# Reinforcement Learning and Optimal Control IFT6760C, Fall 2021

Pierre-Luc Bacon

October 27, 2021

### Policy gradient methods

- You are given a class of parameterized (typically stationary) policies within  $\Pi^{MR}$ : ie.  $d_{\theta}(a|s)$  where  $\theta$  are parameters to learn
- ▶ Important:  $d_{\theta}$  needs to be a differentiable function of  $\theta$  for all  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$

#### Pros:

- Can leverage "structure" in policy space
  - Can provide prior knowledge about the kind of policies to consider
- Applies to continuous state and action spaces

#### Cons:

- Typically high variance
- Doesn't leverage structure in value space/DP results

### Objective

Our goal is to:

maximize 
$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}} [G(\tau)]$$
,

where  $G(\tau) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} r(S_t, A_t)$  and  $p_{\theta}$  the distribution over trajectories induced by  $d_{\theta}$  interacting with the MDP.

We are facing a stochastic optimization problem with a distributional dependency and no structural component.

#### LR for RL

Applying a change of measure (the LR approach), we get:

maximize 
$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim q} \left[ G(\tau) \rho(\tau, \theta, q) \right]$$
,

where  $\rho(\tau, \theta, q) = p_{\theta}(\tau)/q(\tau)$  is the likelihood ratio.

Consequence: we no longer have distributional dependency on  $\theta$ : we pushed  $\theta$  inside the expectation as structural parameters.

The gradient of J with respect to  $\theta$  is:

$$DJ(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim q} \left[ G(\tau) D_2 \rho(\tau, \theta, q) \right] \ .$$

# The likelihood ratio is a Martingale

Let  $\tau = (s_1, a_1, \dots, s_T, a_T)$ , the likelihood of a trajectory  $\tau$  under  $d_\theta$  is:

$$p(\tau;\theta) = p(s_1) \left( \prod_{t=1}^{T-1} d_{\theta}(a_t|s_t) p(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t) \right) d_{\theta}(a_T|s_T)$$

Therefore:

$$\frac{p(\tau;\theta)}{q(\tau)} = \prod_{t=1}^{T} \frac{d_{\theta}(a_t|s_t)}{d(a_t|s_t)}.$$

where *d* is a given stationary policy in MR. The likelihood ratio is a Martingale, ie:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\rho_{1:t+1} \mid \tau_{1:t}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{d_{\theta}(A_{t+1}|S_{t+1})}{d(A_{t+1}|S_{t+1})} \mid \tau_{1:t}\right] \rho_{1:t} = \rho_{1:t} .$$

# Using the Extended Conditional Monte Carlo Method

Using the law of total expectation, we can show that:

$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim q} \left[ G(\tau) \rho(\tau, \theta, q) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim q} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} r(S_t, A_t) \mathbb{E} \left[ \rho_{1:T} \mid \tau_{1:t} \right] \right]$$

And using the fact that the LR is a Martingale:

$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim d} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T r(S_t, A_t) \prod_{k=1}^t \frac{d_{\theta}(A_k | S_k)}{d(A_k | S_k)} \right] .$$

#### LR + CMC + Martingale

We then get:

$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim d} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} r(S_t, A_t) \prod_{k=1}^{t} \frac{d_{\theta}(A_k | S_k)}{d(A_k | S_k)} \right] .$$

If we pick the specific case  $d_{\theta} = d$  as a sampling policy, we obtain the **score function** expression:

$$DJ(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim d} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} r(S_t, A_t) \sum_{k=1}^{t} D_{\theta} \log d_{\theta}(A_k | S_k) \right] .$$

#### SF + CMC + Martingale

The resulting estimator, call it  $\hat{D}^{\nabla}$  (mnemonic: lower triangular), taken over *N* trajectories is then:

$$\hat{D}^{\nabla} J(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{T} r_{i,j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} D_{\theta} \log d_{\theta}(a_{i,k}|s_{i,k})$$

where  $r_{i,j}$  denotes the jth reward from the ith trajectory (same for  $a_{i,k}$  and  $s_{i,k}$ ). The inner most term can also be computed recursively:

$$\hat{D}^{\nabla} J(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{T} r_{i,j} z_{i,j}$$
$$z_{i,j} = D_{\theta} \log d_{\theta}(a_{i,j} | s_{i,j}) + z_{i,j-1} .$$

and  $z_{i,\bullet}$  is the eligibility trace for the *i*th trajectory.

## SF + CMC + Martingale + change of bounds

We have:

$$DJ(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim d} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} r(S_t, A_t) \sum_{k=1}^{t} D_{\theta} \log d_{\theta}(A_k | S_k) \right] .$$

The indices in the above expression are such that  $1 \le k \le t \le T$ . Instead of taking  $1 \le t \le T$  and  $k \le t \le T$ , we can use instead  $1 \le k \le T$  and  $k \le t \le T$ . This gives us:

$$DJ(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim d} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} D_{\theta} \log d_{\theta}(A_{t}|S_{t}) \sum_{k=t}^{T} r(S_{k}, A_{k}) \right] .$$

#### **Estimator**

The resulting (offline) estimator, call it  $\hat{D}^{\triangle}$  (mnemonic: upper triangular) is then:

$$\hat{D}^{\triangle}J(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{T} D_{\theta} \log d_{\theta}(a_{i,j}|s_{i,j}) \sum_{k=j}^{T} r(s_{i,k}, a_{i,k}) .$$

This estimator is the most frequently encountered in modern deep RL and is typically implemented using the SAA perspective, that is, by defining a surrogate objective:

$$\hat{J}^{\triangle}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{T} \log d_{\theta}(a_{i,j}|s_{i,j}) \sum_{k=j}^{T} r(s_{i,k}, a_{i,k}) ,$$

and the gradient  $D\hat{J}^{\triangle}$  is the computed using automatic differentiation.