

Reinforcement Learning and Optimal Control

IFT6760C, Fall 2021

Pierre-Luc Bacon

September 17, 2021

Overview

- ▶ The Bellman optimality operator is a contraction
- ▶ Bounds on value iteration
- ▶ Derivative, Spivak notation

Optimality equations

Today, we will see that the nonlinear equations:

$$v(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} r(s, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a)v(j) \ ,$$

called the **optimality equations** have a unique solution, and that solution coincides with v_{γ}^* – the value of the MDP. In vector notation:

$$v = \max_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{MD}} r_d + \gamma P_d v = Lv \ ,$$

where L is the Bellman optimality operator. We will show that:

1. L is a contraction
2. v_{γ}^* is the unique fixed point of L .

The Bellman optimality operator is a contraction

Theorem If $\gamma \in [0, 1)$, then L is a contraction mapping.

Proof

As usual, we assume that \mathcal{S} is discrete and $L : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. We want to show that there exists a $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|Lv - Lu\| \leq \lambda \|v - u\|, \forall u, v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Assume that $Lv(s) \geq Lu(s)$:

$$0 \leq Lv(s) - Lu(s)$$

$$= \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \left\{ r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a)v(j) \right\} - \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \left\{ r(s, a) - \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a)u(j) \right\}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now let } a_s^* &\in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \left\{ r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a) v(j) \right\}. \\ &\leq r(s, a_s^*) + \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a_s^*) v(j) - r(s, a_s^*) - \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a_s^*) u(j) \\ &= \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a_s^*) (v(j) - u(j)) \leq \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}(s)} p(j|s, a_s^*) \underbrace{\|v - u\|}_{\max_{i \in \mathcal{S}} |v_i - u_i|} = \gamma \|v - u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} |Lv(s) - Lu(s)| &\leq \gamma \|v - u\| \\ \Rightarrow \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} |Lv(s) - Lu(s)| &\triangleq \|Lv - Lu\| \leq \gamma \|v - u\|. \end{aligned}$$

We can repeat the same argument for $Lu(s) \geq Lv(s)$.

Bellman equations: existence of unique solution

Theorem Let $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ with \mathcal{S} finite or countable and bounded reward function:

1. There exists a unique $v^* \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $Lv^* = v^*$.
2. This v^* is equal to v_γ^* , ie: $v^* = v_\gamma^* = \max_{\pi \in \Pi^{MR}} v_{\pi, \gamma}$

Proof

- ▶ Part 1. follows directly from the fact that L is a γ -contraction under the sup norm.
- ▶ Part 2. Doesn't come for free! In fact, we need to first (thm. 6.2.2 in Puterman) that if there exists a $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that:
 - ▶ when $v \geq Lv$ then $v \geq v_\gamma^*$
 - ▶ when $v \leq Lv$ then $v \leq v_\gamma^*$
 - ▶ then if $v = Lv$, this v must be the only element of \mathcal{V} with this property and that $v = v_\gamma^*$.

Recap

Consequence:

- ▶ We now know that the Bellman equations have a unique solution.
- ▶ The solution to the Bellman equations gives us the value of the MDP, ie: v_{γ}^* .

What's next:

- ▶ How to find optimal policies
- ▶ How to find the solution to the Bellman equations numerically.

Optimal policies



So far, we have shown that v_{γ}^* exists and can be found as the solution to the Bellman equations. What we obtain out of this nonlinear system of equations is v_{γ}^* : not an optimal policy just yet.



In the following, we will show that there exists a **stationary deterministic** optimal policy.

Optimal policies

Theorem Let \mathcal{S} be discrete, and assume that the sup in $\mathcal{L}v = \sup_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{MD}} \{r_d + \gamma P_d v\}$ is attained for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, then:

1. There exists a conserving decision rule $d^* \in \mathcal{D}^{MD}$, ie:

$$L_{d^*} v_\gamma^* = r_{d^*} + \gamma P_{d^*} v_\gamma^* = v_\gamma^* .$$

and the stationary policy $(d^*)^\infty$ is optimal.

2. $v_\gamma^* = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi^{MR}} v_{\pi, \gamma} = \sup_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{MD}} v_{d^\infty, \gamma}$

Proof Since v_γ^* is the unique solution of $Lv = v$, then:

$$L_{d^*} v_\gamma^* = r_{d^*} + \gamma P_{d^*} v_\gamma^* = v_\gamma^* = L v_\gamma^*$$

Proof

By the application of Neumann's lemma for policy evaluation (last lecture), we have that for any $d \in \mathcal{D}^{MD}$, $v_{d^\infty, \gamma}$ is the solution to:

$$v_{d^\infty, \gamma} = L_d v_{d^\infty, \gamma} = r_d + \gamma P_{d^\infty, \gamma} v_{d^\infty, \gamma} = (I - \gamma P_d)^{-1} r_d .$$

Going back to our theorem:

$$v_\gamma^* = L v_\gamma^* = \underbrace{r_{d^*} + \gamma P_{d^*} v_\gamma^*}_{\text{because conserving}} = L_{d^*} v_\gamma^* .$$

Therefore:

$$v_\gamma^* = r_{d^*} + \gamma P_{d^*} v_\gamma^* = v_{(d^*)^\infty, \gamma} .$$

Important thing to remember



The important consequence of the above is that we can now say that: $v_{\gamma}^{\star} = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi^{MR}} v_{\pi, \gamma} = \sup_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{MD}} v_{d^{\infty}, \gamma}$.

This is a big deal because we went from searching over the space of nonstationary history-dependent randomized policies to only searching over the space of Markov deterministic decision rules, resulting in stationary deterministic Markovian policies.

Practical consequence

If we identified v_γ^* , then we can derive an optimal stationary policy $(d^*)^\infty$ by taking:

$$d^*(s) \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \left\{ r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a) v_\gamma^*(j) \right\}$$

Numerical methods

Value iteration

This algorithm corresponds to the **method of successive approximation**, which comes directly from the constructive proof in Banach fixed point theorem.

Given: $v^{(0)}$, and some tolerance $\epsilon > 0$.

While $\|v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)}\| \leq \epsilon(1 - \gamma)/2\gamma$:

- Compute for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:

$$v^{(k+1)}(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \left\{ r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a) v^{(k)}(j) \right\}$$

Return:

- $d_\epsilon(s) \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \left\{ r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a) v^{(k+1)}(j) \right\}$

Termination criterion

Theorem Upon termination of value iteration with the above criterion, the last iterate is within $\epsilon/2$ of the optimal value function, ie: $\|v^{(k+1)} - v_\gamma^*\| < \epsilon/2$.

Proof

$$\|v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v_\gamma^*\| \leq \|v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v^{(k+1)}\| + \|v^{(k+1)} - v_\gamma^*\| .$$

Looking at the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v^{(k+1)}\| &= \|L_{d_\epsilon} v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v^{(k+1)}\| \\ &\leq \|L_{d_\epsilon} v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - L v^{(k+1)}\| + \|L v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k+1)}\| \\ &= \|L_{d_\epsilon} v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - L_{d_\epsilon} v^{(k+1)}\| + \|L v^{(k+1)} - L v^{(k)}\| \\ &\leq \gamma \|v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v^{(k+1)}\| + \gamma \|v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)}\| \end{aligned}$$

Proof

Re-arranging the terms:

$$\|v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v^{(k+1)}\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)}\|$$

We can also apply the same exact step to the second term and get:

$$\|v^{(k+1)} - v_\gamma^*\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)}\|$$

Therefore, since:

$$\|v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v_\gamma^*\| \leq \|v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v^{(k+1)}\| + \|v^{(k+1)} - v_\gamma^*\| \quad .$$

then:

$$\|v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v_\gamma^*\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)}\| + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)}\| \quad .$$

Proof

By our termination criterion, we have $\|v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)}\| < \epsilon(1 - \gamma)/2\gamma$, therefore:

$$\|v_{(d_\epsilon)^\infty, \gamma} - v_\gamma^*\| \leq \epsilon \ .$$

Using Newton's method

Differentiation as Linearization

Definition (Differentiability). A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is said to be differentiable at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if there exists a linear map $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x+h) - f(x) - \lambda(h)\|}{\|h\|} = 0 \ ,$$

where $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We can show that if f is differentiable at x , then the linear map λ is unique.

Jacobian

Definition (Jacobian matrix). The Jacobian matrix of $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the matrix of $Df(x)$ under the standard bases for \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m . We denote this matrix by $f'(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ which we obtain by concatenating the values of $Df(x)(e_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ as columns:
$$f'(x) \triangleq [Df(x)(e_1), \dots, Df(x)(e_n)].$$

Chain rule

Lemma (Chain rule). If $g : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is differentiable at $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at $g(x)$, then $f \circ g : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at x and

$$D(f \circ g)(x) = Df(g(x)) \circ Dg(x) ,$$

and the matrix of $D(f \circ g)(x)$ is given by:

$$[D(f \circ g)(x)] = [Df(g(x))][Dg(x)] .$$

Directional derivative

Definition (Directional derivative). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the directional derivative of f at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in the direction of $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the limit:

$$D_v f(x) \triangleq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

If the derivative of f at x exists, the directional derivative is given by the value of the linear mapping obtained at this point and evaluated at v , ie: $D_v f(x) = Df(x)(v)$. Using the matrix of $Df(x)$ – the Jacobian – we also have that is given by the Jacobian-vector product $D_v f(x) = [Df(x)]v$ or $v^\top \nabla f(x)$ using the gradient notation.