

Timestamp	Your full name	Overall Evaluation (2: Accept, 0: Borderline, -2: Reject)	Confidence	Evaluation Comment	Detailed Evaluation: Content of the benchmarking (Chapter 4)	Detailed Evaluation: ERTMS/ETCS Language (Appendix A)
4/5/2013 9:10:53	Stanislas Pinte	2	2 Expert		2	0
4/5/2013 9:13:42	Jonas Helming	1	1 High		2	0
4/9/2013 0:06:20	Stefan Rieger (TWT)	0	2 Expert	<p>The deliverable essentially lists a subset of requirements from the standard that shall be used for evaluating the candidate tools. This is a really helpful input. However, it does not contain any process or methodology for benchmarking as the title suggests. Thus, in my opinion it is an incomplete and not a final document and should either be extended, or the title should be changed to something like "A Subset of Requirements for Benchmarking of Tools" which is possibly a problem.</p> <p>The appendix is helpful but misses a short description of each variable.</p>	2	1
4/12/2013 21:06:54	Marc Behrens	1	1 High	<p>From V&V point of view the data structure is very important. there should be at least one message modeled with high priority since the exchange on message level should couple the modeling tool with verification tool for black box testing.</p> <p>The property does not apply for all implementations: "PROPERTY_3.5.3_01 OBU shall never have two different communication sessions established at the same time (with the same RBC or with two dfferent)"</p> <p>The Property "PROPERTY_4.6.2_02 OBU shall never leave Isolated mode (no transition from Isolation is specified)" has got exceptions in 4.4.3.1.3. Maybe adding a 'without proven thath this is a safe operation' could add additional confidence.</p> <p>Property "PROPERTY_5.9_02 Brake command should always be triggered when an OS mode acknowledgement is requested and 5 seconds are elapsed" does have exceptions to it when e.g. a mode level is issued within the 5 seconds. Maybe using "PROPERTY_5.9_02 Brake command should always be triggered when an OS mode acknowledgement is not acknowlegdef for 5 seconds."</p>	1	2
4/22/2013 10:13:09	Cecile Braunstein	2	2 Expert	The form of the common language defined in Appendix is not easy to use in practice.	2	0
4/22/2013 12:53:13	All4tec	1	1 High		0	1
4/24/2013 14:53:45	Armand Nacheff (CEA)	2	2 Expert		2	2
	Result	1.17			1.50	1.00