), Domenico Giulini (Canonical Formulation of Gravity), Marcus C Werner (Gravitational Lensing) and Valeria Pettorino (Cosmic Microwave Background) expand on the topics of this central lecture course and take students to the research frontier.

Spacetime is the physical key object, we shall be concerned about.

Spacetime is a **4-dimensional topological manifold** with a **smooth atlas** carrying a **torsion-free connection** compatible with a **Lorentzian metric** and a **time orientation** satisfying the **Einstein equations**.

1 Topology

Motivation: At the coarsest level, spacetime is a set. But, a set is not enough to talk about continuity of maps, which is required for classical physics notions such as trajectory of a particle. We do not want jumps such as a particle disappearing at some point on its trajectory and appearing somewhere. So we require continuity of maps. There could be many structures that allow us to talk about continuity, e.g., distance measure. But we need to be very minimal and very economic in order not to introduce undue assumptions. So we are interested in the weakest structure that can be established on a set which allows a good definition of continuity of maps. Mathematicians know that the weakest such structure is topology. This is the reason for studying topological spaces.

1.1 Topological Spaces

Definition 1. Let M be a set and $\mathcal{P}(M)$ be the power set of M , i.e., the set of all subsets of M . A set $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(M)$ is called a **topology**, if it satisfies the following:

- (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{O}, M \in \mathcal{O}$
- (ii) $U \in \mathcal{O}, V \in \mathcal{O} \implies U \cap V \in \mathcal{O}$
- (iii) $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}, \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \text{ (}\mathcal{A} \text{ is an index set)} \implies \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha\right) \in \mathcal{O}$

Terminology:

1. the tuple (M, \mathcal{O}) is a **topological space**.
2. $U \in M$ is an **open set** if $U \in \mathcal{O}$.
3. $U \in M$ is a **closed set** if $M \setminus U \in \mathcal{O}$.

Definition 2. (M, \mathcal{O}) , where $\mathcal{O} = \{\emptyset, M\}$ is called the **chaotic topology**.

Definition 3. (M, \mathcal{O}) , where $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{P}(M)$ is called the **discrete topology**.

Definition 4. A **soft ball** at the point p in \mathbb{R}^d is the set

$$\mathcal{B}_r(p) := \left\{ (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d) \mid \sum_{i=1}^d (q_i - p_i)^2 < r^2 \right\} \text{ where } r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 5. $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{O}_{std})$ is the **standard topology**, provided that $U \in \mathcal{O}_{std}$ iff $\forall p \in U, \exists r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \mathcal{B}_r(p) \subseteq U$

Proof. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{O}_{std}$ since $\forall p \in \emptyset, \exists r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \mathcal{B}_r(p) \subseteq \emptyset$ (i.e. satisfied “vacuously”)
 $\mathbb{R}^d \in \mathcal{O}_{std}$ since $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \exists r = 1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \mathcal{B}_r(p) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$

Suppose $U, V \in \mathcal{O}_{std}$. Let $p \in U \cap V \implies \exists r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ s.t. $\mathcal{B}_{r_1}(p) \subseteq U, \mathcal{B}_{r_2}(p) \subseteq V$.

Let $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\} \implies \mathcal{B}_r(p) \subseteq U$ and $\mathcal{B}_r(p) \subseteq V \implies \mathcal{B}_r(p) \subseteq U \cap V \implies U \cap V \in \mathcal{O}_{std}$.

Suppose, $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{std}, \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $p \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha \implies \exists \alpha \in \mathcal{A} : p \in U_\alpha$
 $\implies \exists r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \mathcal{B}_r(p) \subseteq U_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha \implies \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{std}$. □

1.2 Continuous maps

A map $f, f : M \longrightarrow N$, connects each element of a set M (domain set) to an element of a set N (target set).

Terminology:

1. If f maps $m \in M$ to $n \in N$, then we may say $f(m) = n$, or m maps to n , or $m \mapsto f(m)$ or $m \mapsto n$.
2. If $V \subseteq N$, $\text{preim}_f(V) := \{m \in M \mid f(m) \in V\}$
3. If $\forall n \in N, \exists m \in M : n = f(m)$, then f is **surjective**. Or, $f : M \twoheadrightarrow N$.
4. If $m_1, m_2 \in M, m_1 \neq m_2 \implies f(m_1) \neq f(m_2)$, then f is **injective**. Or, $f : M \hookrightarrow N$.

Definition 6. Let (M, \mathcal{O}_M) and (N, \mathcal{O}_N) be topological spaces. A map $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is called **continuous** w.r.t. \mathcal{O}_M and \mathcal{O}_N if $V \in \mathcal{O}_N \implies (\text{preim}_f(V)) \in \mathcal{O}_M$.

Mnemonic: A map is continuous iff the preimages of all open sets are open sets.

1.3 Composition of continuous maps

Definition 7. If $f : M \longrightarrow N$ and $g : N \longrightarrow P$, then

$$g \circ f : M \longrightarrow P \text{ such that } m \mapsto (g \circ f)(m) := g(f(m))$$

Theorem 1.1. If $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is continuous w.r.t. \mathcal{O}_M and \mathcal{O}_N and $g : N \longrightarrow P$ is continuous w.r.t. \mathcal{O}_N and \mathcal{O}_P , then $g \circ f : M \longrightarrow P$ is continuous w.r.t. \mathcal{O}_M and \mathcal{O}_P .

Proof. Let $W \in \mathcal{O}_P$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{preim}_{g \circ f}(W) &= \{m \in M \mid g(f(m)) \in W\} && \because (g \circ f)(m) = g(f(m)) \\ &= \{m \in M \mid f(m) \in \text{preim}_g(W)\} && \text{preim}_g(W) \in \mathcal{O}_N \because g \text{ is continuous} \\ &= \text{preim}_f(\text{preim}_g(W)) && \in \mathcal{O}_M \because f \text{ is continuous} \\ &\implies g \circ f \text{ is continuous} \end{aligned}$$

□

1.4 Inheriting a topology

Given a topological space (M, \mathcal{O}_M) , one way of inheriting a topology from it is the subspace topology.

Theorem 1.2. If (M, \mathcal{O}_M) is a topological space and $S \subseteq M$, then the set $\mathcal{O}|_S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$ such that $\mathcal{O}|_S := \{S \cap U \mid U \in \mathcal{O}_M\}$ is a topology. $\mathcal{O}|_S$ is called the **subspace topology** inherited from \mathcal{O}_M .

Proof. 1. $\emptyset, S \in \mathcal{O}|_S \because \emptyset = S \cap \emptyset, S = S \cap M$.

$$\begin{aligned} 2. S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{O}|_S &\implies \exists U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{O}_M : S_1 = S \cap U_1, S_2 = S \cap U_2 \implies U_1 \cap U_2 \in \mathcal{O}_M \\ &\implies S \cap (U_1 \cap U_2) \in \mathcal{O}|_S \implies (S \cap U_1) \cap (S \cap U_2) \in \mathcal{O}|_S \implies S_1 \cap S_2 \in \mathcal{O}|_S. \end{aligned}$$

$$3. \text{ Let } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ where } \mathcal{A} \text{ is an index set. Then } S_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}|_S \implies \exists U_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_M : S_\alpha = S \cap U_\alpha.$$

Further, let $\mathcal{U} = (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{O}_M$.

$$\text{Now, } (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} S_\alpha) = (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} (S \cap U_\alpha)) = S \cap (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha) = S \cap \mathcal{U} \implies (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} S_\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}|_S.$$

□

Theorem 1.3. If (M, \mathcal{O}_M) and (N, \mathcal{O}_N) are topological spaces, and $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is continuous w.r.t \mathcal{O}_M and \mathcal{O}_N , then the restriction of f to $S \subseteq M$, $f|_S : S \longrightarrow N$ s.t. $f|_S(s \in S) = f(s)$, is continuous w.r.t $\mathcal{O}|_S$ and \mathcal{O}_N .

Proof. Let $V \in \mathcal{O}_N$. Then, $\text{preim}_f(V) \in \mathcal{O}_M$.

$$\text{Now } \text{preim}_{f|_S}(V) = S \cap \text{preim}_f(V) \implies \text{preim}_{f|_S}(V) \in \mathcal{O}|_S \implies f|_S \text{ is continuous.}$$

□

2 Manifolds

Motivation: There exist so many topological spaces that mathematicians cannot even classify them. For spacetime physics, we may focus on topological spaces (M, \mathcal{O}) that can be charted, analogously to how the surface of the earth is charted in an atlas.

2.1 Topological manifolds

Definition 8. A topological space (M, \mathcal{O}) is called a **d-dimensional topological manifold** if $\forall p \in M : \exists U \in \mathcal{O} : p \in U, \exists x : U \rightarrow x(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the following:


- (i) x is **invertible**: $x^{-1} : x(U) \rightarrow U$
- (ii) x is **continuous** w.r.t. (M, \mathcal{O}) and $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{O}_{std})$
- (iii) x^{-1} is **continuous**

2.2 Terminology

1. The tuple (U, x) is a **chart** of (M, \mathcal{O}) ,
2. An **atlas** of (M, \mathcal{O}) is a set $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_\alpha, x_\alpha) | \alpha \in A, \text{an index set}\} : \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha = M$.
3. The map $x : U \rightarrow x(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called the **chart map**.
4. The chart map x maps a point $p \in U$ to a d-tuple of real numbers $x(p) = (x^1(p), x^2(p), \dots, x^d(p))$. This is equivalent to d-many maps $x^i(p) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which are called the **coordinate maps**.
5. If $p \in U$, then $x^i(p)$ is the **ith coordinate of p** w.r.t. the chart (U, x) .

2.3 Chart transition maps

Imagine 2 charts (U, x) and (V, y) with overlapping regions, i.e., $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.




The map $y \circ x^{-1}$ is called the **chart transition map**, which maps an open set of \mathbb{R}^d to another open set of \mathbb{R}^d . This map is continuous because it is composition of two continuous maps. Informally, these chart transition maps contain instructions on how to glue together the charts of an atlas,


2.4 Manifold philosophy

Often it is desirable (or indeed the only way) to define properties (e.g., ‘continuity’) of real-world object (e.g., the curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$) by judging suitable coordinates not on the ‘real-world’ object itself, but on a chart-representation of that real world object.

For example, in the picture below, we can use the map $x \circ \gamma$ to infer the continuity of the curve γ in $U \subseteq M$.



However, we need to ensure that the defined property does not change if we change our chosen chart. For example, in the picture below, continuity in $x \circ \gamma$ should imply $y \circ \gamma$. This is true, since $y \circ \gamma = y \circ (x^{-1} \circ x) \circ \gamma = (y \circ x^{-1}) \circ (x \circ \gamma)$ is continuous because it is a composition of two continuous functions, thanks to the continuity of the chart transition map $y \circ x^{-1}$.



What about differentiability? Does differentiability of $x \circ \gamma$ guarantee differentiability of $y \circ \gamma$? No. Since composition of a differentiable map and a continuous map might only be continuous, The solution is to restrict the atlas by removing those charts which are not differentiable. Thus, we have got rid of our problem. However, we must remember that with the present structure, we cannot define differentiability at manifold level since we do not know how to subtract or divide in $U \subseteq M$. Therefore, differentiability of $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ makes no sense yet.

3 Multilinear Algebra

Motivation: The essential object of study of linear algebra is vector space. However, a word of warning here. We will not equip space(time) with vector space structure. This is evident since, unlike in vector space, expressions such as $5 \cdot \text{Paris}$ and $\text{Paris} + \text{Vienna}$ do not make any sense. If multilinear algebra does not further our aim of studying spacetime, then why do we study it? The tangent spaces $T_p M$ (defined in Lecture 5) at a point p of a smooth manifold M (defined in Lecture 4) carries a vector space structure in a natural way even though the underlying position space(time) does not have a vector space structure. Once we have a notion of tangent space, we have a derived notion of a tensor. Tensors are very important in differential geometry.

It is beneficial to study vector spaces (and all that comes with it) abstractly for two reasons: (i) for construction of $T_p M$, one needs an intermediate vector space $C^\infty(M)$, and (ii) tensor techniques are most easily understood in an abstract setting.

3.1 Vector Spaces

Definition 9. A \mathbb{R} -vector space is a triple $(V, +, \cdot)$, where

- i) V is a set,
- ii) $+: V \times V \longrightarrow V$ (addition), and
- iii) $\cdot: \mathbb{R} \times V \longrightarrow V$ (\mathbb{R} -multiplication)

satisfying the following:

- a) $\forall u, v \in V : u + v = v + u$ (commutativity of $+$)
- b) $\forall u, v, w \in V : (u + v) + w = u + (v + w)$ (associativity of $+$)
- c) $\exists O \in V : \forall v \in V : O + v = v$ (neutral element in $+$)
- d) $\forall v \in V : \exists (-v) \in V : v + (-v) = O$ (inverse of element in $+$)
- e) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \forall v \in V : \lambda \cdot (\mu \cdot v) = (\lambda \cdot \mu) \cdot v$ (associativity in \cdot)
- f) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \forall v \in V : (\lambda + \mu) \cdot v = \lambda \cdot v + \mu \cdot v$ (distributivity of \cdot)
- g) $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u, v \in V : \lambda \cdot u + \lambda \cdot v = \lambda \cdot (u + v)$ (distributivity of \cdot)
- h) $\exists 1 \in \mathbb{R} : \forall v \in V : 1 \cdot v = v$ (unit element in \cdot)

Terminology: If $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space, an element of V is often referred to, informally, as a **vector**. But, we should remember that it makes no sense to call an element of V a vector unless the vector space itself is specified.

Example: Consider a set of polynomials of fixed degree,

$$P := \left\{ p : (-1, +1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N p_n \cdot x^n, \text{ where } p_n \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

with $\oplus : P \times P \longrightarrow P$ with $(p, q) \mapsto p \oplus q : (p \oplus q)(x) = p(x) + q(x)$ and

$\odot : \mathbb{R} \times P \longrightarrow P$ with $(\lambda, p) \mapsto \lambda \odot p : (\lambda \odot p)(x) = \lambda \cdot p(x)$. (P, \oplus, \odot) is a vector space.

Caution: We are considering real vector spaces, that is \mathbb{R} -multiplication with the elements of \mathbb{R} . We shall often use same symbols ‘ $+$ ’ and ‘ \cdot ’ for different vector spaces, but the context should make things clear. When \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2 , etc. are used as vector spaces, the obvious (natural) operations shall be understood to be used.

3.2 Linear Maps

These are the structure-respecting maps between vector spaces.

Definition 10. If $(V, +_v, \cdot_v)$ and $(W, +_w, \cdot_w)$ are vector spaces, then $\phi : V \longrightarrow W$ is called a **linear map** if

- i) $\forall v, \tilde{v} \in V : \phi(v +_v \tilde{v}) = \phi(v) +_w \phi(\tilde{v})$, and
- ii) $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, v \in V : \phi(\lambda \cdot_v v) = \lambda \cdot_w \phi(v)$.

Notation: $\phi : V \longrightarrow W$ is a linear map $\iff \phi : V \xrightarrow{\sim} W$

Example: Consider the vector space (P, \oplus, \odot) from the above example,

Then, $\delta : P \longrightarrow P$ with $p \mapsto \delta(p) := p'$ is a linear map, because

$\forall p, q \in P : \delta(p \oplus q) = (p \oplus q)' = p' \oplus q' = \delta(p) \oplus \delta(q)$ and

$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, p \in P : \delta(\lambda \odot p) = (\lambda \odot p)' = \lambda \odot p'.$

Theorem 3.1. If $\phi : U \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ and $\psi : V \xrightarrow{\sim} W$, then $\psi \circ \phi : U \xrightarrow{\sim} W$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V & \xrightarrow{\psi} & W \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \psi \circ \phi & & \end{array}$$

Proof. $\forall u, \tilde{u} \in U, (\psi \circ \phi)(u +_u \tilde{u}) = \psi(\phi(u +_u \tilde{u})) = \psi(\phi(u) +_v \phi(\tilde{u})) = \psi(\phi(u)) +_w \psi(\phi(\tilde{u})) = (\psi \circ \phi)(u) +_w (\psi \circ \phi)(\tilde{u}).$

$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, u \in U, (\psi \circ \phi)(\lambda \cdot_u u) = \psi(\phi(\lambda \cdot_u u)) = \psi(\lambda \cdot_v \phi(u)) = \lambda \cdot_w \psi(\phi(u)) = \lambda \cdot_w (\psi \circ \phi)(u)$ □

Example: Consider the vector space (P, \oplus, \odot) and the differential $\delta : P \longrightarrow P$ with $p \mapsto \delta(p) := p'$ from previous example. Then, p'' , the second differential is also linear since it is composition of two linear maps, i.e., $\delta \circ \delta : P \xrightarrow{\sim} P$.

3.3 Vector Space of Homomorphisms

Definition 11. If $(V, +, \cdot)$ and $(W, +, \cdot)$ are vector spaces, then $Hom(V, W) := \{\phi : V \xrightarrow{\sim} W\}$.

Theorem 3.2. $(Hom(V, W), +, \cdot)$ is a vector space with

$+: Hom(V, W) \times Hom(V, W) \longrightarrow Hom(V, W)$ with $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \phi + \psi : (\phi + \psi)(v) = \phi(v) + \psi(v)$ and

$\cdot : \mathbb{R} \times Hom(V, W) \longrightarrow Hom(V, W)$ with $(\lambda, \phi) \mapsto \lambda \cdot \phi : (\lambda \cdot \phi)(v) = \lambda \cdot \phi(v).$

Example: $(Hom(P, P), +, \cdot)$ is a vector space. $\delta \in Hom(P, P)$, $\delta \circ \delta \in Hom(P, P)$, $\delta \circ \delta \circ \delta \in Hom(P, P)$, etc. Therefore, maps such as $5 \cdot \delta + \delta \circ \delta \in Hom(P, P)$. Thus, mixed order derivatives are in $Hom(P, P)$, and hence linear.

3.4 Dual Vector Spaces

Definition 12. If $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space, and $V^* := \{\phi : V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}\} = Hom(V, \mathbb{R})$ then $(V^*, +, \cdot)$ is called the **dual vector space to V**.

Terminology: $\omega \in V^*$ is called, informally, a **covector**.

Example: Consider $I : P \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $I \in P^*$. We define $I(p) := \int_0^1 p(x) dx$, which can be easily checked to be linear with $I(p + q) = I(p) + I(q)$ and $I(\lambda \cdot p) = \lambda \cdot I(p)$. Thus I is a covector, which is the integration operator $\int_0^1 (\quad) dx$ which eats a function.

Remarks: We shall also see later that the gradient is a covector. In fact, lots of things in physicist's life, which are covectors, have been called vectors not to bother you with details. But covectors are neither esoteric nor unnatural.

3.5 Tensors

We can think of tensors as multilinear maps.

Definition 13. Let $(V, +, \cdot)$ be a vector space. An (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}) -**tensor** T over V is a multilinear map

$$T : \underbrace{V^* \times V^* \times \cdots \times V^*}_{\mathbf{r} \text{ times}} \times \underbrace{V \times V \times \cdots \times V}_{\mathbf{s} \text{ times}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}$$

Example: If T is a $(1,1)$ -tensor, then

$$T(\omega_1 + \omega_2, v) = T(\omega_1, v) + T(\omega_2, v),$$

$$T(\omega, v_1 + v_2) = T(\omega, v_1) + T(\omega, v_2),$$

$$T(\lambda \cdot \omega, v) = \lambda \cdot T(\omega, v), \text{ and}$$

$$T(\omega, \lambda \cdot v) = \lambda \cdot T(\omega, v).$$

$$\text{Thus, } T(\omega_1 + \omega_2, v_1 + v_2) = T(\omega_1, v_1) + T(\omega_1, v_2) + T(\omega_2, v_1) + T(\omega_2, v_2).$$

Remarks: Sometimes it is said that a $(1,1)$ -tensor is something that eats a vector and outputs a vector. Here is why. For $T : V^* \times V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}$, define $\phi_T : V \xrightarrow{\sim} (V^*)^*$ with $v \mapsto T((\cdot), v)$. But, clearly $T((\cdot), v) : V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}$, which eats a covector and spits a number. In other words, $T((\cdot), v) \in (V^*)^*$. Although we are yet to define dimension, let us just trust, for the time being, that for finite-dimensional vector spaces, $(V^*)^* = V$. So, $\phi_T : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V$.

Example: Let $g : P \times P \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}$ with $(p, q) \mapsto \int_{-1}^1 p(x) \cdot q(x) dx$. Then, g is a $(0,2)$ -tensor over P .

3.6 Vectors and Covectors as Tensors

Theorem 3.3. If $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space, $\omega \in V^*$ is a $(0,1)$ -tensor.

Proof. $\omega \in V^*$ and, by definition, $V^* := \left\{ \phi : V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R} \right\}$, which is a collection of $(0,1)$ -tensors. □

Theorem 3.4. If $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space, $v \in V$ is a $(1,0)$ -tensor.

Proof. We have already stated, without proof and without defining dimensions, that $V = (V^*)^*$ for finite-dimensional vector spaces. Therefore, $v \in V \implies v \in (V^*)^* \implies v \in \left\{ \phi : V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R} \right\} \implies v$ is a $(1,0)$ -tensor. □

3.7 Bases

Definition 14. Let $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space. A subset $B \subseteq V$ is called a **basis** if

$$\forall v \in V, \exists! \text{finite } v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in B, \exists! f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{R} : v = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot v_i.$$

Definition 15. A vector space $(V, +, \cdot)$ with a basis B is said to be **d -dimensional** if B has d elements. In other words, $\dim V := d$.

Remarks: The above definition is well-defined only if every basis of a vector space has the same number of elements.

Remarks: Let $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space. Having chosen a basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n , we may uniquely associate $v \mapsto (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$, these numbers being the components of v w.r.t. chosen basis where $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \cdot e_i$.

3.8 Basis for the Dual Space

Let $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space. Having chosen a basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n for V , we can choose a basis $\epsilon^1, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^n$ for V^* entirely independent of basis of V . However, it is more economical to require that

$$\epsilon^a(e_b) = \delta_b^a = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b \\ 0 & \text{if } a \neq b \end{cases}$$

This uniquely determines $\epsilon^1, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^n$ from choice of e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n .

Remarks: The reason for using indices as superscripts or subscripts is to be able to use the Einstein summation convention, which will be helpful in dropping cumbersome \sum symbols in several equations.

Definition 16. For a basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n of vector space $(V, +, \cdot)$, $\epsilon^1, \epsilon^2, \dots, \epsilon^n$ is called the **dual basis** of the dual space, if $\epsilon^a(e_b) = \delta_b^a$.

Example: Consider polynomials P of degree 3. Choose $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 \in P$ such that $e_0(x) = 1, e_1(x) = x, e_2(x) = x^2$ and $e_3(x) = x^3$. Then, it can be easily verified that the dual basis is $\epsilon^a = \frac{1}{a!} \partial^a \Big|_{x=0}$.

3.9 Components of Tensors

Definition 17. Let T be a (r, s) -tensor over a d -dimensional (finite) vector space $(V, +, \cdot)$. Then, with respect to some basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ and the dual basis $\{\epsilon^1, \dots, \epsilon^s\}$, define $(r + s)^d$ real numbers

$$T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} := T(\epsilon^{i_1}, \dots, \epsilon^{i_r}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_s})$$

such that the indices $i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1, \dots, j_s$ take all possible values in the set $\{1, \dots, d\}$. These numbers $T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s}$ are called the **components of the tensor** T w.r.t. the chosen basis.

This is useful because knowing components (and the basis w.r.t which these components have been chosen), one can reconstruct the entire tensor.

Example: If T is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor, then $T^i_j := T(\epsilon^i, e_j)$. Then

$$T(\omega, v) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \omega_i \cdot \epsilon^i, \sum_{j=1}^d v^j \cdot e_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \omega_i v^j T(\epsilon^i, e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \omega_i v^j T^i_j =: \omega_i v^j T^i_j$$

4 Differential Manifolds

Motivation: So far we have dealt with topological manifolds which allow us to talk about continuity. But to talk about smoothness of curves on manifolds, or velocities along these curves, we need something like differentiability. Does the structure of topological manifold allow us to talk about differentiability? The answer is a resounding no.

So this lecture is about figuring out what structure we need to add on a topological manifold M to start talking about differentiability of curves ($\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$) on a manifold, or differentiability of functions ($M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) on a manifold, or differentiability of maps ($M \rightarrow N$) from one manifold M to another manifold N .

4.1 Strategy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma : \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U \\ & \searrow x \circ \gamma & \downarrow x \\ & & x(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \end{array}$$

idea. try to “lift” the undergraduate notion of differentiability of a curve on \mathbb{R}^d to a notion of differentiability of a curve on M

Problem Can this be well-defined under change of chart?

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & y(U \cap V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d & & \\ & \nearrow y \circ \gamma & \uparrow y & \nearrow y \circ x^{-1} & \\ \gamma : \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U \cap V \neq \emptyset & & \\ & \searrow x \circ \gamma & \downarrow x & \searrow & \\ & & x(U \cap V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d & & \end{array}$$

$x \circ \gamma$ undergraduate differentiable (“as a map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ”)

$$\underbrace{y \circ \gamma}_{\text{maybe only continuous, but not undergraduate differentiable}} = \underbrace{(y \circ x^{-1})}_{\text{continuous}} \circ \underbrace{(x \circ \gamma)}_{\text{undergrad differentiable}} = y \circ (x^{-1} \circ x) \circ \gamma$$

At first sight, strategy does not work out.

4.2 Compatible charts

In section 1, we used any imaginable charts on the topological manifold (M, \mathcal{O}) .

To emphasize this, we may say that we took U and V from the *maximal atlas* \mathcal{A} of (M, \mathcal{O}) .

Definition 18. Two charts (U, x) and (V, y) of a topological manifold are called \clubsuit -compatible if either

- (a) $U \cap V = \emptyset$, or

(b) $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$: chart transition maps

$$y \circ x^{-1} : x(U \cap V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow y(U \cap V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ and}$$

$$x \circ y^{-1} : y(U \cap V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow x(U \cap V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$$

have undergraduate \mathfrak{S} property.

Since both $y \circ x^{-1}$ and $x \circ y^{-1}$ are $\mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ maps, can use undergraduate \mathfrak{S} properties such as continuity or differentiability.

Philosophy:

Definition 19. An atlas $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is a \mathfrak{S} -compatible atlas if any two charts in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ are \mathfrak{S} -compatible.

Definition 20. A \mathfrak{S} -manifold is a triple $(\underbrace{M, \mathcal{O}}_{\text{top. mfd.}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}})$ $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\text{maximal}}$

\mathfrak{S}	undergraduate \mathfrak{S}	
C^0	$C^0(\mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d) =$	continuous maps w.r.t. \mathcal{O} (we know from section 1 that every atlas is C^0 -compatible atlas.)
C^1	$C^1(\mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d) =$	differentiable (once) and is continuous k -times continuously differentiable k -times differentiable
C^k		
D^k		
\vdots		
C^∞	$C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d)$	continuously differentiable arbitrarily many times; also called “smooth manifolds”
\cup		
C^ω		\exists multi-dimensional Taylor expansion
\mathbb{C}^∞		satisfy Cauchy-Riemann equations, pair-wise


EY : 20151109 Schuller says: C^k is easy to work with because you can judge k -times continuously differentiability from existence of all partial derivatives **and** their continuity. There are examples of maps that partial derivatives exist but are not D^k , k -times differentiable.

Theorem 4.1 (Whitney¹). Any $C^{k \geq 1}$ -atlas, $\mathcal{A}_{C^{k \geq 1}}$ of a topological manifold contains a C^∞ -atlas.

Thus we may w.l.o.g. always consider C^∞ -manifolds (i.e., “smooth manifolds”), unless we wish to define Taylor expandability/complex differentiability ...

Definition 21. A smooth manifold $(\underbrace{M, \mathcal{O}}_{\text{top. mfd.}}, \underbrace{\mathcal{A}}_{C^\infty\text{-atlas}})$

Remarks: We should distinguish the real physical object from the maps used to communicate them.



While the physical object is the curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M$, but we communicate it using maps such as $x \circ \gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ in physics. But, the thing of which we should require any properties is the real physical object (in this case, the curve γ).

Remarks: TODO from video 40:30 to 42:20

¹<http://mathoverflow.net/questions/8789/can-every-manifold-be-given-an-analytic-structure>

4.3 Diffeomorphisms

We study isomorphisms, i.e., structure preserving bijections.

If M, N are naked sets (i.e., with no additional structure), then $M \cong_{\text{set}} N$, i.e., M and N are (set-theoretically) isomorphic to each other if \exists bijection $\phi : M \rightarrow N$.


Examples. $\mathbb{N} \cong_{\text{set}} \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N} \cong_{\text{set}} \mathbb{Q}$ (using diagonal counting scheme), $\mathbb{N} \not\cong_{\text{set}} \mathbb{R}$.

Now $(M, \mathcal{O}_M) \cong_{\text{top}} (N, \mathcal{O}_N)$, i.e., they are topologically isomorphic (also called “homeomorphic”) if \exists bijection $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ s.t. ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are continuous.

Two vector spaces are isomorphic, i.e., $(V, +, \cdot) \cong_{\text{vec}} (W, +_w, \cdot_w)$ if \exists linear bijection $\phi : V \rightarrow W$.

Finally,

Definition 22. Two C^∞ -manifolds $(M, \mathcal{O}_M, \mathcal{A}_M)$ and $(N, \mathcal{O}_N, \mathcal{A}_N)$ are said to be **diffeomorphic** if \exists bijection $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ s.t. both $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ and $\phi^{-1} : N \rightarrow M$ are C^∞ -maps.



Theorem 4.2. $\#$ = number of C^∞ -manifolds one can make out of a given C^0 -manifolds (if any) - up to diffeomorphisms.

$\dim M$	$\#$	
1	1	Morse-Radon theorems
2	1	Morse-Radon theorems
3	1	Morse-Radon theorems
4	uncountably infinite	
5	finite	surgery theory
6	finite	surgery theory
\vdots	finite	surgery theory

5 Tangent Spaces

Lead question: “What is the velocity of a curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ at the point p of the curve in M ?”

5.1 Velocities

Definition 23. Let $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ be a smooth manifold. Let there be a curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$, which is at least C^1 . Suppose $\gamma(\lambda_0) = p$. The **velocity** of γ at the point p of the curve γ is the linear map

$$v_{\gamma,p} : C^\infty(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R} \text{ with } f \mapsto v_{\gamma,p}(f) := (f \circ \gamma)'(\lambda_0) \quad (5.1)$$

where $C^\infty(M) := \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is a smooth function}\}$ equipped with $(f \oplus g)(p) := f(p) + g(p)$ and $(\lambda \otimes g)(p) := \lambda \cdot g(p)$ is a vector space.




Figure 5.1: $f \circ \gamma$. Intuition: If the first \mathbb{R} is thought of as time, and f as temperature, then $f \circ \gamma$ relates time and temperature and $(f \circ \gamma)'$ is the rate of change of temperature as you run around the curve.

past: “ $\underbrace{v^i}_{\text{vector in past}} (\partial_i f) = (\underbrace{v^i \partial_i}_{\text{vector as map}}) f$ ”

In an imprecise way, we could say that we want vectors to survive as the directional derivatives they induce. This is a very slight shift of perspective which is extremely powerful and leads to idea of tangent space in differential geometry.

Terminology: If X is a vector seen as a map, then X acting on a function f , i.e. Xf is called the **directional derivative of f in the X direction**.

5.2 Tangent vector space

Definition 24. For each point $p \in M$, the **tangent space** to M at the point p is the set

$$T_p M := \{v_{\gamma,p} \mid \text{for all smooth curves } \gamma \text{ through } p\} \quad (5.2)$$




Figure 5.2: A pictorial representation of the tangent space $T_x M$ of a single point, x , on a manifold. A vector in this $T_x M$ can represent a possible velocity at x . After moving in that direction to a nearby point, one’s velocity would then be given by a vector in the tangent space of that nearby point — a different tangent space, not shown. *By Alexwright at English Wikipedia - Transferred from en.wikipedia to Commons by Ylebru., Public Domain* <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=3941393>




Figure 5.3: The tangent space $T_x M$ and a tangent vector $v \in T_x M$, along a curve travelling through $x \in M$. *By derivative work: McSush (talk)Tangentialvektor.png: TNThe original uploader was TN at German Wikipedia - Tangentialvektor.png, Public Domain, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=4821938*

Caution: Although the Fig. 5.2 and 5.3 refer to an ambient space in which M is embedded, the tangent space has been defined intrinsically. There is a velocity corresponding to each curve along a different path in M passing

through p . Velocity along two different curves could be same, or curves along same paths but having different parameter speeds would yield different velocities.

Theorem 5.1. $(T_p M, \oplus, \otimes)$ is a vector space with

$$\begin{aligned}\oplus : T_p M \times T_p M &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(C^\infty(M), \mathbb{R}) \\ (v_{\gamma,p} \oplus v_{\delta,p})(\underbrace{f}_{\in C^\infty(M)}) &:= v_{\gamma,p}(f) +_{\mathbb{R}} v_{\delta,p}(f) \\ \odot : \mathbb{R} \times T_p M &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(C^\infty(M), \mathbb{R}) \\ (\alpha \odot v_{\gamma,p})(f) &:= \alpha \cdot_{\mathbb{R}} v_{\gamma,p}(f)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Various conditions that must be satisfied by a vector space, are trivially satisfied. It remains to be shown that

- i) For product, $\exists \tau$ curve : $\alpha \odot v_{\gamma,p} = v_{\tau,p}$
- ii) For sum, $\exists \sigma$ curve : $v_{\gamma,p} \oplus v_{\delta,p} = v_{\sigma,p}$

Product: Let $\tau : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M$ with $\lambda \mapsto \tau(\lambda) := \gamma(\alpha\lambda + \lambda_0) = (\gamma \circ \mu_\alpha)(\lambda)$ where $\mu_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $r \mapsto \alpha \cdot r + \lambda_0$. Then $\tau(0) = \gamma(\lambda_0) = p$, and

$$v_{\tau,p} = (f \circ \tau)'(0) = (f \circ \gamma \circ \mu_\alpha)'(0) = \mu_\alpha'(0) \cdot (f \circ \gamma)'(\mu_\alpha(0)) = \alpha \cdot (f \circ \gamma)'(\lambda_0) = \alpha \cdot v_{\gamma,p}$$

Sum: Choose a chart (U, x) and $p \in U$. (If the proof will depend on the choice of a chart, alarm bells should ring. But we shall see that the result is finally independent of the chart.)

Let $p = \gamma(\lambda_0) = \delta(\lambda_1)$.

Now define $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M$ with $\lambda \mapsto \sigma(\lambda) := x^{-1}(\underbrace{(x \circ \gamma)(\lambda_0 + \lambda) + (x \circ \delta)(\lambda_1 + \lambda)}_{\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d} - (x \circ \gamma)(\lambda_0))$.

Then, $\sigma_x(0) = x^{-1}((x \circ \gamma)(\lambda_0) + (x \circ \delta)(\lambda_1) - (x \circ \gamma)(\lambda_0)) = \delta(\lambda_1) = p$.


Now

$$\begin{aligned}v_{\sigma_x,p}(f) &:= (f \circ \sigma_x)'(0) \\ &= (\underbrace{(f \circ x^{-1})}_{\mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}} \circ \underbrace{(x \circ \sigma_x)}_{\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d})'(0) \\ &= \underbrace{(x \circ \sigma_x)'(0)}_{(x \circ \gamma)'(\lambda_0) + (x \circ \delta)'(\lambda_1)} \cdot \underbrace{(\partial_i(f \circ x^{-1}))}_{p} (x(\sigma(0))) \\ &= (x \circ \gamma)'(\lambda_0)(\partial_i(f \circ x^{-1}))(x(p)) + (x \circ \delta)(\lambda_1)(\partial_i(f \circ x^{-1}))(x(p)) \\ &= (f \circ \gamma)'(\lambda_0) + (f \circ \delta)'(\lambda_1) \\ &= v_{\gamma,p}(f) + v_{\delta,p}(f) \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M)\end{aligned}$$

□

Note: If we push γ and δ to one chart, and add them there, then bring the sum back to M , we would get a curve which would be different from the curve we would get if we used another chart. But it turns out, irrespective of the charts selected, we get the same tangent/velocity. Conclusion: Adding trajectories is chart dependent; hence, bad. Adding velocities is good because, whatever the charts, they yield the same derivative at the point of intersection. Of course, you cannot add two curves $(\gamma \oplus \delta)(\lambda) := \gamma(\lambda) +_M \delta(\lambda)$ because there is no addition $+_M$ in M . Defining $+$ through charts results in chart-dependent results, which is, therefore, not real.

5.3 Components of a vector w.r.t. a chart



Let $(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{smooth}}$, $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow U$ and $\gamma(0) = p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{\gamma,p}(f) &:= (f \circ \gamma)'(0) \\
 &= \underbrace{((f \circ x^{-1}) \circ (x \circ \gamma))'}_{\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}}(0) \\
 &= ((x \circ \gamma)')^i(0) \cdot (f \circ x^{-1})'_i(x(p)) \\
 &= \underbrace{((x \circ \gamma)')^i(0)}_{=:\dot{\gamma}_x^i(0)} \cdot \underbrace{(\partial_i(f \circ x^{-1}))(x(p))}_{=:(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i})_p} \\
 &= \dot{\gamma}_x^i(0) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p f \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M), f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 25. For velocity $v_{\gamma,p}$, as a map under use of a chart (U, x) ,

$$\boxed{v_{\gamma,p} = \dot{\gamma}_x^i(0) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p} \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\dot{\gamma}_x^i = ((x \circ \gamma)')^i \quad (5.4)$$

are the **components of the velocity** $v_{\gamma,p}$ and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) = \partial_i(\cdot \circ x^{-1}) = ((\cdot \circ x^{-1})')^i \quad (5.5)$$

which eat a function, form a basis of $T_p M$ w.r.t. which the components of the velocity need to be understood.

Note: The components of a vector are always w.r.t. a chart. In M , there is just the vector, no components.

Theorem 5.2. For a chart (U, x) ,

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^j} = \delta_j^i} \quad (5.6)$$

Proof.

$$\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^j} = \partial_j(x^i \circ x^{-1})(x(p)) = \delta_j^i \quad (\text{since } x^i \circ x^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d) \mapsto \alpha^i)$$

□

5.4 Chart-induced basis

Definition 26. If $(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{smooth}}$, then $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \right)_p, \dots, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^d} \right)_p \in T_p U \subseteq T_p M$ constitute a **chart-induced basis** of $T_p U$.

Proof. We have already shown that any vector in $T_p U$ can be expressed in terms of $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)_p$. It remains to be shown that they are linearly independent. That is, we require $\lambda^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)_p = 0 \implies \lambda^i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Or,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lambda^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)_p (x^j) & x^j : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is differentiable} \\
&= \lambda^i \partial_i (x^j \circ x^{-1})(x(p)) & \text{by Eq. 5.5} \\
&= \lambda^i \delta_i^j & \text{by Theorem 5.2} \\
&= \lambda^j & \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, d
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1. $\dim T_p M = d = \dim M$.

This follows from the fact d vectors are needed to express any vector in $T_p M$, and these d vectors arise from the d coordinates of chart which shows that M has d dimensions.

Terminology: $X \in T_p M \implies \exists \gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M : X = v_{\gamma, p}$ and $\exists \underbrace{X^1, \dots, X^d}_{\in \mathbb{R}} : X = X^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)_p$. X^i are called **components of the vector X w.r.t chart-induced basis**.

5.5 Change of vector components under a change of chart

✖ A vector does not change under change of chart; only the vector components do.

Let (U, x) and (V, y) be overlapping charts and $p \in U \cap V$. Let $X \in T_p M$. Then, X can be expanded in terms of chart-induced basis of the two charts as follows:

$$X_{(y)}^i \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)_p \underbrace{=}_p \underbrace{X}_{(V, y)} \underbrace{=}_{(U, x)} X_{(x)}^i \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)_p \quad (5.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now, } \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)_p f &= \partial_i (f \circ x^{-1})(x(p)) \\
&= \partial_i (\underbrace{(f \circ y^{-1})}_{\mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}} \circ \underbrace{(y \circ x^{-1})}_{\mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d})(x(p)) \\
&= (\partial_i (y \circ x^{-1})^j)(x(p)) \cdot (\partial_j (f \circ y^{-1}))(y(p)) \\
&= (\partial_i (y^j \circ x^{-1}))(x(p)) \cdot (\partial_j (f \circ y^{-1}))(y(p)) \\
&= \boxed{\left(\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\right)_p \cdot \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y^j}\right)_p}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)_p = \left(\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\right)_p \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right)_p} \quad (5.8)$$

Using Eq. 5.7 and Eq. 5.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{(x)}^i \left(\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\right)_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right)_p &= X_{(y)}^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right)_p \\
\therefore \boxed{X_{(y)}^j} &= \boxed{\left(\frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^i}\right)_p X_{(x)}^i} \quad (5.9)
\end{aligned}$$

5.6 Cotangent spaces

Since $T_p M$ is a vector space, therefore it is trivial to define cotangent space as follows.

Definition 27. For the tangent space $T_p M$ at $p \in M$, **cotangent space** is defined as

$$(T_p M)^* := \{\varphi : T_p M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}\} \quad (5.10)$$

Definition 28. If $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then the **gradient of f at the point $p \in M$** is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (df)_p : T_p M &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R} \\ X &\mapsto (df)_p(X) := Xf \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

i.e. $\boxed{(df)_p \in T_p M^*}$

$(df)_p$ is a $(0,1)$ -tensor over the underlying vector space $T_p M$. We define the components of the gradient the same way as we define the components of a tensor (refer section 3.9).

Definition 29. **Components of gradient w.r.t. chart-induced basis of (U, x)** are defined as

$$((df)_p)_j := (df)_p \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)_p \right) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \right)_p = \partial_j (f \circ x^{-1})(x(p)) \quad (5.12)$$

Theorem 5.3. A chart $(U, x) \implies x^i : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions. Then, $(dx^1)_p, (dx^2)_p, \dots, (dx^d)_p$ form a basis of $T_p^* M$.

Proof. In fact, $(dx^i)_p$ form a dual basis since

$$(dx^a)_p \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} \right)_p \right) = \left(\frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x^b} \right)_p = \delta_b^a \text{ (using Theorem 5.2)} \quad (5.13)$$

□

5.7 Change of components of a covector under a change of chart

✕ A covector does not change under change of chart; only the covector components do.

Let (U, x) and (V, y) be overlapping charts and $p \in U \cap V$. Let $\omega \in T_p^* M$. Then, ω can be expanded in terms of chart-induced basis of the two charts as follows:

$$\omega_{(y)k} (dy^k)_p \underbrace{=}_{(V,y)} \omega \underbrace{=}_{(U,x)} \omega_{(x)j} (dx^j)_p \quad (5.14)$$

$$\implies \omega_{(y)k} (dy^k)_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right)_p = \omega_{(x)j} (dx^j)_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right)_p$$

$$\implies \omega_{(y)k} \left(\frac{\partial y^k}{\partial y^i} \right)_p = \omega_{(x)j} \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \right)_p \quad \text{by Eq. 5.11}$$

$$\implies \omega_{(y)k} \delta_i^k = \omega_{(x)j} \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \right)_p \quad \text{by Theorem 5.2}$$

$$\implies \boxed{\omega_{(y)i} = \left(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^i} \right)_p \omega_{(x)j}} \quad (5.15)$$

6 Fields

So far, we have focussed technically on a single tangent space and a vector/ covector in it, a basis if we chose a chart. As physicists, we are interested in things such as vector fields such that at any point of a manifold, there is a vector. The proper way to deal with it technically is *theory of bundles*.

6.1 Bundles

Definition 30. A **bundle** is a triple $\boxed{E \xrightarrow{\pi} M}$, where E is a smooth manifold, called the **total space**, M is a smooth manifold, called the **base space**, and π is a smooth map (surjective), called the **projection map**.

Definition 31. Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a bundle and $p \in M$. Then, **fibre over** $p := \text{preim}_{\pi}(\{p\})$.

Definition 32. A **section** σ of a bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ is the map $\sigma : M \longrightarrow E$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = id_M$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \\ & \searrow \sigma & \\ & & \end{array}$$

Example: E is a cylinder, M a circle and π maps vertical lines on the cylinder to the point of intersection of this line with the circle.

Example: If the fibre of $p \in M$ is a tangent space, the section would pick one vector from the tangent space.

Aside: In quantum mechanics, $\psi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a wavefunction, but it is actually a section which selects one value from \mathbb{C} for each $p \in M$.

6.2 Tangent bundle of smooth manifold

For this entire subsection, let $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ be a smooth manifold and let $d := \dim M$.

Define the set,

$$\boxed{TM := \dot{\bigcup}_{p \in M} T_p M} \quad (6.1)$$

Now define a surjective map π as follows:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \pi : TM \longrightarrow M \\ X \mapsto \pi(X) := p \in M \text{ such that } X \in T_p M \end{array}} \quad (6.2)$$

Situation: $\underbrace{TM}_{\text{set}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \underbrace{M}_{\text{smooth manifold}}$

For a bundle, TM should be a smooth manifold and π a smooth map. Let us construct a topology on TM that is the coarsest topology such that π is just continuous. (**initial topology** with respect to π). Define

$$\boxed{\mathcal{O}_{TM} := \{\text{preim}_{\pi}(U) | U \in \mathcal{O}\}} \quad (6.3)$$

It can be shown that (TM, \mathcal{O}_{TM}) is a topological space. But we need a smooth atlas.

Construction of a C^∞ -atlas on TM from the C^∞ -atlas \mathcal{A} on M

Define

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{TM} &:= \{(TU, \xi_x) \mid (U, x) \in \mathcal{A}\} \text{ where} \\ \xi_x &: TU \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d} \\ X &\mapsto \left(\underbrace{(x^1 \circ \pi)(X), \dots, (x^d \circ \pi)(X)}_{(U, x) \text{-- coords of } \pi(X) \text{ (d-many)}}, \underbrace{(dx^1)_{\pi(X)}(X), \dots, (dx^d)_{\pi(X)}(X)}_{\text{components of } X \text{ w.r.t } (U, x) \text{ (d-many)}} \right) \end{aligned}} \quad (6.4)$$

In the above, $(x^1 \circ \pi)(X) = x^1(\pi(X)) = x^1(p) = x^1$ -coordinate, and

$$X \in T_{\pi(X)}M \implies X = X_{(x)}^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_{\pi(X)} \implies (dx^j)_{\pi(X)}(X) = (dx^j)_{\pi(X)} \left(X_{(x)}^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_{\pi(X)} \right) = X_{(x)}^i \delta_i^j = X_{(x)}^j.$$

Thus ξ_x maps X to the coordinates of its base point $\pi(X)$ under the chart (U, x) and the components of the vector X w.r.t the basis induced by this chart.

We can write ξ_x^{-1} as follows:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \xi_x^{-1} &: \underbrace{\xi_x(TU)}_{\subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2d}} \longrightarrow TU \\ (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d, \beta^1, \dots, \beta^d) &:= \beta^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) \underbrace{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)}_{\pi(X)} \end{aligned}} \quad (6.5)$$

Now we check, whether the atlas \mathcal{A}_{TM} smooth. That is, are the transitions between its charts smooth?

Theorem 6.1. \mathcal{A}_{TM} is a smooth atlas.

Proof. Let $(U, \xi_x) \in \mathcal{A}_{TM}$, $(V, \xi_y) \in \mathcal{A}_{TM}$ and $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Calculate the chart transition

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_y \circ \xi_x^{-1})(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d, \beta^1, \dots, \beta^d) &= \xi_y \left(\beta^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)} \right) && \text{by Eq. 6.5} \\ &= \left(\dots, (y^i \circ \pi) \left(\beta^m \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)_{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)} \right), \dots, (dy^i)_{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)} \left(\beta^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)_{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)} \right), \dots \right) && \text{by Eq. 6.4} \\ &= \left(\dots, y^i \left(\underbrace{\pi \left(\beta^m \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)_{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)} \right)}_{\text{the base point, } x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)} \right), \dots, \beta^m (dy^i)_{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)} \left(\underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)_{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)}}_{\left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^m} \right)_{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)}} \right), \dots \right) \\ &= \left(\dots, (y^i \circ x^{-1})(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d), \dots, \beta^m \left(\left(\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^m} \right)_{x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)} \right), \dots \right) \\ &= \left(\dots, (y^i \circ x^{-1})(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d), \dots, \beta^m (\partial_m (y^i \circ x^{-1})(x(x^{-1}(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)))) \dots \right) \\ &= \left(\dots, \underbrace{(y^i \circ x^{-1})(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d)}_{\text{smooth } \cdot: (A) \text{ is smooth atlas}}, \dots, \underbrace{\beta^m (\partial_m (y^i \circ x^{-1})(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d))}_{\text{smooth } \cdot: \text{ chart transition map is } C^\infty \text{ smooth}}, \dots \right) \\ &\implies (\xi_y \circ \xi_x^{-1}) \text{ is smooth} \implies \mathcal{A}_{TM} \text{ is smooth} \end{aligned}$$

□

Further, the surjective map π is a smooth map because, in the chart representation, π takes the $2d$ components of $X \in TM$ to the d -coordinates of the base point in M , which can be seen to happen smoothly by seeing how the components are mapped. Therefore, we have the following definition.

Definition 33. Then, using the smooth manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ as the base space and the smooth manifold $(TM, \mathcal{O}_{TM}, \mathcal{A}_{TM})$ as the total space, the **tangent bundle** is the triple

$$\boxed{TM \xrightarrow{\pi} M} \quad (6.6)$$

6.3 Vector fields

Why did we put so much effort in making a smooth atlas on TM and defining a tangent bundle? The answer is in the following definition of *smooth* vector field, not just any vector field.

Definition 34. For a tangent bundle $TM \xrightarrow{\pi} M$, a **smooth vector field** χ is a smooth map such that $\pi \circ \chi = id_M$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TM & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \\ & \searrow \chi & \\ & & \end{array}$$

Remarks: χ is a section, which couldn't have been a smooth map unless we had both M and TM as smooth manifolds.

6.4 The $C^\infty(M)$ -module $\Gamma(TM)$

We already know that $C^\infty(M)$, the collection of all smooth functions is a vector space with \mathbb{R} -multiplication with \mathbb{R} . But we may also consider the structure $(C^\infty(M), +, \cdot)$ with point-wise addition between elements of $C^\infty(M)$ and point-wise multiplication between elements of $C^\infty(M)$. This structure satisfies all the requirements of a field (commutativity, associativity, neutral element, inverse element under both operations, and distributivity) except that there is no inverse for all non-zero elements under multiplication. This is so because a function that is not zero everywhere, may be zero at some points and then point-wise multiplication with no function would result in the value 1 everywhere. Such a structure is called a ring.

A module over a ring is a generalization of the notion of vector space over a field, wherein the corresponding scalars are the elements of an arbitrary given ring.

Let us consider the module made from the set of all smooth vector fields over the ring $C^\infty(M)$. Define

$$\Gamma(TM) = \{\chi : M \longrightarrow TM \mid \chi \text{ is a smooth section}\} \quad (6.7)$$

Definition 35. $(\Gamma(TM), \oplus, \odot)$ is a $C^\infty(M)$ -module over the ring of $C^\infty(M)$ functions with $\chi, \tilde{\chi} \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $g \in C^\infty(M)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi \oplus \tilde{\chi})(f) &:= (\chi f) \underbrace{+}_{C^\infty(M)} (\tilde{\chi} f) \\ (g \odot \chi)(f) &:= g \underbrace{\cdot}_{C^\infty(M)} (\chi f) \end{aligned}$$

Facts: Besides other differences, there are following 2 important facts:

- (1) Proving that *every vector space has a basis* depends upon the choice of set theory; in particular, on the Axiom of Choice in ZFC theory.
- (2) No such result exists for modules.

This is a shame, because otherwise, we could have chosen (for any manifold) vector fields, $\chi_{(1)}, \dots, \chi_{(d)} \in \Gamma(TM)$ and would be able to write every vector field χ in terms of component functions f^i as $\chi = f^i \cdot \chi_{(i)}$.

Simple counterexample: Take a sphere. Can we find a smooth vector field over the entire sphere. Can you comb the sphere? No. For the field to be smooth, there is a problem. Morse Theory tells us that every smooth vector field on a sphere must vanish at 2 points \implies basis cannot be chosen. We cannot choose a global basis. Therefore, if required, we only expand a vector field in terms of a basis on a domain where it is possible.

Remarks: Although we cannot have a global basis for $\Gamma(TM)$, it is possible to do so locally. Thus, for the chart (U, x) we can take the **chart-induced basis of the vector field** in the chart domain U as the map

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} : U &\xrightarrow{\text{smooth}} TU \\ p &\mapsto \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

6.5 Tensor fields

So far we have constructed the sections over the tangent bundle. That is, $\Gamma(TM)$ = "set of smooth vector fields" as a $C^\infty(M)$ -module.

Exactly along the same lines we can construct the **cotangent bundle** $\Gamma(T^*M)$ = "set of covector fields" as a $C^\infty(M)$ -module, by mapping a covector to the coordinates of its base point and components of the covector. $\Gamma(TM)$ and $\Gamma(T^*M)$ are the basic building blocks for every tensor field.

Definition 36. An (r, s) -**tensor field** T is a multilinear map

$$T : \underbrace{\Gamma(T^*M) \times \dots \times \Gamma(T^*M)}_r \times \underbrace{\Gamma(TM) \times \dots \times \Gamma(TM)}_s \xrightarrow{\sim} C^\infty(M) \quad (6.9)$$

Remarks: the multilinearity is in $C^\infty(M)$, in terms of addition in the modules and S -multiplication with functions in $C^\infty(M)$.

Example: Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then, define a $(0, 1)$ -tensor field df as

$$\begin{aligned} df : \Gamma(TM) &\xrightarrow{\sim} C^\infty(M) \\ \chi &\mapsto df(\chi) := \chi f \quad \text{such that } (\chi f)(\underbrace{p}_{\in M}) := \underbrace{\chi(p)}_{\in T_p M} f \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that df is C^∞ -linear.

7 Connections

Motivation: So far, all we have dealt with (e.g., sets, topological manifolds, smooth manifolds, fields, bundles, etc.) are structures that we have to provide by hand before we can start doing physics as we know it. Why? Because we don't have equations which determine what we have done so far. These are assumptions you need to submit before you can do physics.

In this lecture we introduce yet another structure called connections which are determined by Einstein's equations. Everything from now on will be objects that are the subject of Einstein's equations depending on the matter in the Universe. Connections are also called covariant derivatives. Even though these are different, for our purposes we shall not distinguish the two and use the more general connections.

So far, we saw that a vector field X can be used to provide a directional derivative of a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ in the direction X

$$\nabla_X f := Xf$$

Isn't this a notational overkill? We already know

$$\nabla_X f = Xf = (df)X$$

Actually, they are not quite the same because

$$X : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

$$df : T(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

$$\nabla_X : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

where ∇_X can be generalized to eat an arbitrary (p, q) -tensor field and yield a (p, q) -tensor field whereas X can only eat functions.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nabla_X : C^\infty(M) & \longrightarrow & C^\infty(M) \\ \vdots \downarrow & & \vdots \downarrow \\ \nabla_X : (p, q)\text{-tensor field} & \longrightarrow & (p, q)\text{-tensor field} \end{array}$$

We need ∇_X to provide the new structure to allow us to talk about directional derivatives of tensor fields and vector fields. Of course, only in cases where ∇_X acts on function f which is a $(0, 0)$ -tensor, it is exactly the same as Xf .

7.1 Directional derivatives of tensor fields

We formulate a wish list of properties which ∇_X acting on a tensor field should have. We put this in form of a definition. There may be many structures that satisfy this wish list. Any remaining freedom in choosing such a ∇ will need to be provided as additional structure beyond the structure we already have. And we assume all this takes place on a smooth manifold.

Definition 37. A **connection** ∇ on a smooth manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ is a map that takes a pair consisting of a vector (field) X and a (p, q) -tensor field T and sends them to a (p, q) -tensor (field) $\nabla_X T$ satisfying

$$\text{i) } \nabla_X f = Xf \quad \forall f \in C^\infty M$$

$$\text{ii) } \nabla_X (T + S) = \nabla_X T + \nabla_X S \quad \text{where } T, S \text{ are } (p, q)\text{-tensors}$$

- iii) **Leibnitz rule:** $\nabla_X T(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_q) = (\nabla_X T)(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_q)$
 $+ T(\nabla_X \omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_q) + \dots + T(\omega_1, \dots, \nabla_X \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_q)$
 $+ T(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, \nabla_X Y_1, \dots, Y_q) + \dots + T(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, \nabla_X Y_q)$ where T is a (p, q) -tensor

Note that for a (p, q) -tensor T and a (r, s) -tensor S , since:

$$(T \otimes S)(\omega_{(1)}, \dots, \omega_{(p+r)}, Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(q+s)}) =$$

$$T(\omega_{(1)}, \dots, \omega_{(p)}, Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(q)}) \cdot S(\omega_{(p+1)}, \dots, \omega_{(p+r)}, Y_{(q+1)}, \dots, Y_{(q+s)}),$$

Leibnitz rule implies $\nabla_X(T \otimes S) = (\nabla_X T) \otimes S + T \otimes (\nabla_X S)$.

- iv) **C^∞ -linearity:** $\forall f \in C^\infty(M), \nabla_{fX+Z} T = f \nabla_X T + \nabla_Z T$

C^∞ -linearity means that no matter how the function f scales the vectors at different points of the manifold, the effect of the scaling at any point is independent of scaling in the neighbourhood and depends only on how the scaling happens at that point.

A **manifold with a connection** ∇ is a quadruple $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \nabla)$, where M is a set, \mathcal{O} is a topology and \mathcal{A} is a smooth atlas.

Remark: If $\nabla_X(\cdot)$ can be seen as an extension of X ,
then $\nabla_{(\cdot)}(\cdot)$ can be seen as an extension of d .

7.2 New structure on $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ required to fix ∇

How much freedom do we have in choosing such a structure?

Consider vector fields X, Y and chart $(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y &= \nabla_{(X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})} \left(Y^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right) && \text{by expanding in chart-induced basis} \\ &= X^i \cdot \nabla_{(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})} \left(Y^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right) && \text{by } C^\infty\text{-linearity} \\ &= X^i \underbrace{\left(\nabla_{(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})} Y^m \right)}_{= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} Y^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + X^i \cdot Y^m \cdot \underbrace{\left(\nabla_{(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)}_{\text{a vector field, by defn.}} && \text{using Leibnitz rule} \\ &= X^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} Y^m \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + X^i \cdot Y^m \cdot \left(\Gamma^q_{mi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by change of indices,

$$\boxed{(\nabla_X Y)^i = X^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} Y^i \right) + X^m \cdot Y^n \cdot \Gamma^i_{nm}} \quad (7.1)$$

So we need $(\dim M)^3$ -many functions to define directional derivative of a vector field.

Definition 38. Given $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \nabla)$ and $(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}$, then the **connection coefficient functions** (Γ_s) on M of ∇ w.r.t (U, x) are $(\dim M)^3$ -many functions given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^i_{jk} : U &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ p &\mapsto \Gamma^i_{jk}(p) := \left(dx^i \left(\nabla_{(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k})} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) \right) (p) \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Note: $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ is a vector field; $\therefore \nabla_{(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k})} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ is a vector field, and dx^i is a covector which will result in a function after acting on a vector field.

On a chart domain U , choice of the $(\dim M)^3$ -many functions Γ^i_{jk} suffices to fix the action of ∇ on a vector field. What about the directional derivative of a covector field, or a tensor field? Will we have to provide more and more coefficients? Fortunately, the same $(\dim M)^3$ -many functions fix the action of ∇ on any tensor field.

We know that, for a covector, $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}}(dx^i) = \Sigma^i_{jm} dx^j$, since dx^i form a dual basis. Are these Σ s independent of Γ s? Consider the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} \left(dx^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) \right) &= \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} \delta^i_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} (\delta^i_j) = 0 \\
\Rightarrow \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} dx^i \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) + dx^i \underbrace{\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)}_{\Gamma^q_{jm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q}} &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} dx^i \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) + dx^i \Gamma^q_{jm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} dx^i \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) &= -dx^i \Gamma^q_{jm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} = -\Gamma^q_{jm} dx^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} = -\Gamma^q_{jm} \delta^i_q = -\Gamma^i_{jm} \\
\Rightarrow \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} dx^i \right) \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) dx^j}_{=\delta^j_j=1} &= -\Gamma^i_{jm} dx^j \\
\Rightarrow \boxed{\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} dx^i = -\Gamma^i_{jm} dx^j} \\
\Rightarrow \boxed{\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} dx^i \right)_j = -\Gamma^i_{jm}}
\end{aligned}$$

In summary,

$$(\nabla_X Y)^i = X(Y^i) + \Gamma^i_{jm} Y^j X^m \quad (7.3)$$

$$(\nabla_X \omega)_i = X(\omega_i) - \Gamma^j_{im} \omega_j X^m \quad (7.4)$$

Note that for the immediately above expression for $(\nabla_X Y)^i$, in the second term on the right hand side, Γ^i_{jm} has the last entry at the bottom, m going in the direction of X , so that it matches up with X^m . This is a good mnemonic to memorize the index positions of Γ .

Similarly, as an example, by further application of Leibnitz rule, for a $(1, 2)$ -tensor field T ,

$$(\nabla_X T)^i_{jk} = X(T^i_{jk}) + \Gamma^i_{sm} T^s_{jk} X^m - \Gamma^s_{jm} T^i_{sk} X^m - \Gamma^s_{km} T^i_{js} X^m$$

7.3 Change of Γ 's under change of chart

Let $(U, x), (V, y) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Gamma_{(y)})^i_{jk} &:= dy^i \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^q} dx^q \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial x^p}{\partial y^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^p}} \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^q} dx^q \left(\frac{\partial x^p}{\partial y^k} \left[\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^p}} \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial y^j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} + \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial y^j} \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^p}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right) \right] \right) \quad \because \nabla \text{ is } C^\infty - \text{linear} \\
&= \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^q} \underbrace{\frac{\partial x^p}{\partial y^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^p}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \delta^q_s + \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^q} \frac{\partial x^p}{\partial y^k} \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial y^j} (\Gamma_{(x)})^q_{sp}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(\Gamma_{(y)})^i_{jk} = \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^q} \frac{\partial^2 x^q}{\partial y^k \partial y^j} + \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^q} \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial x^p}{\partial y^k} (\Gamma_{(x)})^q_{sp} \quad (7.5)$$

Eq. (7.5) is the change of connection coefficient function under the change of chart $(U \cap V, x) \longrightarrow (U \cap V, y)$. Γ is not a tensor due to the first term on left hand side in Eq. (7.5). However, for linear transformation between coordinates in two charts, the term $\frac{\partial^2 x^q}{\partial y^k \partial y^j}$ always vanishes and then, if Γ s are zero in one chart, they will be zero in the other chart too. However, there is no reason not to select a coordinate which is not a linear transformation of another one.

7.4 Normal Coordinates

Can we find a coordinate system that makes the Γ s vanish?

Theorem 7.1. *Let $p \in M$ of $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \nabla)$. Having chosen a point p , one can construct a chart (U, x) with $p \in U$ such that the symmetric part of Γ s vanish at the point p (not necessarily in any neighbourhood). That is, $\forall p \in M, \exists (U, x) \in \mathcal{A} : p \in U$ and $(\Gamma_{(x)})^i_{(jk)}(p) = 0$.*

*Such (U, x) is called a **normal coordinate chart** of ∇ at $p \in M$.*

Proof. Let $(V, y) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $p \in V$. Then consider a new chart (U, x) to which one transits using the map $(x \circ y^{-1})$ whose i^{th} component is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (x \circ y^{-1})^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^d) &:= \alpha^i - (\Gamma_{(y)})^i_{(jk)} \alpha^j \alpha^k && \text{where the } \Gamma \text{s are taken at the point } p \\ \implies \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^j} &= \partial_j (x^i \circ y^{-1}) = \delta_j^i - (\Gamma_{(y)})^i_{(jm)} \alpha^m \\ &\implies \frac{\partial^2 x^i}{\partial y^k \partial y^j} = -(\Gamma_{(y)})^i_{(jk)} \end{aligned}$$

TODO: Not understood by me. □

8 Parallel Transport & Curvature

8.1 Parallellity of vector fields

Definition 39. Let $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \nabla)$ be a smooth manifold with connection ∇ .

- (1) A vector field X on M is said to be **parallelly transported** along a smooth curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ if

$$\boxed{\nabla_{v_\gamma} X = 0} \quad (8.1)$$

To make explicit, how this equation applies along the curve, we may state

$$(\nabla_{v_\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)} X)_{\gamma(\lambda)} = 0$$

- (2) A slightly weaker condition is “**parallel**” if, for $\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\boxed{(\nabla_{v_\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)} X)_{\gamma(\lambda)} = \mu(\lambda) X_{\gamma(\lambda)}} \quad (8.2)$$

*Remarks: Even though **parallelly transported** sounds like an action, it is a property.*

8.2 Autoparallely transported curves

Definition 40. A curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is called **autoparallely transported** if

$$\boxed{\nabla_{v_\gamma} v_\gamma = 0} \quad (8.3)$$

Remarks: Sometimes, this curve is called an autoparallel curve. But we wish to call a curve autoparallel if $\nabla_{v_\gamma} v_\gamma = \mu v_\gamma$.

8.3 Autoparallel equation

Express $\nabla_{v_\gamma} v_\gamma = 0$ in terms of chart representation.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\nabla_{v_\gamma} v_\gamma) \\ &= \left(\nabla_{\left(\dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)} \dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right) && \text{remember that } \gamma_{(x)}^m := x^m \circ \gamma \\ &= \dot{\gamma}^m \left(\nabla_{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)} \dot{\gamma}^n \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} + \dot{\gamma}^m \dot{\gamma}^n \left(\nabla_{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right) && \text{x index is understood, hence suppressed} \\ &= \dot{\gamma}^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \dot{\gamma}^n \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} + \dot{\gamma}^m \dot{\gamma}^n \left(\nabla_{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right) \\ &= \dot{\gamma}^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \dot{\gamma}^q \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} + \dot{\gamma}^m \dot{\gamma}^n \left(\Gamma_{nm}^q \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} \right) && \text{change of index in 1st term} \\ &= \left(\dot{\gamma}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \dot{\gamma}^q + \dot{\gamma}^m \dot{\gamma}^n \Gamma_{nm}^q \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} \\ &= (\ddot{\gamma}^q + \dot{\gamma}^m \dot{\gamma}^n \Gamma_{nm}^q) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} && \text{TODO: show that 1st term is 2nd derivative} \end{aligned}$$

In summary:

$$\boxed{\ddot{\gamma}_{(x)}^q(\lambda) + (\Gamma_{(x)})_{mn}^q(\gamma(\lambda)) \dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^m(\lambda) \dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^n(\lambda) = 0} \quad (8.4)$$

Eq. (8.4) is the chart expression of the condition that γ be autoparallely transported.

Example: (a) In Euclidean plane having a chart $(U = \mathbb{R}^2, x = id_{\mathbb{R}^2})$, $(\Gamma_{(x)})^i_{jk} = 0 \implies \ddot{\gamma}_{(x)}^m = 0 \implies \gamma_{(x)}^m(\lambda) = a^m \lambda + b^m$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(b) Consider the round sphere $(S^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \nabla_{round})$, i.e., the sphere $(S^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ with the connection ∇_{round} . Consider the chart $x(p) = (\theta, \phi)$ where $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ and $\phi \in (0, 2\pi)$. In this chart ∇_{round} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_{(x)})^1_{22}(x^{-1}(\theta, \phi)) &:= -\sin \theta \cos \theta \\ (\Gamma_{(x)})^2_{12}(x^{-1}(\theta, \phi)) &= (\Gamma_{(x)})^2_{21}(x^{-1}(\theta, \phi)) := \cot \theta \end{aligned}$$

All other Γ s vanish. Then, using the sloppy notation (familiar to us from classical mechanics) i.e., $x^1(p) = \theta(p)$ and $x^2(p) = \phi(p)$, the autoparallel equation is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \ddot{\theta} + \Gamma^1_{22} \dot{\phi} \dot{\phi} &= 0 \\ \ddot{\phi} + 2\Gamma^2_{12} \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \implies \begin{aligned} \ddot{\theta} - \sin \theta \cos \theta \dot{\phi} \dot{\phi} &= 0 \\ \ddot{\phi} + 2 \cot \theta \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen that the above equations are satisfied at the equator where $\theta(\lambda) = \pi/2$, and $\phi(\lambda) = \omega\lambda + \phi_0$ (running around the equator at constant speed ω). Thus, this curve is autoparallel. However, $\phi(\lambda) = \omega\lambda^2 + \phi_0$ wouldn't be autoparallel.

8.4 Torsion

Can we use ∇ to define tensors on $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \nabla)$?

Definition 41. The **torsion** of a connection ∇ is the $(1, 2)$ -tensor field

$$\boxed{T(\omega, X, Y) := \omega(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y])} \quad (8.5)$$

where $[X, Y]$, called the commutator of X and Y is a vector field defined by $[X, Y]f := X(Yf) - Y(Xf)$.

Proof. We shall check that T is C^∞ -linear in each entry.

$$\begin{aligned} T(f\omega, X, Y) &= f\omega(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y]) \\ &= fT(\omega, X, Y) \\ T(\omega + \psi, X, Y) &= (\omega + \psi)(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y]) \\ &= T(\omega, X, Y) + T(\psi, X, Y) \\ T(\omega, fX, Y) &= \omega(\nabla_{fX} Y - \nabla_Y(fX) - [fX, Y]) \\ &= \omega(f\nabla_X Y - (\nabla_Y(f))X - f(\nabla_Y X) - [fX, Y]) \\ &= \omega(f\nabla_X Y - (Yf)X - f(\nabla_Y X) - [fX, Y]) \\ \text{But } [fX, Y]g &= fX(Yg) - Y(fX)g = fX(Yg) - (Yf)(Xg) - fY(Xg) \implies [fX, Y] = f[X, Y] - (Yf)X \\ \therefore T(\omega, fX, Y) &= \omega(f\nabla_X Y - (Yf)X - f(\nabla_Y X) - f[X, Y] + (Yf)X) \\ &= \omega(f\nabla_X Y - f(\nabla_Y X) - f[X, Y]) \\ &= f\omega(\nabla_X Y - (\nabla_Y X) - [X, Y]) = fT(\omega, X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

Further, $T(\omega, X, Y) = -T(\omega, Y, X)$, which means scaling in the last factor need not be checked separately.

Additivity in the last two factors can also be checked. □

Definition 42. A $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \nabla)$ is called torsion-free if the torsion of its connection is zero. That is, $T = 0$.

In a chart

$$T^i_{ab} := T\left(dx^i, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}\right) = dx^i(\dots) = \Gamma^i_{ab} - \Gamma^i_{ba} = 2\Gamma^i_{[ab]}$$

From now on, in these lectures, we only use torsion-free connections.

8.5 Curvature

Definition 43. Riemann curvature of a connection ∇ is the $(1, 3)$ -tensor field

$$\boxed{Riem(\omega, Z, X, Y) := \omega(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z)} \quad (8.6)$$

Proof. It can be shown that C^∞ -linear in each slot. This has been left as an exercise to the reader. \square

Algebraic relevance of $Riem$: We ask whether there is difference in applying the two directional derivatives in different order, i.e.

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z = Riem(\cdot, Z, X, Y) + \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

In one chart (U, x) , denoting $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}}$ by ∇_a ,

$$(\nabla_a \nabla_b Z)^m - (\nabla_b \nabla_a Z)^m = Riem^m_{ nab} Z^n + \nabla \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} \right]}_{=0, \text{ since they commute}} Z$$

As the last term vanishes, we can see how the $Riem$ tensor components contain all the information about how the ∇_a and ∇_b fail to commute if they act on a vector field. If they act on a tensor field, there are several terms on RHS like the one term above; if they act on a function, of course they commute. Being a tensor, $Riem$ vanishes in all coordinate systems if it vanishes in one coordinate system, as it does in flat spaces.

Geometric significance of $Riem$:




Figure 8.1: If we parallel transport a vector u at p to q along two different paths vw and wv , the resulting vectors at q are different in general. If, however, we parallel transport a vector in a Euclidean space, where the parallel transport is defined in our usual sense, the resulting vector does not depend on the path along which it has been parallel transported. We expect that this non-integrability of parallel transport characterizes the intrinsic notion of curvature, which does not depend on the special coordinates chosen. From an answer of Sepideh Bakhoda [1] on <http://math.stackexchange.com/q/465672>

For small v and w , if $T = 0$, $(\delta u)^m = Riem^m_{ nab} v^a w^b u^n + O(v^2 w, v w^2)$.

9 Newtonian spacetime is curved!

Axiom 1 (Newton I:). *A body on which no force acts moves uniformly along a straight line.*

Axiom 2 (Newton II:). *Deviation of a body's motion from such uniform straight motion is effected by a force, reduced by a factor of the body's reciprocal mass.*

Remarks:

- (1) *1st axiom - in order to be relevant - must be read as a measurement prescription for the geometry of space. If somehow, we know that no force acts on a particle, we know that the path it takes is a straight line – thus, we learn about the geometry of space. After all, unlike in maths, there is no obvious way to tell what is a straight line. Remember, if we don't know what a straight line is, we don't know what a deviation from a straight line is.*
- (2) *Since gravity universally acts on every particle, in a universe with at least two particles, gravity must not be considered a force if Newton I is supposed to remain applicable.*

9.1 Laplace's questions

Question: Can gravity be encoded in a curvature of space, such that its effects show if particles under the influence of (no other) force we postulated to move along straight lines in this curved space?

Answer: No!

Proof. Gravity, as a force point of view:

$$m\ddot{x}^\alpha(t) = \underbrace{mf^\alpha}_{\text{force: } F^\alpha}(x(t))$$

where $-\partial_\alpha f^\alpha = 4\pi G\rho$ (Poisson); ρ = mass density of matter.

The same m appearing on both sides of the equation is an experimental fact, also known as the **weak equivalence principle**.

$$\therefore \ddot{x}^\alpha(t) - f^\alpha(x(t)) = 0$$

Laplace asks: Is this $(\ddot{x}(t))$ of the form $\ddot{x}^\alpha(t) + \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(x(t))\dot{x}^\beta(t)\dot{x}^\gamma(t) = 0$? That is, does it take the form of autoparallel equation?

No. Because the Γ can only depend on the point x where you are, but the velocities $\dot{x}^\beta(t)$ and $\dot{x}^\gamma(t)$ can take any value and therefore the Γ s cannot take care of the f^α in the preceding equation. Had there been such Γ s, we would be able to find the notion of straight line that could have absorbed the effect that we usually attribute to a force.

Conclusion: One cannot find Γ s such that Newton's equation takes the form of an autoparallel equation. \square

9.2 The full wisdom of Newton I

Laplace asked: Can we find a curvature of space such that particles move along straight lines?

Use the information from Newton's first law that particles (under influence of no force) move not just in straight line, but also **uniformly**. A curve, after all, is not just a set of points, but also how their parameter is associated with the points.

Introduce the appropriate setting to talk about the difference easily. How? We use spacetime instead of just space. By using the extra coordinate viz. time, we do not need to keep track of the curve parameter since we can just refer to time to ascertain uniformity of the motion.

Insight: Uniform & straight motion in space is simply straight motion in **spacetime**. We do not need to say uniform. This can be seen by drawing the path of the particle in a t-x graph, wherein straight line results only when the motion is uniform. So let's try in spacetime:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Let } x : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \text{be a particle's} \\ \text{trajectory in space} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{worldline (history) of the particle} \\ X : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \\ t \mapsto (t, x^1(t), x^2(t), x^3(t)) := (X^0(t), X^1(t), X^2(t), X^3(t)) \end{array} \right.$$

That's all it takes. Let us assume that $x : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfies Newton's law concerning gravitational force, i.e. we can omit m on both sides of the equation $\ddot{x}^\alpha = -f^\alpha(x(t))$.

Trivial rewritings:

$$\dot{X}^0 = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \ddot{X}^0 = 0 \\ \underbrace{\ddot{X}^\alpha - f^\alpha(X(t)) \cdot \dot{X}^0 \cdot \dot{X}^0}_{(\alpha=1,2,3)} = 0 \end{array} \right. & \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} a = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\ \boxed{\ddot{X}^a + \Gamma_{bc}^a \dot{X}^b \dot{X}^c = 0} \\ \text{autoparallel eqn. in spacetime} \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

Yes, choosing $\Gamma_{ab}^0 = 0$, $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = 0 = \Gamma_{0\beta}^\alpha = \Gamma_{\beta 0}^\alpha$. Only $\boxed{\Gamma_{00}^\alpha \stackrel{!}{=} -f^\alpha}$.

Question: Is this a coordinate-choice artifact?

No, since $R_{0\beta 0}^\alpha = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} f^\alpha$ (only non-vanishing components) (tidal force tensor, – the Hessian of the force component)

$$\text{Ricci tensor} \Rightarrow R_{00} = R_{0m0}^m = -\partial_\alpha f^\alpha = 4\pi G\rho$$

$$\text{Poisson: } -\partial_\alpha f^\alpha = 4\pi G \cdot \rho$$

$$\text{writing: } T_{00} = \frac{1}{2} \rho$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{R_{00} = 8\pi G T_{00}}$$

$$\text{Einstein in 1912 } \boxed{R_{ab} \Rightarrow 8\pi G T_{ab}}$$

Conclusion: Laplace's idea works in spacetime

Remark

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{00}^\alpha &= -f^\alpha \\ R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha &= 0 \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = 1, 2, 3 \\ \boxed{R_{00} &= 4\pi G\rho} \end{aligned}$$

Q: What about transformation behavior of LHS of

$$\underbrace{\ddot{x}^a + \Gamma_{bc}^a \dot{X}^b \dot{X}^c}_{\underbrace{(\nabla_{v_X} v_X)^a}_{:= a^a \text{ "acceleration vector"}}} = 0$$

9.3 The foundations of the geometric formulation of Newton's axiom

Definition 44. A **Newtonian spacetime** is a quintuple $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \nabla, t)$ where $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ is a 4-dimensional smooth manifold, and

$t : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth function

- (i) “There is an absolute space” $(dt)_p \neq 0 \quad \forall p \in M$
- (ii) “Absolute time flows uniformly”

$$\underbrace{\nabla dt}_{(0,2)\text{-tensor field}} = 0 \quad \text{everywhere}$$

(iii) add to axioms of Newtonian spacetime $\nabla = 0$ torsion free

Definition 45. Absolute space at time τ

$$S_\tau := \{p \in M | t(p) = \tau\} \xrightarrow{dt \neq 0} M = \coprod S_\tau$$

Definition 46. A vector $X \in T_p M$ is called

- (a) **future-directed**, if $dt(X) > 0$
- (b) **spatial**, if $dt(X) = 0$
- (c) **past-directed**, if $dt(X) < 0$

Picture Newton I: The worldline of a particle under the influence of no force (gravity isn't one, anyway) is a future-directed autoparallel i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{v_X} v_X &= 0 \\ dt(v_X) &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

Newton II:

$$\nabla_{v_X} v_X = \frac{F}{m} \iff m \cdot a = F$$

where F is a spatial vector field: $dt(F) = 0$.

Convention: restrict attention to atlases $\mathcal{A}_{stratified}$ whose charts (U, x) have the property

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x^0 : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x^1 : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \vdots \\ x^3 \end{array} \quad x^0 = t|_U \quad \implies \quad \begin{array}{c} 0 \text{ “absolute time flows uniformly”} \\ 0 = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}} dx^0 = -\Gamma^0_{ba} \quad a = 0, 1, 2, 3 \end{array} \quad \nabla dt$$

Let's evaluate in a chart (U, x) of a stratified atlas \mathcal{A}_{sheet} : Newton II:

$$\nabla_{v_X} v_X = \frac{F}{m}$$

in a chart.

$$\begin{aligned} (X^0)'' + \Gamma^0_{cd} (X^c)' (X^d)' &= 0 \quad \text{stratified atlas} \\ (X^\alpha)'' + \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta} X^{\gamma'} X^{\delta'} + \Gamma^\alpha_{00} X^{0'} X^{0'} + 2\Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma 0} X^{\gamma'} X^{0'} &= \frac{F^\alpha}{m} \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies (X^0)''(\lambda) = 0 \implies X^0(\lambda) = a\lambda + b \quad \text{constants } a, b \text{ with}$$

$$X^0(\lambda) = (x^0 \circ X)(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{stratified}}{=} (t \circ X)(\lambda)$$

convention parametrize worldline by absolute time

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} = a \frac{d}{dt}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& a^2 \ddot{X}^\alpha + a^2 \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta} \dot{X}^\gamma \dot{X}^\delta + a^2 \Gamma^\alpha_{00} \dot{X}^0 \dot{X}^0 + 2\Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma 0} \dot{X}^\gamma \dot{X}^0 = \frac{F^\alpha}{m} \\
\Rightarrow & \underbrace{\ddot{X}^\alpha + \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta} \dot{X}^\gamma \dot{X}^\delta + \Gamma^\alpha_{00} \dot{X}^0 \dot{X}^0 + 2\Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma 0} \dot{X}^\gamma \dot{X}^0}_{a^\alpha} = \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{F^\alpha}{m}
\end{aligned}$$

10 Metric Manifolds

We establish a structure on a smooth manifold that allows one to assign vectors in each tangent space a length (and an angle between vectors in the same tangent space). From this structure, one can then define a notion of length of a curve. Then we can look at shortest curves (which will be called **geodesics**).

Requiring then that the shortest curves coincide with the straight curves (w.r.t. ∇) will result in ∇ being determined by the metric structure g . ∇ , in turn determines the curvature given by *Riem*. Thus

$$g \xrightarrow[\text{longest / stationary curves}]{\text{straight=shortest / } T=0} \nabla \rightsquigarrow \text{Riem}$$

10.1 Metrics

Definition 47. A metric g on a smooth manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ is a $(0, 2)$ -tensor field satisfying

(i) **symmetry:** $g(X, Y) = g(Y, X) \quad \forall X, Y$ vector fields

(ii) **non-degeneracy:** the musical map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{“flat” } \flat : \Gamma(TM) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(T^*M) \\ X &\mapsto \flat(X) \\ \text{where } \flat(X)(Y) &:= g(X, Y) \\ \flat(X) &\in \Gamma(T^*M) \end{aligned}$$

In thought bubble: $\flat(X) = g(X, \cdot)$

... is a C^∞ -isomorphism in other words, it is invertible.

Remark: $(\flat(X))_a$ or

X_a

$$(\flat(X))_a := g_{am} X^m$$

Thought bubble: $\flat^{-1} = \sharp$

$$\flat^{-1}(\omega)^a := g^{am} \omega_m$$

$$\flat^{-1}(\omega)^a := (g^{-1})^{am} \omega_m \implies \text{not needed. (all of this is not needed)}$$

Definition 48. The $(2, 0)$ -tensor field g^{-1} with respect to a metric g is the symmetric

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1} : \Gamma(T^*M) \times \Gamma(T^*M) &\rightarrow C^\infty(M) \\ (\omega, \sigma) &\mapsto \omega(\flat^{-1}(\sigma)) \quad \flat^{-1}(\sigma) \in \Gamma(TM) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{chart: } g_{ab} = g_{ba} \quad (g^{-1})^{am} g_{mb} = \delta_b^a$$

Example: Consider $(S^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ and the chart (U, x)

$$\varphi \in (0, 2\pi), \quad \theta \in (0, \pi)$$

Define the metric

$$g_{ij}(x^{-1}(\theta, \varphi)) = \begin{bmatrix} R^2 & 0 \\ 0 & R^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix}_{ij}$$

$$R \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

“the metric of the round sphere of radius R ”

10.2 Signature

$$A^a_m v^m = \lambda v^a$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Linear algebra:

$$g_{am} v^m = \lambda \cdot v^a? \rightsquigarrow$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & -1 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & -1 & \\ & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$(1, 1)$ tensor has eigenvalues

$(0, 2)$ has signature (p, q) (well-defined)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (+ + +) \\ (+ + -) \\ (+ - -) \\ (- - -) \end{array} \right\} d + 1 \text{ if } p + q = \dim V$$

Definition 49. A metric is called **Riemannian** if its signature is $(+ \cdots +)$, and **Lorentzian** if it is $(+ - \cdots -)$.

10.3 Length of a curve

Let γ be a smooth curve. Then we know its velocity $v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}$ at each $\gamma(\lambda) \in M$.

Definition 50. On a Riemannian metric manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g)$, the **speed** of a curve at $\gamma(\lambda)$ is the number

$$s(\lambda) = (\sqrt{g(v_\gamma, v_\gamma)})_{\gamma(\lambda)} \quad (10.1)$$

(I feel the need for speed, then I feel the need for a metric)

Aside: $[v^a] = \frac{1}{T}$

$$[g_{ab}] = L^2$$

$$[\sqrt{g_{ab} v^a v^b}] = \sqrt{\frac{L^2}{T^2}} = \frac{L}{T}$$

Definition 51. Let $\gamma : (0, 1) \rightarrow M$ a smooth curve. Then the **length** of γ , $L[\gamma] \in \mathbb{R}$ is the number

$$L[\gamma] := \int_0^1 d\lambda s(\lambda) = \int_0^1 d\lambda \sqrt{(g(v_\gamma, v_\gamma))_{\gamma(\lambda)}} \quad (10.2)$$

F. Schuller: “velocity is more fundamental than speed, speed is more fundamental than length”

Example: Reconsider the round sphere of radius R . Consider its equator:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(\lambda) &:= (x^1 \circ \gamma)(\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{2}, & \varphi(\lambda) &:= (x^2 \circ \gamma)(\lambda) = 2\pi\lambda^3 \\ \implies \theta'(\lambda) &= 0, & \varphi'(\lambda) &= 6\pi\lambda^2\end{aligned}$$

On the same chart $g_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} R^2 & \\ & R^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix}$

Do everything in this chart

$$\begin{aligned}L[\gamma] &= \int_0^1 d\lambda \sqrt{g_{ij}(x^{-1}(\theta(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda)))(x^i \circ \gamma)'(\lambda)(x^j \circ \gamma)'(\lambda)} \\ &= \int_0^1 d\lambda \sqrt{R^2 \cdot 0 + R^2 \sin^2(\theta(\lambda)) 36\pi^2 \lambda^4} \\ &= 6\pi R \int_0^1 d\lambda \lambda^2 = 6\pi R \left[\frac{1}{3} \lambda^3 \right]_0^1 = 2\pi R\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10.1. $\gamma : (0, 1) \longrightarrow M$ and $\sigma : (0, 1) \longrightarrow (0, 1)$ smooth bijective and increasing “reparametrization”
 $L[\gamma] = L[\gamma \circ \sigma]$

Proof. in Tutorials □

10.4 Geodesics

Definition 52. A curve $\gamma : (0, 1) \longrightarrow M$ is called a **geodesic** on a Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g)$ if it is a stationary curve with respect to a length functional L .

Thought bubble: In classical mechanics, deform the curve a little, ϵ times this deformation, to first order, it agrees with $L[\gamma]$.

Theorem 10.2. γ is geodesic iff it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations for the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} : TM &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ X &\mapsto \sqrt{g(X, X)}\end{aligned}$$

In a chart, the Euler Lagrange equations take the form:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}^m} \right)' - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^m} = 0$$

F.Schuller: this is a chart dependent formulation

here:

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma^i, \dot{\gamma}^i) = \sqrt{g_{ij}(\gamma(\lambda)) \dot{\gamma}^i(\lambda) \dot{\gamma}^j(\lambda)}$$

Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\gamma}^m} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dots}} g_{mj}(\gamma(\lambda)) \dot{\gamma}^j(\lambda) \\ \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\gamma}^m} \right)' &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\dots}} \right)' g_{mj}(\gamma(\lambda)) \cdot \dot{\gamma}^j(\lambda) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dots}} (g_{mj}(\gamma(\lambda)) \ddot{\gamma}^j(\lambda) + \dot{\gamma}^s (\partial_s g_{mj}) \dot{\gamma}^j(\lambda))\end{aligned}$$

Thought bubble: reparametrize $g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) = 1$ (it's a condition on my reparametrization)

By a clever choice of reparametrization $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\dots}})' = 0$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \gamma^m} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\dots}} \partial_m g_{ij}(\gamma(\lambda)) \dot{\gamma}^i(\lambda) \dot{\gamma}^j(\lambda)$$

putting this together as Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{mj} \ddot{\gamma}^j + \partial_s g_{mj} \dot{\gamma}^s \dot{\gamma}^j - \frac{1}{2} \partial_m g_{ij} \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j &= 0 \\ \ddot{\gamma}^q + (g^{-1})^{qm} (\partial_i g_{mj} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_m g_{ij}) \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j &= 0 \quad (\text{multiply on both sides } (g^{-1})^{qm}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\ddot{\gamma}^q + (g^{-1})^{qm} \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i g_{mj} + \partial_j g_{mi} - \partial_m g_{ij}) \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j = 0}$$

geodesic equation for γ in a chart.

$$\boxed{(g^{-1})^{qm} \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i g_{mj} + \partial_j g_{mi} - \partial_m g_{ij}) =: \Gamma_{ij}^q(\gamma(\lambda))}$$

Thought bubble: $\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi_x^a + \dim M} \right)_{\sigma(x)} - \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x i_x^a} \right)_{\sigma(x)} = 0$

Definition 53. Christoffel symbol ${}^{\text{L.C.}}\Gamma$ are the connection coefficient functions of the so-called Levi-Civita connection ${}^{\text{L.C.}}\nabla$

We usually make this choice of ∇ if g is given.

$$(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g) \longrightarrow (M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g, {}^{\text{L.C.}}\nabla)$$

abstract way: $\nabla g = 0$ and $T = 0$ (torsion)

$$\implies \nabla = {}^{\text{L.C.}}\nabla$$

Definition 54. (a) The **Riemann-Christoffel curvature** is defined by

$$\boxed{R_{abcd} := g_{am} R^m{}_{bcd}} \quad (10.3)$$

(b) **Ricci**

$$\boxed{R_{ab} = R^m{}_{amb}} \quad (10.4)$$

Thought bubble: with a metric, ${}^{\text{L.C.}}\nabla$

(c) (Ricci) scalar curvature:

$$\boxed{R = g^{ab} R_{ab}} \quad (10.5)$$

Thought bubble: ${}^{\text{L.C.}}\nabla$

Definition 55. Einstein curvature of $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g)$ is defined as

$$\boxed{G_{ab} := R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R} \quad (10.6)$$

Convention: $g^{ab} := (g^{-1})^{ab}$

F. Schuller: these indices are not being pulled up, because what would you pull them up with

(student) Question: Does the Einstein curvature yield new information?

Answer:

$$g^{ab} G_{ab} = R_{ab} g^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} g^{ab} R = R - \delta_a^a R = R - \dim M R = (1 - \frac{d}{2}) R$$

11 Symmetry

This lecture is about symmetry but we will pick a number of elementary techniques in differential geometry that we will need in Einstein's theory. We shall motivate these techniques by appealing to the feeling that the round sphere $(S^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g^{\text{round}})$ has rotational symmetry, while the potato $(S^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g^{\text{potato}})$ does not.

So far we have considered symmetry by having inner product first, and then demanding that w.r.t. that inner product we classify linear maps A acting on vectors X and Y such that inner product of AX and AY results in inner product XY .

Here we talk about an altogether different idea. Firstly, since the distinction between the two is entirely contained in g , we are talking about the rotational symmetry of g^{round} . Secondly, while an inner product is on one tangent space, there are many different tangent spaces with different inner products. g talks about the distribution of these inner products over the sphere, and that distribution in some sense is rotationally invariant or not.

Therefore, the question is: How to describe the symmetries of a metric? This is important because nobody has solved Einstein's Equations without assuming some sort of additional assumptions such as symmetry of the solution.

11.1 Push-forward map

Definition 56. Let M and N be smooth manifolds with tangent bundles TM and TN respectively. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. Then, the **push-forward map** of ϕ is the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_* : TM &\rightarrow TN \\ X &\mapsto \phi_*(X) \\ \text{where } \phi_*(X)f &:= X(f \circ \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (\forall f \in C^\infty(N)) \quad (11.1)$$




Figure 11.1: **Push-forward map:** ϕ_* takes a vector $X \in T_p M$ in the tangent space at the point $p \in M$ to the vector $\phi_*(X) \in T_q N$ in the tangent space at the point $\phi(p) = q \in N$, such that the action of $\phi_*(X)$ on any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(N)$ results in the same value as the action of X on the function $(f \circ \phi)$.

Note: If we take an entire fibre at the point $p \in M$, applying ϕ_* on it remains within the fibre at the point $\phi(p) \in N$. That is

$$\phi_*(T_p M) \subseteq T_{\phi(p)} N$$

Mnemonic: “vectors are pushed forward” across tangent bundles in a manner dictated by the underlying map.

Components of push-forward ϕ_* w.r.t charts $(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}_M$ and $(V, y) \in \mathcal{A}_N$: Let $p \in U$ and $\phi(p) \in V$. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} p$ is a vector, we have $\phi_*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} p)$ as a vector in N . Then we can select a component of this vector by using dy^a as follows:

$$\underbrace{dy^a \left(\phi_* \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p \right) \right)}_{:= \phi_{*i}^a} = \phi_* \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p \right) y^a = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p (y^a \circ \phi) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p (y \circ \phi)^a := \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}^a}{\partial x^i} \right)_p \quad (11.2)$$




Figure 11.2: Components of push-forward map w.r.t charts $(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}_M$ and $(V, y) \in \mathcal{A}_N$.

Theorem 11.1. *If $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is a curve in M , then $\phi \circ \gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N$ is a curve in N . Then, ϕ_* pushes the tangent to a curve γ (velocity) to the tangent to the curve $(\phi \circ \gamma)$, i.e.,*

$$\phi_* (v_{\gamma, p}) = v_{(\phi \circ \gamma), \phi(p)} \quad (11.3)$$

Proof. Let $p = \gamma(\lambda_0)$. Then $\forall f \in C^\infty(N)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_* (v_{\gamma, p}) f &= v_{\gamma, p} (f \circ \phi) && \text{(by Eq. 11.1)} \\ &= ((f \circ \phi) \circ \gamma)'(\lambda_0) && \text{(by Eq. 5.1)} \\ &= (f \circ (\phi \circ \gamma))'(\lambda_0) && \text{(by associativity of composition)} \\ &= v_{(\phi \circ \gamma), \phi(\gamma(\lambda_0))} f && \text{(by Eq. 5.1)} \\ &= v_{(\phi \circ \gamma), \phi(p)} f && (\gamma(\lambda_0) = p) \\ \implies \phi_* (v_{\gamma, p}) &= v_{(\phi \circ \gamma), \phi(p)} \end{aligned}$$

□

11.2 Pull-back map

Definition 57. Let M and N be smooth manifolds with cotangent bundles T^*M and T^*N respectively. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. Then, the **pull-back map** of ϕ is the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^* : T^*N &\rightarrow T^*M \\ \omega &\mapsto \phi^*(\omega) \\ \text{where } \phi^*(\omega)(X) &:= \omega(\phi_*(X)) \quad (\forall X \in T_p M) \end{aligned} \quad (11.4)$$

Components of pull-back ϕ^* w.r.t charts $(U, x) \in \mathcal{A}_M$ and $(V, y) \in \mathcal{A}_N$: Let $p \in U$ and $\phi(p) \in V$. Since dy^a is a covector, we have $\phi^*(dy_p^a)$ as a covector in N . Then we can select a component of this covector by using $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{*a} &:= \phi^* \left((dy^a)_{\phi(p)} \right) \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p \right) \\ &= (dy^a)_{\phi(p)} \phi_* \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_p \right) && \text{(by Eq. 11.4)} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}^a}{\partial x^i} \right)_p = \phi_{*i}^a && \text{(by Eq. 11.2)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the components of the push-forward and pull-back maps are exactly the same.

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi_*(X))^a &= \phi_{*i}^a X^i \\ (\phi^*(\omega))_i &= \phi_{*i}^a \omega_a\end{aligned}$$

Remember, $a = (1, \dots, \dim N)$ and $i = (1, \dots, \dim M)$.

Claim: $\phi^*(df) = d(f \circ \phi)$.

Mnemonic: "covectors are pulled back" across tangent bundles in a manner dictated by the underlying map.

Important application:

Definition 58. Let M and N be smooth manifolds. Let $\phi : M \hookrightarrow N$ be an injective map. If we know a metric g on N , then the **induced metric** g_M in M is defined using the push-forward map ϕ_* as follows:

$$\boxed{g_M(X, Y) := g(\phi_*(X), \phi_*(Y))} \quad (\forall X, Y \in T_p M) \quad (11.5)$$

In terms of components,

$$\left((g_M)_{ij} \right)_p = (g_{ab})_{\phi(p)} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}^a}{\partial x^i} \right)_{\phi(p)} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}^b}{\partial x^j} \right)_{\phi(p)} \quad (11.6)$$

Example: $N = (\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{O}_{std}, \mathcal{A})$ and $M = (S^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$, then we can have several injective maps $\phi : S^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. For example, S^2 could live in \mathbb{R}^3 either as a potato or a round sphere. However, suppose \mathbb{R}^3 is equipped with the Euclidean metric g_E ... (TODO complete this example)

11.3 Flow of a complete vector field

Definition 59. Let X be a vector field on a smooth manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$. A curve $\gamma : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is called an **integral curve of X** if

$$v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)} = X_{\gamma(\lambda)}$$

Definition 60. A vector field X is **complete** if all integral curves have $I = \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. domain is all of \mathbb{R}).

Theorem 11.2. *Compactly supported smooth vector field is complete.*

Definition 61. The **flow of a complete vector field X** on a manifold M is a 1-parameter family

$$\begin{aligned}h^X : \mathbb{R} \times M &\longrightarrow M \\ (\lambda, p) &\mapsto \gamma_p(\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is the integral curve of X with $\gamma(0) = p$.

Then for fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $h_\lambda^X : M \rightarrow M$ is smooth.

Picture: If S is a set of points in M , then $h_\lambda^X(S)$ can be seen as the new position of these points under the flow h^X after the passage of λ units of parameter. In general, $h_\lambda^X(S) \neq S$ (if $X \neq 0$).

11.4 Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra $(\Gamma(TM), [\cdot, \cdot])$ of vector fields

We know that $\Gamma(TM) = \{ \text{set of all vector fields} \}$, which can be seen as a $C^\infty(M)$ -module, or as a \mathbb{R} -vector space.

$(\Gamma(TM), [\cdot, \cdot])$ with $[X, Y]$ defined by its action on a function f by $[X, Y]f := X(Yf) - Y(Xf)$ is a Lie algebra since $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM) \implies [X, Y] \in \Gamma(TM)$ and the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) **Anticommutativity:** $[X, Y] = -[Y, X]$

(ii) **Linearity:** $[\lambda X + Z, Y] = \lambda[X, Y] + [Z, Y]$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

(iii) **Jacobi identity:** $[X, [Y, Z]] + [Z, [X, Y]] + [Y, [Z, X]] = 0$

Let X_1, \dots, X_s be s (many) vector fields on M , such that

$$\forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, s\} \quad [X_i, X_j] = \underbrace{C_{ij}^k X_k}_{\text{linear combination of } X_k \text{'s}} \quad \text{where } C_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{R}$$

C_{ij}^k are called **structure constants**.

Let $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, \dots, X_s\} := \{\text{all linear combinations of } X_k\}$. Then $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, \dots, X_s\}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie subalgebra of $(\Gamma(TM), [\cdot, \cdot])$.

Example: In S^2 , assume that the vector fields X_1, X_2, X_3 satisfy $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$, $[X_2, X_3] = X_1$ and $[X_3, X_1] = X_2$. Then $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ ($= SO(3)$) is a Lie subalgebra. An instance of vector fields satisfying these conditions is (with X_i, θ, ϕ all taken at a point p , and $x^1 = \theta, x^2 = \phi$)

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= -\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\ X_2 &= \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\ X_3 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the above is defined on a merely smooth manifold without any additional structure like metric.

11.5 Symmetry

Definition 62. A finite-dimensional Lie subalgebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is said to be a **symmetry** of a metric tensor field g if

$$\boxed{g((h_\lambda^X)_*(A), (h_\lambda^X)_*(B)) = g(A, B)} \quad (\forall X \text{ (complete vector field)} \in L, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall A, B \in T_p M)$$

In another formulation (using pullback), $\boxed{(h_\lambda^X)^* g = g}$. The pullback of $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ on g , itself, is defined as follows:

$$(\phi^* g)(A, B) := g(\phi_*(A), \phi_*(B))$$

11.6 Lie derivative

It can be shown that the following expression is precisely the Lie derivative of g w.r.t a vector field

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L}_X g := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{(h_\lambda^X)^* g - g}{\lambda}} \quad (11.7)$$

Clearly, L is a symmetry of g , iff $\mathcal{L}_X g = 0$.

Definition 63. The **Lie derivative** \mathcal{L} on a smooth manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ a pair of a vector field X and a (p, q) -tensor field T to a (p, q) -tensor field such that

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{L}_X f = Xf \quad \forall f \in C^\infty M$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{L}_X Y = [X, Y] \quad \text{where } X, Y \text{ are vector fields}$$

This condition sucks in information about the vector field X . It is not C^∞ -linear in the lower index. If it were, the derivative would be independent of values of X at nearby points to the point where derivative is evaluated. This is an important difference between the covariant derivative ∇ and the Lie derivative.

(iii) $\mathcal{L}_X(T + S) = \mathcal{L}_X T + \mathcal{L}_X S$ where T, S are (p, q) -tensors

(iv) **Leibnitz rule:** $\mathcal{L}_X T(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_q) = (\mathcal{L}_X T)(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_q) + T(\mathcal{L}_X \omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_q) + \dots + T(\omega_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_X \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_q) + T(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, \mathcal{L}_X Y_1, \dots, Y_q) + \dots + T(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p, Y_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_X Y_q)$ where T is a (p, q) -tensor

Note that for a (p, q) -tensor T and a (r, s) -tensor S , since:
 $(T \otimes S)(\omega_{(1)}, \dots, \omega_{(p+r)}, Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(q+s)}) = T(\omega_{(1)}, \dots, \omega_{(p)}, Y_{(1)}, \dots, Y_{(q)}) \cdot S(\omega_{(p+1)}, \dots, \omega_{(p+r)}, Y_{(q+1)}, \dots, Y_{(q+s)}),$
 Leibnitz rule implies $\mathcal{L}_X(T \otimes S) = (\mathcal{L}_X T) \otimes S + T \otimes (\mathcal{L}_X S).$

(v) $\mathcal{L}_{X+Y} T = \mathcal{L}_X T + \mathcal{L}_Y T$

Observe that, in chart (U, x)

$$(\mathcal{L}_X Y)^i = X^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} (Y^i) - \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} (X^i)}_{\text{requires knowing } X \text{ around the point}} Y^s$$

However, for covariant derivative

$$(\nabla_X Y)^i = X^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} (Y^i) + \Gamma^i_{sm} X^m Y^s$$

In general, for a $(1, 1)$ -tensor T

$$(\mathcal{L}_X T)^i_j = X^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} (T^i_j) - \underbrace{\frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^s} T^s_j}_{('-' \text{ for lower index})} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial X^s}{\partial x^j} T^i_s}_{('+' \text{ for upper index})}$$

Application: As above, it is easy to calculate components of Lie derivative of metric g , $\mathcal{L}_X g$. Thus, by checking whether the derivative equals 0 or not, it can be determined whether a metric features a symmetry.

12 Integration

This lecture will be the completion of our “lift” of analysis on charts to the manifold level. We want to be able to integrate a function f over a manifold M . This $\int_M f$ will be an important tool for writing down the action on Einstein Equations.

However, to define integral we need a mild new structure on the smooth manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$. It requires

- (i) a choice of a certain tensor field, the so-called **volume form** and
- (ii) a restriction on the atlas \mathcal{A} , which is called '**orientation**'.

12.1 Review of integration on \mathbb{R}^d

We review this because this is what, after all, happens on charts; and we want to use this knowledge to have a well-defined integration on manifolds.

- a) If $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we assume a notion of integration is known. We define an integral over an interval (a, b) as follows:

$$\int_{(a,b)} F := \int_a^b dx F(x) \quad (\text{which is understood in terms of, say, Riemann's integral}).$$

- b) If $F : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then

- (i) on a box-shaped domain, $Box = (a, b) \times (c, d) \times \cdots \times (u, v) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$, the integral on the box is a series of integrals which have to be evaluated one after the other as follows

$$\int_{Box} F := \int_a^b dx^1 \int_c^d dx^2 \cdots \int_u^v dx^k F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^k)$$

- (ii) for other domains, $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$, we first introduce an **indicator function** $\mu_G : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mu_G(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in G \\ 0, & x \notin G \end{cases}$$

and then define

$$\int_G F := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx^2 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx^k \mu_G(x) \cdot F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^k)$$

While this may not be a practical definition, it tells us what we mean by an integral over a function from \mathbb{R}^k to \mathbb{R} over an arbitrary domain G .

Note: All of the above comes with the disclaimer '**if the integral exists**' since there could be many issues that do not allow the existence of the integral as defined above.

Change of variables, which may also be called integration by substitution.

Theorem 12.1. If $F : \mathbb{R}^k \ni G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi : \text{preim}_\phi(G) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow G$, then

$$\int_G F(x) = \int_{\text{preim}_\phi(G)} \underbrace{|\det(\partial x)(y)|}_{\text{Jacobian of } \phi} \cdot (F \circ \phi)(y)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{R}^k \ni \text{preim}_\phi(G) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G \in \mathbb{R}^k \\
& \searrow F \circ \phi & \downarrow F \\
& & \mathbb{R}
\end{array}$$

Example: Consider the domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, which includes the entire \mathbb{R}^2 except the x-axis. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \{(0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi)\} &\longrightarrow G \\
(r, \varphi) &\mapsto (r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, G is in Cartesian coordinates and $\text{preim}_\phi(G)$ is in polar coordinates. Let us calculate the Jacobian.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_a x^b)(r, \varphi) &= \begin{vmatrix} \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\ -r \sin \varphi & r \cos \varphi \end{vmatrix} \\
\det(\partial_a x^b)(r, \varphi) &= r \\
\Rightarrow \int_G \underbrace{dx^1 dx^2}_{\text{volume element}} F(x^1, x^2) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \underbrace{dr d\varphi r}_{\text{volume element}} F(r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi)
\end{aligned}$$

12.2 Integration on one chart

Let $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$ be a smooth manifold, $f : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and choose charts $(U, x), (U, y) \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& y(U) \in \mathbb{R}^k & \\
& \uparrow y & \searrow f_{(y)} := f \circ y^{-1} \\
y \circ x^{-1} \curvearrowright & U & \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \\
& \downarrow x & \nearrow f_{(x)} := f \circ x^{-1} \\
& x(U) \in \mathbb{R}^k &
\end{array}$$

Consider $\int_U f$

$$\int_U f := \int_{x(U)} d^k \alpha f_{(x)}(\alpha)$$

12.3 Volume forms

Definition 64. On a smooth manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A})$

a $(0, \dim M)$ -tensor field Ω is called a volume form if

- (a) Ω vanishes nowhere (i.e. $\Omega \neq 0 \forall p \in M$)
- (b) totally antisymmetric

$$\Omega(\dots, \underbrace{X}_{i\text{th}}, \dots, \underbrace{Y}_{j\text{th}}, \dots) = -\Omega(\dots, \underbrace{Y}_{i\text{th}}, \dots, \underbrace{X}_{j\text{th}}, \dots)$$

In a chart:

$$\Omega_{i_1 \dots i_d} = \Omega_{[i_1 \dots i_d]}$$

Example $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g)$ metric manifold

construct volume form Ω from g

In any chart: (U, x)

$$\Omega_{i_1 \dots i_d} := \sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(x))} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_d}$$

where **Levi-Civita symbol** $\epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_d}$ is defined as $\epsilon_{123 \dots d} = +1$

$$\epsilon_{1 \dots d} = \epsilon_{[i_1 \dots i_d]}$$

Proof. (well-defined) Check: What happens under a change of charts

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(y)_{i_1 \dots i_d} &= \sqrt{\det(g(y)_{ij})} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_d} = \\ &= \sqrt{\det(g_{mn}(x)) \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial y^j}} \frac{\partial y^{m_1}}{\partial x^{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial y^{m_d}}{\partial x^{i_d}} \epsilon_{[m_1 \dots m_d]} = \\ &= \sqrt{|\det g_{ij}(x)|} \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right) \right| \det \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_d} = \sqrt{\det g_{ij}(x)} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_d} \operatorname{sgn} \left(\det \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

□

EY : 20150323

Consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(y)(Y_{(1)} \dots Y_{(d)}) &= \Omega(y)_{i_1 \dots i_d} Y_{(1)}^{i_1} \dots Y_{(d)}^{i_d} = \\ &= \sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(y))} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_d} Y_{(1)}^{i_1} \dots Y_{(d)}^{i_d} = \\ &= \sqrt{\det(g_{mn}(x)) \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial y^j}} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_d} \frac{\partial y^{i_1}}{\partial x^{m_1}} \dots \frac{\partial y^{i_d}}{\partial x^{m_d}} X^{m_1} \dots X^{m_d} = \\ &= \sqrt{\det(g_{mn}(x)) \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial y^j}} \det \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) \epsilon_{m_1 \dots m_d} X^{m_1} \dots X^{m_d} = \\ &= \sqrt{\det(g_{mn}(x))} \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right) \right| \det \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) \epsilon_{m_1 \dots m_d} X^{m_1} \dots X^{m_d} = \\ &= \sqrt{\det(g_{mn}(x))} \epsilon_{m_1 \dots m_d} \operatorname{sgn} \left(\det \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right) \right) X^{m_1} \dots X^{m_d} = \operatorname{sgn} \left(\det \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right) \right) \Omega_{m_1 \dots m_d}(x) X^{m_1} \dots X^{m_d} \end{aligned}$$

If $\det \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) > 0$,

$$\Omega(y)(Y_{(1)} \dots Y_{(d)}) = \Omega(x)(X_{(1)} \dots X_{(d)})$$

This works also if Levi-Civita symbol $\epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_d}$ doesn't change at all under a change of charts. (around 42:43 <https://youtu.be/2XpnbvPy-Zg>)

Alright, let's require,

restrict the smooth atlas \mathcal{A}

to a subatlas (\mathcal{A}^\uparrow still an atlas)

$$\mathcal{A}^\uparrow \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

s.t. $\forall (U, x), (V, y)$ have chart transition maps $y \circ x^{-1}$

$$x \circ y^{-1}$$

s.t. $\det \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) > 0$

such \mathcal{A}^\uparrow called an **oriented** atlas

$$(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g) \implies (M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}^\uparrow, g)$$

Note: associated bundles.

Note also: $\det \left(\frac{\partial y^b}{\partial x^a} \right) = \det(\partial_a(y^b x^{-1}))$

$\frac{\partial y^b}{\partial x^a}$ is an endomorphism on vector space V . $\varphi : V \longrightarrow V$

$\det \varphi$ independent of choice of basis

g is a $(0, 2)$ tensor field, not endomorphism (not independent of choice of basis) $\sqrt{|\det(g_{ij}(y))|}$

Definition 65. Ω be a volume form on $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}^\uparrow)$ and consider chart (U, x)

Definition 66. $\omega_{(X)} := \Omega_{i_1 \dots i_d} \epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_d}$ same way $\epsilon^{12 \dots d} = +1$
 $\epsilon^{[\dots]}$

one can show

$$\boxed{\omega_{(y)} = \det \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right) \omega_{(x)}} \quad \text{scalar density}$$

12.4 Integration on one chart domain U

Definition 67.

$$\boxed{\int_U f : \stackrel{(U, y)}{=} \int_{y(U)} d^d \beta \omega_{(y)}(y^{-1}(\beta)) f_{(y)}(\beta)} \quad (12.1)$$

Proof. : Check that it's (well-defined), how it changes under change of charts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U f : \stackrel{(U, y)}{=} \int_{y(U)} d^d \beta \omega_{(y)}(y^{-1}(\beta)) f_{(y)}(\beta) &= \int_{(U, y)} \int_{x(U)} d^d \alpha \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) \right| f_{(x)}(\alpha) \omega_{(x)}(x^{-1}(\alpha)) \det \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right) = \\ &= \int_{x(U)} d^d \alpha \omega_{(x)}(x^{-1}(\alpha)) f_{(x)}(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

□

On an oriented metric manifold $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}^\uparrow, g)$

$$\int_U f := \int_{x(U)} d^d \alpha \underbrace{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(x)) (x^{-1}(\alpha))}}_{\sqrt{g}} f_{(x)}(\alpha)$$

12.5 Integration on the entire manifold

13 Lecture 13: Relativistic spacetime

Recall, from Lecture 9, the definition of Newtonian spacetime

$$(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \nabla, t) \quad \begin{array}{l} \nabla \text{ torsion free} \\ t(\text{the so-called absolute time}) \in C^\infty(M) \\ dt \neq 0 \\ \nabla dt = 0 \quad (\text{uniform time}) \end{array}$$

and the definition of relativistic spacetime (before Lecture 1)

$$(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}^\uparrow, \nabla, g, T) \quad \begin{array}{l} \nabla \text{ torsion-free} \\ g \text{ Lorentzian metric}(+ - - -) \\ T \text{ time-orientation} \end{array}$$

The role played by t in Newtonian spacetime is played by the interplay of two new structures g and T in relativistic spacetime.

13.1 Time orientation

Definition 68. $(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}^\uparrow, g)$ a Lorentzian manifold. Then a time-orientation is given by a vector field T that

- (i) does **not** vanish anywhere
- (ii) $g(T, T) > 0$

Newtonian vs. relativistic

Recall that a vector X in Newtonian spacetime was called future-directed if $dt(X) > 0$.

$\forall p \in M$, take half plane, half space of $T_p M$

also stratified atlas so make planes of constant t straight

relativistic

half cone $\forall p, q \in M$, half-cone $\subseteq T_p M$

This definition of spacetime

Question

I see how the cone structure arises from the new metric. I don't understand however, how the T , the time orientation, comes in

Answer

$$(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, g) \quad g \leftarrow + - - -$$

requiring $g(X, X) > 0$, select cones

T chooses which cone

This definition of spacetime has been made to enable the following physical postulates:

(P1) The worldline γ of a massive particle satisfies

- (i) $g_{\gamma(\lambda)}(v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}) > 0$
- (ii) $g_{\gamma(\lambda)}(T, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}) > 0$

(P2) Worldlines of massless particles satisfy

$$(i) \quad g_{\gamma(\lambda)}(v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}) = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad g_{\gamma(\lambda)}(T, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}) > 0$$

picture: spacetime:

Answer (to a question) T is a smooth vector field, T determines future vs. past, “general relativity: we have such a time orientation; smoothness makes it less arbitrary than it seems” -FSchuller,

Claim: 9/10 of a metric are determined by the cone

spacetime determined by distribution, only one-tenth error

13.2 Observers

$(M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}^\dagger, \nabla, g, T)$

Definition 69. An observer is a worldline γ with

$$g(v_\gamma, v_\gamma) > 0$$

$$g(T, v_\gamma) > 0$$

together with a choice of basis

$$v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)} \equiv e_0(\lambda), e_1(\lambda), e_2(\lambda), e_3(\lambda)$$

of each $T_{\gamma(\lambda)}M$ where the observer worldline passes, if $g(e_a(\lambda), e_b(\lambda)) = \eta_{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{bmatrix}_{ab}$

precise: observer = smooth curve in the frame bundle LM over M

13.2.1 Two physical postulates

(P3) A **clock** carried by a specific observer (γ, e) will measure a **time**

$$\tau := \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_1} d\lambda \sqrt{g_{\gamma(\lambda)}(v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)})}$$

between the two “events”

$$\gamma(\lambda_0) \quad \text{“start the clock”}$$

and

$$\gamma(\lambda_1) \quad \text{“stop the clock”}$$

Compare with Newtonian spacetime:

$$t(p) = 7$$

Thought bubble: proper time/eigentime τ

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \mathbb{R}^4 \\
\mathcal{O} &= \mathcal{O}_{\text{st}} \\
\text{Application/Example. } \mathcal{A} &\ni (\mathbb{R}^4, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^4}) \\
g : g_{(x)ij} &= \eta_{ij} \quad ; \quad T_{(x)}^i = (1, 0, 0, 0)^i \\
&\implies \Gamma_{(x)jk}^i = 0 \text{ everywhere}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\implies (M, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}^\uparrow, g, T, \nabla) \quad \text{Riem} = 0 \\
&\implies \text{spacetime is flat}
\end{aligned}$$

This situation is called special relativity.

Consider two observers:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &: (0, 1) \longrightarrow M \\
\gamma_{(x)}^i &= (\lambda, 0, 0, 0)^i \\
\delta &: (0, 1) \longrightarrow M \\
\alpha \in (0, 1) : \delta_{(x)}^i &= \begin{cases} (\lambda, \alpha\lambda, 0, 0)^i & \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ (\lambda, (1-\lambda)\alpha, 0, 0)^i & \lambda > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

let's calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_\gamma &:= \int_0^1 \sqrt{g_{(x)ij} \dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^i \dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^j} = \int_0^1 d\lambda = 1 \\
\tau_\delta &:= \int_0^{1/2} d\lambda \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} + \int_{1/2}^1 \sqrt{1^2 - (-\alpha)^2} = \int_0^1 \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Note: piecewise integration

Taking the clock postulate (P3) seriously, one better come up with a realistic clock design that supports the postulate. idea.

2 little mirrors

(P4) Postulate

Let (γ, e) be an observer, and

δ be a *massive* particle worldline that is parametrized s.t. $g(v_\gamma, v_\gamma) = 1$ (for parametrization/normalization convenience)

Suppose the observer and the particle *meet* somewhere (in spacetime)

$$\delta(\tau_2) = p = \gamma(\tau_1)$$

This observer measures the 3-velocity (spatial velocity) of this particle as

$$v_\delta : \epsilon^\alpha(v_{\delta, \delta(\tau_2)}) e_\alpha \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \quad (13.1)$$

where $\epsilon^0, \boxed{\epsilon^1, \epsilon^2, \epsilon^3}$ is the unique dual basis of $e_0, \boxed{e_1, e_2, e_3}$

EY:20150407

There might be a major correction to Eq. (13.1) from the Tutorial 14 : Relativistic spacetime, matter, and Gravitation, see the second exercise, Exercise 2, third question:

$$v := \frac{\epsilon^\alpha(v_\delta)}{\epsilon^0(v_\delta)} e_\alpha \quad (13.2)$$

Consequence: An observer (γ, e) will extract quantities measurable in his laboratory from objective spacetime quantities always like that.

Ex: F Faraday $(0, 2)$ -tensor of electromagnetism:

$$F(e_a, e_b) = F_{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ -E_1 & 0 & B_3 & -B_2 \\ -E_2 & -B_3 & 0 & B_1 \\ -E_3 & B_2 & -B_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

observer frame e_a, e_b

$$E_\alpha := F(e_0, e_\alpha)$$

$$B^\gamma := F(e_\alpha, e_\rho) \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \text{ where } \epsilon^{123} = +1 \text{ totally antisymmetric}$$

13.3 Role of the Lorentz transformations

Lorentz transformations emerge as follows:

Let (γ, e) and $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{e})$ be observers with $\gamma(\tau_1) = \tilde{\gamma}(\tau_2)$

(for simplicity $\gamma(0) = \tilde{\gamma}(0)$)

Now

$$\begin{array}{ll} e_0, \dots, e_1 & \text{at } \tau = 0 \\ \text{and } \tilde{e}_0, \dots, \tilde{e}_1 & \text{at } \tau = 0 \end{array}$$

both bases for the same $T_{\gamma(0)}M$

$$\text{Thus: } \tilde{e}_a = \Lambda^b_a e_b \quad \Lambda \in GL(4)$$

Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ab} &= g(\tilde{e}_a, \tilde{e}_b) = g(\Lambda^m_a e_m, \Lambda^n_b e_n) = \\ &= \Lambda^m_a \Lambda^n_b \underbrace{g(e_m, e_n)}_{\eta_{mn}} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\Lambda \in O(1, 3)$

Result: Lorentz transformations relate the *frames* of *any two observers* at the same point.

“ $\tilde{x}^\mu - \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu$ ” is utter nonsense

Tutorial

I didn't see a tutorial video for this lecture, but I saw that the Tutorial sheet number 14 had the relevant topics. Go there.

14 Lecture 14: Matter

two types of matter

point matter

field matter

point matter

massive point particle

more of a phenomenological importance

field matter

electromagnetic field

more fundamental from the GR point of view

both classical matter types

14.1 Point matter

Our postulates (P1) and (P2) already constrain the possible particle worldlines.

But what is their precise law of motion, possibly in the presence of “forces”,

(a) without external forces

$$S_{\text{massive}}[\gamma] := m \int d\lambda \sqrt{g_{\gamma(\lambda)}(v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)})}$$

with:

$$g_{\gamma(\lambda)}(T_{\gamma(\lambda)}, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}) > 0$$

dynamical law Euler-Lagrange equation

similarly

$$S_{\text{massless}}[\gamma, \mu] = \int d\lambda \mu g(v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)})$$

$$\delta_{\mu} \quad g(v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}) = 0$$

$$\delta_{\gamma} \quad \text{e.o.m.}$$

Reason for describing equations of motion by actions is that composite systems have an action that is the sum of the actions of the parts of that system, possibly including “interaction terms.”

Example.

$$S[\gamma] + S[\delta] + S_{\text{int}}[\gamma, \delta]$$

(b) presence of external forces

or rather presence of fields to which a particle “couples”

Example

$$S[\gamma; A] = \int d\lambda m \sqrt{g_{\gamma(\lambda)}(v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)}, v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)})} + qA(v_{\gamma, \gamma(\lambda)})$$

where A is a **covector field** on M . A fixed (e.g. the electromagnetic potential)

Consider Euler-Lagrange eqns. $L_{\text{int}} = qA_{(x)}\dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^m$

$$\underbrace{m(\nabla_{v_\gamma} v_\gamma)_a + \left(\frac{\partial \dot{L}_{\text{int}}}{\partial \dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^m} \right)}_* - \frac{\partial L_{\text{int}}}{\partial \gamma_{(x)}^m} = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{m(\nabla_{v_\gamma} v_\gamma)^a = \underbrace{-qF_m^a \dot{\gamma}^m}_{\text{Lorentz force on a charged particle in an electromagnetic field}}}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\gamma}^a} = qA_{(x)a}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial \dot{L}}{\partial \dot{\gamma}^m} \right) = q \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} (A_{(x)m}) \cdot \dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^m$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \gamma^a} = q \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (A_{(x)m}) \dot{\gamma}^m$$

$$* = q \left(\frac{\partial A_a}{\partial x^m} - \frac{\partial A_m}{\partial x^a} \right) \dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^m = q \cdot F_{(x)am} \dot{\gamma}_{(x)}^m$$

$F \leftarrow$ Faraday

$$S[\gamma] = \int (m\sqrt{g(v_\gamma, v_\gamma)} + qA(v_\gamma)) d\lambda$$

14.2 Field matter

Definition 70. Classical (non-quantum) field matter is any tensor field on spacetime where equations of motion derive from an action.

Example:

$$S_{\text{Maxwell}}[A] = \frac{1}{4} \int_M d^4x \sqrt{-g} F_{ab} F_{cd} g^{ac} g^{bd}$$

A $(0,1)$ -tensor field

= thought cloud: for simplicity one chart covers all of M

– for $\sqrt{-g}$ (+ – – –)

$$F_{ab} := 2\partial_{[a}A_{b]} = 2(\nabla_{[a}A_{b]})$$

Euler-Lagrange equations for fields

$$0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_m} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_s A_m} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^t} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_t \partial_s A_m}$$

Example ...

$$(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}} F)^{ma} = j^a$$

inhomogeneous Maxwell

thought bubble $j = qv_\gamma$

$$\partial_{[a} F_{b]} - ()$$

homogeneous Maxwell

Other example well-liked by textbooks

$$S_{\text{Klein-Gordon}}[\phi] := \int_M d^4x \sqrt{-g} [g^{ab} (\partial_a \phi) (\partial_b \phi) - m^2 \phi^2]$$

ϕ $(0,0)$ -tensor field

14.3 Energy-momentum tensor of matter fields

At some point, we want to write down an action for the metric tensor field itself.

But then, this action $S_{\text{grav}}[g]$ will be added to any $S_{\text{matter}}[A, \phi, \dots]$ in order to describe the total system.

$$S_{\text{total}}[g, A] = S_{\text{grav}}[g] + S_{\text{Maxwell}}[A, g]$$

$$\delta A \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text{Maxwell's equations}$$

$$\delta g_{ab} \quad : \quad \boxed{\frac{1}{16\pi G} G^{ab}} + (-2T^{ab}) = 0$$

G Newton's constant

$$G^{ab} = 8\pi G_N T^{ab}$$

Definition 71. $S_{\text{matter}}[\Phi, g]$ is a matter action, the **so-called energy-momentum tensor** is

$$T^{ab} := \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-g}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}}{\partial g_{ab}} - \partial_s \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}}{\partial \partial_s g_{ab}} + \dots \right)$$

– of $\frac{-2}{\sqrt{g}}$ is Schrödinger minus (EY : 20150408 F.Schuller's joke? but wise)

choose all sign conventions s.t.

$$T(\epsilon^0, \epsilon^0) > 0$$

Example: For S_{Maxwell} :

$$T_{ab} = F_{am} F_{bn} g^{mn} - \frac{1}{4} F_{mn} F^{mn} g_{ab}$$

$$T_{ab} \equiv T_{\text{Maxwell}ab}$$

$$T(e_0, e_0) = \underline{E}^2 + \underline{B}^2$$

$$T(e_0, e_\alpha) = (E \times B)_\alpha$$

Fact: One often does not specify the fundamental action for some matter, but one is rather satisfied to assume certain properties / forms of

$$T_{ab}$$

Example Cosmology: (homogeneous & isotropic)

perfect fluid

of pressure p and density ρ modelled by

$$T^{ab} = (\rho + p)u^a u^b - pg^{ab}$$

radiative fluid

What is a fluid of photons:

$$T_{\text{Maxwell}}^{ab} g_{ab} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{observe: } T_{\text{p.f.}}^{ab} g_{ab} &\stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\ &= (\rho + p) u^a u^b g_{ab} - p \underbrace{g^{ab} g_{ab}}_4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leftrightarrow \rho_p 0 4p = 0$$

$$\rho = 3p$$

$$p = \frac{1}{3} \rho$$

Reconvene at 3 pm? (EY : 20150409 I sent a Facebook (FB) message to the International Winter School on Gravity and Light: there was no missing video; it continues on Lecture 15 immediately)

Tutorial 14: Relativistic Spacetime, Matter and Gravitation

Exercise 2: Lorentz force law.

Question electromagnetic potential.

15 Einstein gravity

Recall that in Newtonian spacetime, we were able to reformulate the Poisson law $\Delta\phi = 4\pi G_N \rho$ in terms of the Newtonian spacetime curvature as

$$R_{00} = 4\pi G_N \rho$$

R_{00} with respect to ∇_{Newton} , and $G_N =$ Newtonian gravitational constant.

This prompted Einstein to postulate that the relativistic field equations for the Lorentzian metric g of (relativistic) spacetime

$$R_{ab} = 8\pi G_N T_{ab}$$

However, this equation suffers from a problem. We know from matter theory that in RHS, $(\nabla_a T)^{ab} = 0$ since this has been formulated from an action. But in LHS, $(\nabla_a R)^{ab} \neq 0$ generically. Einstein tried to argue this problem away. Nevertheless, the equations cannot be upheld.

15.1 Hilbert

Hilbert was a specialist for variational principles. To find the appropriate LHS of the gravitational field equations, Hilbert suggested to start from an action

$$S_{\text{Hilbert}}[g] = \int_M \sqrt{-g} R_{ab} g^{ab}$$

which, in a sense, is formulated in terms of “simplest action”.

Aim: varying this w.r.t. metric g_{ab} will result in some tensor G^{ab} .

15.2 Variation of S_{Hilbert}

$$0 \stackrel{!}{=} \underbrace{\delta}_{g_i} S_{\text{Hilbert}}[g] = \int_M \underbrace{[\delta\sqrt{-g}]}_1 g^{ab} R_{ab} + \sqrt{-g} \underbrace{\delta g^{ab}}_2 R_{ab} + \sqrt{-g} g^{ab} \underbrace{\delta R_{ab}}_3$$

$$\text{ad 1: } \delta\sqrt{-g} = \frac{-(\det g)g^{mn}\delta g_{mn}}{2\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}g^{mn}\delta g_{mn}$$

the above comes from $\delta\det(g) = \det(g)g^{mn}\delta g_{mn}$ e.g. from $\det(g) = \exp \text{tr} \ln g$

$$\text{ad 2: } g^{ab}g_{bc} = \delta_c^a \implies (\delta g^{ab})g_{bc} + g^{ab}(\delta g_{bc}) = 0 \implies \delta g^{ab} = -g^{am}g^{bn}\delta g_{mn}$$

ad 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta R_{ab} &\stackrel{\text{normal coords at point}}{=} \delta\partial_b\Gamma_{am}^m - \delta\partial_m\Gamma_{ab}^m + \Gamma\Gamma - \Gamma\Gamma \\ &= \partial_b\delta\Gamma_{am}^m - \partial_m\delta\Gamma_{ab}^m = \nabla_b(\delta\Gamma)_{am}^m - \nabla_m(\delta\Gamma)_{ab}^m \\ &\implies \sqrt{-g}g^{ab}\delta R_{ab} = \sqrt{-g} \end{aligned}$$

“if you formulate the variation properly, you’ll see the variation δ commute with ∂_b ”

$(\Gamma(x))_{jk}^i - \widetilde{\Gamma(x)}_{jk}^i$ are the components of a (1,2)-tensor.

Let us use the notation: $(\nabla_b A)^i_j =: A^i_{j;b}$

$$\therefore \sqrt{-g}g^{ab}\delta R_{ab} \stackrel{\nabla g=0}{=} \sqrt{-g}(g^{ab}\delta\Gamma_{am}^m)_{;b} - \sqrt{-g}(g^{ab}\delta\Gamma_{ab}^m)_{;m} = \sqrt{-g}A^b_{;b} - \sqrt{-g}B^m_{;m}$$

Question: Why is the difference of coefficients a tensor?

Answer:

$$(\Gamma_{(y)})^i_{jk} = \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial x^q}{\partial y^k} (\Gamma_{(x)})^m_{nq} + \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial^2 x^m}{\partial y^j \partial y^k}$$

Collecting terms, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\stackrel{!}{=} \delta S_{\text{Hilbert}} = \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} g^{mn} \delta g_{mn} g^{ab} R_{ab} - \sqrt{-g} g^{am} g^{bn} \delta g_{mn} R_{ab} + \underbrace{(\sqrt{-g} A^a)_{,a}}_{\text{surface}} - \underbrace{(\sqrt{-g} B^b)_{,b}}_{\text{surface term}} \right] \\ &= \int_M \sqrt{-g} \delta \underbrace{g_{mn}}_{\text{arbitrary variation}} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{mn} R - R^{mn} \right] \implies G^{mn} = R^{mn} - \frac{1}{2} g^{mn} R \end{aligned}$$

Hence Hilbert, from this “mathematical” argument, concluded that one may take

$$\boxed{R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R = 8\pi G_N T_{ab}}$$

Einstein equations

$$S_{E-H}[g] = \int_M \sqrt{-g} R$$

15.3 Solution of the $\nabla_a T^{ab} = 0$ issue

One can show (\longrightarrow Tutorials) that the Einstein curvature

$$G_{ab} = R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R$$

satisfy the so-called contracted differential Bianchi identity $(\nabla_a G)^{ab} = 0$.

15.4 Variants of the field equations

(a) a simple rewriting:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R &= 8\pi G_N T_{ab} = T_{ab} & (G_N = \frac{1}{8\pi}) \\ R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R &= T_{ab} \parallel g^{ab} & (\text{contract on both sides with } g^{ab}) \\ R - 2R &= T := T_{ab} g^{ab} \\ \implies R &= -T \\ \implies R_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} T &= T_{ab} \\ \iff R_{ab} &= (T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} T g_{ab}) =: \hat{T}_{ab} \\ \therefore \quad \boxed{R_{ab} = \hat{T}_{ab}} \end{aligned}$$

(b) $S_{E-H}[g] := \int_M \sqrt{-g} (R + 2\Lambda)$ (Λ is called cosmological constant)

History:

1915: $\Lambda < 0$ (Einstein) in order to get a non-expanding universe

>1915: $\Lambda = 0$ (Hubble)

today: $\Lambda > 0$ to account for an accelerated expansion

$\Lambda \neq 0$ can be interpreted as a contribution $-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda g$ to the energy-momentum of matter in spacetime. This energy, which does not interact with anything but contributes to the curvature is called “dark energy”.

Question: surface terms scalar?

Answer: for a careful treatment of the surface terms which we discarded, see, e.g. E. Poisson, “A relativist’s toolkit” C.U.P. “excellent book”

Question: What is a constant on a manifold?

Answer: $\int \sqrt{-g} \Lambda = \Lambda \int \sqrt{-g} 1$

[back to dark energy]

[Weinberg used QCD to calculate Λ using the idea that Λ could arise as the vacuum energy of the standard model fields. It turns out that

$$\Lambda_{\text{calculated}} = 10^{120} \times \Lambda_{\text{obs}}$$

which is called the “worst prediction of physics”.

Tutorials: check that

- Schwarzschild metric (1916)
- FRW metric
- pp-wave metric
- Reissner-Nordstrom

\implies are solutions to Einstein’s equations

in high school

$$m\ddot{x} + m\omega^2 x^2 = 0$$

$$x(t) = \cos(\omega t)$$

ET: [elementary tutorials]

study motion of particles & observers in Schwarzschild S.T.

Satellite lectures:

Marcus C. Werner: Gravitational lensing

odd number of pictures Morse theory (EY:20150408 Morse Theory !!!)

Domenico Giulini: Canonical Formulations of GR

Hamiltonian form

Key to Quantum Gravity

16 L18: Canonical Formulation of GR-I

16.1 Dynamical and Hamiltonian formulation of General Relativity

Purpose:

- 1) formulate and solve initial-value problems
- 2) integrate Einstein's Equations by numerical codes
- 3) characterise degrees of freedom
- 4) characterise isolated systems, associated symmetry groups and conserved quantities like Energy/Mass, Momenta (linear and angular), Poincare charges
- 5) starting point for "canonical quantisation" program

How do we achieve this goal? We will rewrite Einstein's Equations in form of a constrained Hamiltonian system.

$$\underbrace{R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R}_{G_{\mu\nu}} + \underbrace{\Lambda}_{\text{cosmological constant}} g_{\mu\nu} = \underbrace{k}_{\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}} T_{\mu\nu}$$

$k = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$ is an important quantity as it turns the energy density $T_{\mu\nu}$ into curvature.

Physical dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for curvature, } [G_{\mu\nu}] &= \frac{1}{m^2}, \\ \text{for energy density } [T_{\mu\nu}] &= \frac{\text{Joule}}{m^3} \\ \therefore [k] &= \frac{\frac{1}{m^2}}{\frac{J}{m^3}} = \frac{m}{J} \end{aligned}$$

Convention (for this lecture):

Greek indices run from 0 to 3 and latin indices from 1 to 3

signature is $(-, +, +, +)$ as it makes space positive definite in $3 + 1$ -decomposition

T_{00} is positive energy density.

17 Lecture 22: Black Holes

Only depends on Lectures 1-15, so does lecture on “Wednesday”

Schwarzschild solution also vacuum solution (from tutorial EY : oh no, must do tutorial)

Study the Schwarzschild as a vacuum solution of the Einstein equation:

$m = G_N M$ where M is the “mass”

$$g = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt \otimes dt - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} dr \otimes dr - r^2(d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi)$$

in the so-called Schwarzschild coordinates

$$\begin{array}{cc} t & r \\ (-\infty, \infty) & (0, \infty) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cc} \theta & \varphi \\ (0, \pi) & (0, 2\pi) \end{array}$$

What staring at this metric for a while, two questions naturally pose themselves:

- (i) What exactly happens $r = 2m$?

$$\begin{array}{cc} t & r \\ (-\infty, \infty) & (0, 2m) \cup (2m, \infty) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cc} \theta & \varphi \\ (0, \pi) & (0, 2\pi) \end{array}$$

- (ii) Is there anything (in the real world) beyond $t \rightarrow -\infty$?

$$t \rightarrow +\infty$$

idea: Map of Linz, blown up

Insight into these two issues is afforded by stopping to stare.

Look at *geodesic* of g , instead.

17.1 Radial null geodesics

$$\text{null} - g(v_\gamma, v_\gamma) = 0$$

Consider null geodesic in “Schd”

$$S[\gamma] = \int d\lambda \left[\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \dot{t}^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \dot{r}^2 - r^2(\dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\varphi}^2) \right]$$

with $[\dots] = 0$

and one has, in particular, the t -eqn. of motion:

$$\left(\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \dot{t} \right)' = 0$$

\implies

$$\boxed{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \dot{t} = k} = \text{const.}$$

Consider radial null geodesics

$$\theta \stackrel{!}{=} \text{const.} \quad \varphi = \text{const.}$$

From \square and \square

$$\implies \dot{r}^2 = k^2 \leftrightarrow \dot{r} = \pm k$$

$$\implies r(\lambda) = \pm k \cdot \lambda$$

Hence, we may consider

$$\tilde{t}(r) := t(\pm k\lambda)$$

Case A: \oplus

$$\frac{d\tilde{t}}{dr} = \frac{\dot{\tilde{t}}}{\dot{r}} = \frac{k}{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)k} = \frac{r}{r - 2m}$$

$$\implies \tilde{t}_+(r) = r + 2m \ln |r - 2m|$$

(**outgoing** null geodesics)

Case b. \pm (Circle around $-$, consider $-$):

$$\tilde{t}_-(r) = -r - 2m \ln |r - 2m|$$

(**ingoing** null geodesics)

Picture

17.2 Eddington-Finkelstein

Brilliantly simple idea:

change (on the domain of the Schwarzschild coordinates) to different coordinates, s.t.

in those new coordinates,

ingoing null geodesics appear as straight lines, of slope -1

This is achieved by

$$\bar{t}(t, r, \theta, \varphi) := t + 2m \ln |r - 2m|$$

Recall: ingoing null geodesic has

$$\tilde{t}(r) = -(r + 2m \ln |r - 2m|) \quad (\text{Schdcoords})$$

$$\iff \bar{t} - 2m \ln |r - 2m| = -r - 2m \ln |r - 2m| + \text{const.}$$

$$\therefore \bar{t} = -r + \text{const.}$$

(Picture)

outgoing null geodesics

$$\bar{t} = r + 4m \ln |r - 2m| + \text{const.}$$

Consider the new chart (V, g) while (U, x) was the Schd chart.

$$\bigcup_{\text{Schd}} \{ \text{horizon} \} = V$$

“chart image of the horizon”

Now calculate the *Schd metric* g w.r.t. Eddington-Finkelstein coords.

$$\bar{t}(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = t + 2m \ln |r - 2m|$$

$$\bar{r}(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = r$$

$$\bar{\theta}(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = \theta$$

$$\bar{\varphi}(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = \varphi$$

EY : 20150422 I would suggest that after seeing this, one would calculate the metric by your favorite CAS. I like the Sage Manifolds package for Sage Math.

[Schwarzschild_BH.sage on github](#)

[Schwarzschild_BH.sage on Patreon](#)

[Schwarzschild_BH.sage on Google Drive](#)

```
sage: load('Schwarzschild_BH.sage')
4-dimensional manifold 'M'
  expr = expr.simplify_radical()
Levi-Civita connection 'nabla_g' associated with the Lorentzian metric 'g' on the 4-dimensional manifold 'M'
Launched png viewer for Graphics object consisting of 4 graphics primitives
```

Then calculate the Schwarzschild metric g but in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates. Keep in mind to calculate the set of coordinates that uses \bar{t} , not \tilde{t} :

```
sage: gI.display()
gI = (2*m - r)/r dt*dt - r/(2*m - r) dr*dr + r^2 dth*dth + r^2*sin(th)^2 dph*dph
sage: gI.display( X_EF_I_null.frame())
gI = (2*m - r)/r dtbar*dtbar + 2*m/r dtbar*dr + 2*m/r dr*dtbar + (2*m + r)/r dr*dr + r^2 dth*dth + r^2*sin(th)^2 dph*dph
```

References

- [1] Sepideh Bakhoda (<http://math.stackexchange.com/users/36591/sepideh-bakhoda>), *Are there simple examples of Riemannian manifolds with zero curvature and nonzero torsion*, Mathematics Stack Exchange. URL: <http://math.stackexchange.com/q/465672> (version: 2013-08-12).