

Reinforcement Learning and Optimal Control

IFT6760C, Fall 2021

Pierre-Luc Bacon

September 9, 2021

Overview

- ▶ Vector norms
 - ▶ Induced matrix norms
- ▶ Spectral radius
 - ▶ Invertibility
- ▶ Neumann Lemma
- ▶ Markov Decision Processes
 - ▶ Optimality criteria
 - ▶ Vector notation

Vector norms: l_p -norms

An important class of vector norms on \mathbb{R}^n (or \mathbb{C}^n) is the class of l_p -norms:

$$\|x\|_p \triangleq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Norms of linear mappings

(This definition hinges on that of vector norms.)

Definition (Operator norm). Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathbb{R}^n and $\|\cdot\|'$ on \mathbb{R}^m . The operator norm of $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ is defined as:

$$\|T\| \triangleq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\|' .$$

Properties of matrix norms

- ▶ $\|A\| \geq 0$, $\forall A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\|A\| = 0$ only for $A = 0$, (positive, definite)
- ▶ $\|\alpha A\| = |\alpha| \|A\|$, $\forall A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, (homogeneous)
- ▶ $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$, $\forall A, B \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, (sub-additive/triangle inequality)



If $A, B \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ (square matrices), we also have:

- ▶ $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$, (sub-multiplicative)

Matrix norms induced by l_p vector norms

Let $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m normed with l_p norms for $p = 1, 2, \infty$.

- ▶ $\|A\|_1 \triangleq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$, (column-wise max over the column sums)
- ▶ $\|A\|_\infty \triangleq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$, (row-wise max over the row sums)
- ▶ $\|A\|_2 \triangleq \sqrt{\lambda}$, where λ is the maximum eigenvalue of $A^\top A$



If $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is symmetric with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$:

- ▶ $\|A\|_2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|$.

Matrix norms and spectral properties

If $A \in L(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ with eigenpair $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then by sub-multiplicativity:

$$\|Au\| = \|\lambda u\| = |\lambda| \|u\| \leq \|A\| \|u\| .$$

Therefore, $|\lambda| \leq \|A\|$ for any matrix norm and any eigenvalue λ of A .



This is true for any **consistent** norm. Any induced norm is a consistent norm.

Spectral radius

Definition (Spectral radius) Let $A \in L(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$, the **spectral radius** of A $\sigma(A)$ is the maximum of $|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are eigenvalues of A .

Lemma (Spectral radius and matrix norms) $\sigma(A) \leq \|A\|$



The spectral radius is not a norm. Not to confuse with the **spectral norm** which is used to refer to $\|A\|_2$ (maximum eigenvalue of $A^T A$)

Spectral radius

Example of a non-zero matrix whose spectral radius is zero:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \|A\|_1 = |\alpha| \quad \text{but} \quad \sigma(A) = 0.$$

Lemma (Invertibility) Let $A \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$, if $\sigma(A) < 1$, then $I - A$ is invertible.

Proof Let (x, λ) be an eigenpair of $I - A$:

$$(I - A)x = \lambda x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Ax = \underbrace{(1 - \lambda)}_{< 1 \text{ if } \sigma(A) < 1} x$$

If $\sigma(A) < 1$, then $1 - \lambda < 1$ and $\lambda > 0$ for any eigenvector. Therefore $I - A$ has no zero eigenvalues and must be invertible.

Invertibility of linear maps

Theorem (Neumann Lemma) Let $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if $\sigma(A) < 1$, then

$$(I - A)^{-1} \text{ exists and } (I - A)^{-1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^k A^i.$$

Proof 1. $I - A$ is invertible by above lemma.

2. The inverse coincides with the series expansion.

$$\text{Note that } (I - A) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^i = I - A^k$$

Therefore:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^i = (I - A)^{-1} - \underbrace{(I - A)^{-1} A^k}_{\text{vanishes}}$$

If $\sigma(A) < 1$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A^k = 0$ (see 2.2.9 in O&R). So as $k \rightarrow \infty$ the series converges to $(I - A)^{-1}$.

Application to Markov Decision Processes

Markov Decision Process

A framework for sequential decision making in discrete-time.

The main components of an MDP are:

- ▶ Set of states \mathcal{S}
- ▶ Set of actions \mathcal{A} (can be state-dependent $\mathcal{A}(s)$)
- ▶ Transition probability function $p(j|i, a), i, j \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|i, a) = 1$ (for discrete states)
- ▶ A reward function: $r : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Policy

Definition A **decision rule** is a prescription for the action choice in each state. They can be **deterministic** or **randomized**.

- ▶ If deterministic: $d_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(s)$.
- ▶ If randomized: $d_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Dist}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}))$



A decision rule can also be history-dependent or Markov. For now, we assume Markovian policies, but we'll have to motivate later when this choice is appropriate.

Definition A policy is a sequence of decision rules $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_T)$ (for a T -stages finite-horizon MDP). A policy can be **stationary** in which case $\pi = (d, d, \dots)$ (also denoted by d^∞)

Taxonomy

We denote the possible set of policies by either:

- ▶ History-dependent Randomized (HR)
- ▶ History-dependent Deterministic (HD)
- ▶ Markovian Randomized (MR)
- ▶ Markovian Deterministic (MD)

Criteria

Goal: find a **policy** π prescribing how to take actions across the state space so as to maximize some given criterion.

In order to talk about maximization, we also need to be able to **order** policies according to their performance. To do this we need to define the notion of **value of a policy**.

The **expected total reward** of a policy $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{HR}}$ is:

$$v_{\pi}(s) \triangleq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T r(S_t, A_t) \mid S_1 = s \right] .$$

Expected total discounted reward

If we assume that $\sup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} |r(s, a)| = M < \infty$, and by introducing a **discount factor** $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ then the limit exists and we can also interchange the limit and expectation and get:

$$v_{\gamma, \pi}(s) \triangleq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma^{t-1} r(S_t, A_t) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} r(S_t, A_t) \mid S_1 = s \right]$$

Optimal policies (expected total reward)

A policy $\pi^* \in \Pi^{\text{HR}}$ is **total reward optimal** if:

$$v_{\pi^*}(s) \geq v_{\pi}(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi \in \Pi^{\text{HR}} .$$

The **value of an MDP** (or the **optimal value function**) is defined as:

$$v^*(s) \triangleq \sup_{\pi \in \Pi^{\text{HR}}} v_{\pi}(s) .$$

Optimal policies (expected total discounted reward)

A policy $\pi^* \in \Pi^{\text{HR}}$ is **discount optimal** if:

$$v_{\pi^*, \gamma}(s) \geq v_{\pi, \gamma}(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi \in \Pi^{\text{HR}} .$$

The **value of an MDP** (or the **optimal value function**) is defined as:

$$v_{\gamma}^*(s) \triangleq \sup_{\pi \in \Pi^{\text{HR}}} v_{\pi, \gamma}(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi \in \Pi^{\text{HR}} .$$

Vector notation

Let $d \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MD}}$ be a decision rule:

$$[r_d]_i \triangleq r(i, d(i)) \text{ and } [P_d]_{ij} = p(j|i, d(i))$$

Let $d \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MR}}$ be a decision rule:

$$[r_d]_i \triangleq \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(i)} r(i, a) d(a|i) \text{ and } [P_d]_{ij} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}(i)} p(j|i, a) d(a|i)$$