

Various concepts of modal analysis and model reduction methods

Alexandra Leukauf, Sebastian Thormann, Jan Valasek, and Michael Zauner
Institute of Mechanics and Mechatronics
Technical University of Vienna, Austria

Abstract—This Paper is intended to give a short overview of

Subspace Iteration

I. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with ... The first section contains overview of numerical algorithms used for solution eigenvalues problem.

II. FREE OSCILLATION EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

blub, Convergence criteria

$$\frac{\|\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k\|}{\|\lambda_{k+1}\|} \geq \epsilon \quad (1)$$

Vector Iteration

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k}{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k\|} \quad (2)$$

Inverse Vector Iteration

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_k}{\|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_k\|} \quad (3)$$

with

$$\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{A} - \sigma\mathbf{I})^{-1} \quad (4)$$

μ is the eigenvalue of \mathbf{B} .

$$\lambda = \sigma + \frac{1}{\mu} \quad (5)$$

Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

$$\sigma_k = \frac{\mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_k}{\mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{x}_k} \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_k = (\mathbf{A} - \sigma_k \mathbf{I})^{-1} \quad (7)$$

Gram-Schmid Process

$$p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}} \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{v}_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} p(\mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{u}_k) \mathbf{v}_j \quad (9)$$

QR-Algorithm

$$\mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{Q}_k \mathbf{R}_k \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{k+1} = \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{Q}_k \quad (11)$$

$$1 = 1 \quad (12)$$

III. TIME AND FREQUENCY DOMAIN

blub

IV. MODEL ORDER REDUCTION

The goal of this section is to present methods on how to effectively reduce degrees of freedom of given systems. This leads then to a decrease of computational cost and time.

A. Modal basis

We consider again the system of differential equations of second order, which describes the motion of an elastic body

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}. \quad (13)$$

Here we denoted mass, damping and stiffness matrix as $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}$, vector \mathbf{f} represents acting forces and the influence of boundary conditions and finally in the vector \mathbf{x} are stored the unknown displacements of this system with N degrees of freedom. The solution of associated quadratic eigenvalue problem reads

$$(\mathbf{K} + \lambda\mathbf{C} + \lambda^2\mathbf{M})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (14)$$

where λ is a eigenvalue and \mathbf{v} is eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . This problem has normally n eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

The first key idea in this section is to change the coordinate system from a physical to a modal one. With a basis \mathbb{V} given by the eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$. The modal coordinates q_i are then given by

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i q_i = [\mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_n] \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{V}\mathbf{q}. \quad (15)$$

Substituting modal coordinates into Eq. (13) and multiplying with \mathbb{V}^T leads to

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{f}, \quad (16)$$

where the notation $\mathbf{M} = \mathbb{V}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbb{V}, \mathbf{C} = \mathbb{V}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbb{V}, \mathbf{K} = \mathbb{V}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbf{f} = \mathbb{V}^T \mathbf{f}$ was used. The new system matrices $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}$ are diagonal. The modal basis provides directly the information, which mode is excited and the relative strength of its excitation.

B. Reduced system

The second key idea is restricting the modal basis to a smaller subspace $\mathbf{V}_m \subset \mathbf{V}$ given by m eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{j_m}$, $m \ll n$. The subspace selection has to be performed carefully to keep the characteristic behaviors of the full unreduced system, e.g. it is needed to include all the participating modes from the frequency range of interest. Usually the modes corresponding to the lowest eigenfrequencies are selected due to them having the biggest impact on the behavior. If the motion of the body is a-priori known to be dominant in one plane/direction/rotation, the modes with a low participation factor of this motion can be skipped during base creation. For example this could be the case when different constraints are applied. The modal-basis model reduction is not recommended for simulation of non-linear phenomena or when investigating local behaviors like stress concentration around holes. Let's denote reduced basis coordinates as η_i

$$\mathbf{x} \approx \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{v}_i \eta_i = \mathbb{V}_r \boldsymbol{\eta}. \quad (17)$$

Then the system (13) changes to

$$\mathbb{M}_r \ddot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} + \mathbb{C}_r \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} + \mathbb{K}_r \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{f}_r, \quad (18)$$

where we denoted $\underbrace{\mathbb{M}_r}_{m \times m} = \underbrace{\mathbb{V}_r^T}_{m \times n} \underbrace{\mathbb{M}}_{n \times n} \underbrace{\mathbb{V}_r}_{n \times m}$,

$\mathbb{C}_r = \mathbb{V}_r^T \mathbb{C} \mathbb{V}_r$, $\mathbb{K}_r = \mathbb{V}_r^T \mathbb{K} \mathbb{V}_r$ and $\mathbf{f}_r = \mathbb{V}_r^T \mathbf{f}$.

Similar the reduced system (18) can be transformed to frequency domain by

$$(\mathbb{K}_r + j\omega \mathbb{C}_r - \omega^2 \mathbb{M}_r) \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_r. \quad (19)$$

After the solution of Eq. (18) or (19) was found, it is possible to express it again in physical coordinates via the transformation

$$\mathbf{x}_r = \mathbb{V}_r \boldsymbol{\eta}. \quad (20)$$

C. Arbitrary basis

To better approximate a known displacement \mathbf{d} and to select most appropriate modes a least-square problem can be formulated. The over-determined system

$$\mathbb{V}_r \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{d} \quad (21)$$

can be solved in the least-square sense. E.g. by minimizing of the L2-norm of the residual vector $\mathbf{r} = \mathbb{V}_r \boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{d}$. Based on the components of η_i one can decide if the given mode \mathbf{v}_i is essential to be included into a new basis or not. The value of the residual \mathbf{r} is a indication if the pre-chosen basis \mathbb{V}_r is able to approximate the displacement \mathbf{d} with enough precision. If not, the subset $\mathbb{V}_r \subset \mathbb{V}$ should be increased.

D. Modal factors

The Modal contribution factor (MCF) defined by

$$\rho = \frac{\eta}{\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|} \quad (22)$$

describes the relative contribution of each mode to the total solution. For a meaningful interpretation of the modal contributions the modes must be appropriate normalized, e.g. to unit displacement for interpretation in terms of displacement contribution. If MCF of certain mode \mathbf{v}_j is insignificant, it can be removed from the basis \mathbb{V}_r without significant change to the solution.

The Modal participation factor (MPF) gives us information about the content of rigid body motion in a particular mode. For a mode \mathbf{v}_i and a displacement direction (or rotation) for the rigid body \mathbf{e}_j the MPF is defined as

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbb{M} \mathbf{e}_j}{\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbb{M} \mathbf{v}_i}. \quad (23)$$

The MPF has its origin in the modelling of inertia loads, e.g. for assessing earthquake forcing, which is proportional to Γ_{ij} .

Final remark:

Instead of solving the whole quadratic eigenvalue problem (14) for damped systems one can compute the eigenvectors of the undamped system first and then approximate the eigenvalues in chosen modal subspace, i.e.

$$(\mathbb{K}_r + \mu \mathbb{C}_r + \mu^2 \mathbb{M}_r) \boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (24)$$

The damped natural frequency and damping ratio is then the imaginary and real part of the complex valued eigenvalue, respectively $\mu_i = \zeta_i + j\omega_i$.

V. CONCLUSION

What has been done.