# Various Concepts of Modal Analysis and Model Reduction Methods

Alexandra Leukauf, Sebastian Thormann, Jan Valasek, and Michael Zauner Institute of Mechanics and Mechatronics Technical University of Vienna, Austria

Abstract—With modal analysis it is possible to predict the dynamic behavior of a system, which is important for the system's technical design. Another aspect is to minimize the computational effort of mathematical models in numeric simulations. By a reduction of the model's degrees of freedom an approximation to the original model can be computed. The goal of this paper is to provide an overview of common methods for modal analysis, including the free oscillation eigenvalue problem in time/frequency domain and model order reduction methods. With those the reduced model needs significantly less computation time and still shows accurate results.

#### I. Introduction

Analyzing a system in terms of its eigenvalues and eigenvectors simplifies system analysis, and gives insight into dynamic system behavior. This modal analysis is used for e.g. the design of bridges and machine foundations, together with many other technical or mathematical applications.

The crash of the Tacoma-Narrows bridge is a great example to underline the importance of modal analysis. Due to high wind conditions, which excited the bridge close to its natural frequency, the bridge collapsed only four months after opening.

The natural frequencies of a system are the frequencies at which the system naturally tends to oscillate under disturbance. This eigenfrequency depends primarily on structural properties like the mass and stiffness, but also on the boundary conditions. In case of a damped system the damping has also an influence on the characteristic frequencies. Each eigenfrequency has a corresponding mode shape (eigenvector), which can be seen as the deformed shape of the system at this specific frequency.

In this paper, the eigenfrequencies and eigenvectors of a mechanical multi-degree-of-freedom system are derived by solving the eigenvalue problem. The results are an indication on how the system will respond to dynamic excitations.

In the first section the free-oscillation eigenvalue problem is derived and the most common numeric eigenvalue solvers are presented. The main topic of the second section is the solution of the equation of motion, which is investigated in time and frequency domain. Additionally Rayleigh and modal damping are considered. Finally in section three the transformation to modal coordinates is explained, as well as how to use and choose a modal subspace.

#### II. FREE OSCILLATION EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

A mechanical system with n degrees of freedom can be described by

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{D}\dot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f},\tag{1}$$

where **M** is the mass matrix, **D** is the damping matrix and **K** is the stiffness matrix. All matrices are of the same dimension  $n \times n$ , whereas the forcing vector **f** and the displacement vector **x** have the size  $n \times 1$ . When considering the system's undamped free oscillation, damping and external forcing must be set zero, which leads to

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.\tag{2}$$

In order to solve this system of second order linear differential equations, a general solution of the form

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \Re{\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}e^{\lambda t}\}}\tag{3}$$

is inserted into (1). Where  $\lambda$  is the root of the characteristic equation, which is explained later. As a consequence, one obtains the free oscillation eigenvalue problem

$$[\mathbf{K} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{M}] \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Besides this quadratic eigenvalue problem, the general eigenvalue problem of the form

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda \mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{x}} \tag{5}$$

and, of course, the standard eigenvalue problem

$$\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda \hat{\mathbf{x}} \tag{6}$$

should also be mentioned. Sometimes it might be useful to convert the different types of eigenvalue problems to the standard form (6). One possibility to transform the quadratic eigenvalue problem to a generalised one is to introduce a new solution vector  $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \lambda \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ , which leads to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{D} & -\mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

This generalised problem again can be transformed further to the standard problem by left-multiplying with  ${\bf B}^{-1}$ 

$$\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\hat{x}} = \lambda\mathbf{\hat{x}}.\tag{8}$$

#### III. EIGENVALUE SOLVERS

The goal of eigenvalue solvers is to find the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  and their corresponding non-trivial eigenvectors  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$  of (6). An eigenvalue problem with n degrees of freedom has n non-trivial solutions if the characteristic equation

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0, \tag{9}$$

is fulfilled. In order to get unique eigenvectors,  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I})$  must not be singular, which can be achieved by setting constraints.

Vector Iteration

This algorithm is an appropriate solver for sparse matrices and only produces the biggest eigenvalue by its magnitude.

$$\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{k+1} = \frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\hat{x}}_k}{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\hat{x}}_k\|} \tag{10}$$

Here,  $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{k}\|$  converges towards the largest eigenvalue, whereas  $\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{k+1}$  provides the corresponding eigenvector.

Inverse Vector Iteration

The inverse vector iteration uses the same principle as vector iteration. However, considering the fact that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$ , then  $\lambda - \sigma$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A} - \sigma \mathbf{I}$ . Moreover,  $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda - \sigma}$  is an eigenvalue of the inverse  $(\mathbf{A} - \sigma \mathbf{I})^{-1} = \mathbf{B}$ . Applying the vector iteration for  $\mathbf{B}$ 

$$\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{k+1} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\hat{x}}_k}{\|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\hat{x}}_k\|},\tag{11}$$

one obtains the highest eigenvalue  $\mu$  of **B**. Which corresponds to the eigenvalue of **A** after the back-transformation

$$\lambda = \sigma + \frac{1}{u}.\tag{12}$$

Setting the shift point  $\sigma = 0$ , the solver computes the largest eigenvalue  $\mu$  of **B**, which leads to the smallest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ . If the shift point  $\sigma$  is chosen close enough to a desired  $\lambda_i$ , the solver delivers good results.

Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

The Rayleigh quotient iteration is an inverse vector iteration with a prescribed shift point of

$$\sigma_{k} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k}}{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k}^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k}},\tag{13}$$

called Rayleigh quotient. This very efficient eigenvalue algorithm has high a rate of convergence.

Gram-Schmid Process

With the Gram-Schmid process one can compute any higher eigenvectors  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k,...,\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ , if the lower eigenvectors  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1$  to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}$  are known. Given an arbitrary linear independent basis  $\mathbf{v}_1,\mathbf{v}_2,...,\mathbf{v}_n$ , the Gram-Schmid algorithm constructs an orthogonal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_2,...,\mathbf{u}_n\}$  by computing

$$\mathbf{u}_{k} = \mathbf{v}_{k} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} p(\mathbf{v}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k})$$
 (14)

with the projection of v onto u

$$p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{u}.\tag{15}$$

Now,  $\mathbf{u}_k$  can be used for the inverse vector iteration to calculate  $\mathbf{u}_{k+1} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}_k}{\|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}_k\|}$ .

QR-Algorithm

This algorithm uses the QR-decomposition

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{k}},\tag{16}$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}_k$  is a unitary matrix and  $\mathbf{R}_k$  is an upper triangular matrix. This decomposition can be easily computed by any mathematical program like matlab, as well as by, for instance, the Gram-Schmid process. After iterating

$$\mathbf{A}_{k+1} = \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{Q}_k, \tag{17}$$

the matrix **A** usually has an upper triangular form and its eigenvalues are located at the diagonal. This algorithm produces all the eigenvalues at once.

Subspace Iteration

The subspace iteration delivers only a subset p < n of eigenvalues of **A**. Starting with an initial vector  $\mathbf{X}_k$ , one computes

$$\mathbf{Z}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}_k \tag{18}$$

and calculates the QR-decomposition of  $\mathbf{Z}_k$  by

$$\mathbf{Z}_k = \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_k. \tag{19}$$

The largest p eigenvalues are located at the diagonal of  $\mathbf{R}_k$ .

IV. TIME AND FREQUENCY DOMAIN

blub

# V. MODEL ORDER REDUCTION

The goal of this section is to present methods on how to effectively reduce degrees of freedom of given systems. This leads then to a decrease of computational cost and time.

### A. Modal basis

We consider again the system of differential equations of second order, which describes the motion of an elastic body

$$M\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbb{C}\dot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbb{K}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}.$$
 (20)

Here we denoted mass, damping and stiffness matrix as  $\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{K}$ , vector  $\mathbf{f}$  represents acting forces and the influence of Neumann boundary conditions and finally in the vector  $\mathbf{x}$  are stored the unknown displacements of this system with N degrees of freedom. The solution of associated quadratic eigenvalue problem reads

$$(\mathbb{K} + \lambda \mathbb{C} + \lambda^2 \mathbb{M}) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{21}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a eigenvalue and  $\mathbf{v}$  is eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . This problem has normally n eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

The first key idea in this section is to change the coordinate system from a physical to a modal one. With a basis V given by the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ . The modal coordinates  $q_i$  are then given by

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{v}_i q_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{V} \mathbf{q}.$$
 (22)

Substituting modal coordinates into Eq. (20) and multiplying with  $\mathbb{V}^T$  leads to

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{f},\tag{23}$$

where the notation  $\underline{\mathbb{M}} = \mathbb{V}^T \mathbb{M} \mathbb{V}, \underline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{V}^T \mathbb{C} \mathbb{V}, \underline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{V}^T \mathbb{K} \mathbb{V}$  and  $\underline{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbb{V}^T \mathbf{f}$  was used. The new system matrices  $\underline{\mathbb{M}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}, \underline{\mathbb{K}}$  are diagonal. The modal excitation vector q provides direct information, which mode is excited and the relative strength of the excitation.

#### B. Reduced system

The second key idea is restricting the modal basis to a smaller subspace  $V_m \subset V$  given by m eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{j_m}, m \ll n$ . The subspace selection has to be performed carefully to keep the characteristic behaviors of the full unreduced system, e.g. it is needed to include all the participating modes from the frequency range of interest. Usually the modes corresponding to the lowest eigenfrequencies are selected due to them having the biggest impact on the behavior. If the motion of the body is a-priori known to be dominant in one plane/direction/rotation, the modes with a low participation factor of this motion can be skipped during base creation. For example this could be the case when different constraints are applied. The modal-basis model reduction is not recommended for simulation of non-linear phenomenons or when investigating local behaviors like stress concentration around holes. Let's denote reduced basis coordinates as  $\eta_i$ 

$$\mathbf{x} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{v}_i \eta_i = \mathbb{V}_r \boldsymbol{\eta}. \tag{24}$$

Then the system (20) changes to

$$\mathbb{M}_r \ddot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} + \mathbb{C}_r \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} + \mathbb{K}_r \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{f}_r, \tag{25}$$

where we denoted  $\underbrace{\mathbb{M}_r}_{m \times m} = \underbrace{\mathbb{V}_r^T}_{m \times n} \underbrace{\mathbb{M}}_{n \times n} \underbrace{\mathbb{V}_r}_{n \times m},$   $\mathbb{C}_r = \mathbb{V}_r^T \mathbb{C} \mathbb{V}_r, \mathbb{K}_r = \mathbb{V}_r^T \mathbb{K} \mathbb{V}_r \text{ and } \mathbf{f}_r = \mathbb{V}^T \mathbf{f}.$ 

Similar the reduced system (25) can be transformed to frequency domain by

$$(\mathbb{K}_r + j\omega \mathbb{C}_r - \omega^2 \mathbb{M}_r) \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_r. \tag{26}$$

After the solution of Eq. (25) or (26) was found, it is possible to express it again in physical coordinates via the transformation

$$\mathbf{x}_r = \mathbb{V}_r \boldsymbol{\eta}. \tag{27}$$

## C. Arbitrary basis

To better approximate a known displacement d and to select most appropriate modes a least-square problem can be formulated. The over-determined system

$$V_r \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{d} \tag{28}$$

can be solved in the least-square sense. E.g. by minimizing of the L2-norm of the residual vector  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbb{V}_r \boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{d}$ . Based on the components of  $\eta_i$  one can decide if the given mode  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is essential to be included into a new basis or not. The value of the residual  $\mathbf{r}$  is a indication if the pre-chosen basis  $\mathbb{V}_r$  is able to approximate the displacement  $\mathbf{d}$  with enough precision. If not, the subset  $\mathbb{V}_r \subset \mathbb{V}$  should be increased.

# D. Modal factors

The Modal contribution factor (MCF) defined by

$$\rho = \frac{\eta}{||\eta||} \tag{29}$$

describes the relative contribution of each mode to the total solution. For a meaningful interpretation of the modal contributions the modes must be appropriate normalized, e.g. to unit displacement for interpretation in terms of displacement contribution. If MCF of certain mode  $\mathbf{v}_j$  is insignificant, it can be removed from the basis  $\mathbb{V}_r$  without significant change to the solution.

The Modal participation factor (MPF) gives us information about the content of rigid body motion in a particular mode. For a mode  $\mathbf{v}_i$  and a displacement direction (or rotation) for the rigid body  $\mathbf{e}_i$  the MPF is defined as

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbb{M} \mathbf{e}_j}{\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbb{M} \mathbf{v}_i}.$$
 (30)

The MPF has its origin in the modelling of inertia loads, e.g. for assessing earthquake forcing, which is proportional to  $\Gamma_{ij}$ .

# Final remark:

Instead of solving the whole quadratic eigenvalue problem (21) for damped systems one can compute the eigenvectors of the undamped system first and then approximate the eigenvalues in chosen modal subspace, i.e.

$$(\mathbb{K}_r + \mu \mathbb{C}_r + \mu^2 \mathbb{M}_r) \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{31}$$

The damped natural frequency and damping ratio is then the imaginary and real part of the complex valued eigenvalue, respectively  $\mu_i = \zeta_i + j\omega_i$ .

#### VI. CONCLUSION